Standardising the structure of routinely collected data for childhood ocular inflammation: a SNOMED-CT mapping project
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Abstract

Background and aims

Multicentre, longitudinal research methods are usually necessary for rare disease research. SNOMED CT (Systematized Nomenclature of Medicine Clinical Terms), the comprehensive and standardized terminology system can be used to enhance the interoperability of data collected across different settings. Childhood uveitis is a rare, blinding disorder, with uncertainties around disease distribution and outcome. To enhance the interoperability of uveitis data, we created a SNOMED CT coded dataset derived from a core clinical dataset.

Methods

Data elements were selected from a published list developed through a consensus exercise undertaken by a national disease research group, the United Kingdom’s Paediatric Ocular Inflammatory Group (POIG). Items were organised using a three level priority score, based on the National Institute for Health (NIH) model for common data elements, and grouped using the Heath Level 7 (HL7) standard "Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources" (FHIR) generic data structure, and then mapped across to the SNOMED CT codes.

Results

From the POIG consensus exercise, 160 elements were selected: 89 as high priority items, with 35 as medium and 29 as low priority items. These elements, and response items where appropriate, were grouped into Patient (n= 13 items), Observation (n= 63 items), Condition (n= 20 items), Procedure (n= 44 items), Medication (n= 18 items). There were four items for which a SNOMED CT ID could not be found.

Conclusion

Through this mapping activity, using international coding and terminologies, we have created a dataset for childhood onset uveitis care and research. This dataset provides a standardised vocabulary for describing clinical concepts, with a semantic interoperability which will support the exchange of data across different systems, organizations, and international or supranational groups. Future
expansion of the dataset will be needed to ensure coverage of international concepts and care structures.

Author summary

Through a mapping activity, in which data items from a consensus developed core clinical dataset were mapped across to SNOMED CT terminologies, we have created a dataset for childhood onset uveitis care and research. This dataset provides a standardised vocabulary for describing clinical concepts, with a semantic interoperability which will support the exchange of data across different systems, organizations, and international or supranational groups.

Introduction

Childhood uveitis comprises a group of individually rare inflammatory disorders which exhibit different clinical ocular and systemic phenotypes,(1) and confer a life-long risk of risk of visual morbidity.(2,3) In up to 25% of children with uveitis, a degree of permanent vision loss will affect at least one eye,(4) and the disease, and attendant risk of further visual loss, often persists into mid-adulthood.(5) Prompt diagnosis and prompt disease control are key in preventing uveitic blindness. Achieving this control necessitates a combination of topical and systemic corticosteroids, systemic disease-modifying immunomodulatory agents, and biologic therapies, with agents typically chosen in a trial and error approach. Alongside the need to personalise therapeutic approach, other evidence gaps in the field include aetiology, with the majority of cases being idiopathic,(6) disease burden, with studies reporting a 20-fold difference in incidence rates,(6–8) and the uncertainty around the determinants of long term positive and negative eye and global health outcomes.(9,10)

Routinely collected clinical data have been harnessed across different disease areas to enable observational research on disease incidence,(11) prognostication,(12) and to support interventional studies.(13) For rare diseases, where multi-centre work is needed to reach the sample sizes sufficient to undertake such research, the re-use of routinely collected clinical data is dependent on the
interoperability of data extracted across different sites. Several groups have developed approaches and resources with the aim of defining standardized datasets for the systematic collection of uveitis-related information. However, while these represent important advances, more is needed to support interoperability, specifically, the common data elements within the developed datasets must be supported by coding derived from a clearly structured terminology standard.

The SNOMED CT (Systematized Nomenclature of Medicine Clinical Terms) is a comprehensive and standardized terminology system widely used in healthcare and research. To enhance the interoperability of uveitis data, we created a SNOMED CT coded dataset derived from a core clinical dataset, developed in collaboration with the United Kingdom’s Paediatric Ocular Inflammation Group (POIG). We present an overview of the dataset and its development process.

**Methods**

We undertook the development of a dataset which used an international terminology (SNOMED CT) to support interoperability.

**Selection of data elements**

The POIG core clinical dataset for non-infectious uveitis was used as a source of data elements for this mapping exercise. POIG is a multi-disciplinary group formed of clinicians who manage children with complex inflammatory disorders, with a central aim of delivering the evidence base needed to support the care of these children, and supporting the translation of that evidence base into changes in policy and practice. The group developed, through Delphi consensus, as reported elsewhere, a consensus dataset for the routine collection of data for children diagnosed with uveitis. This dataset includes common data items (CDEs) on demographic details, pathways to diagnosis, ocular disease phenotype, activity level and severity scores, the presence, type and severity of co-existent systemic disease, clinical interventions, and laboratory and imaging findings. Those data items which formed the POIG core clinical dataset (having been agreed upon through the consensus exercise) were
selected. Other items, which had been suggested within the ‘long-list’ developed by the Delphi group, were also selected (table 1). These were judged to be ‘low priority’ items, versus the ‘high priority’ items comprising the core clinical dataset. Those items which were agreed upon by the majority of the Delphi group, but which had not reached the threshold for formal inclusion in the core clinical dataset (table 1), were coded as ‘moderate priority’. This three level prioritisation scoring was based on the National Institute for Health (NIH) model for common data elements (CDEs) (table 1). (20)
### Table 1 Prioritisation of data elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>NIH classification(20)</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>General core/disease core*</td>
<td>Items included in the core childhood uveitis clinical dataset following consensus decision by Delphi group, ie inclusion supported by &gt;95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Supplemental—highly recommended</td>
<td>Items supported by majority of Delphi group (&gt;50%) but not reaching consensus threshold for inclusion in core dataset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Supplemental or Exploratory</td>
<td>Items supported by less than or equal to 50% of the Delphi group</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Standardisation

The selected data elements were mapped to the SNOMED CT terminologies. The June 2022 release of SNOMED CT, which has over 350K active concepts, each with single or multiple synonymous human readable descriptions, was used. These concepts are interrelated in order to provide additional definition or context, with relationships being either hierarchical (eg with “clinical finding” being higher in a hierarchical relationship with “visual acuity”) or non-hierarchical (e.g. “associated findings”, or with “viral uveitis” having a defining relationship between “is a type” and “infective uveitis”).

In order to further support interoperability, two additional international standards and terminologies were used. Diagnoses were mapped to the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 10th revision (ICD10). Terms were grouped using the Heath Level 7 (HL7) standard "Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources" (FHIR) generic data structures into Patient, Observation, Condition, Procedure, Medication. (21)

### Results
From the POIG consensus exercise, 160 elements were selected: 89 as high priority items, with 35 as medium and 29 as low priority items. These elements, and response items where appropriate, were grouped into Patient (n= 13 items), Observation (n= 63 items), Condition (n= 20 items), Procedure (n= 44 items), Medication (n= 18 items). SNOMED CT provided IDs which differentiated between concepts such as procedures and findings, and enables anchoring in eye laterality. For example, separate codes were identified for the capture of related but different concepts such as ‘measurement of visual acuity’ (procedure), ‘measured distance visual acuity’ (finding), ‘measured visual acuity in right eye’ (finding), ‘measured visual acuity in left eye’ (finding).

There were four items for which a SNOMED CT ID could not be found: (i) laser photometry or laser flare photometry, a procedure in which light scatter is measured to quantify anterior ocular chamber inflammation; (ii) laser flare photometry results, measured in photos per microseconds; vitreous haze grade, a measure of the degree of inflammatory chance seen in the vitreous cavity of the eye using obscuration of retinal details seen; and (iv) macular thickness as measured using optical coherence tomography. Data elements, response options and associated values can be found via an online repository (DOI: 10.5522/04/24434278).

Discussion

Through this mapping activity, using international coding and terminologies, we have created a dataset for childhood onset uveitis care and research. This dataset provides a standardised vocabulary for describing clinical concepts, with a semantic interoperability which will support the exchange of data across different systems, organizations, and international or supranational groups.

Clinical research into rare disorders, such as childhood uveitis, faces the common challenge of securing sample sizes sufficiently large as to support robust statistical analysis. This challenge is typically addressed through multi-centre studies.(14) The sharing and harmonisation of data across different centres is dependent on interoperability of collected datasets, with the aim of facilitating
routine and standardized data collection. This is particularly timely for childhood uveitis. Although a rare condition, there is evidence that previous studies have underestimated disease incidence. Dependent on study setting and year of study, disease incidence estimates now range from 1 to 101 cases per 100,000 children,(6,8) with evidence of rising incidence,(6) and evidence of a higher than expected incidence during and following the COVID-19 pandemic.(22,23) However, the potential benefit of this standardised dataset go beyond this rare disease. The items within the UK’s Royal College of Ophthalmologists recommended dataset for clinical care for adult inflammatory disease(17) are represented within the data items selected for mapping, having been used in the original consensus work undertaken by the Paediatric Ocular Inflammation Group (POIG). This demonstrates the utility of this dataset for adult onset disease. Adult uveitis is one of the commonest reasons for attendance at eye emergency services, and also an important cause of working age blindness.(2)

The potential beneficial impact of this dataset on patient care and research is dependent on its use within clinical and research communities. Facilitators for the future implementation of the dataset include the involvement of a national organisation, specifically POIG, in its development, the harmonisation with the RCOphth’s national dataset, the call for such activities from international organisations including the disease specific Multinational Interdisciplinary Working Group for Uveitis in Childhood (MIWGUC),(16) and the broader work underway by the Observational Medical Outcomes Partnership (OMOP).(24–26) Future expansion of the dataset will be needed to ensure coverage of international concepts and care structures.

In summary, this dataset provide a common language for describing clinical information for childhood uveitis. This semantic interoperability will support data re-use, meaningful data storage, and enable accurate and effective communication between healthcare professionals, researchers, and healthcare information technology systems.
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