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**Title:** Cell-free DNA analysis for the determination of fetal red blood cell antigen genotype in alloimmunized pregnancies.

**Precis:** A cfDNA analysis for fetal antigen genotyping in alloimmunized pregnancies had 100% concordance with neonatal genotype; supporting use of the assay to guide care.
Abstract

Objective: To evaluate the accuracy of NGS-based quantitative cfDNA analysis for fetal antigen genotyping in alloimmunized pregnancies undergoing clinical testing across US practices. Timely identification of the fetal red blood cell antigen genotype for the antigen to which the pregnant person is alloimmunized is vital for determining fetal risk for HDFN and guiding management. Presently in the US, recommended care is to determine fetal antigen genotype with reproductive partner testing and/or amniocentesis. This approach has many limitations, including availability of reproductive partner testing, risk of nonpaternity, and low uptake of invasive testing such as amniocentesis. These barriers to obtaining fetal antigen genotype information lead to pregnancies not at risk for HDFN undergoing burdensome monitoring and, in some cases, unnecessary intervention. PCR-based qualitative cfDNA analysis for fetal antigen genotyping is available in Europe, however, it is offered at later gestational ages, may require a repeat sample, has a higher frequency of inconclusive results for individuals of non-European ancestry, and entails logistical challenges related to shipping and insurance coverage for patients in the US. The availability of a NGS-based quantitative cfDNA analysis for fetal antigen genotyping in the US that is robust for diverse populations and applicable for singleton and twin pregnancies starting at 10 weeks gestation presents an opportunity to assess performance.

Methods: Patients with alloimmunized pregnancies undergoing clinical fetal antigen cfDNA analysis were recruited to the study along with the neonates resulting from the pregnancies. The laboratory issued the results prospectively as a part of clinical care. After delivery, neonatal buccal swabs were sent to an outside laboratory, blinded to the fetal cfDNA results, for antigen genotyping, and the results were compared. Concordance was reported for the fetal antigen cfDNA analysis for antigens to which the pregnant person was alloimmunized as well as for all antigens for which the pregnant person was genotype negative.

Results: We observed complete concordance between the fetal antigen cfDNA analysis result and neonatal genotypes for 503 calls, for 100% sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV across a racial and ethnically diverse cohort.

Conclusion: This study demonstrates that cfDNA analysis for determining fetal antigen genotype is more accurate than real-life application of the current recommendations, i.e., partner testing and amniocentesis, in a diverse US population. In addition, this noninvasive approach reduces barriers to obtaining timely, accurate information about fetal antigen genotype. These results support the routine implementation of fetal antigen cfDNA analysis to guide care of alloimmunized pregnancies in the US.
Introduction

Hemolytic disease of the fetus or newborn (HDFN) is a potentially life-threatening form of anemia caused by alloimmunization—a maternal immune response to foreign red blood cell antigens expressed on fetal and neonatal red blood cells inherited from the father. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) recommends testing the reproductive partner antigen status when alloimmunization is diagnosed in pregnancy. However rates of reproductive partner screening uptake are low and results can be complicated by nonpaternity. When reproductive partner antigen status is either unknown or heterozygous, pregnant people are left with the choice of amniocentesis for fetal antigen genotyping—an invasive option that carries a risk for fetal loss as well as and the chance for an anamnestic increase in the antibody titer or the development of additional alloantibodies. Alternatively, some clinicians may proceed with serial middle cerebral artery peak systolic velocity (MCA-PSV) Doppler measurements, a burdensome screening option that is unnecessary for patients with antigen-negative fetuses. The need for reproductive partner genotype information also exacerbates an access barrier to testing for populations that already experience disparities in care, as uptake of prenatal testing for reproductive partners is lower in underserved populations.

Cell-free DNA (cfDNA) is already utilized as a standard of care in many European countries for determining fetal antigen status and guiding pregnancy management. In September of 2022, a cfDNA assay utilizing next-generation sequencing (NGS) and quantitative counting template (QCT) technology for determining fetal antigen status was first offered clinically in the US. The assay improves upon European assays by combining next generation sequencing (NGS) with QCT technology, which facilitates the detection and absolute quantification of variants that are more common in the diverse US population.

In a prior study, we performed initial validation of an approach to a NGS-based cfDNA analysis with QCT technology for fetal antigen genotyping. Herein we build upon that validation by comparing fetal antigen cfDNA analysis results completed as part of clinical care for alloimmunized pregnant individuals with antigen genotypes of the resulting neonate tested at an independent laboratory.

Methods:

Participant Recruitment

Participants were recruited into an IRB-approved fetal antigen patient registry. Prospective participants were identified via the clinical laboratory’s quality assurance program or by their provider at collaborating clinical sites. Patients and their neonates were eligible for inclusion in the study if: 1) the patient was clinically confirmed to be alloimmunized to at least one of the following antigens: K (kell), Fya (also known as Fy(a+)), C, c, E, or D (RhD); 2) the patient underwent fetal antigen cfDNA analysis in the US between September 15, 2022 and December 15, 2023 and spoke English or Spanish. Patients were ineligible if their testing was ordered
outside of the US. Prospective participants were contacted by a member of the study team or their local clinical team and invited to learn more about the study. Those who agreed to participate provided written informed consent for themselves and their neonate under WCG IRB protocol number 20225380. The participants were compensated for their participation.

**Fetal Antigen cfDNA Assay**

Details of the fetal antigen cfDNA analysis have been previously published.10 Briefly, we developed and validated an approach to noninvasive prenatal testing that utilizes NGS and QCTs to determine fetal antigen genotypes by analyzing cfDNA in plasma samples from pregnant individuals. The addition of QCTs enables the absolute quantification of detected fetal antigen molecules, which then is compared with the expected number of fetal molecules based on fetal fraction to determine the fetal genotype. The fetal genotype can then be used to predict the fetal antigen phenotype. When the predicted fetal phenotype is antigen positive for an antigen to which the pregnant person is alloimmunized, the pregnancy is at risk for HDFN. This test can be performed as early as ten weeks gestation to determine fetal antigen status in pregnant people who are alloimmunized to the following antigens: K, Fya, C, c, E, and/or D(RhD). Results are only reported for the antigens to which the patient is alloimmunized. All samples were run on the same version of the fetal antigen cfDNA analysis; the assay did not change during the duration of the study.

**Neonatal genotyping**

After consenting to participation, a buccal swab from the neonate that delivered in the alloimmunized pregnancy was obtained using ORAcollectDNA buccal swabs (DNA Genotek Inc., Ottawa, Canada). The samples were sent to Grifols Laboratory Solutions Inc (San Marcos, TX), which performed antigen genotyping utilizing BGG Navigator, a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and genomic hybridization-based genotyping test utilizing ID CORE XTTM™ technology (Progenika Biopharma, S.A., Bizkaia, Spain). Grifols Laboratory was blinded to the fetal cfDNA results. Neonatal genotype and predicted phenotype were reported for the following antigens included in fetal antigen cfDNA analysis: K, Fya, C, c, and E. For pregnancies alloimmunized to the D(RhD) antigen, neonatal genomic DNA extracted from the swabs was used to amplify exons 1-10 and their flanking regions of the *RHD* gene along with amplification of a hybrid *RHD-CE* exon 3-intron 3 region, and sequenced using BigDye® Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) to determine the *RHD* genotype and predicted RhD phenotype.

**Concordance determination**

Concordance was determined separately for 1) only those antigens to which the pregnant person was alloimmunized and 2) all antigens for which the pregnant person was genotype negative. A pregnant person must be genotype negative for an antigen (not express the antigen) to be alloimmunized to it. Alloimmunization status does not impact the assay performance as the assay
is genotype and not protein (antigen or antibody) based. Therefore, by examining all antigens for which a pregnant person was genotype negative we were able to demonstrate the assay performance with a larger sample size of antigen calls. The researchers and Grifols laboratory were blinded to neonatal and fetal analysis results, respectively; until both assays had been completed. Antigen genotypes were considered concordant where fetal antigen cfDNA analysis predicted fetal phenotype (reported as antigen detected or antigen not detected) and Grifols Laboratory’s neonatal predicted phenotype (reported as antigen positive or antigen negative) were respectively detected and positive OR not detected and negative. If a pregnancy was a twin gestation, the cfDNA analysis results were considered concordant if both neonates were antigen genotype negative and the cfDNA analysis predicted antigen not detected or at least one neonate was antigen genotype positive and the cfDNA analysis result predicted antigen detected.

Statistics
A sample size of 200 alloimmunized cfDNA assays was selected based on conservative predicted sensitivity of 97% and published antigen allele frequencies to allow for the calculation of the assay analytics with a type I error of up to 5% and a marginal error of 5% (span of 95% confidence intervals). Demographic statistics including maternal age, gestational age, maternal race and ethnicity, and fetal fraction were calculated.

Results
Fetal Antigen Patient Registry Demographics
There were 899 eligible patients identified and invited to the study, 238 patients responded to the invitation and 166 (70%) completed enrollment prior to the end of the study (Figure 1). The final study sample included 166 participants from 128 different US practices who provided consent and submitted neonatal buccal swab samples. The sample included four twin pregnancies. These 166 cases include 30 on whom antigen concordance was previously reported in Alford & Landry et al. The median gestational age (GA) at the time of testing was 18.4 weeks with a median fetal fraction of 11.3% (Table 1). The pregnancy characteristics and patient demographics were similar to that of a complete patient sample (both enrolled and not enrolled; data not shown). The median turnaround time for complete patient samples was 7 days (range 5-21 days) and an informative fetal antigen result was returned for 99.6% of cases. Assay call rates were consistent when stratified by patient race and ethnicity (data not shown).

Fetal Antigen Patient Registry Cohort cfDNA analysis Results and Concordance
The 166 patients were alloimmununized to 206 antigens. The most common alloimmunized antigen was E (n=59, 35.5%). Forty-four patients (26.5%) were alloimmunized to K, 43 (25.9%) to D(RhD), 31 (18.7%) to C, 21 (12.7%) to c, and 9 (5.4%) to Fy<sup>a</sup>. Forty-one patients were alloimmunized to more than one antigen (Table 2).
Overall, 104 (50.5%) fetal antigen results were reported clinically as “antigen detected”, meaning the fetal antigen genotype predicted an antigen-positive phenotype, and 102 (49.5%) were “antigen negative”, meaning the fetal antigen genotype predicted an antigen-negative phenotype (Table S1).

A concordance call was possible for 206/207 alloimmunized antigens. One case had fetal cfDNA results but inconclusive results on the neonatal sample from the outside laboratory, so a concordance call was not possible. Concordance for the 206 fetal antigen cfDNA analysis calls for antigens to which the patients were alloimmunized was 206/206 (100%) (Table 3).

Additionally, concordance was 100% for 503 fetal antigen calls (Table 4). These 503 calls includes the 206 antigens for which the pregnant person was alloimmunized against and additional 297 antigens for which the pregnant person was not alloimmunized against but was genotype negative (therefore able to be alloimmunized against; Table 4). There was one fetus for which cfDNA analysis correctly called D(RhD) negative due to an $RHD^\Psi$ variant, which on postnatal $RHD$ sequencing confirmed the fetus to be compound heterozygous for the $RHD$-gene deletion and $RHD^\Psi$ variant. There was one case where the cfDNA analysis reported “not detected” for the C antigen and the neonatal genotyping revealed a hybrid $RHD$-CE-D allele $RHD^*DIIIa-ceVS.03(4-7)-RHCE*ce$, associated with an extremely weak C phenotype.\(^{11}\) The weak C phenotype has been reported to produce anti-C antibodies when exposed to the C antigen.\(^ {11}\) Therefore the cfDNA “not detected” call for this genotype is likely an appropriate determination that the fetus is not at risk for HDFN when the pregnant person is alloimmunized to C. However, there is no literature to confirm this so this case was excluded from the final calculation of concordance (Table 4.) In the current case, the pregnant person was not alloimmunized to C so no further clinical investigation was warranted. This is a relatively uncommon allele present in approximately 0.3% of the US population.\(^ {12}\)

**Discussion**

**Principal findings:**
This study demonstrates that NGS-based cfDNA analysis using QCT technology is a highly accurate approach for determining fetal antigen genotypes and predicted phenotypes for alloimmunized pregnancies, improving upon the current ACOG-recommended care. The addition of QCTs enables the absolute quantification of detected fetal antigen molecules. By comparing the detected number of fetal antigen cfDNA molecules with the expected number of molecules based on the fetal fraction, the assay ensures high sensitivity and specificity for the determination of fetal genotype regardless of gestational age and fetal fraction.\(^ {10}\)

In this study, concordance between fetal antigen genotype as determined by cfDNA analysis and neonatal antigen genotypes as determined by an outside laboratory blinded to fetal cfDNA results, was 100% for all 206 calls on antigens to which the pregnant person was alloimmunized.
Concordance was also 100% when the antigen calls were expanded to include all 503 antigens for which the pregnant person was genotype negative resulting in an assay sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV of 100%. In this expanded set of antigen calls there was a single case where the cfDNA analysis assay reported not detected for C antigen and neonatal genotyping identified a hybrid RHD-CE-D allele RH*DIIIa-ceVS.03(4-7)-RHCE*ce, associated with an extremely weak C phenotype. This genotype is not designed to be detected by the current assay and there is no evidence that it is associated with a risk for HDFN in the setting of a pregnant person alloimmunized to C antigen. Literature demonstrates that individuals with this genotype generate anti-C antibodies when exposed to the C antigen.11

This accuracy of fetal antigen cfDNA analysis is on par or better than the performance of cfDNA analysis for determining fetal antigen status reported in several countries outside the US where it has long been part of clinical practice.9,13,4 The multi-exon sequencing used here differs from other fetal antigen assays including those used in Europe, which typically utilize a qualitative PCR approach and cannot identify RhD-negative fetuses due to variants other than the RHD-gene deletion. These include genotypes more common in individuals of non-European ancestry.15 NGS-based cfDNA analysis for fetal antigen genotyping, evaluated in this study,10 uses quantitative counting template (QCT) technology to detect RhD-negative genotypes including the common RHD-gene deletion as well as variants such as RHDΨ, which is present in approximately 20% of RhD-negative Black Americans.15 As a result, this assay has higher call rates than European-based assays. An informative result was returned for 99.6% of cases in this study; and call rates were the same across races and ethnicities. In addition, the other RhD or fetal antigen assays are known to have higher uninformative results at lower gestational ages or fetal fractions.9,16 An ongoing Phase III trial for the prevention of HDNF requires enrollment at 13-17 weeks gestation, highlighting the importance of a fetal-antigen cfDNA assay with high performance at early gestational ages (e.g., <15 weeks).17 This assay’s unique approach of using absolute quantification enabled by QCTs results in high sensitivity and specificity independent of fetal fraction or gestational age.10 Finally, the cfDNA assay simultaneously detects multiple fetal antigens, which is significant as alloimmunization for multiple antigens has implications for worsening fetal anemia.18

Clinical implications:
The current recommended approach for determining fetal antigen genotype in alloimmunized pregnancies in the US relies on reproductive partner antigen testing. Amniocentesis is indicated to determine fetal genotype when it cannot be assumed from reproductive partner antigen status. There are many limitations to this approach in terms of accuracy, feasibility, and utility. Rates of partner testing completion are variable but in one study less than a third (12/39) of partners completed the testing.5 If reproductive partner testing is not completed, ACOG recommends amniocentesis as a next step. However, amniocentesis carries the risk of fetal loss, worsening alloimmunization due to fetomaternal hemorrhage, and low uptake due to anxiety over the
procedure and the risk to the fetus. Subsequently, the fetal antigen status remains unknown for many of these pregnancies and the pregnancy is then monitored with serial antibody titers and MCA-PSV Doppler ultrasound by specialty providers, a process that is time intensive and burdensome both for the pregnant person and the healthcare system. These approaches to monitoring also have significant limitations. For example, maternal antibody titers are not useful for monitoring patients who have had prior pregnancies affected with HDFN or who are sensitized to the K antigen because of a lack of correlation between maternal titers and fetal anemia. In addition, maternal antibody titers can rise even when the fetus is antigen negative. MCA-PSV Doppler ultrasound, has been reported to have a sensitivity of 86% and specificity of 71% for pregnancies that have not yet undergone intrauterine transfusions (IUTs) according to a recent meta-analysis by Martinez-Portilla et al. and Mari et al. report a false positive rate of 12%. When MCA-PSV Doppler ultrasound suggests fetal anemia it can lead to unnecessary and potentially risky invasive procedures such as percutaneous umbilical cord sampling (PUBS) and IUTs. Finally, while not assessed in the current study, evidence from countries that have already adopted cfDNA analysis for fetal antigen genotyping suggests improved cost-effectiveness and clinical utility; these studies demonstrated cost improvement with cfDNA assays despite lower sensitivities and higher rates of inconclusive results than reported in this study.

There was a recent announcement of a US shortage of RhoGAM (a commercially produced Rho(D) immune globulin). In the US non-alloimmunized pregnant people who are RhD-negative receive prophylactic Rho(D) immune globulin during pregnancy, however, Rho(D) immune globulin is unnecessary for the approximately 40% of pregnancies because the fetus is RhD-negative. Multiple European countries currently use cfDNA analysis to determine management for RhD-negative pregnant individuals. However, ACOG does not currently recommend the routine use of cfDNA for this purpose but recognizes it may be an effective and attractive strategy if the inclusivity, feasibility, and cost-effectiveness of cfDNA tests are addressed for the US population. The cfDNA analysis in this paper is also available for non-alloimmunized RhD-negative pregnant individuals. This study demonstrates the inclusivity and feasibility of the assay to determine fetal antigen genotype for a diverse US population and supports the use of cfDNA analysis to determine need for Rho(D) immune globulin to prevent unnecessary administration; conserving a valuable resource for those for whom it is medically necessary.

Strengths and limitations:
This was a well-powered prospective study demonstrating the excellent performance of cfDNA analysis for fetal antigen genotyping with a high rate of informative results in a diverse US sample of alloimmunized pregnant individuals; including twin pregnancies. The pregnant person’s alloimmunization status was determined through medical records provided by the ordering provider and further confirmed as part of the fetal antigen assay. Importantly, the fetal
cfDNA results were reported prospectively as part of the clinical care of the pregnancy without knowledge of the neonatal genotype. The laboratory providing the fetal cfDNA genotyping and the different laboratory performing neonatal genotyping were blinded to each others results.

The study enrolled participants 128 clinical practices across the US including representation from individuals who identified as Asian, Black, Latina, White, non-Latina, and more than one race. Importantly, in contrast to other assays and the publications that study their characteristics, the no-call rate for assay was not different for different race and ethnicities.

**Conclusions:**

This study demonstrated the accuracy of an NGS-based cfDNA analysis assay with QCT technology for the detection of fetal antigen status in a large, diverse US-based cohort. The performance of this assay is superior to real-life application of the current recommended care in the US. Clinical implementation of fetal antigen cfDNA analysis for the management of alloimmunized pregnancies will remove the barriers of the current recommended care— incomplete partner testing and risk of amniocentesis—resulting in an accurate assessment of fetal risk for more alloimmunized pregnancies, streamlining clinical management, and improving equitable access to care. Given the body of evidence, it is time for this approach to be routinely implemented into the management of alloimmunized pregnancies in the US.
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Table 1. Demographics and pregnancy characteristics of fetal antigen patient registry participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Self-reported race and ethnicity</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latina/Hispanic</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than one race</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>69.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age at Estimated Due Date (years)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>19 - 44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational Age at Time of Fetal Antigen Testing (weeks)</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>10-37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetal Fraction (%)</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
<td>2.4 - 32.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibodies</td>
<td>No. of patients</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C, D</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D*</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E, c</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FyA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E, D</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FyA, K</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FyA, E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K, c</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E, K</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FyA, C, K</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Insufficient sample from the neonate for D antigen exon sequencing and therefore concordance was completed for 206.
Table 3. Concordance between fetal antigen cfDNA genotyping results for alloimmunized antigens and neonatal genotyping, n=166 alloimmunized patients, n=206 alloimmunized antigen calls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Neonatal Antigen Positive</th>
<th>Neonatal Antigen Negative</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cfDNA Fetal Antigen Detected</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cfDNA Fetal Antigen Not Detected</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>%</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>96.5% - 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>96.5% - 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPV</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>96.5% - 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPV</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>96.5% - 100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Concordance between fetal antigen NIPT results for all antigens for which the pregnant person is genotype negative in the fetal antigen patient registry cohort, n=166 alloimmunized patients, n=503 fetal antigen calls for antigens for which the pregnant person was genotype negative.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neonatal Antigen</th>
<th>Positive</th>
<th>Neonatal Antigen</th>
<th>Negative</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NIPT Fetal Antigen Detected</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIPT Fetal Antigen Not Detected</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>343</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>503</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>%</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>97.7% - 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>98.9% - 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPV</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>97.7% - 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPV</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>98.9% - 100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A cfDNA analysis reported not detected for C antigen and neonatal genotyping identified a hybrid RHD-CE-D allele RHD*DIIIa-ceVS.03(4-7)-RHCE*ce, associated with an altered C phenotype. While evidence indicates this phenotype is not associated with a risk of HDFN and the cfDNA results of “not detected” was an appropriate clinical call, this case was excluded from the final calculation of concordance as this could not be confirmed by the available literature.
Figure Legends

**Figure 1.** Flow diagram of patient enrollment. There were 1,412 alloimmunized patients who met eligibility criteria and were invited to the study via text or email. 369 responded to the invitation, 7 declined to participate, 48 agreed to participate but did not complete enrollment and 166 completed enrollment prior to the study completion.
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Anonymized individual participant data will be available. The data will include the pregnant person alloimmunization status, gestational age, fetal fraction, fetal cfDNA results including, if requested, the calibrated fetal antigen fraction (CFAF), and neonate genotype results including the specific genetic variant identified. Data will be available at the time of publication and for 5 years after. Access can be requested by contacting the author, sharing will be determined by the author and the clinical laboratory where the study was conducted. Data will be shared in a secure electronic format for replication purposes.
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