Shared Neural Dysregulations Mediate Dysregulated Empathy for Pain across Mental Disorders – a Pre-registered Neuroimaging Meta-Analysis
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Abstract

Importance: Pain empathy represents a fundamental building block of several social functions, which have been demonstrated to be impaired across various mental disorders by accumulating evidence from case-control functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies. However, it remains unclear whether the dysregulations are mediated by a shared transdiagnostic neural substrate.

Objective: Using coordinate-based, network-level, and behavioral meta-analyses to quantitatively determine transdiagnostic markers of altered pain empathy across mental disorders.

Data Sources: A literature search was conducted in PubMed, Web of Science, and Scopus encompassing the period until April 2023. Search terms included pain empathy, functional magnetic resonance imaging, and mental disorders.

Study Selection: Case-control neuroimaging studies of pain empathy and peak coordinates and effect sizes reflecting unbiased (whole-brain) pain empathic neural differences between patients and controls in standard stereotactic space were included.

Data Extraction and Synthesis: The present pre-registered meta-analysis adhered to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines. Article screening and data extraction were conducted by three independent reviewers, and data were pooled using the random-effects model.
Main Outcome(s) and Measure(s): Robust neural activity differences between patients with mental disorders and healthy controls during pain empathic processing, meta-analytic network level, and behavioral decoding of the identified regions.

Results: Patients with mental disorders exhibited increased pain empathic reactivity in the left anterior cingulate gyrus, adjacent medial prefrontal cortex, and right middle temporal gyrus, yet decreased activity in the left cerebellum IV/V and left middle occipital gyrus compared to controls. The hyperactive regions showed strong network-level interactions with the core default mode network (DMN) and were associated with affective and social cognitive domains.

Conclusions and Relevance: Pain-empathic alterations across mental disorders are underpinned by excessive empathic reactivity in brain systems involved in empathic distress and social processes. These findings point to a shared therapeutic target to normalize basal social dysfunctions in mental disorders.
1 Introduction

Pain empathy, defined as the capacity to understand and share the emotional and sensory aspects of pain felt by another individual,\(^1\) plays a pivotal role in various social functions. These include prosocial behavior,\(^2\) social bonding, and cooperation,\(^3,4\) as well as adaptive emotion regulation,\(^4\) dysfunctions in these domains have been observed in common mental disorders (see ref. \(^5\)). As a key capacity supporting social and self-related functions abnormalities in pain empathy may lead to social deficits as well as increased empathic distress in individuals with mental disorders.\(^6,7\) Together, these transdiagnostic deficits may extend beyond traditional diagnostic categories (see ref. \(^8\)). Emerging evidence from studies involving individuals with mental disorders and high levels of pathology-related traits indicate transdiagnostic pain empathic dysfunctions (see refs. \(^9-13\)), with most studies reporting increased empathic distress in individuals with depression, autism spectrum disorders (ASD), schizophrenia and elevated levels of alexithymia,\(^9,14-16\) although results remained inconsistent in ASD.\(^11,13\) These dysfunctions may serve as transdiagnostic mediators of social impairments. However, despite a growing body of evidence indicating altered pain empathic dysregulations across mental disorders, results on the underlying neural basis remained highly inconsistent.

The neural basis of pain empathy has been extensively examined using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) in healthy individuals.\(^17-20\) Together with conceptual perspectives, these studies have outlined a core network that underlies pain-empathic processing including the anterior and middle cingulate cortex (ACC, MCC), middle and anterior insula cortex (MIC, AIC) as well as prefrontal and sensorimotor regions.\(^21-25\) Within this network, the cingulate and insula primarily extract the affective dimension of pain and
interact with subcortical, motor, and prefrontal regions to initiate adaptive responses.\textsuperscript{26}

Based on an increasing number of studies reporting pain empathic deficits in mental disorders - which may encompass both, vicarious and appraisal-related components of empathy\textsuperscript{9,11,13} - case-control studies combined pain empathy paradigms with fMRI to determine the underlying neurofunctional alterations in depression, schizophrenia, or ASD.\textsuperscript{12,15,27,30} However, these studies commonly compared a single group of patients with controls (but see ref. \textsuperscript{12}) and findings with respect to transdiagnostic neural alterations remained inconclusive. Several studies reported that the ACC, middle temporal gyrus (MTG), and inferior frontal gyrus (IFG) exhibit stronger pain empathic reactivity in patients,\textsuperscript{15,29,31,32} while other studies reported no or opposite alterations.\textsuperscript{12,28} Moreover, results regarding the AIC - a region crucially involved in pain-empathic experience\textsuperscript{23,33} - remained inconsistent, with several studies reporting intact AIC pain-empathic reactivity in the context of cerebellar alterations in mental disorders.\textsuperscript{29,31,34}

The lack of convergent evidence for transdiagnostic neural alterations may reflect disorder-specific neurofunctional empathy dysregulations or methodological limitations inherent to the conventional case-control neuroimaging approach, including (1) limited sample size, (2) confinement to a single disorder, and (3) variations related to neuroimaging analysis methods.\textsuperscript{35-37} Meta-analytic neuroimaging approaches allow us to (partially) overcome these limitations in original studies and have been successfully employed to determine transdiagnostic neural alterations across mental disorders.\textsuperscript{38-40} Against this background, the present pre-registered meta-analysis capitalized on the growing number of original case-control fMRI studies on pain empathy and utilized a pre-registered meta-analytic strategy to determine whether pain empathy alterations across mental disorders are characterized by shared
neurofunctional changes. Based on the previous literature, we hypothesized shared alterations in core regions of the pain empathic network (insula and ACC) and regions underlying social processing (e.g., regions in the temporal cortex).^{17,25,41}

2 Methods

2.1 Literature Search

The meta-analysis adhered to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (Figure 1)^{42} and was preregistered on the Open Science Framework platform (https://osf.io/axt9k/). Literature search identified original case-control fMRI studies examining neural activation during pain-empathic processing (in response to stimuli showing noxious manipulation to limbs or painful facial expressions, for shared neural and behavioral effects see ref.^{25}) in mental disorders according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5). In the context of long-standing discussions on the role of alexithymia in pain-empathic alterations, studies on individuals with high levels of alexithymia were additionally included (see refs.^{10,43}). The search utilized three databases: PubMed, Web of Science, and Scopus. The search period included the period until April 2023.

The search strategies, initially designed for PubMed, combine MeSH (Medical Subject Headings) terms and keywords and were subsequently adapted and utilized with the other two databases (main focus was on pain empathy, functional magnetic resonance imaging, mental disorders; detailed terms, see Supplement 1). In addition, we screened published reviews and meta-analyses on related topics to identify further relevant literature.

Two independent reviewers (JX.H. and M.C.B.) assessed inclusion criteria, and conflicts
were resolved by a third reviewer (B.B.). Full-context articles were reviewed by two independent reviewers (JX.H. and M.C.B.). Data extraction was performed by two reviewers and conflicts and errors in data extraction were resolved by a third reviewer (B.B.).

2.2 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The initial exclusion of existing articles, including duplicates, was first performed using Endnote (X9 version; https://endnote.com/). We next carefully screened the literature according to standardized criteria and guidelines (see Supplement 1, Figure 1), leading to 11 studies with data from 525 individuals (296 patients, 229 controls) being included 12,15,27-32,34,44,45 (eTable 1), and one on conduct disorder (CD), two on alexithymia, two on schizophrenia, three on ASD, and three on major depressive disorder (MDD).

2.3 Voxel-Wise Meta-Analysis

The meta-analysis was performed using Seed-based d Mapping Permutation of Subject Images (SDM-PSI) (version 6.22, https://www.sdmproject.com). SDM combines recent advances and features of previous methods, such as activation likelihood estimate (ALE) and multilevel kernel density analysis (MKDA), and permits more detailed and accurate meta-analyses.46,47 For pooling of data with SDM-PSI, the coordinates in standard spaces and their respective t-values are collected. An anisotropic Gaussian kernel with a full-width at half maximum (FWHM) of 20mm and voxel size of 2mm was used.48 Due to the small amount of experimental data in this study, the imputation value was adjusted to 5.49 To balance type I and type II errors when determining neurofunctional brain alterations in mental disorders results were thresholded at $p < 0.0025$, uncorrected; $k > 10$ (in line with 50,51).46 Given that mental
disorders have different onset and peak ages\textsuperscript{52} and neurodevelopmental changes have been reported in the domain of pain empathy,\textsuperscript{53} age was included as a covariate (in line with \textsuperscript{54}). Visualization of brain activation was performed using MRicronGL (version 1.2.20, https://www.nitrc.org/projects/mricrongl).

2.4 Heterogeneity and Bias Testing

Heterogeneity in the present study was assessed using the $I^2$ index, with $I^2$ values of 25\%, 50\%, and 75\% representing low, moderate, and high heterogeneity respectively.\textsuperscript{55} Tests were carried out to check for publication bias. Egger's test was used to assess funnel plot asymmetry, with a $p$-value less than 0.05 indicating significant publication bias.\textsuperscript{56}

2.5 Functional Characterization of the Identified Regions at the Network and Behavioral Level

To investigate the brain networks associated with the identified regions, peak coordinates of the identified regions were entered into the Neurosynth database (https://www.neurosynth.org) to obtain non-thresholded resting-state functional connectivity maps (rs-FC maps) and meta-analytic co-activation maps of the relevant brain regions. Subsequently, we employed SPM12 (Welcome Department of Imaging Neuroscience, London, UK) to apply a threshold of $r > 0.2$ to the rs-FC maps and convert the thresholded maps into Z-scores. Finally, we merged the rs-FC thresholded maps with the meta-analytic co-activation maps to generate conjunction maps of the relevant regions of interest. Next, we employed the Anatomy toolbox to extract the labels of the conjunction maps.\textsuperscript{57} For further behavioral characterization of the identified regions, we implemented meta-
analytic behavioral profiling using the Brain Annotation Toolbox (BAT) in combination with AAL2 (Automated Anatomical Labeling, atlas). To this end, the peak coordinates of the identified regions were examined and the top 15 behavioral items and $p$-values were obtained (in descending order of $p$-values).\textsuperscript{58}

2.6 Meta-Regression Analyses

Meta-regression analysis was employed to assess the effect of subject characteristics on the obtained results, including gender, age, and treatment. Significant findings suggest that certain variables are related to the observed heterogeneity, indicating potential influence of unaccounted factors or confounding variables.

3 Results

3.1 Shared Pain Empathy Alterations across Mental Disorders

We imported 143 coordinates from 11 studies that included 525 participants (296 patients, 229 controls; mean [SD] age, 28.2 [9.1] years; eTable 1). The primary meta-analysis revealed that patients with mental disorders exhibited increased pain empathic activation in a cluster located in the left ACC ($x/y/z = 0/48/0$, $p < 0.0025$) and spreading into the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) and a second cluster located in the right MTG ($x/y/z = 60/-14/-12$, $p < 0.0025$) in comparison with controls (Table 1, Figure 2A). Reduced activation in the patients relative to controls was observed in the left cerebellum IV/V (CE_4/5, $x/y/z = -22/-48/-28$, $p < 0.0025$) and the left middle occipital gyrus (MOG, $x/y/z = -52/-70/2$, $p < 0.0025$) (Table 1, Figure 2B). Heterogeneity test results were all below 25%, indicating low heterogeneity across studies. Additionally, the results of Egger's test revealed $p$-values greater than 0.05, suggesting that the
included studies did not demonstrate evidence of a publication bias (Table 1).

3.2 Network-Level Functional Characterization via Neurosynth

The identified ACC region showed strong and overlapping co-activation and connectivity patterns with cortical midline regions including ACC, medial and orbitofrontal regions as well as the posterior cingulate (PCC) and precuneus (Figure 3A). The identified MTG region exhibited overlapping co-activation and connectivity patterns with bilateral middle temporal and (inferior) parietal regions as well as with cortical midline regions, i.e., medial prefrontal/cingulate regions and PCC and precuneus (Figure 3B). Examining the overlap between both networks revealed that both regions exhibited functional interactions with the cortical midline regions, including the orbitofrontal and medial PFC as well as posterior parietal regions (angular gyrus, PCC, precuneus, Figure 3C).

Examination of the connectivity and co-activation maps of the regions exhibiting decreased pain empathic reactivity in mental disorders (cerebellum, MOG) revealed separable networks. The cerebellum region exhibited regional-restricted bilateral connectivity with other (anterior) cerebellar regions (Figure 3D), while the MOG exhibited interactions with a bilateral network encompassing lateral occipital regions, and precentral and postcentral regions (Figure 3E).

3.3 Behavioral Characterization of the Identified Regions

Meta-analytic behavioral characterization indicated that the left ACC and right MTG are associated with negative affective (stress, depression, fear) and evaluative (self-referential, reward) processes or social cognitive (theory of mind, social) processes, respectively (Figure
In contrast, the left CE 4/5 and left MOG were found to be associated with motor and visuospatial processes (Figure 4C, D). Please note that smaller $p$-values indicate a higher degree of correlation with the relevant brain regions.

3.4 Meta-Regression Analyses: Gender, Age, and Treatment

Meta-regression analysis in SDM revealed no significant effects of gender, age, or medication on altered activity in the four meta-analytically identified brain regions.

4 Discussion

We capitalized on pre-registered neuroimaging meta-analyses to determine whether pain-empathic dysregulations share a common neurobiological substrate across mental disorders. To guide interpretation, the primary analyses were flanked by meta-analytic network-level and behavioral profiling of the identified regions. Quantitative analysis of eleven case-control fMRI studies with data from 296 patients and 229 controls revealed that individuals with mental disorders exhibited increased pain empathic reactivity in the left ventral ACC and adjacent mPFC, as well as in the contralateral MTG. Conversely, patients with mental disorders showed hyporeactivity in the left anterior cerebellum and MOG. Network-level decoding analyses further indicated that the hyperactive regions show a strong and convergent network-level interaction with cortical midline regions that together constitute the core default mode network (DMN) and were behaviorally characterized by stress, negative affect, and social cognition-related terms. In contrast, the hypoactive regions exhibited distinct and regional-specific connectivity profiles with the anterior cerebellum or occipital and sensory-motor regions, respectively. With reference to our hypotheses, these findings underscore that shared neural
dysregulations in the ACC and MTG may underlie pain-empathic alterations across mental disorders. In contrast to our hypothesis, no alterations in the insula cortex were observed, while the anterior cerebellum and MOG showed convergent hyporeactivity.

4.1 Shared Pain Empathic Neural Reactivity across Mental Disorders

Animal models suggest that the ACC plays a critical role in both, the experience and regulation of both, first-hand experience of pain and negative affective states as well as social transmission of pain and distress (see refs. 59-61). It represents the core module for the affective-motivational component of the "pain matrix" activated by various painful stimuli62 and plays an important role in the transition from acute to chronic pain.63-65 In human imaging studies, the ACC and insula have been consistently determined as core region of the pain empathic network, yet most meta-analyses identified a more dorsal part of the ACC (see ref. 17). In contrast to the dorsal part of the ACC, the ventral ACC (vACC) has been strongly involved in evaluating the emotional salience of a situation and to adaptively regulate the emotional experience (see refs. 66,67). Hyperactivity in this region may thus reflect a stronger distress-related pain-empathic reactivity or a stronger need to regulate the negative affective experience.22,68 Increasing number of reports indicated that individuals with mental disorders experience greater levels of empathic distress69,70 and the role of this region in the experience of pain-related emotional distress findings may reflect higher pain empathic reactivity. The vACC subregion of the ACC has moreover been found to play an important role in other related functions such as social cognitive decision-making, behavior encoding, and outcome prediction71,72 and can mediate the saliency of the external stimuli thus triggering pain empathic experiences.14
The ACC cluster also encompassed regions of the mPFC, a region with strong anatomical and functional connections with a wide range of subcortical brain regions. Both animal and human studies have underscored the significance of the ACC and the mPFC in emotional cognitive processing, social-emotional cognition (e.g., attachment, empathy, pro-social behavior), emotion regulation, decision-making, and pain. The convergent dysfunction affecting both of these systems aligns with the additional functional requirements of pain empathy, which, compared to the experience of direct pain require complex social-emotional computations to determine the experience of the other person and the integration of previous knowledge and contextual information. In line with this suggestion, previous studies reported that structural damage or aberrant functional changes in the ACC or mPFC can severely impair social behavior as well as adaptive emotion regulation in animals.

In addition to the ACC/mPFC, the MTG exhibited consistently elevated pain empathic reactivity across mental disorders. The MTG has been convergently involved in social-cognitive functions that may support the pain empathic process, including inferring and understanding the psychological states of others (Theory of Mind, ToM), contextual and sensory information integration, as well as pain perception and pain empathy. In this context, a stronger MTG recruitment may reflect an impaired ability to distinguish own and others’ distressing states, leading to an impaired ability to detach from the pain-empathic situation and in turn compromised social cognition.

The vACC/mPFC are integral components of the DMN, a network critically engaged in several functions supporting pain empathy, i.e., socio-affective processes such as self-reference, social cognition, and contextual integration (see refs. ). Both, the identified ACC/mPFC and
MTG regions exhibited common functional interactions with the core DMN suggesting a network-level involvement of the DMN in transdiagnostic pain empathic deficits.

On the other hand, abnormal pain empathy can also be counterproductive to mental disorders. May lead to hyperlinking of painful stimuli to related experiences of the individual with mental disorders, difficulty in shifting attention, inability to correctly differentiate between feelings of self and others, and increasing the experience of distress while reducing their inhibition of negative emotions, thus accelerating symptom deterioration.

Together, the pattern of hyperactivation of the ACC, mPFC, and MTG in patients with mental disorders and a convergent coupling of these regions with the DMN may reflect that excessive pain empathic distress responses and a failure to regulate these in the context of social processing dysfunctions may constitute a shared dysregulation mediating pain empathic deficits.

4.2 Abnormal Sensorimotor Functioning in Pain Empathy in Individuals with Mental Disorders

The cerebellum and MOG displayed decreased activity to pain empathic engagement across disorders. In line with our meta-analytic behavioral decoding, numerous previous studies have involved these regions in sensory and motor processes. The cerebellum is anatomically connected to the somatosensory cortex and has a similar topographic map of the body, which is considered to play a crucial role in somatosensory function and motor control. While we did not hypothesize alterations in these regions, some previous studies reported neurofunctional pain empathic alterations in these regions in autism spectrum disorders and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) indicating abnormalities in cerebellar organization and impaired motor executive control. The MOG is considered an important...
brain area for visual stimulus feature extraction and recognition, as well as body part perception and reduced activation of the MOG in patients with MDD and ASD has previously been interpreted to reflect impaired visual information processing abilities. Together with an early study suggesting that pain empathy related cerebellar activation may be related to sensory-motor representation and contribute to cognitive understanding of promoting pain empathy, these results may reflect early sensory-motor processing dysfunction in the patients. These patients may have abnormal perception and processing of pain empathic stimuli which may lead to a failure to accurately perceive and react to social information.

4.3 Limitations

The study has limitations that should be considered. Firstly, the number of studies on pain empathic processing using fMRI in patients with mental disorders in pain empathy was moderate. Secondly, the number of studies did not allow to employ sub-group analyses, e.g., with respect to larger symptomatic groups such as internalizing or externalizing disorders which have shown differential neural signatures (see ref. 107).

5 Conclusion

The present study suggests that pain-empathic alterations across disorders are neurally mediated by increased vACC/mPFC and MTG reactivity and decreased cerebellum and MOG reactivity in patients with mental disorders. This pattern may reflect dysregulated affective responses and social deficits that are further reflected in strong network-level interactions of these regions with the DMN. Targeting pain-empathic dysfunctions in mental disorders with tailored behavioral interventions or via brain modulation techniques that can enhance pain...
empathy$^{23,108}$ may lead to beneficial effects on social cognitive deficits in mental disorders.
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Figure 1. Flowchart of literature search and screening
Figure 2. Meta-analytically determined neurofunctional alterations during pain empathy in patients with mental disorders (A) Compared to controls, patients with mental disorders exhibited increased activation in the left anterior cingulate gyrus (activation spreading to the medial prefrontal cortex) and the right middle temporal gyrus. (B) Patients with mental disorders showed less activation in the left cerebellar area IV / V and the left middle occipital gyrus compared to controls. Results displayed at $p < 0.0025$, uncorrected; $k > 10$, coordinates reported in Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space.
Figure 3. Conjunction maps between the meta-analytic resting state (intrinsic) connectivity maps and the meta-analytic co-activation (task state) maps of regions that exhibited increased and decreased pain empathic reactivity in patients with mental disorders. (A) Conjunction maps for the identified anterior cingulate region (with peak coordinates at MNI 0, 48, 0). (B) Conjunction maps for the identified middle temporal gyrus region (with peak coordinates at MNI 60, -14, -12). (C) Overlap of the two large-scale networks identified in A and B (conjunction maps). (D) Conjunction maps for the identified cerebellum region (with peak coordinates at MNI -22, -48, -28). (E) Conjunction maps for the identified middle occipital gyrus region (with peak coordinates at MNI -52, -70, 2). (F) Overlap of the two large-scale networks identified in D and E (conjunction maps). Coordinates are in the MNI space.
Figure 4. Radar chart of the top 15 behavioral terms associated with the four peak coordinates. (A) Left anterior cingulate gyrus and relevant behavioral terms. (B) Right middle temporal gyrus and relevant behavioral terms. (C) Left cerebellar IV/VI and relevant behavioral terms. (D) Left middle occipital gyrus and relevant behavioral terms. Please note that a smaller $p$-value indicates a higher degree of correlation with the relevant brain regions.
## Tables

### Table 1. Detailed meta-analytic results, heterogeneity tests, and publication bias.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>Cluster</th>
<th>Voxel</th>
<th>MNI coordinates(x,y,z)a</th>
<th>SDM-Z</th>
<th>Voxel P b</th>
<th>Hedges'g</th>
<th>I²</th>
<th>Egger test P c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient &gt; Control</td>
<td>Anterior Cingulate, Left (ACC.L)</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.48,0</td>
<td>3.510</td>
<td>0.000224233</td>
<td>0.392553</td>
<td>0.355083</td>
<td>0.931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Middle Temporal Gyrus, Right (MTG.R)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>60, -14, -12</td>
<td>3.699</td>
<td>0.000108063</td>
<td>0.414338</td>
<td>0.276461</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient &lt; Control</td>
<td>Cerebellum IV/V, Left (CE_4_5)</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>-22, -48, -28</td>
<td>-3.797</td>
<td>0.000073254</td>
<td>-0.466374</td>
<td>2.347702</td>
<td>0.960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Middle Occipital Gyrus, Left (MOG.L)</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>-52, -70,2</td>
<td>-4.246</td>
<td>0.000010848</td>
<td>-0.490509</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.920</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a MNI = Montreal Neurological Institute space
*b Voxel p < 0.0025 (uncorrected), significant
*c Egger test p < 0.05, significant.