Appraising the causal association between gut microbiota and immune-related adverse events: perspectives from a bi-directional Mendelian randomization study
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Abstract:

Background: Emerging evidence suggested that the gut microbiota associated with the development of immune-related adverse effects (irAEs) among cancer patients receiving immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs), but their roles remain largely unknown, and the causal associations are yet to be clarified.

Methods: Bi-directional two-sample Mendelian randomization (MR) approach was employed to examine the potential causal relationship between the gut microbiome and irAEs (high-grade irAEs and all-grade irAEs). Instrumental variables (IVs) for gut microbiota were retrieved from the MiBioGen consortium (18,340 participants). GWAS summary data for instrument-outcome associations were gathered from an ICIs-treated cohort with 1,751 cancer patients. Inverse variance weighted (IVW), MR PRESSO, maximum likelihood (ML), weighted median, weighted mode, and cML-MA-BIC were used in the MR analysis. Reverse MR analysis was performed on the identified bacteria that were causally associated with irAEs.

Results: Fourteen gut bacterial taxa identified by IVW and MR PRESSO were causally associated with irAEs, among which Lachnospiraceae was shown to increase the risk of both high-grade and all-grade irAEs. Akkermansia, Verrucomicrobiaceae, and Anaerostipes were found to exert protective roles in high-grade irAEs. Nevertheless, Ruminiclostridium, Coprococcus, Collinsella, and Eubacterium (fissicatena group) predispose to the development of high-grade irAEs. For all-grade irAEs, RuminococcaceaeUCG004, and DefluviitaleaceaeUCG011 were shown to have protective effects. While on the contrary, Porphyromonadaceae, Roseburia, Eubacterium (brachy group), and Peptococcus were associated with an elevated risk of all-grade irAEs.

Conclusion: Our MR analysis found that Lachnospiraceae and Akkermansia et al. were causally associated with the development of irAEs, which warrants further investigation.
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1. Introduction

Applications of immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs), especially those targeting CTLA-4 and PD-1/PD-L1, have revolutionized the treatment of various aggressive cancers (1). By blocking inhibitory signaling pathways and reinvigorating the natural anti-tumor immune response, these inhibitors have significantly prolonged the lives of numerous cancer patients (2–5). However, due to the inhibition of the systemic brake of immune activation, ICIs can cause off-target effects resulting in immune-mediated impairment of organs and non-malignant tissues. This newly emerging registry of iatrogenic effects, known as immune-related adverse effects (irAEs), usually resemble autoimmune disorders, such as colitis, dermatitis, and thyroiditis (6). Although the majority of irAEs manifest in a mild manner, still, up to 55% of patients develop serious irAEs in combined therapy (anti-CTLA-4 and anti-PD-1) (7). Notably, serious irAEs pose significant risks to patients’ well-being and may result in morbidity and mortality, not only due to the adverse event itself but also due to the need to suspend or terminate ICIs therapy and the potential impairment of the ICIs-induced immune response while using immunosuppressants (e.g. corticosteroids) (8–10). Therefore, effective management of irAEs is critical to optimize the safety and efficacy of ICIs therapy.

The precise mechanisms underlying irAEs are not fully understood, but emerging evidence indicates that the gut microbiota, a complex and dynamic system of microorganisms colonizing the intestinal tract, may play a crucial role in the regulation of irAEs. Simpson et al. found a reduced alpha-diversity of intestinal microbiota in patients who developed severe irAEs (11). Furthermore, antibiotics commonly prescribed prophylactically to hospitalized patients have been shown to increase the risk of ICIs therapy-related irAEs that are not limited to the gastrointestinal tract (12–14). The gut microbiota is closely interacted with the host immune system and has been implicated in the regulation of various autoimmune and inflammatory disorders (15,16). However, consensus on the core microbial drivers or protective microbes of irAEs is still lacking, due to inconsistent findings reported in previous studies (11,17–19). The discrepancies among previous studies may be attributed to limited sample sizes and susceptibility to confounding factors such as age, diet, and medication usage in observational designs (11,20).

Mendelian randomization (MR), initially described by Katan in 1986 (21), is a novel method for inferring causal associations between modifiable risk factors and health outcomes using genetic variations as instrumental variables (IVs) (22). MR effectively addresses the limitations of confounding and measurement errors that often exist in observational studies, as the direction of causation is from the genetic polymorphism to the trait of interest, not vice versa (23). Therefore, we aim to utilize
MR, an increasingly popular method in drug discovery and epidemiology (24,25), to investigate the potential association between the gut microbiota and irAEs, providing further evidence for the management of irAEs through manipulating the human gut microbiota.

2. Methods

Study design and data source

An overview of the study design was illustrated in Figure 1. In general, we performed a bi-directional two-sample Mendelian randomization, a genetic instrumental variable analysis that utilizes single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) from summary-level data as genetic instruments for the risk factor under investigation. To ensure the validity of the MR results, three assumptions needed to be satisfied, as illustrated in Figure S1 (22): (1) the genetic variants should demonstrate a strong association with the exposure (relevance assumption); (2) the genetic variants should not be associated with any confounders that could affect the relationship between the exposure and outcome (independence assumption); and (3) the variants should not have an independent effect on the outcome aside from their impact through the exposure (exclusion restriction assumption). This study is based on publicly available GWAS summary statistics and ethical approvals were acquired in the original study.

Gut microbiota: Genetic variations associated with the composition of gut microbiota were derived from the most comprehensive genome-wide meta-analysis conducted to date by the MiBioGen consortium (26). This study included a total of 18,340 individuals from 24 cohorts worldwide, mainly of European descent (n = 13,266). Fecal DNA was extracted, and targeted sequencing of variable regions in the bacterial 16S rRNA gene (V4, V3-V4, and V1-V2 regions) was performed to profile the gut bacterial composition. To account for sequencing depth differences across cohorts, all cohorts were rarefied to 10,000 reads per sample. Taxonomic classification was performed using direct taxonomic binning method (26). Following quality control, imputation, and post-imputation filtering procedures, gut bacterial taxa observed in over 10% of samples were included in the microbiota quantitative trait loci (mbQTL) mapping (26). This allowed us to identify host genetic variants associated with the relative abundance of bacterial taxa. Further details on microbial data processing can be found in the original study. Genus-level and family-level taxa were included in our analysis, resulting in a total of 131 genus-level and 35 family-level taxa.

irAEs: Summary-level data of irAEs was obtained from a recent GWAS
conducted in the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute (DFCI) cohort (27). The study included 1,751 cancer patients of European ancestry who underwent ICIs treatments between 2013 and 2020. The majority of patients (approximately 90%) received PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors, while the remaining 10% received combined immunotherapy (CTLA4 and PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors). Among the 1,751 cancer patients, 259 cases that experienced high-grade irAEs (grade 3 to 5 events) were manually curated according to the National Cancer Institute (NCI) Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events v.5 guidelines. Additionally, algorithm-based autoimmune-like electronic health records were used to identify 339 patients who experienced any grade irAEs (referred to as all-grade irAEs). Most of these cases were grade 2 or higher events (27). The tumor tissue of these patients was sequenced using the targeted OncoPanel sequencing platform. After quality control steps, germline SNPs were imputed by utilizing ultra-low-coverage off-target reads. Then, the GWAS was conducted in the DFCI cohort to investigate the association of all variants with the time from the start of ICIs treatment to the occurrence of the two phenotypes of irAEs. For more detailed information, please refer to the original publication (27).

Selection of instrumental variables

Several steps were followed in the selection of IVs. Firstly, for the gut microbiome, we selected SNPs associated with bacterial taxa with a p-value less than $1 \times 10^{-5}$ for further analysis (28,29). Secondly, potential SNPs were clumped for independence in the TwoSampleMR package in R software. We used the European 1000 Genomes Project Phase 3 reference panel and set the linkage-disequilibrium threshold ($r^2$) at 0.001 within a 10 Mb window size. Thirdly, we extracted SNPs from the outcome statistics and performed a harmonization procedure. SNPs that were not available in the outcome GWAS data were replaced with proxy SNPs ($r^2 > 0.8$), and palindromic SNPs were removed for further MR analysis. Furthermore, F statistics of selected IVs, which indicate instrument strength, was calculated as \([\text{Beta/SE}]^2\). Typically, F statistics > 10 suggest enough IVs strength to avoid weak instrument bias (30). Finally, All SNPs with positive results were re-examined using PhenoScanner package (version 1.0) in R software to investigate the presence of potential confounders. Bacterial taxa with less than 3 valid SNPs and unknown origin were excluded from the analysis to mitigate potential bias. Consequently, we included a total of 104 genus-level and 28 family-level bacterial taxa ($n = 132$) for further MR analysis.
Statistical analysis

In this study, we employed several MR analysis methods to explore the potential causal relationship between gut microbiota and irAEs. The methods used included IVW, MR PRESSO, ML, weighted median, weighted mode, and a constrained maximum likelihood and model averaging based method (cML-MA-BIC). IVW and MR PRESSO were used in the primary analysis. In general, IVW provides maximum statistical power when all instruments are valid (31), while MR PRESSO identifies and removes genetic variants that deviate significantly from the variant-specific causal estimates of other variants, thereby increasing statistical power and addressing potential outliers (32). The ML method is similar to the IVW approach which assumes the absence of both heterogeneity and horizontal pleiotropy. If these assumptions hold true, the ML method yields unbiased results with smaller standard errors compared to the IVW approach (33). Considering the potential existence of IV pleiotropy, we also performed pleiotropy-robust methods including weighted median, weighted mode, and cML-MA-BIC in the sensitivity analysis. These methods relax the instrumental variable assumptions. Weighted median were introduced when the exclusion restriction assumption was violated (uncorrelated pleiotropy), which typically assume fewer than 50% of genetic variants are invalid (34). The weighted-mode method clusters genetic variants based on their similarity in causal effect and estimates the overall causal effect based on the cluster with the most number of IVs (35). The cML-MA-BIC method is a novel approach developed for MR analysis, specifically addressing the issue of invalid IVs exhibiting both uncorrelated and correlated pleiotropy (violation of the independence assumption) (36). By being robust to such violations, cML-MA-BIC improves the accuracy of MR analysis, reduces Type I error, and increases statistical power (36).

Next, heterogeneity and directional pleiotropy were assessed using Cochran's Q statistics and MR Egger intercept. Leave-one-out (LOO) analysis was conducted to identify possible reliance on a specific variant, which involved excluding one SNP at a time for all valid SNPs in the IVW analysis. Additionally, reverse MR analysis between irAEs and the identified significant gut bacterial taxa was performed. We considered a Bonferroni-corrected p-value of $3.89 \times 10^{-4}$ ($0.05/132$) as the significance threshold for gut microbiota. Two-tailed p-values $< 0.05$ was considered suggestive of significance. All analyses were conducted using R packages "TwoSampleMR" (version 0.5.6), “MRPRESSO” (version 1.0), and “MRcML” (version 0.0.0.9) in R software (version 4.2.2).
3. Results

3.1 Genetic instruments and primary MR analysis

A total of 870 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were selected as instrumental variables (IVs) for the 132 gut bacterial taxa (Table S1). The F statistics for each SNP ranged from 16.91 to 36.57, with a median value of 21.66. Using the IVW and MR-PRESSO methods, eight gut bacterial taxa associated with high-grade irAEs were identified with p-values < 0.05. These taxa include Lachnospiraceae, Verrucomicrobiaceae, Ruminiclostridium, Coprococcus, Anaerostipes, Akkermansia, Collinsella, and Eubacterium (fissicatena group). For all-grade irAEs, seven gut bacterial taxa, including Lachnospiraceae, Porphyromonadaceae, Roseburia, Ruminococcaceae, Defluviitaleaceae, Eubacterium (brachy group), and Peptococcus, were identified. Given previous studies suggest that pre-existing autoimmune conditions such as inflammatory bowel disease, psoriasis, and rheumatoid arthritis may predispose individuals to irAEs susceptibility (37,38). We further examined the SNPs associated with the significant bacterial taxa using PhenoScanner. Only one SNP (rs11597285) for the Collinsella genus was found to be associated with allergic disease (e.g. allergic rhinitis and eczema) (refer to Table S9). However, the results of Collinsella remained uninfluenced after removing rs11597285 in the LOO analysis (described below). The complete results of the primary MR analysis can be found in Table S2 and Table S3.

3.2 Main MR results and sensitivity analysis for high-grade irAEs

As shown in Figure 2, the IVW estimate suggested the abundance of Lachnospiraceae family was associated with a shortened time to high-grade irAEs (Beta = -1.22, 95% CI: -1.99 to -0.44, p = 2.17 × 10⁻³), indicating Lachnospiraceae serves as a risk factor for the development of high-grade irAEs. The deleterious effect remained significant in pleiotropy-robust cML-MA-BIC estimation (Beta = -1.24, 95% CI: -2.45 to -0.02, p = 4.62 × 10⁻²). Surprisingly, Ruminiclostridium genus was significantly associated with an increased risk of high-grade irAEs in all MR approaches, including IVW (Beta = -2.11, 95% CI: -2.98 to -1.23, p = 2.47 × 10⁻⁶), cML-MA-BIC (Beta = -2.17, 95% CI: -3.49 to -0.86, p = 1.19 × 10⁻³). Weighted median (Beta = -2.43, 95% CI: -4.05 to -0.81, p = 3.29 × 10⁻³), and other methods. In addition, the IVW estimate indicated a protective effect of the Akkermansia genus on high-grade irAEs (Beta = 1.27, 95% CI: 0.28 to 2.25, p = 0.01), and this finding was confirmed by the IVW estimate of its paternal taxon Verrucomicrobiaceae (Beta = 1.27, 95% CI: 0.29 to 2.25, p = 0.01). The IVW estimate of Anaerostipes genus also indicated a suggestive protective effect against high-grade irAEs (Beta = 2.1, 95% CI: 0.85 to 3.35, p = 1.02 × 10⁻³), as well as cML-MA-BIC (Beta = 2.17, 95% CI: 0.57 to
Moreover, significant effects of the *Coprococcus*3 (Beta = -2.04, 95% CI: -2.7 to -1.39, p = 8.93 × 10^{-10}), *Collinsella* (Beta = -1.12, 95% CI: -1.7 to -1.39, p = 8.93 × 10^{-10}), and *Eubacterium* (fissicatena group) genus (Beta = -0.73, 95% CI: -1.01 to -0.46, p = 1.93 × 10^{-7}) were all revealed by IVW estimate, indicating an increased risk of high-grade irAEs. Scatter plots reflecting the effect size of IVs on both bacterial taxa and high-grade irAEs are shown in Figure 4.

In the subsequent analysis of heterogeneity and horizontal pleiotropy, Cochran's Q statistics revealed no significant heterogeneity (p-value > 0.05) among the IVs for the gut bacterial taxa in high-grade irAEs analysis (see Table 1). No significant evidence for directional horizontal pleiotropy was found in the MR-Egger regression intercept analysis and MR PRESSO global test (Table 1 and Table S4). Additionally, the LOO analysis identified no predominant SNP that influenced the results (Figure 6). We further performed reverse MR analysis and demonstrated no reverse causation exists between high-grade irAEs and the abundance of gut bacterial taxa (Table S6).

**3.3 Main MR results and sensitivity analysis for all-grade irAEs**

**Figure 3** presents the association between bacterial taxa and all-grade irAEs. It is noteworthy that the deleterious impact of the *Lachnospiraceae* family was also detected in all-grade irAEs, as revealed by IVW (Beta = -2.05, 95% CI: -3.27 to -0.82, p = 1.06 × 10^{-3}), cML-MA-BIC (Beta = -2.21, 95% CI: -3.32 to -1.1, p = 9.16 × 10^{-5}), and Weighted median estimates (Beta = -1.72, 95% CI: -3.2 to -0.24, p = 2.25 × 10^{-2}). The IVW estimate of *Roseburia* genus also showed an increased risk of all-grade irAEs (Beta = -2.07, 95% CI: -3.21 to -0.93, p = 3.76 × 10^{-4}). Consistent results were observed in ML (Beta = -2.07, 95% CI: -3.76 to -0.47, p = 1.14 × 10^{-2}) and cML-MA-BIC (Beta = -2.08, 95% CI: -3.71 to -0.45, p = 1.23 × 10^{-2}) estimates. On the contrary, *Ruminococcaceae*UCG004 (Beta = 1.07, 95% CI: 0.43 to 1.72, p = 1.05 × 10^{-3}) and *Defluviitaleaceae*UCG011 (Beta = 0.82, 95% CI: 0.38 to 1.25, p = 2.15 × 10^{-4}) were identified to decrease the risk of all-grade irAEs according to the IVW approach. Subsequently, the results for *Ruminococcaceae*UCG004 were consistent with the cML-MA-BIC (Beta = 1.1, 95% CI: 0.04 to 2.15, p = 4.12 × 10^{-2}) and ML method (Beta = 1.09, 95% CI: 0.04 to 2.14, p = 4.16 × 10^{-3}). Moreover, the IVW estimates suggested that *Porphyromonadaceae* (Beta = -1.11, 95% CI: -1.83 to -0.39, p = 2.46 × 10^{-3}), *Eubacterium* (brachy group) (Beta = -0.71, 95% CI: -1.4 to -0.27, p = 1.38 × 10^{-3}), and *Peptococcus* (Beta = -0.71, 95% CI: -1.24 to -0.18, p = 8.82 × 10^{-3}) may increase the risk of all-grade irAEs. Scatter plots reflecting the effect size of each IV on both bacterial taxa and all-grade irAEs are shown in Figure 5.

Similarly, Cochran’s Q statistics indicated an absence of notable heterogeneity in the IVs of gut bacterial taxa (refer to Table 1). In addition, the results from the MR-Egger regression intercept analysis and the MR PRESSO global test...
demonstrated no significant evidence of directional horizontal pleiotropy (Table 1 and Table S4). Next, no significant single SNP was identified in the LOO analysis that influenced the results (Figure 7). Overall, these results provide evidence for the association between specific gut bacterial taxa and the development of high-grade and all-grade irAEs, and highlight the potential role of the gut microbiota in irAEs.

4. Discussion

In this study, we implemented the summary statistics of gut microbiota from the largest GWAS meta-analysis and the summary statistics of irAEs in the MR analysis. Fourteen gut bacterial taxa were identified to be causally associated with high-grade and all-grade irAEs. Among those bacterial taxa, Lachnospiraceae showed a strong causal association with an increased risk of both irAEs phenotypes. Additionally, we found robust evidence indicating that Ruminiclostridium6 predisposes ICIs receivers to the development of high-grade irAEs. Coprococcus, Collinsella, and Eubacterium (fissicatena group) were also associated with an increased risk of high-grade irAEs, while Akkermansia, Verrucomicrobiaceae, and Anaerostipes exhibited protective roles in high-grade irAEs. For all-grade irAEs, Porphyromonadaceae, Roseburia, Eubacterium (brachy group), and Peptococcus were associated with an elevated risk, while RuminococcaceaeUCG004 and DefluviitaleaceaeUCG011 were associated with a reduced risk.

Several observational studies have demonstrated associations between gut microbiota and irAEs (11,17–19,39,40). Lachnospiraceae species (such as Coprococcus and Roseburia), which are obligately anaerobic, variably spore-forming bacteria, were found to correlate with increased risk of various types of irAEs (17,18,41). In a more recent study, two species of the Lachnospiraceae family were specifically enriched in irAEs that occur in endocrine organs (42). Consistent with these findings, we identified the Lachnospiraceae family, and its two genera (i.e., Coprococcus3 and Roseburia) associated with an increased risk of irAEs. Importantly, the detrimental influence of the Lachnospiraceae family was observed in high-grade irAEs and all-grade irAEs, providing further validity and robustness to our study. Ruminiclostridium6 has been less studied in irAEs, but some studies have observed its accumulation in a mouse model of DSS-induced colitis. While treated with phloretin (a dihydrochalcone flavonoid) or sodium butyrate (one of the short chain fatty acids [SCFAs]), both of which alleviates DSS-induced colitis, the abundance of Ruminiclostridium6 was reduced (43,44). In addition, the Ruminiclostridium genus has also been associated with autoimmune-related diseases, such as experimental multiple sclerosis model and Alzheimer’s disease (45,46). Based on our strong association of Ruminiclostridium6 with increased risk of high-grade irAEs, it is
suggested that *Ruminiclostridium* may play a pivotal role in the development of autoimmune conditions and could be a potential target for relieving irAEs symptoms, although more evidence is needed.

*Akkermansia muciniphila*, an anaerobic gram-negative species that belongs to *Akkermansia* genus, and *Verrucomicrobiaceae* family, has gained much attention in immunotherapy due to their association with a favorable response in ICIs therapy (47–49). *Akkermansia muciniphila* has also been shown to exhibit a protective role in ICIs-associated colitis (50). Mechanically, Wang et al. demonstrated that *Akkermansia muciniphila* and its purified membrane protein mitigated colitis by regulating macrophages and CD8+ T cells in the colon tissue (51). Our study further supported the protective role of *Akkermansia* in high-grade irAEs. *Ruminococcaceae*, a key family of bacteria producing short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs), has been observed to be enriched in ICIs responders without severe irAEs (11). Previous studies have found that *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii*, a species belonging to the *Ruminococcaceae* family, was decreased in non-responders and those experiencing severe irAEs (11,17,41). These findings suggest a potential role of *Ruminococcaceae* as protective bacteria, possibly through the facilitation of SCFAs accumulation, in the mitigation of irAEs. *Collinsella* and *Anaerostipes* have limited evidence in irAEs, but the *Collinsella* genus has been reported to increase the production of IL-17A and enhance rheumatoid arthritis severity (52). In contrast, *Anaerostipes*, which belongs to the *Lachnospiraceae* family, was conversely associated with the risk of high-grade irAEs in our study (11,18). These discrepancies observed in previous clinical studies might be attributed to several factors, including limited sample sizes in previous observational studies, heterogeneity among the samples, and inadequate exploration of the taxonomic classification at the genus level of the gut microbiota. Therefore, a more detailed taxonomy for gut microbiota is crucial in dissecting the underlying mechanisms.

Gut microbiota plays a pivotal role in modulating human immune homeostasis, and an imbalance in gut microbial composition, known as gut dysbiosis, has been implicated in several autoimmune diseases (15,16,53). Notably, irAEs resemble autoimmune diseases in many aspects (37,54,55). Thus, despite the underlying mechanisms by which gut microbiota manipulates the development of irAEs remain poorly understood, we hypothesize that there might be some shared etiology of microbiota in autoimmune diseases and irAEs. These mechanisms include: (1) “Molecular mimicry”: Evidence has shown that exposure to homologous amino acid sequences or epitopes of microbiota and aberrant activation of autoreactive B or T cells leads to multiple autoimmune diseases, such as multiple sclerosis (56), Guillain–Barré syndrome (57), Type 1 diabetes (58), Rheumatoid arthritis (59), and primary biliary cholangitis (60), which is referred to as “molecular mimicry” (61).
is believed that the systematic activation of the immune system during ICIs treatment triggers irAEs by bypassing self-tolerance in normal organs. One intriguing fact is that most irAEs occur in barrier organs (e.g., the intestinal tract, skin, and lungs) (54,62). This implies that the activated immune response might target the commensal microbiome as antigenic targets, although this hypothesis has not been fully demonstrated. (2) Decreased accumulation of SCFAs: SCFAs, including acetate, propionate, and butyrate, are a group of organic compounds primarily produced by the gut microbiota during the fermentation of dietary fibers. These metabolites were shown to improve the anti-cancer function of effector T cells, but they also seem to exhibit anti-inflammatory characteristics (63,64). Butyrate, one of the well-studied SCFAs, was shown to inhibit the activation of NF-κB and its downstream pathway (65), thereby reducing the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-8 (66), while increasing the levels of anti-inflammatory factors like IL-10 (67). Moreover, SCFAs serve as a key energy source for colonocytes and maintain intestinal barrier integrity (68). Thus, the reduced abundance of SCFAs-producing bacteria along with its metabolites may participate in the development of irAEs. (3) Other mechanisms: Stimulation of the immune response by microbial-associated molecular patterns (e.g., include lipopolysaccharides (LPS), lipoproteins, flagellin and bacterial DNA) (69) and compromised vitamin B and polyamine metabolism that associated with gut dysbiosis (19) may also contribute to irAEs.

Taken together, the gut microbiota and the human immune system maintain a delicate balance under normal physiological conditions. Once the balance has been disturbed (e.g., ICIs treatment), the dysregulated microbiota might lead to the development of undesirable irAEs. The primary management strategy for irAEs (> grade 2) involves the suspension of ICIs and/or utilizing immunosuppressive therapy (70). Nevertheless, one concern is that discontinuing ICIs or using immunosuppressants may compromise treatment efficacy. Ideally, approaches to boost ICIs efficacy while reducing the accompanied irAEs are to be expected in the future. FMT, an approach to modulate gut microbiota, has been shown to increase ICIs efficacy in melanoma patients (71,72), and emerging evidence has demonstrated the mitigation of ICIs-related colitis through FMT in clinical practice (73). Interestingly, while irAEs and ICIs efficacy are often considered two sides of the same coin, certain gut bacteria, such as Akkermansia muciniphila and Faecalibacterium prausnitzii, have been shown to ameliorate irAEs and reinforce ICIs efficacy at the same time (74). This suggests that targeting gut microbiota could be an ideal approach to relieve irAEs symptoms and maintain ICIs efficacy, but further real-world evidence is needed to support this hypothesis.

Our study possesses several strengths. Firstly, we applied the MR approach to the causal-association analysis between gut microbiota and irAEs, which effectively
mitigates the influence of confounding factors and provides robust causal inference. Secondly, we conducted reverse MR analyses, confirming the absence of reverse causation, thereby enhancing the validity of our study. Thirdly, the genetic variants associated with the gut microbiota were obtained from a comprehensive meta-analysis of GWAS, ensuring the reliability of the instrumental variables used in the MR analysis. Moreover, we incorporated several pleiotropy-robust methods such as MR PRESSO and cML-MA-BIC, further strengthening the robustness of our study.

However, there are also certain inherent limitations in our study that should be considered when interpreting the findings. Firstly, our analysis is based on European-derived GWAS summary statistics, which might confine the generalization of the findings to other populations. Secondly, due to the utilization of summary statistics instead of raw data in the analysis, subgroup analyses based on ICIs regimes (e.g. PD-1/PD-L1 group, CTLA-4 group, and combined therapy group) could not be performed. Thirdly, the gut microbiota is shaped by multiple environmental factors, which confines the number of the identified significant gene loci in the GWAS (26). Thus, we relaxed the significant threshold of IVs to $1 \times 10^{-5}$ (29) and employed Bonferroni correction to mitigate potential false positive results.

5. Conclusion

In summary, our findings suggest that *Lachnospiraceae* and *Akkermansia* et al. were causally associated with the development of irAEs. However, whether the FMT or probiotics could be used as interventional approaches to mitigate irAEs while reserving ICIs efficacy, additional randomized clinical trials (RCTs) are warranted. Furthermore, in-depth investigations are needed to elucidate the precise mechanisms through which the gut microbiota influences the development of irAEs.
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Table S9. PhenoScanner results of instrumental variables used for significant bacterial taxa in the MR analysis.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>bacterial taxta (exposure)</th>
<th>irAEs (outcome)</th>
<th>Heterogeneity test</th>
<th>Horizontal pleiotropy results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cochran’s Q</td>
<td>df</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lachnospiraceae</em></td>
<td>High-grade irAEs</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Verrucomicrobiaceae</em></td>
<td>High-grade irAEs</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eubacterium (fissicatena group)</em></td>
<td>High-grade irAEs</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Akermansia</em></td>
<td>High-grade irAEs</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Anaerostipes</em></td>
<td>High-grade irAEs</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Collinsella</em></td>
<td>High-grade irAEs</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Coprocococcus3</em></td>
<td>High-grade irAEs</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ruminiclostridium6</em></td>
<td>High-grade irAEs</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lachnospiraceae</em></td>
<td>All-grade irAEs</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Porphyromonadaceae</em></td>
<td>All-grade irAEs</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eubacterium (brachy group)</em></td>
<td>All-grade irAEs</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>DefluvitaleaceaeUCG011</em></td>
<td>All-grade irAEs</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Peptococcus</em></td>
<td>All-grade irAEs</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Roseburia</em></td>
<td>All-grade irAEs</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>RuminococcaceaeUCG004</em></td>
<td>All-grade irAEs</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

df, degree of freedom; SE, standard error.
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715 Figure legends:
716 715. Figure 1. Overview of the study design.
717 Initially, IVs were selected from the summary GWAS data pertaining to the gut
718 microbiota and irAEs. Subsequently, by employing thresholds of p-value (IVW) <
719 0.05 and p-value (MR PRESSO) < 0.05, the identified gut microbiota that exhibited
720 statistically significant associations were taken into further analysis (Created with
721 BioRender.com).
722 716. Figure 2. Forest plots of MR results for the causal association between the identified
723 eight gut microbial taxa and high-grade irAEs. NSNP = number of SNPs; Beta =
724 effect size from the exposure to the outcome; CI = confidence interval.
725 716. Figure 3. Forest plots of MR results for the causal association between the identified
726 seven gut microbial taxa and all-grade irAEs. NSNP = number of SNPs; Beta = effect
727 size from the exposure to the outcome; CI = confidence interval.
728 716. Figure 4. Scatter plots of MR analysis between the gut microbial taxa and high-grade
729 irAEs.
730 716. Figure 5. Scatter plots of MR analysis between the gut microbial taxa and all-grade
731 irAEs.
732 716. Figure 6. Leave-one-out plots of MR analysis between the gut microbial taxa and
733 high-grade irAEs.
734 716. Figure 7. Leave-one-out plots of MR analysis between the gut microbial taxa and
735 all-grade irAEs.
736 716. Figure S1. Illustration of MR assumptions
Gut microbiota

Over 18,000 participants
132 bacterial taxa
(104 genera and 28 families)

irAEs

1751 participants
Among which 259/339 cancer patients experienced high-grade/all-grade irAEs during ICIs therapy

Primary MR analysis
IVs selection criteria: $p < 1 \times 10^{-5}$
clump ($r^2 = 0.001$, windows size = 10000 kb)

$p$-value_{IVW} < 0.05 $\quad$ p-value_{MR \_PRESSO} < 0.05

Sensitivity analysis for significant bacterial taxa
(Maximum likelihood, Weighted Median, Weighted Mode, cML-MA-BIC, heterogeneity, and horizontal pleiotropy analysis, et al.)
## High-grade irAEs

### Family level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Lachnospiraceae</th>
<th>Verrucomicrobiaceae</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beta (95%CI)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVW</td>
<td>-1.22 (-1.99, -0.44)</td>
<td>1.27 (0.29, 2.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR PRESSO</td>
<td>-1.22 (-1.99, -0.44)</td>
<td>1.27 (0.29, 2.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML</td>
<td>-1.21 (-2.42, 0.00)</td>
<td>1.30 (0.00, 2.61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cML-MA-BIC</td>
<td>-1.24 (-2.45, -0.02)</td>
<td>1.59 (-0.10, 3.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted Median</td>
<td>-0.87 (-2.40, 0.66)</td>
<td>1.98 (-0.67, 4.57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted Mode</td>
<td>-0.62 (-2.82, 1.58)</td>
<td>1.78 (-0.01, 3.45)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Genus level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genus</th>
<th>Ruminoclostridium</th>
<th>Coprococcus</th>
<th>Akkermansia</th>
<th>Collinsella</th>
<th>Eubacterium (fissicatenae group)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beta (95%CI)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVW</td>
<td>-2.11 (-2.98, -1.23)</td>
<td>-2.04 (-2.7, -1.39)</td>
<td>1.27 (0.28, 2.25)</td>
<td>-1.12 (-1.7, -0.53)</td>
<td>-0.73 (-1.01, -0.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR PRESSO</td>
<td>-2.11 (-2.98, -1.23)</td>
<td>-2.04 (-2.7, -1.39)</td>
<td>1.27 (0.28, 2.25)</td>
<td>-1.12 (-1.7, -0.53)</td>
<td>-0.73 (-1.01, -0.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML</td>
<td>-2.17 (-3.48, -0.86)</td>
<td>-2.05 (-4.00, -0.11)</td>
<td>1.31 (0.00, 2.61)</td>
<td>-1.12 (-2.7, 0.47)</td>
<td>-0.74 (-1.70, 0.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cML-MA-BIC</td>
<td>-2.17 (-3.49, -0.86)</td>
<td>-2.06 (-4.00, -0.11)</td>
<td>1.30 (0.00, 2.61)</td>
<td>-1.12 (-2.7, 0.47)</td>
<td>-0.74 (-1.70, 0.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted Median</td>
<td>-2.43 (-4.05, -0.81)</td>
<td>-2.24 (-4.56, 0.08)</td>
<td>1.58 (-0.04, 3.21)</td>
<td>1.89 (-0.16, 3.94)</td>
<td>-0.78 (-1.93, 0.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted Mode</td>
<td>-3.02 (-5.39, -0.64)</td>
<td>-2.54 (-5.39, 0.32)</td>
<td>2.07 (-0.49, 4.62)</td>
<td>0.95 (-1.96, 3.87)</td>
<td>0.54 (-3.21, 2.13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>