Examining Differences in the Genetic and Functional Architecture of ADHD Diagnosed in Childhood and Adulthood
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ABSTRACT

Background:
Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is a neurodevelopmental disorder that is diagnosed when symptoms onset in childhood. Here we investigate whether individuals who are diagnosed as children differ from those who are diagnosed in adulthood with respect to shared and unique architecture at the genome-wide, functional, and gene expression level of analysis.

Methods:
We utilize Genomic SEM to investigate the differences in genetic correlations of childhood and adulthood diagnosed ADHD with 98 behavioral, psychiatric, cognitive, and health outcomes. We go on to apply Stratified Genomic SEM and transcriptome-wide SEM (T-SEM) to identify functional annotations and patterns of gene expression associated with genetic risk sharing or divergence across the ADHD subgroups.

Results:
Relative to the childhood subgroup, adulthood diagnosed ADHD exhibited a significantly larger negative \( r_g \) with educational attainment, the noncognitive skills of educational attainment, and age at first sexual intercourse. We also observe a larger positive \( r_g \) for adulthood diagnosed ADHD with major depression, suicidal ideation, loneliness, and a latent internalizing factor. At the functional and gene expression levels, Stratified Genomic SEM and T-SEM analyses revealed three annotations and 22 genes, respectively, that were significantly associated with genetic risk sharing across the subtypes.

Conclusion:
This project demonstrates that ADHD diagnosed later in life shows much stronger genetic overlap with internalizing disorders and related traits, indicating the potential clinical relevance of distinguishing these subgroups. Given shared clinical features across internalizing disorders and ADHD (e.g., difficulty concentrating) one interpretation is that the internalizing spectrum is often misdiagnosed as ADHD in adulthood.
INTRODUCTION

ADHD is a neurodevelopmental disorder that affects ~5% of the population and is characterized by a persistent pattern of inattention and/or hyperactivity and impulsivity\(^1\). Although an ADHD diagnosis can be given at any age, symptoms must onset before the age of 12 to meet diagnostic criteria. Given symptoms with approximately equivalent onset in childhood, age at ADHD diagnosis should theoretically not reflect a relevant clinical distinction. Yet, whether ADHD diagnosed later in life is differentially associated with outcomes and predictors remains a largely open question. For a disorder estimated to be ~80% heritable\(^2\), genetic tools offer an exciting opportunity to better understand whether age at diagnosis reflects a relevant clinical and etiological specifier.

Multiple lines of evidence support a model of genetic divergence for ADHD diagnosed at different points in development. For example, ADHD diagnosed in childhood may reflect symptoms that are more severe and thereby clinically detectable at a younger age. The prediction here might be greater genetic overlap with childhood ADHD and clinical markers of severity (e.g., suicide attempts). Poor retrospective recall of childhood symptoms in adulthood\(^3\) may also result in genetic divergence due to consequent misdiagnosis. Indeed, recall bias coupled with shared symptoms across ADHD and other psychiatric disorders has led others to hypothesize that misdiagnosis is likely to be higher for ADHD diagnosed in adulthood.\(^4\)

The current study applied multivariate genomic tools to examine whether age at ADHD diagnosis demarcates different etiological boundaries and clinical distinctions at multiple levels of analyses. At the genome-wide level, we compared genetic overlap across child and adult diagnosed ADHD with psychiatric, cognitive, health, social, and behavioral outcomes. At the functional and gene expression level, we examined whether different classes of genetic variants (e.g., evolutionarily conserved) or patterns of gene expressions are associated with genetic risk sharing or uniqueness across subgroups. These findings collectively offer critical insight into the shared and unique genetic architecture across different ages of diagnosis.
METHODS AND MATERIALS

Phenotype selection

*ADHD Stratified by Age at Diagnosis.* The childhood and adulthood diagnosis ADHD GWAS were taken from the original paper by Rajagopal et al. and consist of a Danish ADHD case/control sample generated by iPSYCH. This sample included 14,878 childhood ADHD cases, 6,961 adulthood ADHD cases, and 38,303 controls that were randomly selected from the same nationwide birth cohort. The persistent ADHD phenotype (1,473 cases), reflecting individuals diagnosed before 18 that continued to show symptoms in adulthood, was excluded from the primary analyses as the Z-statistic for the SNP-based heritabilities was below the recommended cut-off for producing interpretable genetic correlation estimates. The same set of controls was used for all subgroup ADHD GWAS to maintain a consistent reference point across subgroups.

Individuals were defined as ADHD cases if they had an ADHD diagnosis according to the ICD-10 criteria (F90.0 diagnosis code). Individuals were defined as being diagnosed in childhood if they were under the age of 18 at the time of diagnosis and as adulthood diagnosed if they were 18 years or older. Persistent ADHD was excluded from the analyses because the genetic correlations ($r_g$) with childhood and adulthood diagnosed ADHD were high enough that we would have lacked the power to detect a genetic difference. Information on the genotyping, QC and GWAS procedures of the ADHD dataset can be found in the original article by Rajagopal et al.

*External Traits.* We selected a broad range of phenotypes to examine patterns of genetic overlap with childhood and adulthood diagnosed ADHD from the six broad domains of: psychiatric, cognitive, health, risk taking, social relationship, and substance use outcomes. For each phenotype, we selected the most well-powered, publicly available GWAS of unrelated individuals of European ancestry. A comprehensive list of the traits, including information such as GWAS sample size, can be found in Table S1. Details about dataset quality control can also be found in the Online Supplement.

Genomic Structural Equation Modeling

We applied Genomic SEM to identify genetic correlations with external traits that were significantly different between the ADHD subgroups. This was achieved by first estimating the genetic correlations across traits using a multivariable version of LD-score regression. We then estimated
models in which the genetic correlation across the ADHD subgroups was freely estimated while the genetic correlations with an external trait were fixed to equality across the subgroups (Fig. S1). This produced a model with 1 degree of freedom ($df$), such that the model $\chi^2$ for this model specification reflects the level of model misfit resulting from the equality constraint. Thus, significant model $\chi^2$ estimates from this model represent external traits with significantly different levels of genetic overlap across the ADHD subgroups. A strict Bonferroni correction was used to correct for multiple testing by dividing the standard significance threshold of $p < .05$ by 98 traits, yielding a significance threshold of $p < 5.10 \times 10^{-4}$.

The primary analyses presented in the main text reflect the model $\chi^2$ estimates when using the genetic correlation and sampling correlation matrix (the standardized matrix of sampling dependencies) as input. Results using unstandardized estimates with the genetic covariance and sampling covariance matrix as input, can be found in Table S3.

**Internalizing Factor Follow-up Model**

Many external traits that exhibited significantly stronger genetic correlations with adulthood diagnosed ADHD reflect traits in the internalizing domain. Given this trend, we conducted a set of follow-up analyses that included an internalizing factor defined by major depressive disorder (MDD), anxiety (ANX), and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). We used this internalizing factor to first confirm that the pattern of larger genetic overlap with adulthood diagnosed ADHD we observe for individual internalizing traits also holds for this latent internalizing factor (Fig. S2). To further clarify this one set of results we ran two follow-up models testing whether the genetic correlation between childhood or adulthood diagnosed ADHD with internalizing disorders could be constrained to be equal to that observed between persistent ADHD and internalizing.

We went on to examine whether the significant differences between childhood and adulthood diagnosed ADHD with other external traits is accounted for by the genetic overlap between adulthood diagnosed ADHD and the internalizing space. To this end, we specified a model where this same internalizing factor predicted both childhood and adulthood diagnosed ADHD and the external traits to estimate differences in genetic overlap (applying a Bonferroni correction) when removing shared variance with the internalizing factor (Fig. S3).
Stratified Genomic SEM

We utilized Stratified Genomic SEM\(^\text{10}\) to identify differential enrichment within functional annotations for childhood and adulthood diagnosed ADHD as well as the functional annotations that are common to both. Functional annotations refer to genetic variants grouped together based on some shared characteristic, such as the tissue types or neuronal subtypes where the variants are expressed. We began by running multivariable Stratified LDSC (S-LDSC)\(^\text{11}\) to obtain genetic covariance estimates within each annotation. We specifically included 55 annotations from the 1000 Genomes Phase 3 BaselineLD Version 2.2\(^\text{12}\), along with neuronal and brain tissue annotations from GTEx\(^\text{13}\), DEPICT\(^\text{14}\), gnomAD\(^\text{15}\), and Roadmap\(^\text{16}\). We then used Genomic SEM’s enrich function to estimate enrichment at the level of a general ADHD factor defined by both subgroups and for the residual genetic variance unique to childhood and adulthood diagnosed ADHD. The loadings on the ADHD factor were constrained to be equal to ensure model identification. We applied a Bonferroni corrected significance threshold for 172 annotations * three phenotypes (childhood diagnosed ADHD, adulthood diagnosed ADHD and the factor of both) of \(p < 9.69 \times 10^{-5}\).

Transcriptome-wide SEM

Transcriptome-wide SEM (T-SEM)\(^\text{17}\) was used to identify genes whose expression was associated with genetic risk sharing or uniqueness across the ADHD subgroups. We began by applying FUSION\(^\text{18}\) to estimate univariate TWAS for each subgroup. FUSION imputes the relationship between gene expression and a trait of interest using TWAS weights that reflect the associations between genotypes and gene expression levels from an external sample. We specifically included 16 sets of weights reflecting (i) 13 brain tissue weights from the Genotype-Tissue Expression project (GTEx v8)\(^\text{19}\), (ii) two dorsolateral prefrontal cortex weights from the CommonMind Consortium (CMC)\(^\text{20}\), and (iii) one set of prefrontal cortex weights from PsychEncode.\(^\text{21}\) This resulted in 73,839 expression-imputed genes across the different tissues.

The gene expression estimates from the TWAS output were then combined with the LDSC covariance matrix to estimate the effect of gene expression on a general ADHD factor. In addition, we estimated the \(Q_{\text{Gene}}\) heterogeneity statistic, which pulls out genes that do not conform to the factor model (Fig. S4). In the context of the current analyses, this identifies genes whose expression is likely to be
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unique to either child or adult diagnosed ADHD. Hits for $Q_{\text{Gene}}$ were defined using the Bonferroni corrected threshold of $p < 6.77 \times 10^{-7}$. Hits on the ADHD factor were defined using the same significance threshold and additionally excluded any $Q_{\text{Gene}}$ hits. We also report univariate TWAS results for adulthood and childhood diagnosed ADHD separately using the same Bonferroni corrected significance threshold. We additionally conducted follow-up analyses for gene sets significantly associated with the ADHD factor using an over-representation analysis performed with the WebGestalt R package. However, these analyses did not identify any significantly overlapping gene sets.

RESULTS

Genomic SEM Reveals Divergent Genetic Correlations

The genetic correlation ($r_g$) across childhood and adulthood diagnosed ADHD was 0.76 ($SE = 0.06$), which was significantly different from 0 and 1, thereby indicating both shared and unique genetic architecture across these subgroups. Consistent with this shared genetic architecture, we observed several external traits that were sizably and significantly associated with both subgroups, including migraines, aggression, and smoking outcomes (Fig. S5; also see Fig. S6 and S7 for depiction of top 15 genetic correlations with adult and childhood diagnosed ADHD, respectively). In addition, we did not observe significant differences for medical, substance use traits or circadian rhythms (Fig. S8).

In line with genetic divergence across these subgroups, we identified seven external traits with significantly different genetic correlations for childhood and adulthood diagnosed ADHD (Fig. 1; standardized results in Table S2; unstandardized results in Table S3). These seven traits included the aggregate ADHD GWAS, which uses both childhood and adulthood diagnosed subgroups. We found that the genetic signal for this combined ADHD GWAS most strongly overlapped with childhood diagnosed ADHD ($r_{g,\text{Child}} = 0.95$ [$SE = 0.05$]) relative to adulthood diagnosed ($r_{g,\text{Adult}} = 0.87$; $[SE = 0.06]$; $p_{\text{difference}} = 4.22 \times 10^{-4}$).

Within the cognitive domain, we observed larger negative correlations with educational attainment$^{23}$ for adulthood diagnosed ADHD ($r_{g,\text{Adult}} = -0.63$ [$0.04$]) relative to childhood diagnosed ($r_{g,\text{Child}} = -0.48$ [0.03]; $p_{\text{difference}} = 7.12 \times 10^{-9}$). Noncognitive skills of EA, reflecting the genetic component of EA that does not overlap with cognitive performance$^{24}$, showed a significantly stronger negative genetic association with adulthood diagnosed ADHD ($r_{g,\text{Adult}} = -0.49$ [0.04]) relative to
childhood diagnosed \((r_{g,\text{Child}} = -0.31 [0.03]; p_{\text{difference}} = 2.21 \times 10^{-7})\). A childhood diagnosis was also more associated with faster reaction time\(^{25} \left( r_{g,\text{Adult}} = -0.06 [0.04] \text{ and } r_{g,\text{Child}} = 0.12 [0.04], p_{\text{difference}} = 5.72 \times 10^{-6} \right)\). However, we do not observe significant differences for an overall cognitive ability factor (i.e., \(g\)-factor)\(^{25}\) defined by seven cognitive outcomes from UKB.

Within the risk-taking domain, age at first sexual intercourse\(^{26}\) showed a stronger negative relationship with adulthood diagnosed ADHD \((r_{g,\text{Adult}} = -0.68 [0.04])\) than childhood diagnosed ADHD \((r_{g,\text{Child}} = -0.51 [0.03]; p_{\text{difference}} = 1.04 \times 10^{-6})\). The psychiatric traits and correlates revealed that MDD\(^{7}\) had a stronger positive genetic correlation with adulthood diagnosed ADHD \((r_{g,\text{Adult}} = 0.58 [0.04])\) relative to childhood diagnosed \((r_{g,\text{Child}} = 0.38 [0.03]; p_{\text{difference}} = 6.08 \times 10^{-8})\). Similarly, the genetic signal for suicide attempts\(^{27}\) more strongly overlapped with adulthood diagnosed ADHD \((r_{g,\text{Adult}} = 0.74 [0.06])\) than childhood diagnosed \((r_{g,\text{Child}} = 0.46 [0.05]; p_{\text{difference}} = 2.88 \times 10^{-7})\). Finally, we highlight that in the interpersonal domain adulthood diagnosed ADHD \((r_{g,\text{Adult}} = 0.49 [0.05])\) was more strongly associated with loneliness\(^{28}\) than childhood diagnosed ADHD \((r_{g,\text{Child}} = 0.29 [0.04]; p_{\text{difference}} = 2.55 \times 10^{-6})\). Results for these last three traits (loneliness, suicide attempts, and MDD) can collectively be conceptualized as indexing higher levels of genetic overlap for adult diagnosed ADHD with the internalizing space. Moreover, the genetic signal for MDD, ANX, and PTSD are all strongly overlapping. We went on to leverage the ability of Genomic SEM to model latent, genomic risk factors to examine genetic overlap with an internalizing factor defined by these three disorders.

**Adulthood diagnosed ADHD is More Strongly Associated with Internalizing**

The \(\chi^2\) difference test revealed that the significantly larger genetic overlap with adulthood diagnosed ADHD we observed for individual internalizing traits also held for this latent internalizing factor \((r_{g,\text{Adult}} = 0.64 [0.05] \text{ and } r_{g,\text{Child}} = 0.44 [0.04], p_{\text{difference}} = 5.67 \times 10^{-7}; \text{ Fig. 2})\). Although the \(\chi^2\) difference test was not significant for the comparisons including the persistent ADHD phenotype with the child \((p_{\text{difference}} = 9.64 \times 10^{-1})\) or adult subgroup \((p_{\text{difference}} = 2.41 \times 10^{-3})\), the point estimate was notably more similar to the adult phenotype \((r_{g,\text{Persistent}} = 0.65 [0.05]; \text{ Fig. S9})\). We went on to examine whether the significant differences between childhood and adulthood diagnosed ADHD with other external traits is accounted for by the genetic overlap between adulthood diagnosed ADHD and this same internalizing factor. When controlling for shared genetic variance with internalizing, seven traits
were found to have significantly different levels of genetic overlap across childhood and adulthood diagnosed ADHD (Table S4). This included five of the traits (e.g., EA) that were identified in the model without internalizing, including an even larger difference between child and adult ADHD with general ADHD. The two new traits that emerged were movement from 10pm – 11pm and ASD,\textsuperscript{29} which evinced stronger association with childhood diagnosed ADHD. Notably, the genetic correlation with ASD and adulthood diagnosed ADHD when controlling for internalizing was near 0 ($r_{g_{\text{Adult}}} < 0.01 \ [0.07]$; \textbf{Fig. 3}). Conversely, the significant differences in genetic correlations with adulthood and childhood diagnosed ADHD in suicidal behavior ($r_{g_{\text{Adult}}} = 0.28 \ [0.07]$ and $r_{g_{\text{Child}}} = 0.14 \ [0.05]$; \textbf{Fig. 3}) and loneliness ($r_{g_{\text{Adult}}} = 0.00 \ [0.05]$ and $r_{g_{\text{Child}}} = -0.05 \ [0.04]$; \textbf{Fig. 3}) became non-significant after accounting for the overlap with the internalizing disorders factor (\textbf{Fig. 3}).

\textbf{Stratified Genomic SEM Identifies Annotations Enriched for Shared Risk}

Stratified Genomic SEM identified three annotations that were significantly enriched at the level of a general ADHD factor. (\textbf{Fig. 4} and Table S5). This included Fetal Female Brain DNase ($p = 1.07 \times 10^{-7}$), Conserved Primate ($p = 2.01 \times 10^{-5}$), and Fetal Male Brain H3K4me1 ($p = 6.06 \times 10^{-5}$). We found no significant enrichment for the residual genetic variance unique to ADHD\textsubscript{child} or ADHD\textsubscript{adult}.

\textbf{T-SEM Pinpoints Genes Associated with General ADHD}

Univariate TWAS results revealed two genes whose expression was significantly associated with ADHD diagnosed in adulthood and 19 genes for ADHD diagnosed in childhood (Tables S8 and S9). T-SEM then identified 22 unique genes whose expression was associated with a general ADHD factor (\textbf{Fig. 5} and Table S11). This included 15 genes that were novel relative to the univariate TWAS of the subgroups and 11 genes that were novel relative to a TWAS of general ADHD that does not distinguish between the different life stages at which ADHD was diagnosed (Table S12). No significant Q\textsubscript{Gene} hits were identified (Table S10 for top Q\textsubscript{Gene} results).

\textbf{DISCUSSION}

The current study revealed a mixture of convergent and divergent genetic signal in ADHD diagnosed in adulthood and childhood. At the level of genetic overlap with external correlates the primary differences were identified for cognitive and internalizing outcomes. Within the cognitive domain, ADHD diagnosed in adulthood showed a stronger negative association with educational...
attainment and non-cognitive skills of educational attainment. This divergent relationship did not hold for a range of cognitive traits, including for a $g$-factor of general intelligence. The collective pattern of findings then indicates that adult diagnosed ADHD genetically overlaps specifically with the noncognitive aspects that lead to success in school settings.

Within the internalizing space, we show that adulthood diagnosed ADHD has a sizeable and more positive association with MDD, suicidal behavior, loneliness, and a latent internalizing factor defined by MDD, PTSD, and ANX. One possible interpretation of this pattern of findings is that adult diagnosed ADHD can, in some instances, reflect a misdiagnosis that occurs at higher rates than in children. This is supported by the fact that the internalizing space shares overlapping symptoms, including difficulty concentrating and restlessness. An alternative interpretation is that ADHD diagnosed in adulthood is not a misdiagnosis, but rather is more comorbid with other internalizing disorders. For example, it could be that ADHD diagnosed in adulthood is more disruptive to daily living or the absence of a diagnosis until that later life stage increases risk for other disorders. In support of this, adults with ADHD often display high rates of general mental health symptoms, such as anxiety and depression. However, we observe such high levels of genetic overlap despite the fact that the genetic overlap is estimated from separate samples of individuals with internalizing disorders. Thus, some causal link between ADHD and internalizing is unlikely to explain the bulk of our findings as this would require ADHD to be one of the primary risk factors for internalizing (or vice versa) to be reflected in estimates of genetic overlap from independent participant samples.

Follow-up models accounting for the shared variance with an internalizing factor provided two additional sets of findings for interpreting the emergent etiological picture. First, we find that the internalizing factor explains the larger genetic overlap between adult diagnosed ADHD and two clinical correlates of internalizing: loneliness and suicidal behavior. Second, childhood diagnosed ADHD showed a much stronger association with both ADHD and ASD than adult diagnosed ADHD when controlling for shared variance with internalizing. Moreover, the genetic correlation with adult diagnosed and ASD was estimated near 0. This indicates that the neurodevelopmental signal captured in the adult diagnosed ADHD GWAS is greatly diminished, or entirely absent, when removing shared.
genetic variance with internalizing, further supporting a hypothesis of increased levels of misdiagnosis in adulthood.

Evidence against the misdiagnosis interpretation came from follow-up models examining the correlation with persistent ADHD and internalizing. More specifically, we observe that the point estimate for the genetic correlation with internalizing was more similar for adulthood and persistent ADHD as compared to childhood ADHD. Although the difference between persistent and childhood ADHD was not significant, we were generally underpowered for analyses using the persistent phenotype. As persistent ADHD is arguably less likely to reflect misdiagnosis given its original diagnosis in childhood these tentative results indicate that internalizing disorders may play a significant role in fostering the persistence of ADHD into adulthood. However, it is important to note that these findings present an alternative perspective rather than definitively pointing to one mechanism over the other and should be re-evaluated once power is sufficient to gain a more comprehensive understanding.

Another possible mechanism for this internalizing overlap with adulthood diagnosed ADHD are sex differences in symptom patterns as well as age of diagnosis. Notably, 41% of the adulthood diagnosed cases were female, in contrast to 23% of the childhood diagnosed cases. At the same time, females with ADHD are more likely to exhibit internalizing symptoms which are also more characteristic for older individuals with ADHD, while males are more likely to exhibit externalizing symptoms, more common among younger individuals with ADHD. This interplay could inflate the association of adulthood diagnosed ADHD with internalizing disorders due to a cooccurrence of delayed diagnosis and increased internalizing symptoms among females. Future research should assess the connection between sex differences in ADHD and age of diagnosis to explore alternative explanations for these patterns beyond misdiagnosis. Irrespective of whether a misdiagnosis or a clinically relevant distinction between ADHD diagnosed in childhood and adulthood is the cause for their divergence, etiological differences between the two ages of onset might inform the way we treat individuals with ADHD.

Stratified Genomic SEM revealed three annotations that were significantly enriched for the shared pathways between childhood and adulthood diagnosed ADHD: fetal female brain DNAse, fetal male brain H3K4me1, and conserved primate. These fetal annotations and conserved regions have been identified for human complex traits more generally. We, therefore, refrain from interpreting these
annotations as evidence supporting or disproving the grouping of child and adult ADHD within a single diagnostic class.

T-SEM analyses identified 22 genes significantly associated with shared ADHD risk. Highlighting the ability of multivariate approaches to yield novel discoveries, this included 15 novel genes relative to univariate TWAS of child or adult diagnosed ADHD and 11 novel genes relative to a TWAS of general ADHD. The five most significant genes have been previously linked to ADHD and related phenotypes. LINC02060 and CTC-498M16.4 have been found to be related to ADHD and CTC-498M16.4 has been linked to additional phenotypes, including depression and sleep characteristics. MED8 has been found to bind to regulatory elements and is a gene of interest for both ADHD and schizophrenia susceptibility. KDM4A is related to disruptive behavior disorders in the context of ADHD. Finally, ARTN supports the survival, development, methylation, and differentiation of neurons and has been found to be related to ADHD and schizophrenia. Identifying these genes in the contexts of these analyses clarifies their role as influencing shared pathways across these two ADHD subgroups. Likely due to limited power, no genes were significant for the $Q_{\text{Gene}}$ heterogeneity statistic applied here to identify genes with divergent patterns of association with childhood and adulthood diagnosed ADHD. DNM1 and CRIM1 displayed some of the strongest patterns of divergence, with stronger associations with adulthood diagnosed ADHD and childhood diagnosed ADHD respectively. DNM1 has been associated with developmental delay and epilepsy and CRIM1 has been identified to have a role in development as well as motor neuron differentiation and survival.

Limitations

All summary statistics in this study are limited to European ancestry because sample sizes are lacking for other ancestries. However, ADHD is a global problem and in order to understand this disorder and its relationship with other disorders, traits, and behaviors and to treat individuals from around the world, we need to expand our sample sizes in underrepresented populations and include them in our research.

The absence of enrichment of the residual variance of the ADHD subgroups in the Stratified Genomic SEM analyses and $Q_{\text{Gene}}$ hits in T-SEM analyses likely reflects reduced power relative to the genome-wide analyses. These results should be re-evaluated as the ADHD GWAS sample sizes
increase. Finally, since our analyses are based on genetic correlations, any limitations applying to
genetic correlations apply here as well. For example, it has recently been highlighted that genetic
correlations can be biased upward by cross-trait assortative mating\textsuperscript{42}. Cross-trait assortative mating is
the mechanism in which individuals who score higher than average on one trait mate with others who
score higher than average on a different trait. This limitation requires special attention since these biases
do not have the same magnitude between any pair of included traits. However, because the genetic
correlations of many traits included, especially psychiatric traits, are substantial, it is highly unlikely
that the pattern of findings here is entirely due to cross-trait assortative mating.\textsuperscript{43}

\textbf{Conclusions}

Although effective treatment approaches to ADHD are available, strategies for finding the right
treatment are often based on a trial-and-error approach. This can lead patients to give up before finding
the appropriate intervention\textsuperscript{44}. Disentangling pathways, symptoms and comorbidities specific to
different subtypes and clinical presentations of ADHD, such as childhood and adulthood diagnosed
subtypes, might help to improve treatment outcomes by more quickly identifying medications and
therapies that are likely effective for specific groups of individuals. The current findings indicate that
ADHD diagnosed in adulthood is far more genetically similar to internalizing disorders and clinical
correlates as compared to childhood diagnosed ADHD. Whether this reflects pervasive misdiagnosis or
distinct patterns of genetic risk across these two groups, identifying these differences highlights the
clinical and etiological importance of distinguishing these subgroups within this overarching disorder
class.
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Figure 1. Genetic correlations of adulthood and childhood diagnosed ADHD with external traits.

Bonferroni corrected significant differences between adulthood and childhood diagnosed ADHD with the respective external traits are denoted with a star (*) and error bars display 1.96*Standard Error.
Figure 2. Path diagram of genetic correlations of adulthood and childhood diagnosed ADHD with the internalizing factor. Path diagram of the model used in genomic SEM to confirm that the pattern of larger genetic overlap with adulthood diagnosed ADHD we observe for individual internalizing traits also holds for this latent internalizing factor. In this model internalizing is a common genetic factor of the genetic components of ANX, MDD, and PTSD and u is the residual genetic variance in these phenotypes, not explained by the internalizing factor. Observed variables are represented as squares, and latent variables are represented as circles. The genetic component of each phenotype is represented with a circle as the genetic component is a latent variable that is not directly measured but is inferred using LDSC. Single-headed arrows are regression relations, double-headed arrows connecting back to the same origin are variances, and double-headed arrows connecting two variables are correlations. Paths labeled 1 are fixed to 1.
**Figure 3. Genetic correlations of adulthood and childhood diagnosed ADHD with external traits.**

Results without accounting for the overlap of the internalizing factor with ADHD are displayed in the solid bars and results accounting for the overlap of the internalizing factor with ADHD are displayed in the striped bars. Error bars display 1.96*Standard Error.
Figure 4. Enrichment of functional annotations in the combined factor of childhood and adulthood diagnosed ADHD. Significant enrichments traits are denoted with a star (*) and error bars display 1.96*Standard Error.
Figure 5. Miami plot for gene expression hits for the combined factor of childhood and adulthood diagnosed ADHD. The upper and lower blue lines represent the Bonferroni corrected significance threshold. Genes surpassing the upper and lower cutoff respectively are upwardly and downwardly regulated respectively in the ADHD factor. The most significant gene across tissue types are labeled and colored as red dots.
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