Radiomic based investigation of a potential link between precuneus and fusiform gyrus with Alzheimer’s disease

Simran Sharma¹, Kavita Kundal¹, Ishsirjan Kaur Chandok¹, Neeraj Kumar¹,²*, Rahul Kumar¹*

¹Department of Biotechnology, Indian Institute of Technology Hyderabad, Kandi, Telangana 502284, India
²Department of Liberal Arts, Indian Institute of Technology Hyderabad, Kandi, Telangana 502284, India

*Correspondence: rahulk@bt.iith.ac.in; neeraj.kumar@la.iith.ac.in

Abstract

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is acknowledged as one of the most common types of dementia. Various brain regions were found to associated with AD pathology. Precuneus and fusiform gyrus are two notable regions whose role has been implicated in cognitive function. However, a thorough investigation was lacking to link these regions with AD pathology. In this study, we conducted a comprehensive radiomic based investigation using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans to link precuneus and fusiform gyrus with AD pathology. We obtained T1 weighted MR scans of AD (n=133), MCI (n=311) and CN (n=195) subjects from ADNI database at three different time points (i.e., 0, 6 and 12 months). Then, we conducted statistical analysis to compare these features among AD, MCI and CN subjects. We found significant decline in gray matter volume (GMV) and cortical thickness of both precuneus and fusiform gyrus in AD as compared to the MCI and CN subjects. Further, we utilized these features to develop machine learning classifiers to classify AD from MCI and CN subjects and achieved accuracy of 97.78% and 94.41% respectively. These results strengthen the connection of precuneus and fusiform gyrus with AD pathology and opens a new avenue of AD research.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.
1. Introduction

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the primary cause of dementia, affecting 60% to 80% of cases globally (1). It's characterized by memory loss, cognitive decline, and behavioral changes, leading to a loss of independence (1). Mild cognitive impairment (MCI) is a transitional stage, with about 35% of cases progressing to AD or dementia within 3-4 years (1). In 2015, AD cost around 818 billion USD globally, impacting over 47 million individuals (2). By 2050, its prevalence is projected to quadruple, affecting nearly 1 in 85 people, primarily due to population aging (3).

In Alzheimer's Disease (AD), the precuneus and fusiform gyrus are pivotal regions implicated in the neurodegenerative cascade leading to cognitive impairment and functional decline. The precuneus serves as a central hub for various cognitive processes, including episodic memory retrieval, visuospatial processing, and self-referential cognition (4, 5, 6, 7, 8). Numerous neuroimaging studies have consistently revealed significant atrophy, hypometabolism within the precuneus, and reduced connectivity with the default mode network in individuals with AD (9, 10, 11, 12,13,14) particularly in the early stages of the disease (15). The atrophy in the precuneus area is also shown even in the non-amnestic Aβneg-AD individuals (16).

Conversely, the fusiform gyrus is renowned for its specialization in facial recognition and the processing of complex visual stimuli (17). In AD, alterations in the fusiform gyrus have been observed as reduced gray matter volume (18) and disrupted functional connectivity (19). These changes underlie the characteristic deficits in facial recognition and discrimination encountered by AD patients, contributing to their impaired social cognition and interpersonal interactions.

Emerging evidence suggests that the precuneus serves as a convergence zone integrating sensory, mnemonic, and attentional information critical for cognitive processing, including facial recognition mediated by the fusiform gyrus (20, 21, 22, 23,7). Disruptions within this network, specifically in face perception, may lead to the cascade of cognitive impairments like disruption in face-related episodic memory retrieval, limited interpersonal relations, and poor spatial awareness observed in AD, encompassing deficits in memory consolidation, attentional control, and social cognition. Understanding of the structural and functional alterations within these regions may offer valuable biomarkers for early diagnosis and monitoring disease progression in AD. The present study employs a machine learning-based approach.
leveraging radiomics analysis of MRI data to identify novel biomarkers from the precuneus and fusiform gyrus by systematically analyzing volumetric and surface features extracted from MRI images of individuals across different stages of cognitive impairment, including cognitively normal (CN), mild cognitive impairment (MCI), and AD. We have developed an ML-based classifier that can categorize individuals into MCI or AD based on their precuneus and fusiform gyrus features.

2. Methodology

2.1 Data Description

We downloaded the T1-weighted MRI images from the ADNI1 collection (named ADNI1: Complete 1Yr 1.5T) in the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) database (http://adni.loni.usc.edu/), which comprises cohorts with 195 cognitive normal (CN), 311 mild cognitive impairment (MCI), and 133 Alzheimer's disease (AD) subjects. The age range for CN individuals spans from 60 to 91 years while those with MCI range from 55 to 91 years, and for those diagnosed with AD, it ranges from 55 to 90 years. In the ADNI dataset, Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR), and the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke/Alzheimer's Disease and Related Disorders Association (NINCDS/ADRDA, 24) were the criteria used to assess the level of cognition in the samples (CN, MCI, and AD). CNs have an MMSE score in the range of 24–30, a CDR score of 0, and no signs of depression. MCIs have an MMSE score in the range of 24–30 and a CDR score of 0.5, with memory complaints and minimally impaired daily activities. AD criteria include MMSE scores of 20–26 (inclusive) and a CDR of 0.5 or 1.0, fulfilling NINCDS/ADRDA criteria. For each subject, three time points of scans were available, i.e., the initial screening (month 0), the 6-month mark (month 06), and the 12-month mark (month 12). The MRI 1.5T images were acquired with multicoil phased-array head coil (MA), FOV set at 240 x 240 mm, flip angle of 8 degrees, and TR of 2300 ms (25). In the ADNI collection, images were pre-processed for multi-planar reconstruction-reslicing (MPR-R), B1 radiofrequency pulse for non-uniformity correction, gradient warping, and N3 to reduce intensity non-uniformity (https://adni.loni.usc.edu/methods/documents/).
2.2 Radiomic-Based Feature Extraction and Statistical Method

We implemented the fully automated ‘Recon-All’ pipeline provided by Freesurfer software (http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/) for feature extraction. It is an open-source package to analyze and display structural, functional, and diffusion neuroimaging data from cross-sectional and longitudinal research (http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/). This pipeline initially follows a few image processing steps, i.e., motion artifact correction, transformation to Talairach image space, intensity normalization, and skull striping (26). Cortical parcellation was performed for feature extraction using the Desikan-Killiany atlas, which divides the MRI scans into discrete 34 anatomical regions (27). Eight different types of radiomic features i.e., the total gray matter volume (GMV, mm^3), the number of vertices, the average cortical thickness (mm), the total surface areas (mm^2), the integrated rectified mean curvature (mm^-1), the integrated rectified Gaussian curvature (mm^-2), the folding index, and the intrinsic curvature index) were calculated for the precuneus and fusiform gyrus from both left and right hemisphere.

We applied the nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test to compare these eight features of the precuneus and fusiform gyrus between CN, MCI, and AD subjects at three time points. The p-values were adjusted using the Benjamin-Hochberg correction method. Box and whisker plots were used to provide a graphical representation of the distribution of these groups.

2.3 Machine Learning Predictive Model Development

We combined above mentioned 16 radiomic features of both right and left hemispheres of precuneus and fusiform gyrus (total 32 features) and trained three binary classification models, i.e., AD vs CN, MCI vs AD, and CN vs MCI. We also used age of the individuals as one of the features to see its impact on the performance of these models. Additionally, classification models that solely used precuneus or fusiform-based features were also constructed. We deployed the Random Forest Classifier (RFC) algorithm to develop the classification models. First, the dataset was divided into a training dataset (80%) and a test dataset (20%), and the KNN imputation method was implemented to ensure uniformity (28). The class imbalance was addressed through random undersampling, while hyperparameter optimization of the RFC was carried out.
using the “RandomizedSearchCV” function to enhance model performance. The trained model underwent a comprehensive performance evaluation using various statistical metrics, e.g., accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, ROC AUC, and Matthews Correlation Coefficient (MCC) (29, 30,1). Further, all the classifier models were tested on a test dataset to select the top-performing model.

3. Results

3.1 Statistical Analysis for MRI-based Radiomic Features

We extracted the volumetric and surface related features from MRI images and applied Mann-Whitney U test to compare the eight classes of these radiomic features of precuneus and fusiform gyrus (Supplementary Tables 1-8 and Supplementary Figures 1-7). Among these features, we found significant reduction in gray matter volume (GMV) of precuneus among AD patients as compared to the MCI (P_{adj} = 1.95e-05) and CN (P_{adj} = 8.78e-09) at 0 time point (Figure 2 and Supplementary Table 1). Similar trends were observed at six- and twelve-months follow-ups (Figure 2 and Supplementary Table 1). Interestingly, the GMV of the fusiform gyrus was also significantly reduced in the AD patients as compared to the MCI (P_{adj} = 3.50e-06) and CN (P_{adj} = 2.66e-09) at 0 time point (Figure 3 and Supplementary Table 1). At time points of 6 and 12 months also, reduction in GMV of fusiform gyrus was observed (Figure 3 and Supplementary Table 1). In addition to GMV, we also observed significant reduction in average cortical thickness in both precuneus and fusiform gyrus (Supplementary Table 2 and Supplementary Figure 1) and increase in gaussian and mean curvature of fusiform gyrus (Supplementary Tables 3 and 4 and Supplementary Figures 2 and 3). We also performed statistical analysis for other radiomic features and observed significant differences (Supplementary Tables 4-8 and Supplementary Figures 4-7).

3.2 Performance of Machine Learning-based Classification Models

We developed three classification models in this study i.e., AD vs CN, MCI vs AD and MCI vs CN and obtained an adequate performance for all three models using RFC. For AD vs CN (33 features), we obtained accuracy of 97.78% and 93.25% with AUC values of 0.98 and 0.97 in training and test dataset respectively (Table 1 and Figure 4(a)). For AD vs MCI (33 features), we obtained accuracy of 94.41% and 82.70% with AUC
values of 0.96 and 0.92 in training and test dataset respectively (Table 2 and Figure 4(b)). For MCI vs CN (33 features), we obtained accuracy of 96.56% and 86.26% with AUC values of 0.97 and 0.94 in training and test dataset respectively (Table 3 and Figure 4(c)). To mitigate the effect of age on model performance, we checked the performance of these models without age as one of the training features. Strikingly, without age (32 features) also, we observed the adequate performance for all three models (Tables 1-3 and Figure 4) which shows the predictive power of the MRI-based radiomic features of precuneus and fusiform gyrus. Along with accuracy and AUC, we also calculated other statistical matrices to assess the performances of these models (Tables 1-3 and Figure 4). Along with combined models of precuneus and fusiform gyrus, we developed classifier models using radiomic features of either precuneus or fusiform gyrus and found slightly better performance for fusiform gyrus-based classification models (Supplementary Tables 9-14 and Supplementary Figures 8-9).

4. Discussion

In this study, we investigated a potential link between the precuneus and fusiform gyrus with AD pathology using statistical and machine learning analysis on MRI-based radiomic features. We extracted eight MRI-based radiomic features of the left and right hemispheres of the precuneus and fusiform gyrus i.e., gray matter volume, average thickness, folding index, intrinsic curvature index, integrated rectified Gaussian curvature, integrated rectified mean curvature, surface area, and number of vertices using Freesurfer automated pipeline. In our statistical analysis, we observed that gray matter volume of both precuneus and fusiform significantly decreased in AD patients as compared to the MCI and CN at all three time points (0, 6 and 12 months). Reduced gray matter volume is a prevalent sign of AD pathology and has been proposed as an essential physiological structure of cognitive function decline (31). Our observation aligns with a previous report on Voxel-based research that found gray matter volume reductions in the right fusiform gyrus of AD patients (32). Moreover, lower gray matter density in the precuneus and its atrophy was shown to be more prominent in early-onset AD patients in various voxel-based investigations on gray matter atrophy (15, 33). Additionally, we also observed a significant decrease in average thickness of precuneus and fusiform in AD patients. There was significant increase in gaussian curvature of AD subjects in fusiform gyrus. Significant reduction
in cortical thickness was previously reported in patients with AD and MCI (32), however increased gaussian curvature along with a decrease in precuneus and fusiform thickness were not reported earlier. Our findings strengthen the previously identified association between fusiform gyrus and AD pathology and open a new direction of association of precuneus and fusiform gyrus, and AD pathology.

To further investigate the potential of the precuneus and fusiform in classifying AD patients with MCI and CN, we developed random forest-based classifier models. Three binary models were built combining the radiomic features of the precuneus and fusiform gyrus (33 radiomic features including age) and we achieved accuracy of 97.78%, 94.41% and 96.56% for AD vs CN, AD vs MCI and MCI vs CN respectively. Similarly, we also developed classifier without age to mitigate the pervasive nature of age in classifying AD patients from MCI and CN subjects. Without age as a feature, we obtained accuracy of 97.35%, 94.18% and 97.25% for AD vs CN, AD vs MCI and MCI vs CN respectively. These performance metrics of classifier models suggest the classifying potential of radiomic features of precuneus and fusiform gyrus and provide strong evidence for the critical roles of the precuneus and fusiform gyrus in AD pathology. Based on the results of this study, we advocate to study AD pathology in the light of radiomic features of precuneus and fusiform gyrus. However, more investigations are necessary to expand the knowledge and understanding of the precise pathways through which the precuneus and fusiform gyrus contribute to the AD pathology. A better understanding of the role of the precuneus and fusiform gyrus and features based analysis in AD and also non-amnestic Aβneg-AD individuals could provide a generalized early detection method.

In conclusion, this study for the first time providing the evidence-based genesis of roles of both the precuneus and fusiform gyrus combined in AD pathology. Moreover, it adds to the corpus of our knowledge on neuroanatomical foundations of AD and hold significant promise for early detection, prevention, and focused interventions for AD diagnosis and treatment.
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### Tables

**Table 1:** Performance of random forest classifier using radiomic features of both precuneus and fusiform gyrus (left and right hemisphere) for AD vs CN classification (n = Size of Dataset).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Recall</th>
<th>F1-score</th>
<th>AUC</th>
<th>MCC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>With Age</strong>&lt;br&gt;(33 features)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training Dataset&lt;br&gt;(n = 944)</td>
<td>97.78%</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testing Dataset&lt;br&gt;(n = 237)</td>
<td>93.25%</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Without Age</strong>&lt;br&gt;(32 features)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training Dataset&lt;br&gt;(n = 944)</td>
<td>97.35%</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testing Dataset&lt;br&gt;(n = 237)</td>
<td>91.56%</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2:** Performance of random forest classifier using radiomic features of both precuneus and fusiform gyrus (left and right hemisphere) for AD vs MCI classification (n = Size of Dataset).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Recall</th>
<th>F1-score</th>
<th>AUC</th>
<th>MCC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>With Age</strong>&lt;br&gt;(33 features)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training Dataset&lt;br&gt;(n = 1271)</td>
<td>94.41%</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testing Dataset&lt;br&gt;(n = 318)</td>
<td>82.70%</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Without Age</strong>&lt;br&gt;(32 features)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training Dataset&lt;br&gt;(n = 1271)</td>
<td>94.18%</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testing Dataset&lt;br&gt;(n = 318)</td>
<td>82.70%</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Performance of random forest classifier using radiomic features of both precuneus and fusiform gyrus (left and right hemisphere) for MCI vs CN classification (n = Size of Dataset).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>Training Dataset (n = 1454)</th>
<th>Testing Dataset (n = 364)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With Age (33 features)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training Dataset</td>
<td>96.56%</td>
<td>86.26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without Age (32 features)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training Dataset</td>
<td>97.25%</td>
<td>84.34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Schematic diagram showing the study plan.

Figure 2: MRI scans showing the gray matter volume (GMV) of precuneus (right hemisphere) from representative samples from cognitive normal (CN), mild cognitive impairment (MCI), and Alzheimer's disease (AD) individuals at three time points (months 0, 6, and 12). Bar plots showing the statistical differences in the GMV of precuneus (z = 31.94, MNI coordinate) (*: p ≤ 0.05; **: p ≤ 0.01; ***: p ≤ 0.001; ****: p ≤ 0.0001).

Figure 3: MRI scans showing the gray matter volume (GMV) of fusiform gyrus (right hemisphere) from representative samples from cognitive normal (CN), mild cognitive impairment (MCI), and Alzheimer's disease (AD) individuals at three time points (months 0, 6, and 12). Bar plots showing the statistical differences in the GMV of precuneus (z = 31.94, MNI coordinate) (*: p ≤ 0.05; **: p ≤ 0.01; ***: p ≤ 0.001; ****: p ≤ 0.0001; ns: p > 0.05).

Figure 4: ROC plots for the different classifier models developed radiomic features of both precuneus and fusiform gyrus, with and without age; (a) AD vs CN (b) AD vs MCI (c) MCI vs CN.
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[Diagram showing brain images and statistical data comparing CN, MCI, and AD groups at different time points (Month 0, Month 6, Month 12). The images highlight regions of interest with changes in GMV (mm$^3$).]
### Figure 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fusiform</th>
<th>CN</th>
<th>MCI</th>
<th>AD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><img src="CN_0.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="MCI_0.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="AD_0.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><img src="CN_6.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="MCI_6.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="AD_6.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><img src="CN_12.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="MCI_12.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="AD_12.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GMV (mm³)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month 0</th>
<th>Month 6</th>
<th>Month 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CN</td>
<td><img src="CN_0.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="CN_6.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCI</td>
<td><img src="MCI_0.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="MCI_6.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD</td>
<td><img src="AD_0.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="AD_6.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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