Cross Border Population Movement Patterns, Kenya, Uganda, and Rwanda, November 2022

Patrick King1, Mercy Wendy Wanyana1, Harriet Mayinja2, Brenda Nakafeero Simbwa1, Marie Gorreti Zalwango1, Joyce Owens Kobusinge2, Richard Migisha1, Daniel Kadobera1, Benon Kwesiga1, Lilian Bulage1, Doreen Gonahasa1, Peter Babigumira Ahabwe5, Serah Nchoko3, Edna Salat3, Freschia Weithaka3, Oscar Gunya3, Fredrick Odhambo3, Vincent Mutabazi4, Metuschelah Habimana4, Gabriel Twagirimana4, Ezechiel Ndagarinze4, Alexis Manishimwe4, Harriet Itiakorit5, Samuel Kadivani3, Katy Seib6, Ellen Whitney6, Alex Riolexus Ario7

Institutional affiliations: 1Uganda Public Health Fellowship Program, Uganda National Institute of Public Health, Kampala, Uganda, 2Division of Surveillance, Information and Knowledge Management, Ministry of Health, Kampala, Uganda, 3Field epidemiology and Laboratory Training Program, Kenya National Public Health Institute, Nairobi, Kenya. 4Field Epidemiology Training Program, Ministry of Health, Kigali, Rwanda, 5Department of Global Health Security, Baylor College of Medicine Children’s Foundation, Kampala, Uganda, 6International Association of National Public Health Institutes, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, USA, 7Uganda National Institute of Public Health

Correspondence*: Email: kingp@uniph.go.ug, Tel: +256775432193

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.
Abstract

Background: The frequent population movement across the five East African Countries poses risk of disease spread in the region. A clear understanding of population movement patterns is critical for informing cross-border disease control interventions. We assessed population mobility patterns across the borders of the East African states of Kenya, Uganda, and Rwanda.

Methods: In November 2022, we conducted Focus Group Discussions (FGDs), Key Informant Interviews (KIIs), and participatory mapping. Participants were selected using purposive sampling and a topic guide used during interviews. Key informants included border districts (Uganda and Rwanda) and county health officials (Kenya). FGD participants were identified from border communities and travellers and these included truck drivers, commercial motorcyclists, and businesspersons. During KIIs and FGDs, we conducted participatory mapping using Population Connectivity Across Borders toolkits. Data were analysed using grounded theory approach using Atlas ti 7 software.

Results: Different age groups travelled across borders for various reasons. Younger age groups travelled across the border for education, trade, social reasons, employment opportunities, agriculture and mining. While older age groups mainly travelled for healthcare and social reasons. Other common reasons for crossing the borders included religious and cultural matters. Respondents reported seasonal variations in the volume of travellers. Respondents reported using both official (4 Kenya-Uganda, 5 Rwanda-Uganda borders) and unofficial Points of Entry (PoEs) (14 Kenya-Uganda, 20 Uganda-Rwanda) for exit and entry movements on borders. Unofficial PoEs were preferred because they had fewer restrictions like the absence of health screening, and immigration and customs checks. Key destination points (points of interest) included: markets, health facilities, places of worship, education institutions, recreational facilities and business towns. Twenty-eight health facilities (10- Lwakhakha, Uganda, 10- Lwakhakha, Kenya, and 8- Cyanika, Uganda) along the borders were the most commonly visited by the travellers and border communities.

Conclusion: Complex population movement and connectivity patterns were identified along the borders. These were used to guide cross-border disease surveillance and other border health strategies in the three countries. Findings were used to revise district response and preparedness plans by strengthening community-based surveillance in border communities.
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Background

The East African region is threatened by numerous emerging and re-emerging diseases of international concern. These include wild polio, yellow fever, Ebola Virus Disease (EVD), Marburg virus disease, Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever, hepatitis E virus, and cholera(1). Increased cross-border movements of humans and animals could fuel the spread of these diseases with the resultant effect of affecting population health and straining the health systems in the region(2).

Collection of data on population mobility patterns such as volume and destination at Points of Entry (PoEs) is gaining momentum in the East African region. However, this is still insufficient for providing evidence for decision-making. The Population Connectivity Across Borders (PopCAB) methodology provides detailed information on mobility patterns including who, where, when, why, and how of human mobility and community connectivity(5). Furthermore, the methodology eases the integration of population mobility in public health surveillance, programming, preparedness, and response initiatives.

A clear understanding of the unique population movement patterns is essential for tailoring communicable disease preparedness and response strategies that aim to limit the international spread of disease. Characterisation of movement patterns including destinations, routes used, and reasons for travel could facilitate more accurate quantification of health risks, importing, and exporting of disease (3,4). By considering the complex ways in which people move and interact with their environment, public health officials can design more effective preparedness and response strategies.

The Uganda National Institute of Public Health (UNIPH) under Uganda Ministry of Health together with the respective ministries in Kenya and Rwanda conducted a PopCAB activity on the Uganda-Kenya Lwakhakha border and the Uganda-Rwanda Cyanika border. This was done to explore population movement patterns, identify points of interest and travel routes, visualise population movement patterns, and suggest suitable public health recommendations for surveillance and preparedness. The findings would help strengthen tailored interventions to prevent, detect, and respond to the spread of communicable diseases including the EVD outbreak at the time of the assessment.

Methods

Study setting

We conducted the assessment at Lwakhakha (Uganda-Kenya border) and Cyanika (Uganda-Rwanda border). The Lwakhakha border is located at Namisindwa District in Uganda and Bungoma County in Kenya. The Cyanika border is located at Kisoro District in Uganda and Burera District in Rwanda. The borderline between Uganda and Rwanda extends from the tripoint with the Democratic Republic of the Congo in the west to the tripoint with Tanzania in the East spanning a distance of 188 km. Uganda-Kenya
borderline extends for 870 km from the tripoint with South Sudan in the north to the
tripoint with Tanzania in the south.

We used the PopCAB methodology toolkit developed by US Centers for Disease
Control and Prevention to gather and analyse population mobility including
characteristics of travellers, reasons for travel routes taken by travellers, travel routes,
and key destinations/points of interest.

**Participants and sample selection**

Twelve Key Informant Interview (KII) participants were purposively selected. Leaders
from multiple sectors at the district (in Uganda), and county (in Kenya) level included
District Health Officers, District Surveillance Focal Persons, Sub-County Internal
Security Officers, Port Health Focal Persons, County Disease Surveillance
Coordinators, Immigration Officers, Port Health Officers, and County Community
Services Focal Persons.

Nine Focus Group Discussion (FGD) were conducted, these included: community
groups such as fisherfolk, truck drivers, boda-boda/cyclist riders, commercial cyclists,
and businesspersons. Each FGD had 8 persons. All participants in Fisherfolk, truck
drivers, boda-boda riders and commercial cyclists FGDs were male. In the study setting
these occupational group are male dominated. FDGs for business persons had an
equal number representation of males and females.

**Data collection methods and tools**

From November 1st–15th, 2022 we conducted KIIs and FGDs using an interview guide.
The interview guide collected data on the characteristics of travellers, reasons for
crossing the borders, when they cross and means used for travel/crossing the border.
The KII findings generated information utilized in the selection of categories of people to
be considered for the FGDs at the borders. Using an FGD guide, we conducted FGDs
with border communities and travellers.

All KIIs and FGDs had a participatory mapping component using maps of Uganda-
Kenya border and Uganda-Rwanda border. Areas of interest and routes were annotated
on the maps by the interviewer with guidance from the participants.

**Data management and analysis**

Discussions were transcribed by a note taker during the interview and analysis was later
conducted using a thematic analysis approach. We developed codes and grouped
codes under sub-themes and themes. Themes annotated maps from the various
interviews were summarised into a map for each border point (Cyanika and Lwakhakha
borders) to provide a comprehensive picture of the routes and PoEs used. We used
QGIS software to draw maps.

**Ethical considerations**
The Uganda Ministry of Health (MoH) through the office of the Director General Health Services gave the administrative clearance to carry out this activity. Additionally, the MoH also granted the program permission to disseminate the information through scientific publications. In addition, the Office of the Associate Director for Science, U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, determined that this study was not a human subject’s study with the primary intent of guiding public health planning and practice. This activity was reviewed by U.S. CDC and was conducted consistent with applicable federal law and CDC policy. §§See e.g., 45 C.F.R. part 46, 21 C.F.R. part 56; 42 U.S.C. §241(d);

Verbal informed consent was sought from all participants who were selected to participate in the study. They were informed that their participation was voluntary and their refusal would not attract any negative consequences. Data collected did not contain individual personal identifiers as a way of ensuring confidentiality.

Results

Characteristics of travellers

Respondents reported that mainly individuals below 35 years frequently cross the border. There were differences in the age groups travelling depending on the reason for travel. Both genders travelled across the border. Unique to the Lwak hakha border, respondents in Uganda reported both genders crossing the border while Kenyan respondents reported mostly males crossing the border. Nationalities in the East African region (Ugandan, Kenyan, South Sudanese, Congolese, and Rwandese) commonly travelled across the borders.

“……Youth and young adults are the most common people moving across both countries……usually men aged 15-30 years and women aged 14-20 years travel for employment opportunities” FDG P4, boda-boda cyclist Uganda

“…. It depends on the activity, children from 6 to 15 years from Uganda move to Kenya selling snacks and fruits/vegetables….. from 15 to 30 years Ugandans move from Mbarara, Mbale and Namisindwa for casual labour as maids…. adults above 20 to 35 years move for casual labour on farms in Eldoret, Chwele while others move to Nairobi, Eldoret, Chwele to work as maids” FDG, P7 boda-boda Kenya

“…. Sudan refugees cross weekly and monthly...” FGD P1, Uganda

“…..Ugandans, Rwandese and Congolese cross Cyanika border as a connecting route to Gisenyi and Goma “……FDG P11, Uganda

Reasons for travel

Livelihood

Respondents reported trade in various items including food, livestock and household items across the border. They cited cheaper goods on the other side of the border as a motivation to travel to various markets across the border. In all three countries respondents reported travelling in search of employment opportunities, mainly casual
work on the other side of the border. Unique to the Cyanika border, communities travelled from Rwanda for mining activities in Uganda, Commercial sex and smuggling were also reported as reasons for travel.

“...People travel to Kisoro Market on the side of Uganda and Musanze Market on the side of Rwanda to buy and sell different things...” Participant 5 FDG Cyanika

“......People cross the border for business. They come and buy farm produce like bananas in markets in Bududa District (Uganda). Others go to Kampala and Jinja to buy items like clothes, shoes etc... some smuggle goods across the border....” Participant 5 FDG Lwakhakha

“.... travelers move to Mubende (Uganda) from Rwanda for gold mining ....Rwandese women who work in bars and also do sex work, others engage in escort services (prostitution at the border, either Cyanika PoE or Bunagana PoE....” P2, FGD Cyanika

Religion and culture

Respondents reported travel to attend various religious and cultural events including church services, pilgrimages, and cultural events such as circumcision.

“......Ugandans, Kenyans, and Congolese also visit Kibeho (Rwanda) for religious services in August yearly.... there are also a number of Rwandese who travel for the annual Martyrs Day celebrations in Namugongo (Uganda)....” Participant 2 FGD Cyanika

“......Ugandans also Move to Kenya for festivals of circumcision; they come from Sironko Manafwa, Butiru, Bududa all the way dancing into Kenya and go back to Uganda.....” KII Lwakhakha

Healthcare

According to respondents, individuals travel to seek healthcare services on the other side of the border. Reasons for this included more affordable care or even free and specialist services. Communities from Rwanda and Kenya visited Ugandan health facilities near the border for free health services. Respondents in Kenya reported seeking specialist services such as Ophthalmology services in Tororo District (Uganda). Respondents in Uganda reported travelling to Kenya for better maternal health, immunisation, and geriatric services for the elderly in Kenya. Travellers visited Uganda for traditional healing services.

“....The cost of health services is cheaper in Uganda. In Rwanda people complain that you need to pay for insurance to access medical services and without it they cross over to Uganda for free (Clare Nsenga Health Facility) / cheaper health services (other health facilities),....Rwandese come to Uganda for seeking health care services like antenatal care, delivery, post exposure prophylaxis because those services are free ....” Participant 8 FDG Cyanika

“......the Ugandan women come to Kenya for maternal services and antenatal services.... Kenya offers better packages for delivering mothers.... They always bring under five children because the health services in Kenya are free and they always give
mosquito nets to mothers. The mothers who usually cross for health services to Kenya are aged 30 to 40 years...” Participant 8 FDG Lwakhakha

Education
Education was one of the main reasons for travel. Respondents reported travelling for better and affordable education on the other side of the border of Uganda, Kenya, and Rwanda. School going children around the border attended both day and boarding schools. Day students cross the border daily because they have to return to their homes. Students from Rwanda cross to Uganda to attend schools in Kabale like Sainte Jerome Ndama and Kisoro Vision Secondary School in Kisoro, and tertiary institutions like Kampala International University. Ugandan students cross to Rwanda to attend Kigali Green Hill Academy.

“...The two schools I mentioned (Rise and Shine Primary School, and Kisoro Vision Secondary School) are where people from Rwanda send their children for education and they are boarding schools...” KII DHO Kisoro

“...people come from Kapchorwa District to Eldoret for school, other schools visited in Kenya by Ugandans in Lwakhakha border include; Lena Academy, Chepukui Primary and Secondary Schools and Namunde Primary School...” FGD P1 Lwakhakha

Social reasons for travel
People travel for social reasons including visiting family and friends and places of entertainment like bars, football fields on either side of the border. Some men have wives on both sides of the border (Kenya & Uganda) therefore they cross the border to visit relatives particularly in Bungoma and Mount Elgon.

“....Others cross to drink alcohol in Uganda during the market days. Kenyans come to Uganda to drink because they feel beer in Uganda is cheap and waragi (local spirit) is illegal in Kenya.....” KII DSFP

“..... people move from Lwakhakha village in Uganda to Lwakhakha in Kenya for football games at the Lwakhakha government football pitch....” FDG P4 Lwakhakha

Movement patterns across the border
Respondents reported using both official and unofficial PoEs for entry and exit for movement across the borders (Figure 1a&1b). The official PoEs on the Uganda-Rwanda border include Cyanika, Katuna, and Mirama Hills; while PoEs on the Uganda-Kenya border include Lwakhakha, Suam, Busia, and Malaba. There are over 20 porous routes along the Namusindwa-Bungoma border. The most frequently used illegal routes between Kenya and Uganda are Soko Mujinga, Daraja ya Mungu, Mundidi, Chepkube, Bukhontso and Soono. Porous routes on the Uganda-Rwanda border included Mgahinga, Kibaya, Kanyamucucu, Rugabano, and Gatwe among others.

Unofficial PoEs were preferred because they had less or no restrictions: like absence of health screening, immigration check points which created a stop and were a suitable environment for smuggling.

Some of the respondents were quoted saying:
“...People do not want to pass through the official border because they don’t want to be tested for COVID-19 due to its high cost, and they do not want to be checked…” FDG P3 Cyanika

“...the Lwakhakha border line is so porous; there are over 20 other routes through the border where people cross to either Uganda or Kenya because they do not have travel documents....” KII DHO Lwakhakha

“......Animals move from Nyagatare in Rwanda to Nyakabande animal market in Uganda while others go to Kyankwanzi and Nakasongola both in Uganda for grazing.” FGD P5 Cyanika

**Frequency of travel and duration of stay**

Respondents reported that the frequency of travel and duration of stay varied depending on the season and the reason for travel.

“...truck drivers cross the border daily; Women/mothers cross daily and weekly; Ugandans looking for employment cross weekly and monthly; Sudanese refugees cross weekly and monthly; Ugandans travelling for festivals of circumcision cross daily; Ugandan traders cross weekly every Tuesday and Saturday on market days....” FDG P1 Lwakhakha

“...truck drivers stay for about 6-12 hours as they load and wait for goods, 3-4 days for individual coming in to seek medical services and more depending on the illness being sought treatment for, one day for traders who are just buying goods and going back to their homes or across the border and family visits which also depend on wish...female sex workers who go there on every Friday and come...” FDG P2 Cyanika

**Volume of travellers and seasonality**

The volume of travellers varied across seasons and key events on the other side of the border such as market days. Differences were reported between the type point of entry used (official vs unofficial).

“...on average, 5000 persons pass through porous borders per market day, and around 2000 persons on non-market days for casual work....” FDG P1 Cyanika

“... From Uganda to Kenya movement is mainly January - April and June – August during cultivating and planting seasons... From Kenya to Uganda in December during cultural festivals...they generally move throughout the year but the months mentioned above have the most movements...”FDG P3 Lwakhakha

**Means of transport**

The participants that responded stated that travellers walk across, use motorcycles (“bodaboda”/ “tuktuk”), private and public vehicles and some are carried on the back to cross rivers.

“...People use bodaboda/ motorcycles, daily commuting buses/taxis and foot through unofficial borders to avoid health screening at the official PoE for fear of quarantine or isolation...at unofficial points people swim across the river or when the river is shallow, a guide holds the travellers hand and they are guided to walk through the river...” FDG P4 Lwakhakha
Response to public health events

Respondents on the Cyanika border reported reduced movements across the official border in fear of the EVD outbreak.

“.......Yes they have restricted movement of people. Few people move across the border. But truck drivers are allowed to move with restrictions because they transport goods from one country to another....” FGD P3, Cyanika

However, on the Lwakhakha border, movements were not restricted but health screening was taking place, a respondent from a KII reported that; “.... people have continued to go about their business but with caution because we are at the border and anything is bound to happen...”). Another responded reported reduced movements from Kenyan traders due to fear of the COVID-19 infection...” the movements have reduced among Kenyan traders due to fear of getting infected...” FGD P5, Lwakhakha

According to the border communities, EVD was perceived to be very far from them; in Kampala and Mubende with no reason for worry. They reported that EVD had not scared them as much as coronavirus did.

Points of interest and routes

Points of interest included markets, places of worship, health facilities, education facilities and recreational/accommodation facilities (Figure 1a& b).
Figure 1a: Common routes and places of interest, Lwakhakha border, November 2022
Human mobility across the border has the potential to accelerate the spread of infectious diseases across countries. We explored human mobility patterns along the Uganda-Kenya and Uganda-Rwanda borders during an ongoing Sudan EVD outbreak in Uganda in November 2022. Our findings indicated that communities travel across borders for livelihood, healthcare, religious, social, and cultural purposes. Key destination points of travellers included high-volume areas such as markets, health facilities, places of worship, entertainment/recreation venues, schools and busy towns in Uganda and Kenya with confirmed EVD cases. Travellers preferred to use unofficial Points of Entry where there’s no health screening and registration services.

Our findings indicated a potential for disease transmission with travel for healthcare and risky sexual behavior. Ill travelers could potentially spread disease as observed in previous outbreaks such as the COVID-19 pandemic (6). Risky behaviour such as commercial sex and alcohol consumption could increase sexually transmitted diseases such as HIV and syphilis at the border (7,8).

We found that travellers sought key health services such as maternal health, child health (immunisation), and HIV services. Travel for HIV services across the border
highlights the need of ensuring the HIV continuum of care around and across the border. Previous studies in the region have indicated the need for tailor made strategies to support linkages to HIV services across the border (9). Similar to a previous study by Ssengooba et al ease of crossing the border, services being free, and availability of quality services facilitated seeking of health services across the border (10).

Movement from Kenya and Rwanda to areas in Uganda where there was an ongoing EVD outbreak presented a potential risk of transmission of EVD to these countries. Communities reported frequent travel with relatively long periods of stay in Uganda presenting opportunities for more human-to-human interaction thus possible exposure to disease. Key destinations in Uganda included Mubende, Jinja, and Kampala, which had confirmed EVD cases (11). In both destinations with confirmed EVD cases and those without, travellers moved to high volume sites including markets, places of worship, and entertainment which are usually characterised by low surveillance and poor implementation of prevention measures. Further, the reported preference to use unofficial Points of Entry and with no health screening and registration likely led to missed opportunities for case detection. Additionally, there are missed opportunities collecting information from travellers such as travel history and contact information which are key for case or contact tracing investigations. Previous studies have highlighted how the use of unofficial points of entry led to the spread of EVD in the West Africa EVD outbreak (12).

We highlighted a possible risk of transmission of zoonotic diseases due to animal trade and consequently movement of animals across the border along Uganda-Rwanda. Along the Uganda-Rwanda border, there is a risk of transmission of brucellosis, rift valley fever given that these diseases are endemic in Southwestern Uganda (13,14).

**Study limitation**

In this study participants were selected based on their availability and willingness to participate in the study therefore their views maybe different from those of the broader community thus limiting generalizability of the findings.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, complex population movement and connectivity patterns were identified along the border. Communities travelled to high-volume service areas and busy towns in Kenya, Rwanda, Democratic Republic of Congo, and Uganda for various reasons. Travellers preferred to use unofficial points of entry where there is no health screening and registration services. Our findings identified key areas for enhanced community-based surveillance such as markets, key destinations and porous borders.

**Public health actions**

Following dissemination of our findings, border districts of the three countries resolved to revise their district emergency response and preparedness plans by strengthening community-based surveillance at key destinations points, unofficial and porous borders accounting for seasonality of travellers during the preparedness activities and
strengthening capacities of those health facilities. Plans are underway to provide integrated HIV services across border areas with the main focus of ensuring HIV continuity of care.
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