Neural Network Correlates of Apathy, Disinhibition, and Executive Dysfunction in Active-Duty United States Special Operations Forces
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NEURAL BASES OF NEUROBEHAVIORAL SYMPTOMS IN SOF

Abstract
United States Special Operations Forces (SOF) experience neurobehavioral symptoms that can adversely affect training and combat operations. Understanding the neurobiological basis for these symptoms may guide prevention and treatment. In 29 male active-duty SOF with mean (SD) 17(4) years of service, we tested whether self-reported symptoms of apathy, disinhibition, and executive dysfunction measured by the Frontal Systems Behavior Scale, were related to functional magnetic resonance imaging and positron emission tomography biomarkers (translocator protein and tau) of the limbic, salience, and executive control networks. Higher disinhibition was associated with lower functional connectivity and higher tau signal within the salience network.
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Introduction

Special Operations Forces (SOF) are repeatedly exposed to blast overpressure and blunt head trauma during training and combat. These exposures can lead to neurobehavioral symptoms that can impact combat performance and life satisfaction. There is evidence that neurobehavioral symptoms, including apathy, disinhibition, and executive dysfunction, are associated with disruption of the large-scale distributed brain networks supporting these functions. Yet, the neurobiological correlates of the interaction between network dysfunction and neurobehavioral symptoms have not been examined in active-duty SOF, limiting opportunities for brain health optimization and development of targeted interventions. We examined, in active-duty United States (US) SOF personnel, the relationship between apathy, disinhibition, and executive dysfunction symptoms and functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) as well as positron emission tomography (PET) biomarkers (translocator protein and tau) of the limbic, salience, and executive control networks.

Methods

We analyzed data collected prospectively as part of the Long-Term Effects of Repeated Blast Exposure in United States Special Operations Forces Personnel (ReBlast) study (ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT05183087). Protocol details have been previously reported. The ReBlast study was approved by the Mass General Brigham Institutional Review Board (2020P002695) and U.S. Special Operations Command Human Research Protections Office (USSOCOM HRPO; S20010). All participants provided written informed consent.

Participants were male active-duty SOF, age 25 to 45 years, with a history of substantial combat and blast exposure. Over the course of a two-day study visit to Boston, MA, participants completed a comprehensive, multimodal assessment protocol. Data for the current study included: 1) demographics (e.g., age), military history (e.g., years in service), and exposure to combat (Combat Exposure Scale [CES]); 2) self-reported history of exposure to blast
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(Generalized Blast Exposure Value [GBEV]\(^{10}\) and blunt head trauma (Brain Injury Screening Questionnaire [BISQ]\(^{11}\)); 3) assessment of neurobehavioral symptom severity (46-item Frontal Systems Behavior Scale [FrSBe]\(^{12}\) completed by the SOF participant [FrSBe\(_{\text{Self}}\)] and separately by a designated family member or friend [FrSBe\(_{\text{Family}}\)]; and 4) neuroimaging (structural MRI resting-state fMRI [rs-fMRI], \([^{11}\text{C}]\text{PBR28}\) translocator protein [TSPO] positron emission tomography [PET], and \([^{18}\text{F}]\text{MK6240}\) tau PET). Neuroimaging data were acquired on Siemens scanners (Siemens Healthineers, Erlangen, Germany): 1) rs-fMRI data on a 7T Terra MRI scanner with a 32-channel head coil (Nova Medical, Wilmington, MA); and 2) \([^{11}\text{C}]\text{PBR28}\) TSPO and \([^{18}\text{F}]\text{MK6240}\) tau PET data on a hybrid PET-MRI scanner with an 8-channel MR head receiver coil (i.e., the BrainPET). Additional information regarding the behavioral and neuroimaging procedures and participant exclusion for specific modalities are included in Supplemental Materials; and procedures have been fully described in our previous work.\(^{8,13}\)

We conducted univariate (i.e., unadjusted) regression analyses to test for associations between the primary dependent (i.e., FrSBe\(_{\text{Self}}\) and FrSBe\(_{\text{Family}}\) Apathy, Disinhibition, and Executive Dysfunction subscale T-scores) and independent (i.e., mean functional connectivity, mean \([^{11}\text{C}]\text{PBR28}\) TSPO standardized uptake value ratio [SUVR] , and mean \([^{18}\text{F}]\text{MK6240}\) tau SUVR in the limbic, salience, and executive control networks) variables. We also conducted multivariable regression analyses adjusting for age.

**Results**

Twenty-nine male participants were mean (SD) 37 (4) years old with mean (SD) 17 (4) years of service. Most participants were enlisted and actively serving in the Army. Combat exposure was moderate-heavy or heavy in 27 out of 29 participants based on the CES. Cumulative blast exposure, as measured by the GBEV, ranged from 387,861 to 363,812,869 with a median value of 9,763,085, which was above a previously-published threshold for symptom reporting.\(^{10}\) FrSBe subscale T-scores were above the clinical threshold for impairment (i.e., \(\geq 65\)) for 28% to 31%
of participants on the FrSBe_{Self} and 36% to 43% of participants on the FrSBe_{Family}. All participants reported exposure to blunt head trauma on the BISQ consistent with a mild TBI, based on Veteran Affairs/Department of Defense criteria.$^{11}$

Results of statistical analyses for FrSBe_{Self} and FrSBe_{Family} Disinhibition Subscale T-scores are presented in Table 2. Higher Disinhibition Subscale T-scores were associated with lower functional connectivity in the salience network on FrSBe_{Self} ($\beta = -0.38$, 95% CI [-0.75, -0.005], $p = 0.047$; Figure 1b) and FrSBe_{Family} ($\beta = -0.37$, 95% CI [-0.76, 0.009], $p = 0.055$). These associations were attenuated after adjusting for age. Higher Disinhibition Subscale T-scores were associated with higher tau signal within the salience network, as measured by $^{[18F]}$MK6240 SUVR, on FrSBe_{Self} ($\beta = 0.38$, [0.009, 0.76], $p = 0.045$, Figure 1), but not FrSBe_{Family} ($\beta = 0.29$, [-0.10, 0.69], $p = 0.142$). FrSBe_{Self} associations with tau signal in the salience network were attenuated after adjusting for age. No associations were observed between FrSBe_{Self} or FrSBe_{Family} Disinhibition Subscale T-scores and TSPO as measured by $^{[11C]}$PBR28 SUVR in the salience network.

Neither FrSBe_{Self} nor FrSBe_{Family} Apathy Subscale T-scores were associated with rs-fMRI or PET biomarkers of the limbic network (Supplemental Table 1). Similarly, neither FrSBe_{Self} nor FrSBe_{Family} Executive Dysfunction Subscale T-scores were associated with rs-fMRI or PET biomarkers of the executive control network (Supplemental Table 2).

**Discussion**

In active-duty U.S. SOF with repeated blast exposure, higher self-reported disinhibition symptoms were associated with the salience network across two imaging modalities; we observed similar results with family-reported disinhibition symptoms. The salience network is a known contributor to cognitive and emotional dysregulation, and its structural as well as
functional disruption has been linked to neuropsychiatric disorders for which disinhibition is a hallmark characteristic.\textsuperscript{14}

Our findings build on recent work in the same cohort of active-duty SOF wherein higher blast exposure was related to higher cortical thickness in bilateral rostral anterior cingulate cortex (rACC) and right insula, and lower TSPO signal in the right rACC, all of which are regions within the salience network.\textsuperscript{13} Interestingly, in a different cohort of active-duty SOF, higher blast exposure was associated with increased TSPO signal in the salience network.\textsuperscript{15} The discrepancy in findings across these two studies\textsuperscript{13,15} may have been due to the use of a different tracer (i.e., [18F]DPA-714), a different statistical analytic approach (i.e., applying dimensionality reduction before testing the association between biomarkers and blast exposure), or a different study design (i.e., comparing blast-exposed special operators to non-exposed matched controls). Overall, the present work illustrates the potential neurobehavioral consequences of network-level disruptions in a population heavily exposed to blast and blunt head trauma.

Approximately one-third of participants and their family members reported apathy, disinhibition and executive function symptoms that exceed the clinical threshold for impairment (Table 1). This result is consistent with prior studies showing that a substantial proportion of service members with mild TBI,\textsuperscript{16} including SOF,\textsuperscript{2} experience neurobehavioral symptoms. Targeted measures aimed at preventing or treating salience network disruption may promote quality of life for SOF and their families.\textsuperscript{4}

Adjusting our statistical models for age attenuated the association between disinhibition and functional connectivity as well as tau signal in the salience network, which supports prior literature showing that disinhibition increases with age.\textsuperscript{17} Symptoms of apathy and executive control were not related to neuroimaging biomarkers of the limbic or executive control networks, respectively. The Yeo 7-network atlas,\textsuperscript{18} which we used to identify regions of interest, only includes cortical regions. Consequently, the contribution of key subcortical regions could not be
assessed (e.g., hippocampus and amygdala within the limbic network; thalamus and ventral striatum within the salience network). Furthermore, the neuroanatomical substrates of executive control are highly distributed and poorly defined, potentially contributing to the lack of an association between executive dysfunction symptoms and biomarkers of the executive control network. There was no association between TSPO signal and disinhibition. However, higher tau signal was associated with higher symptoms of disinhibition. While the MK-6240 ligand binds to tau pathology, and thus the association between higher tau signal in the salience network and higher disinhibition symptoms may represent neurofibrillary tangles, it could also represent dystrophic neurites and other pathological cellular processes that can only be confirmed by post-mortem examination of the brain. The study was limited by lack of longitudinal data and a small sample size. Nevertheless, the findings contribute to our understanding of the neural network correlates of disinhibition in active-duty SOF.

Conclusions
Higher self-reported symptoms of disinhibition in active-duty SOF with heavy exposure to blast and blunt head trauma were associated with lower functional connectivity and higher tau signal in the salience network, which is known to contribute to emotional and cognitive function. These findings support the use of a multimodal, network-based approach to examine the effects of head trauma on active-duty SOF brain health and highlight a potential role for the salience network in the prevention and treatment of neurobehavioral symptoms in this population.
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Figure 1 Legend

Association of FrSBeSelf Disinhibition Scores with Salience Network Biomarkers. The salience network (a, purple regions) was composed of the left inferior frontal gyrus (i.e., pars opercularis), aspects of the left temporal and occipital lobes, aspects of the right temporal, occipital, and parietal lobes, a right precentral region, a right ventral prefrontal region, and bilateral aspects of the frontal lobe including the operculum and insula, the lateral prefrontal, and medial cortices. Higher FrSBeSelf Disinhibition T-Scores were associated with lower resting-state functional connectivity (b) and higher tau signal as measured by $[^{18}F]MK6240$ SUVR (c). There was no association between FrSBeSelf Disinhibition T-Scores and TSPO signal as measured by $[^{11}C]PBR28$ SUVR (d). Individual data points in b, c, and d are shown as purple
circles, regression line is black with the 95% confidence intervals indicated in grey shading. Note: T-scores have a mean of 50 and SD of 10. T-scores ≥ 65 on the FrSBe subscales suggest clinically elevated symptoms. Abbreviations: FrSBe = Frontal Systems Behavior Scale; SUVR = standardized uptake value ratio; TSPO = translocator protein.
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Figure 1

(a) FrSBe-Self Disinhibition T-scores

(b) FrSBe-Self Disinhibition T-scores vs. Mean Functional Connectivity

\[ \beta = -0.378, p = 0.047 \]

(c) FrSBe-Self Disinhibition T-scores vs. \[^{[18]}F\]MK6240 SUVR (tau signal)

\[ \beta = 0.381, p = 0.045 \]

(d) FrSBe-Self Disinhibition T-scores vs. \[^{[11]}C\]PBR28 SUVR (TSPO signal)

\[ \beta = -0.083, p = 0.681 \]
### Table 1. Participant Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>N=29</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age in years – mean ± SD</td>
<td>37 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex: Male – n</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race: White – n</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity: Non-Hispanic – n</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education: &gt; 12 years – n</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalized Blast Exposure Value (GBEV) – median [range]</td>
<td>9,763,085 [387,861 to 363,812,869]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combat Exposure Score (CES) – mean ± SD</td>
<td>34.14 ± 4.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years in Service – mean ± SD</td>
<td>17.10 ± 4.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military Branch – n</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marines</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank – n</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Officer</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warrant Officer</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enlisted</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FrSBe&lt;sub&gt;Self&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apathy</td>
<td>56.10 ± 13.31 [35 - 93], 8 (28%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disinhibition</td>
<td>59.48 ± 11.37 [36 - 90], 9 (31%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Function</td>
<td>56.34 ± 12.08 [40 - 83], 8 (28%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FrSBe&lt;sub&gt;Family&lt;/sub&gt; (n = 28)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apathy</td>
<td>65.50 ± 17.05 [39 - 111], 12(43%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disinhibition</td>
<td>60.64 ± 11.93 [38 - 85], 10(36%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Function</td>
<td>62.07 11.30 [41 - 86], 12(43%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note.** The Frontal Systems Behavior Scale (FrSBe) was administered to the participant (FrSBe<sub>Self</sub>) and to a significant other or close friend selected by the participant (FrSBe<sub>Family</sub>). FrSBe subscale scores are reported as T-score mean ± SD [range], n (%) above cutpoint for clinically elevated symptoms (T-scores of ≥ 65).
Table 2. Associations between FrSBe\textsubscript{Self} and FrSBe\textsubscript{Family} Disinhibition T-scores and the Salience Network

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Resting State Functional Connectivity</th>
<th>[\textsuperscript{11}C]PBR28 PET (TSPO signal) SUVR</th>
<th>[\textsuperscript{18}F]MK6240 PET (tau signal) SUVR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unadjusted</td>
<td>Adjusted for Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unadjusted</td>
<td>Adjusted for Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Salience</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Self</strong> (n = 28)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.378</td>
<td>-0.751, -0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Family</strong> (n = 27)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.373</td>
<td>-0.756, 0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.083</td>
<td>-0.493, 0.328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>-0.374, 0.467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.381</td>
<td>0.009, 0.754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.291</td>
<td>-0.104, 0.685</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Factors resulting in participants being excluded from Resting State Functional Connectivity and PET analyses are described in Supplementary Methods.
FrSBe = Frontal Systems Behavior Scale; $\beta$ = standardized regression coefficient; TSPO = translocator protein; PET = positron emission tomography, SUVR = standardized uptake value ratio; CI = confidence interval.