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Sensitivity of unconstrained quantitative magnetization transfer MRI to Amyloid burden in preclinical Alzheimer’s disease
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Abstract

Introduction: Magnetization transfer MRI is sensitive to semi-solid macromolecules, including amyloid beta, and has been used to discriminate Alzheimer’s disease (AD) patients from controls. Here, we utilize an unconstrained 2-pool quantitative MT (qMT) approach that quantifies the longitudinal relaxation rates \(R_f\) of free water and semi-solids separately, and investigate its sensitivity to amyloid accumulation in preclinical subjects.

Methods: We recruited 15 cognitively normal subjects, of which nine were amyloid positive by \([^{18}\text{F}]\)Florbetaben PET. A 12 min qMT scan was used to estimate the unconstrained 2-pool qMT parameters. Group comparisons and correlations were analyzed at the lobar level.

Results: The exchange rate and semi-solid pool’s \(R_s\) were sensitive to the amyloid concentration. The former finding is consistent with previous reports in clinical AD, but the latter is novel as its value is typically constrained.

Discussion: qMT MRI may be a promising surrogate marker of amyloid beta without the need for contrast agents or radiotracers.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Amyloid\(\beta [A\beta]\) is one of the defining pathological hallmarks of Alzheimer’s disease (AD),\(^{1}\) which begins accumulating in the neocortex\(^{2}\) even in clinically asymptomatic subjects.\(^{3}\) Identifying individuals with a substantial amyloid load is necessary for many clinical reasons, including the specific diagnosis of AD versus other dementias,\(^{4}\) and to study the impact of new disease-modifying therapies—such as emerging anti-amyloid immunotherapeutics—which are being used to treat early stages of AD and increasingly being studied in preclinical patient populations.\(^{4}\)

Abbreviations: qMT, quantitative magnetization transfer. Word Count: 2481.
The red-colored pool models "free water" protons with fraction $m_f^0$, while macromolecule-bound "semi-solid" protons with fraction $m_s^0$ are colored purple ($m_f^0 + m_s^0 = 1$). Each pool's longitudinal and transverse relaxation rates (reciprocal of the times) $R_1^f$ and $R_2^f$, respectively, are modeled separately (most previous studies constrain the value of $R_1^f$). After saturation of one pool's longitudinal magnetization, relaxation and exchange with the other pool modulate each pool's magnetization as visualized by the arrows and partially colored boxes. The exchange rate is denoted by $R_x$.

Accumulation was previously demonstrated in transgenic mice,\textsuperscript{7,8,9} and in vivo studies using quantitative MT—which disentangles the biophysical contributions to the MT contrast—suggest that the "forward magnetization exchange rate" (the MT product $m_0^f$, $R_x$, Fig. 1) is the most discriminatory qMT biomarker for classifying AD versus controls and the conversion to AD from amnestic mild cognitive impairment (McA).\textsuperscript{10,11,12}

However, due to previous works’ focus on MCI or clinical AD,\textsuperscript{10,11,12} it is unclear whether preclinical AD pathology can be detected with qMT biomarkers. In this study, we focus on the preclinical population where amyloid accumulation is believed to occur before tau hyperphosphorylation and neurodegeneration.\textsuperscript{3} Additionally, prior qMT studies usually constrain the value of the difficult-to-estimate semi-solid pool’s longitudinal relaxation rate $R_1^s$.\textsuperscript{10,11} In this work, we quantify all parameters of the unconstrained 2-pool qMT model using advances in biophysical modeling.\textsuperscript{15} Our central hypothesis is that amyloid accumulation in the preclinical population is detectable by qMT biomarkers—including $R_1^s$—due to the distinct biochemical properties of Aβ plaques.

## 2. METHODS

### 2.1 Magnetization Transfer Model

The unconstrained 2-pool qMT model (Fig. 1) models the MRI signal as arising from protons bound in free water (superscript f) which exchange magnetization at the rate $R_x$ with protons bound in a semi-solid pool (superscript s). Each pool has its own fractional size ($m_f^0$, where $m_f^0 + m_s^0 = 1$), longitudinal ($R_1^f$) and transverse ($R_2^f$) relaxation rates (inverse of times $T_1^f, T_2^f$) amounting to six total parameters: $m_f^0, R_1^f, R_2^f, R_x, R_1^s$, and $T_2^s$. Previous studies\textsuperscript{10,11,12} could not estimate $m_0^f$ and $R_x$ separately due to limitations of the employed encoding strategies and signal models.\textsuperscript{14} which we overcome here by utilizing a hybrid-state sequence\textsuperscript{15} (see Section 2.3).

We use the time $T_1^f$ (instead of the rate) for consistency with the qMT literature, which is characterized by the super-Lorentzian lineshape\textsuperscript{14} and modeled with the generalized Bloch equations.\textsuperscript{17} As $T_1^f \approx 10 \mu$s, the semi-solid pool can be detected only indirectly with clinical MRI scanners via its exchange with the free pool, impeding estimation of $R_1^s$. This leads authors to typically assume $R_1^s = 1$ in the literature.\textsuperscript{10,11} However, we recently demonstrated the ability to quantify $R_1^s$ voxel-wise,\textsuperscript{13} which takes on significantly smaller values than $R_1^f$.\textsuperscript{18,19} We hypothesized that eliminating this constraint increases qMT’s sensitivity to changes in the semi-solid pool’s biophysical properties arising from Aβ accumulation.

### 2.2 Participants

We recruited 15 cognitively normal subjects (Clinical Dementia Rating\textsuperscript{6} of 0) who previously received amyloid PET scans from New York University’s AD Research Center (ADRC) cohort of community-dwelling elderly adults. Six were considered Aβ+ by our ADRC’s standardized uptake value ratio (SUVR) threshold, with the demographics: three female, three white, age $72.6 \pm 4.5$ years (mean ± standard deviation), Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCa) scores $27.3 \pm 2.2$, one APOE-ε4 and two APOE-ε2 carriers. The remaining nine subjects were Aβ-, with the demographics: five female, seven white, age $75.6 \pm 6.5$ years, MoCa $27.4 \pm 2.4$, six APOE-ε4 carriers. All subjects provided written informed consent for the studies below.

### 2.3 Imaging Protocol

All subjects received 300 MBq of \textsuperscript{[18F]}Florbetaben (FBB) tracer (Life Molecular Imaging, Totowa, NJ) intravenously, followed by a saline flush. Syringes were assayed pre- and post-injection. Amyloid PET scans were acquired on a Biograph mMR PET/MRI system (Siemens, Germany) 90–120 minutes post-injection.

In a second session $17 \pm 9$ months (no more than 34 months) later, each subject underwent a 24 minute MRI exam on a Prisma MRI scanner (Siemens, Germany) using a 32-channel head coil. Our experimental whole-brain qMT technique used a hybrid-state sequence\textsuperscript{15} optimized for quantifying MT parameters with 1 mm isotropic resolution (effectively 1.24 mm isotropic accounting for 3D radial k-space sampling) across a $256 \times 256 \times 256$ mm FOV in 12 minutes.\textsuperscript{13} Hybrid-state sequences build on inversion-recovery balanced steady-state free precession (bSSFP) sequences\textsuperscript{20} by incorporating a smoothly varying flip angle between TRs to improve biophysical parameter encoding.\textsuperscript{15} Full details and example parameter maps can be found in Ref.\textsuperscript{13}.

3D Magnetization-Prepared Rapid Acquisition Gradient-Recalled Echo (MPRAGE)\textsuperscript{21} and T2-weighted Fluid-Attenuated Inversion-Recovery (FLAIR)\textsuperscript{22} images were also acquired with 1 mm isotropic resolution. Both sequences were GRAPPA 2x accelerated, where the MPRAGE used a
TE/TR/TI of 2.98 ms/2.3 s/900 ms for 5 min 30 s of scan time and the FLAIR used a TE/TR/TI of 392 ms/5 s/1.8 s for 5 min 57 s of scan time.

2.4 Image Reconstruction

PET reconstruction used slightly modified SCAN parameters (https://scan.naccdata.org/): single-frame of first 10 mins of data (to reduce motion-related artifacts); OSEM-3D, 4 iterations, 21 subsets; 344 × 344 × 127 grid; 2.0 zoom (1.04313 × 1.04313 × 2.03125 mm voxels); all corrections on; post-reconstruction smoothing with a 2 mm FWHM Gaussian kernel.\textsuperscript{23} Attenuation correction used an MR-based algorithm combining a Dixon μ-map with a superimposed, co-registered, skull atlas-derived bone compartment.\textsuperscript{23}

$qMT$ parameter maps were estimated using a two-step procedure.\textsuperscript{24} First, a series of “coefficient images,” representing the MRI signal’s temporal dynamics, was reconstructed\textsuperscript{25} using an information-maximizing subspace\textsuperscript{26} with motion corrected in 4 s intervals.\textsuperscript{27} Then, the six qMT parameters are estimated using a neural network designed with similar properties to least-squares estimators incorporating a data-driven correction for magnetic field inhomogeneities.\textsuperscript{28}

2.5 Image Processing

The MPRAGE, FLAIR, and FBB images were rigid-body registered\textsuperscript{29} to the estimated $m_0^s$ maps (which has a similar contrast to the MPRAGE). The qMT parameters were chosen as the common reference frame to avoid interpolating the non-linearly processed qMT maps. Brain segmentation, cortical parcellation, volume, and thickness values were then computed using Freesurfer v7.4.1’s “recon-all” command.\textsuperscript{30,31} Volumes were normalized by the estimated total intracranial volume, and the average global cerebellar FBB value was used to compute SUVRs.

As the cortical thickness is only 2–3 voxels for our image resolutions, to minimize partial volume effects, we used Freesurfer’s “mri_vol2surf” function to sample the qMT and FBB SUVR values at 50% of the cortical thickness. To avoid resampling the non-linearly processed qMT data and minimize linear interpolation error, we applied the following procedure. First, we sinc interpolated\textsuperscript{33} the reconstructed coefficient images onto a 5 × finer grid (i.e., 0.2 × 0.2 × 0.2 mm voxels). Then, we applied “mri_vol2surf” to sample the coefficient images at 50% of the cortical depth before applying the neural network to estimate the qMT parameters.

Due to small sample sizes, we perform a lobar-level analysis by grouping the Desikan-Killiany ROIs\textsuperscript{33} into the four primary cortical lobes.\textsuperscript{34} Each subject’s average measurement (qMT parameter, FBB SUVR, or cortical thickness) per lobe and hemisphere is computed using an inverse-variance weighting\textsuperscript{35} based on each ROI’s sample variance. Prior to all statistical analyses, we manually excluded four ROIs (for all measurements) where we identified substantial artifacts in $R_t$ across multiple subjects: the rostral anterior cingulate, precentral, postcentral, and superior parietal gyri. We also excluded three ROIs (for all measurements) superior to the frontal sinus exhibiting bSSFP-like banding artifacts\textsuperscript{20} in the qMT parameters: the medial and lateral orbitofrontal cortex and temporal pole.

2.6 Statistical Analysis

We used the non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test\textsuperscript{36} to compare measurements between A$\beta$− and A$\beta$+ groups. We considered the $p < 0.05$ and Bonferroni-corrected $p < 0.0125$ (across the four lobe) significance levels. Effect sizes were quantified using Hedge’s $g$,\textsuperscript{37} where we considered $0 < |g| < 0.5$ “small,” $0.5 \leq |g| < 0.8$ “medium,” $0.8 \leq |g| < 1.2$ “large,” and $|g| \geq 1.2$ “very large.”\textsuperscript{38} We used Pearson’s correlation coefficient to evaluate the association of our measurements with amyloid burden, again using the $p < 0.05$ significance level.
FIGURE 3 Correlation analysis. Three qMT parameters—the macromolecular pool size \( m^s_0 \) (A–D), the magnetization exchange rate \( R_x \) (E–H), and the macromolecular pool’s longitudinal relaxation rate \( R_{s1} \) (I–L)—and cortical thickness (M–P) are plotted against amyloid burden as measured by \([^{18}\text{F}]\)florbetaben (FBB) PET SUVR. Significant Pearson’s correlations (\( p < 0.05 \)) are bolded.

### RESULTS

Fig. 2 compares the qMT parameters, FBB SUVR, and cortical thickness values between \( A^\beta– \) and \( A^\beta+ \) groups. SUVR values were uniformly significantly increased for \( A^\beta+ \) subjects with very large effect sizes, as expected from our definition of amyloid positivity. Consistent with previous literature reports, the magnetization exchange rate \( R_x \) was significantly decreased in the temporal lobe with a large effect size (\( g = -0.86 \)). The macromolecular pool’s longitudinal relaxation rate \( R_{s1} \) was significantly decreased in the frontal, parietal, and temporal lobes with medium (\( g = -0.78 \)), large (\( g = -0.81 \)) and large (\( g = -0.94 \)) effect sizes, respectively. By contrast, no significant group differences were observed in any lobe for the macromolecular pool size \( m^s_0 \), cortical thickness (including treating the “cortical signature” \( m^{s0} \) as a lobe), total CSF volumes, or remaining qMT parameters (the latter are not shown).

We repeated the same analysis for the Freesurfer-defined global white matter and subcortical structures (not shown). While there were no differences in the subcortical structure volumes (including the hippocampus \(^{40}\)), we observed significant decreases in both free pool relaxation times (\( R_{f1} \) and \( R_{f2} \)) in the global white matter, hippocampus, and thalamus.

Fig. 3 analyzes the correlation between the qMT parameters or cortical thickness and amyloid concentration. Significant Pearson’s correlations occur in similar locations to Fig. 2, though there was no significant negative correlation with \( R_1 \) in the parietal lobe, but a significant positive correlation with \( m^s_0 \) in the temporal lobe. Similarly to Fig. 2, no significant correlations were observed between cortical thickness and amyloid concentration in any lobe (neither for the composite “cortical signature” \(^{39}\)).

To understand the spatial correspondence between the qMT measurements and FBB SUVR, we visualize the corresponding effect sizes overlaid on the Desikan-Killiany atlas in Fig. 4. As expected, very large
positive effect sizes are uniformly observed across the cortex for FBB SUVR. While we do not observe uniformly large negative effect sizes for \( R_x \) and \( R_s^1 \), the ROIs exhibiting (likely spurious) positive effects somewhat overlap with the ROIs excluded from the lobar analyses for exhibiting imaging artifacts (e.g., the postcentral and superior parietal gyri in \( R_x \)). Importantly, though we do observe small (\( g = -0.42 \)) to medium (\( g = -0.69 \)) effects suggesting thinning of the right/left entorhinal cortices, the qMT parameters exhibit higher overall sensitivity to amyloid burden.

4 DISCUSSION

Our study revealed widespread group differences in unconstrained qMT parameters between A\( \beta^{+} \) and A\( \beta^{-} \) individuals. The largest effect was observed in the semi-solid pool's longitudinal relaxation rate \( R_1^s \), a parameter previously considered inaccessible and hence typically constrained—but which we quantify on a voxel-by-voxel basis using the hybrid-state's enhanced signal encoding capabilities.\textsuperscript{13,15,41} This suggests that \( R_1^s \) may be a potential biomarker for amyloid pathology preceding morphometric measures of atrophy.\textsuperscript{39,40} A potential biophysical explanation could be the slower longitudinal relaxation of spins bound in A\( \beta \) plaques relative to other constituents of the macromolecular pool, e.g., myelin.

We also observed an \( R_x \) reduction in A\( \beta^{+} \) subjects, which has previously been attributed to the hydrophobicity of A\( \beta \) plaques.\textsuperscript{30} This finding aligns with previous reports that the forward exchange rate (i.e., \( m_s^0 \cdot R_x \)) is reduced in clinical AD and predictive of amnestic MCI to AD conversion.\textsuperscript{10,11,12} Our results using an unconstrained qMT model, however, suggest that \( R_x \) is more sensitive to amyloid pathology than \( m_s^0 \) in preclinical AD. If neurodegeneration causes reduced \( m_s^0 \) in advanced disease (discussed further in Section 4.2), \( R_x \) and \( m_s^0 \) may potentially be associated with the 'A' and 'N' axes of the A/T/N framework,\textsuperscript{1} respectively, which emphasizes the importance of separating these parameters in the unconstrained model.

Contrary to our expectations of an increase with greater amyloid burden, we found no significant group differences in the macromolecular pool size \( m_s^0 \), although a positive correlation was observed in the temporal lobe. One explanation could be a competing effect, possibly due to concomitant neurodegeneration or a change in the interstitial load of water (e.g., due to reduced fluid clearance\textsuperscript{45} or vascular leakage from reactive astroglisis\textsuperscript{43}). However, the latter hypothesis appears less likely
given the lack of significant changes we would expect in the free water pool’s relaxation rates $R_1^f$ and $R_2^f$.

4.1 Limitations

Our study has several limitations. Firstly, a larger cohort is needed to verify our findings, control for the effect of nuisance variables (e.g., age, sex, race), and increase statistical power for ROI or voxel-level analyses (partially overcome here by sampling at 50% of the cortical depth). Secondly, mechanistic studies are needed to elucidate the specific pathophysiological processes underpinning the observed changes in $R_x$ and $R_s^1$, including potential covariates like blood-brain barrier breakdown, temperature, or pH changes. For example, altered perfusion could explain the decreased $R_1^f$ observed in subcortical structures and white matter. Temperature differences of even 1–2 °C could explain the observed cortical differences in $R_x$ and $R_s^1$. pH changes due to mitochondrial dysfunction would decrease $R_x$ and may have preceded cognitive decline in our cohort. Additionally, a post-mortem study of the relationship between $R_x/R_s$ and plaque density (diffuse, neuritic and vascular) would provide a stronger histopathological basis for our data. Lastly, our findings should be validated in preclinical (e.g., mouse) models of AD, as our unconstrained model differs from the existing literature primarily utilizing constrained qMT approaches.

4.2 Future Directions

Our proof-of-concept study was based on a prototype qMT sequence originally designed for the study of white matter. Future work will involve optimizing the sequence for grey matter imaging. Further, the qMT maps had artifacts particularly affecting ROIs in the frontal and parietal lobes, which could explain their relative lack of significant effects compared to the temporal lobe. Future work will involve correcting for the chemical shift of subcutaneous fat, a likely source of artifacts given the employed radial k-space trajectory.

The qMT sequence has an effective 1.24 mm isotropic resolution. Future work will explore the potential advantages of this high resolution (as compared to PET) in the study of finer structures relevant to AD, e.g., the hippocampus and cortex.

Unconstrained qMT should also be extended to study injury in white matter where $m_1^s$ was previously demonstrated to be closely associated with myelin content, but amyloid PET has significant off-target binding. Additionally, we hypothesize that grey matter neurodegeneration in clinical AD would also be reflected by reduced $m_1^s$. This motivates further investigation into which unconstrained qMT parameters might be sensitive to disease progression. Under the model of amyloid accumulation and progressive neurodegeneration as temporally displaced processes, qMT’s potential sensitivity to both ‘A’ and ‘N’ axes could improve the specificity for and monitoring of AD stage.

qMT—which combines high-resolution anatomical imaging and amyloid sensitivity in a single exam—may also be useful for the longitudinal monitoring of therapeutic response in clinical trials. In particular, emerging immunotherapeutics are increasingly being studied in preclinical patient populations; e.g., in AHEAD 3-45. Importantly, both forms of amyloid-related imaging abnormalities (ARIA) are likely detectable in the free water relaxation rates (and not $R_x$ or $R_1^f$). While the qMT effect sizes are substantially smaller than those of amyloid PET, qMT is more amenable to screening, longitudinal imaging, and multi-center studies by virtue of being implementable on existing MRI scanners.

5 CONCLUSION

qMT is a minimal addition to routinely used conventional MRI, and may enable the detection of amyloid accumulation without requiring contrast agents or radiotracers.
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