Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation Without Aortic Valve Compression Increases Chances of Return of Spontaneous Circulation for Patients Experiencing Out-of-hospital Cardiac Arrest
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Abstract

Background

Current cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) guidelines recommend that chest compressions should be applied at “the center of the chest.” However, in approximately 50% of patients experiencing out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) the aortic valve (AV) is reportedly compressed, potentially obstructing blood flow and worsening prognosis. We aimed to use resuscitative transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) to elucidate the impact of compressed vs. uncompressed AV on outcomes of adult patients experiencing OHCA.

Methods

This prospective single-center observational cohort study included patients experiencing OHCA who underwent resuscitative TEE in the emergency department (ED). Exclusion criteria were early return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC) before TEE, resuscitative endovascular balloon occlusion of the aorta (REBOA) or extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) initiation before ROSC, unidentifiable compression site, or poor quality/missing TEE images. Patients were divided into AV-compressed or uncompressed groups based on initial TEE findings. Documented patient characteristics, TEE recordings, resuscitation data, and critical time points were analyzed. Primary outcome was sustained ROSC. Secondary outcomes included end-tidal carbon dioxide (EtCO₂) level at the 10th-minute post-ED arrival, any ROSC, survival to admission and discharge, active
withdrawal post-resuscitation, and favorable neurological outcomes at discharge. Sample size was pre-estimated at 37 patients/group.

Results

From October 2020 to January 2023, 76 patients were enrolled (39 and 37 patients in the AV-uncompressed and compressed groups, respectively). Intergroup baseline characteristics were similar. The AV-uncompressed group had better probability of sustained ROSC (53.8% vs. 24.3%, odds ratio [OR] 3.63, adjusted OR [aOR] 4.72, \( P=0.010 \)), any ROSC (56.4% vs. 32.4%, OR 2.70, aOR 3.30, \( P=0.033 \)), and survival to admission (33.3% vs. 8.1%, OR 5.67, aOR 6.74, \( P=0.010 \)) than the AV-compressed group. The 10th-minute EtCO\(_2\) levels (16.0 vs. 14.0 mmHg), active withdrawal post-resuscitation (7.7% vs. 5.4%), and survival to discharge (5.1% vs. 0%) revealed no significant intergroup differences. No patient was discharged with favorable neurological outcomes. An uncompressed AV remained an essential factor for sustained ROSC across all predefined subgroups.

Conclusions

Uncompressed AV during CPR increases the chances of ROSC and survival to admission among patients experiencing OHCA. However, its potential impact on long-term survival and neurological outcomes remains unclear.
Clinical Trial Registration

This trial was registered at ClinicalTrials.gov, identifier NCT05932784.

URL: https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT05932784.
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Clinical Perspective

1) What is new?

- When performing cardiopulmonary resuscitation according to the current guideline-recommended site, chest compressions may lead to accidental compression of the aortic valve (AV), which obstructs blood flow and worsens prognosis for patients experiencing out-of-hospital cardiac arrest.

- We have used resuscitative transesophageal echocardiography to elucidate the impact of compressed vs. uncompressed AV on outcomes of these patients, a hitherto unexplored aspect.

- Primary outcome was sustained return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC) and secondary outcomes included end-tidal carbon dioxide level at the 10th-minute post-emergency department arrival, any ROSC, survival to admission and discharge, active withdrawal post-resuscitation, and favorable neurological outcomes at discharge.
2) What are the clinical implications?

- The AV-uncompressed group had a better chance of sustained ROSC, any ROSC, and survival to admission than the AV-compressed group.

- However, its potential impact on long-term survival and neurological outcomes remains unclear; if resuscitative transesophageal echocardiography can be successfully used or if more convenient and lightweight tools can detect AV compression, both in prehospital situations, stronger evidence may be obtained.

- Current cardiopulmonary resuscitation guidelines may need to be revised for a more individualized approach, which can help rescuers avoid accidental AV compression and improve patient outcomes and prognosis.

Non-standard Abbreviations and Acronyms

AMC: Area of Maximal Compression

AoR: Aortic root

AV: Aortic valve

CCI: Charlson Comorbidity Index

ECPR: Extracorporeal cardiopulmonary resuscitation

EtCO₂: End-tidal Carbon Dioxide

FEMH: Far Eastern Memorial Hospital
GEE: Generalized estimating equations

INL: Inter-nipple line

mRS: Modified Rankin Scale

N/A: Not Applicable.

OHCA: Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest

REBOA: Resuscitative Endovascular Balloon Occlusion of the Aorta

ROSC: Return of Spontaneous Circulation

TEE: Transesophageal Echocardiography

TTM: Target Temperature Management
Introduction

Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) is a significant global health issue.\(^1,2\) Despite improvements in emergency medical systems and resuscitation science, the increased annual survival rate is inadequate.\(^3\) More than 90% of patients experiencing OHCA did not survive to discharge,\(^4\) indicating room for potential improvement in cardiac arrest management.

Chest compression is the most crucial component of post-cardiac arrest resuscitation. “Push hard, push fast, allow the chest to fully recoil, and avoid interruptions” is the optimal chest compression technique.\(^5,6\) Compression rate and depth and location are essential considerations. Current cardio-pulmonary resuscitation (CPR) guidelines recommend that chest compressions should be applied on “the center of the chest” or “the bottom half of the sternum” for all patients with cardiac arrest.\(^5,6\) However, because of inherent differences in body size or the presence of chronic heart-lung diseases, the anatomical location of the heart varies across individuals.\(^7,8\) Therefore, applying compressions at a particular site for all patients with cardiac arrest may be arbitrary. Recent observational research suggests that if chest compressions are applied at the aforementioned recommended region, more than 50% of patients experiencing OHCA may receive compressions on the left ventricular outflow tract (LVOT) or aortic root (AoR), which are located near the aortic valve (AV).\(^9\)

Theoretically, compressions on the LVOT or AoR during CPR could result in AV deformity, which may obstruct blood flow from the heart and severely limit coronary, cerebral, and even
systemic perfusion, thereby worsening the prognosis. Animal trials have confirmed this hypothesis and demonstrated that compressions on the LVOT would significantly diminish the probability of survival. An observational study of patients experiencing OHCA who received extracorporeal CPR (ECPR) found a 0% (0/11 cases) chance of return of electromechanical cardiac activity after extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) flow was initiated when chest compressions were applied to the LVOT during CPR. However, no human studies have determined whether chest compression-induced AV deformity can lead to adverse clinical outcomes and its effect on return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC), survival, or neurological outcomes to date. Resuscitative transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) provides real-time cardiac images during ongoing chest compressions and is the tool of choice for determining AV compression.

In this study, we aimed to use TEE to comprehensively analyze the effect of AV compression in patients experiencing OHCA. We hypothesized that regardless of LVOT or AoR compression, chest compressions on the AV deform it and may lead to worsening ROSC rate for these patients.

Methods

Data Availability Statement

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.
Study design and setting

This prospective single-center observational cohort study included all patients experiencing OHCA who underwent resuscitative TEE in the emergency department (ED) of the Far Eastern Memorial Hospital (FEMH). The FEMH is the largest tertiary medical center in New Taipei City, Taiwan, with 1415 beds and approximately 10,000 monthly ED visits, 25 of which are non-traumatic OHCAs. The ED has been equipped with TEE for several years, and it is standard practice to perform resuscitative TEE, provided that a physician with expertise in resuscitative TEE was on duty and staffing resources permitted. In addition to being a cardiac arrest center, the FEMH offers 24-h on-call services of ECMO with formal ECPR protocol, resuscitative endovascular balloon occlusion of the aorta (REBOA), emergency coronary interventions, life-saving surgery, and target temperature management (TTM).

Selection of participants

All patients aged ≥20 years who presented at the ED with non-traumatic OHCA and underwent resuscitative TEE were enrolled in this study. The exclusion criteria is as follows: (1) early ROSC before obtaining TEE image; (2) REBOA before ROSC (REBOA would significantly increase the hemodynamics during CPR and possibly change the likelihood of ROSC); (3) initiation of ECMO flow before ROSC; (4) compression site unidentified on TEE; (5) poor quality TEE image; and (6) missing TEE image.
Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the FEMH study (IRB number: 109070-F). The Declaration of Helsinki mandates informed consent for any study involving human participants. However, obtaining informed consent from unconscious patients is challenging when conducting cardiac arrest studies. To facilitate vital advancements in resuscitation science, the United States Food and Drug Administration has provided specific provisions for waiving informed consent in emergency medical research. Accordingly, the IRB approved this study including a waiver that was applicable regardless of the patients’ survival or neurological recovery. Furthermore, the observational nature of this study and the routine use of resuscitative TEE at the FEMH’s ED supported this waiver.

Protocol of the pre- and in-hospital resuscitation

Upon identifying an emergency call as an OHCA event, the dispatcher would activate the code and notify the hospital to prepare in advance. Prior to ED arrival, as per the protocol of the New Taipei City Fire Department, at least 2 emergency medical technicians (EMTs) provided basic or advanced life support to all patients experiencing OHCA. This protocol included mechanical chest compression (LUCAS® 2, Stryker Medical, Kalamazoo, MI) at “the center of the chest” with a rate of 100 compressions/min and a depth of 5 cm, advanced airway management (endotracheal
intubation or Supralaryngeal Airway), defibrillation, and administration of resuscitative medications, such as epinephrine, if the intra-venous or intra-osteo routes were available. After the initial cycle of CPR, if there was no prior “Do Not Resuscitate” order, the patient was transported to the hospital for further resuscitation.

Once the patient arrived at the ED, the rescue team assumed the resuscitation efforts. The in-hospital resuscitation was administered in accordance with the American Heart Association’s CPR guidelines. The patient initially received chest compressions from the same machine brought by the EMTs, with the same rate and depth and at the same site. Additionally, the patient was intubated and provided mechanical ventilation with volume assist-control mode (tidal volume: 6–8 ml/kg, peak flow: 50 L/min, maximum peak pressure: 120 cm H$_2$O, respiratory rate: 10/min, inspired fraction of oxygen: 100%, and positive end-expiratory pressure: 5 mmHg). After establishing an endotracheal airway, if no contraindications existed and sufficient manpower was available, TEE (PET-512MC transducer, Toshiba, Japan) was performed to identify the area of maximal compression (AMC) and to investigate potential causes of arrest. The TEE provider did not lead the code and consequently, could not interrupt resuscitation; however, the team leader was informed of the TEE findings to guide the treatment goal. If the AMC appeared positioned on the AV, the team leader decided on whether to relocate the compression site, depending on the situation. In addition, the ECMO or REBOA team were notified, if the associated criteria were met. After ROSC, the patient was transferred to the intensive care unit for post-arrest care.
Definition of AV compression and protocol of TEE scanning

In this study, AV compression or compressed AV was defined as AV deformity caused by chest compressions detected via both long- and short-axis TEE at the mid-esophageal level using our team’s previously published algorithm. All physicians and residents performing resuscitative TEE were required to attend a full-day workshop and clear the subsequent examination. Resuscitative TEE was applied in accordance with our team’s previously published protocol. Two authors, J-T Sun and S-E Chu, both resuscitation and ultrasound instructors certified by Taiwan Society of Emergency Medicine and Taiwan Society of Ultrasound in Medicine, with over fifty times of experience in resuscitative TEE, reviewed all TEE images and videos of the entire CPR process for each enrolled patient post-resuscitation to determine AV compression; these results were used in this study’s analysis and served as feedback for the TEE operators.

Study outcomes

The primary outcome was sustained ROSC, defined as ROSC >20 min. The secondary outcomes included end-tidal carbon dioxide (EtCO₂) pressure during in-hospital resuscitation (with the level at the 10th minute following ED arrival as a representative measure), any ROSC (>1 min), survival to admission, active withdrawal of life-sustaining measures post-resuscitation (in response to confirmed unsolvable neurological prognosis), survival to discharge, and discharge with favorable
neurological outcomes (defined as Modified Rankin Scale 0–2).

**Data collection and variable definitions**

The clinical characteristics of the enrolled patients were collected in accordance with the current Utstein format for OHCA, including age, gender, weight, height, body mass index, chronic disease, Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI), arrest location, witnessed or not, whether bystander CPR was performed, initial rhythm, prehospital management by the EMTs, in-hospital resuscitation, possible etiologies of arrest, and clinical outcomes. In addition, the critical time points of the resuscitation, such as the EMT response time (time from emergency call to EMT arrival on-scene), the total prehospital time (time from call to ED arrival), the time from ED arrival to initial TEE imaging, and the total CPR time (total prehospital time plus total in-hospital CPR time) were recorded. The EtCO₂ data for each minute of the CPR was downloaded and recorded from the physiologic monitor. For review and analysis of the TEE findings, all TEE images and videos of each patient’s entire CPR process were captured and stored.

**Sample size determination**

In Catena et al.’s study, the probability of return to electromechanical cardiac activity after the initiation of ECMO was 0% and 87.5% in the LVOT-compressed (0/11 cases) and uncompressed (7/8 cases) groups, respectively. An animal study including 26 swine revealed that the rate of ROSC
was 0% (0/13 cases) and 69.2% (9/13 cases) in the LVOT-compressed and the left-ventricle (LV) compressed groups, respectively. Nonetheless, an ROSC rate of 87.5% or 69.2% is not achievable in actual clinical practice, and the outcomes of LV compression cannot fully represent the AV-uncompressed group. Therefore, in this study, for the AV-uncompressed group, the baseline sustained-ROSC rate (25.6%) of patients experiencing OHCA in Taipei was used. For the AV-compressed group, the sustained ROSC rate was hypothesized to be proximate to 0%. In addition, previous studies demonstrated that approximately 50% of patients undergoing CPR would experience AV compression. Therefore, the enrollment ratio between these 2 groups was postulated to be 1:1. Finally, 34 patients/group were estimated to provide the trial with 90% power to detect the difference in sustained ROSC rate using an uncorrected 2-sided chi-square test at a significance level of 0.05. To compensate for missing values or unexpectable events, the number of patients was increased by 10%, to a total of 37 in each arm. The outcomes of patients whose AV was compressed during CPR and those whose AV was uncompressed were compared.

Data analysis

In this study, the Shapiro–Wilk test was used to assess the existence of a normal distribution for all continuous data. Data with a normal distribution were recorded as the mean with standard deviation and analyzed with 2-tailed T-tests. Data without a normal distribution were presented as the median with interquartile range and analyzed with a 2-sided Mann–Whitney U test. Numeric
variables, such as CCI and epinephrine administration times, were presented as median with
interquartile range and examined using the 2-sided Mann–Whitney U test. Categorical variables were
expressed as frequencies with corresponding percentages and assessed using chi-square tests. The
odds ratio (OR) and 95% CIs of the study’s outcomes between the 2 groups were calculated using
univariate logistic regression and adjusted for the associated confounders using multivariate logistic
regression. The confounders included variables that have been confirmed to have a significant
association with our study outcomes, as reported in previous studies, such as sex, CCI, witnessed arrest, bystander CPR, initial shockable rhythm, total prehospital time. Post-hoc subgroup analyses were conducted using multivariate logistic regression in pre-defined groups, including initial shockable rhythm, witnessed collapse, bystander CPR, cardiogenic arrest, patients’ CCI, age, total prehospital time, and EMT response time. All subgroup models were adjusted for sex, CCI, witnessed arrest, bystander CPR, initial shockable rhythm, and total prehospital time, except for the variables themselves. Some variables had zero cell counts; therefore, the first regression method was utilized in the model. The model’s goodness-of-fit was assessed using the Hosmer–Lemeshow test. To further analyze the EtCO₂ differences between the 2 groups, additional generalized estimating equations (GEE) models were employed to examine the differences across cumulative time periods. In this study, the limited missing data were addressed by eliminating rows or columns with absent values, thereby preserving the overall integrity of our dataset. All statistical analyses were conducted using the IBM® SPSS® Statistics version 26.0. A 2-tailed P-value of <0.05 was
Independent Data Access and Analysis

This study was conducted at Far Eastern Memorial Hospital, with data collection handled onsite. The task of data access and analysis was entrusted to the independent Statistical Consulting Unit at National Taiwan University Hospital. Importantly, these institutions operate separately, with no organizational affiliation, ensuring the absence of conflicts of interest. Data analysis was conducted in a strictly independent manner, with analysts blind to the personnel at Far Eastern Memorial Hospital. This autonomy in data processing fortifies the objectivity of our results, enhancing both data validity and participant safety in the trial.

Results

From October 2020 to January 2023, 104 patients experiencing OHCA underwent ED resuscitative TEE. Twenty-eight patients did not meet the inclusion criteria and were excluded; 8 patients achieved ROSC prior to obtaining TEE images, 1 was diagnosed with a ruptured aortic aneurysm via bedside ultrasound and underwent REBOA, 4 met the ECPR criteria and were resuscitated with ECMO before ROSC, 3 had type-A aortic dissection-induced cardiac tamponade diagnosed via TEE making it difficult to identify the AMC, 4 had poor TEE images, and 8 TEE images were missing, hindering the program directors’ review of the videos to confirm the AMC.
The trial was temporarily suspended in May and June of 2020 and in May and June of 2021 because of the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic. The final trial cohort comprised 39 and 37 patients in the AV-uncompressed and compressed groups, respectively (Figure 1). Furthermore, during the pre-analysis stage, missing prehospital time (due to an EMT recording error) for 1 patient and inconsistencies in the EtCO2 data for 3 other patients were identified. These four instances of data were accordingly handled as missing data.

The enrolled patients had a mean age of 65 years, and most were males (67.1%). Approximately 17.1% of patients experienced cardiac arrest in public settings and 31.6% of these arrests were witnessed. Nearly 50% of patients received bystander’s CPR. Approximately, 19.7% of patients initially presented with a shockable rhythm and were defibrillated on-scene. Before undergoing TEE, both groups of patients had already received approximately 30 min of CPR. No statistically significant intergroup differences were observed in terms of patient demographics, body mass index, underlying comorbidities, locations or causes of cardiac arrest, or pre- and in-hospital treatments (Table 1).

Among the 76 enrolled patients, 34 (44.7%) achieved ROSC, with 30 (39.5%) maintaining sustained ROSC. Additionally, 16 (21.1%) patients survived until hospital admission, 5 (6.6%) had life-sustaining measures withdrawn during hospitalization for their irreversible neurological prognosis, and 2 (2.6%) survived until discharge. However, none exhibited a favorable neurological recovery, irrespective of AV compression. The AV-uncompressed group had a significantly higher
sustained ROSC rate (53.8% vs. 24.3%, unadjusted OR 3.63, \(P=0.010\)), as well as in any ROSC (\(P=0.038\)), and survival to admission (\(P=0.012\)) than the AV-compressed group (Table 2). The proportion of active withdrawal after resuscitation was similar between both groups (unadjusted OR 1.46, \(P=0.689\)). Compared with 2 patients in the AV-uncompressed group, no patient survived to discharge in the AV-compressed group; however, this difference was not statistically significant. For the potential confounders associated with study’s outcomes, additional multivariate logistic regression analyses were performed (Table 2). An uncompressed AV remains a statistically significant factor in achieving sustained ROSC (\(P=0.009\)), including in any ROSC (\(P=0.033\)), and survival to hospital admission (\(P=0.010\)). Figure 2 presents the subgroup analysis, revealing that in all predefined subgroups, an uncompressed AV is an important factor for sustained ROSC.

In the assessment of EtCO₂, no statistically significant divergence was observed in the levels recorded at the 10th minute following ED arrival between both groups (Table 2). Additionally, throughout the in-hospital resuscitation phase, despite notable differences in the patterns of EtCO₂ levels between both groups (Figure 3), where the AV-uncompressed group tended to display higher levels in the initial 10 min, and the AV-compressed group displayed a higher tendency between the 15th and 25th min, no statistically significant differences were found in the minute-to-minute EtCO₂ levels (Table S1). A subsequent post-hoc GEE model analysis indicated that no marked difference in EtCO₂ levels between both groups emerged within the first 10 min, irrespective of the chosen cut-off point (Table S2).
Discussion

In our study, we discovered that the chest compression site is essential in determining the prognosis of patients experiencing OHCA. Our study results indicated that applying chest compressions directly on the AV considerably reduced the chances of achieving ROSC, sustained ROSC, and survival to admission. Thus, our study has underscored the importance of avoiding direct AV compression during CPR for patients experiencing OHCA for an improved prognosis.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first prospective study to investigate the relationship between chest compression site and outcomes such as ROSC and survival in patients experiencing OHCA. Our findings might be attributed to the potential adverse impact of chest compressions on AV movement that reduces blood flow from the LV to the AoR. This hypothesis is credible since it directly influences the perfusion of the coronary arteries, which originate from the AoR and perfuse the myocardium. In Anderson et al.’s animal study, the AV-compressed group had considerably lower systolic and diastolic aortic pressures during resuscitation, and a significant drop in coronary perfusion pressure during the advanced life support phase. More in-depth human studies on the CPR hemodynamics may be necessary in the future to further establish the connection between compression site and patient prognosis.

This study’s findings revealed that the ratio of compressed to uncompressed AV is proximate to
A recent observational study reported that if chest compressions were performed in the guideline-recommended position, 53% of patients experiencing OHCA may have AV compression (9/17 cases). Hwang et al. reported an incidence of 79% of AV compression in patients experiencing OHCA (27/34 cases). These evidences suggest that when performing CPR according to current guidelines, majority of the resuscitation attempts may be ineffective or potentially harmful because of direct compression of the patient’s AV. These guidelines may need to be revised for a more individualized approach, which may help rescuers to avoid accidental AV compression.

To identify the optimal compression site during CPR, several imaging studies demonstrated that the ventricles and the AV were mainly positioned beneath “the lower third of the sternum,” and “the center of the chest,” respectively. Subsequently, Cha et al.’s study to evaluate the effects of different compression sites revealed that compressions at the “lower end of the sternum” resulted in higher EtCO₂ than compressions at the standard “center of the chest.” However, Qvigstad et al.’s study did not reach a similar conclusion. No significant difference in EtCO₂ was observed regardless of whether the compression site was at the midpoint of the inter-nipple line (INL), 2 cm below the INL, 2 cm below and to the left of the INL, or 2 cm below and to the right of the INL. Therefore, the usefulness of revising the standard compression site to the “lower third of sternum” remains uncertain. The significant differences between the aforementioned studies have 2 possible explanations.
First, EtCO₂ level may not be a suitable hemodynamic indicator for OHCA research. Among patients experiencing OHCA, EtCO₂ levels might vary widely, which could be due to the quality of CPR and the etiologies or duration of cardiac arrest, varying lung volumes and pulmonary compliance, or even measuring artifacts on the physiological monitor. In our study, similar to the findings of Qvigstad et al., no significant intergroup difference in EtCO₂ was observed throughout the in-hospital CPR process. This lack of distinction could be attributed to the aforementioned confounding variables and the fact that both groups had already undergone approximately 30 min of resuscitation prior to ED arrival. Insufficient sample size, which may have prevented the generation of statistically significant differences, is another possible factor. Moreover, the varying distribution of patients achieving ROSC over time within these groups could contribute to the challenges in using EtCO₂ as a reliable indicator of CPR quality (Figure S1). Thus, EtCO₂ might serve as a supplementary assessment rather than as a primary indicator when determining the precise effectiveness of various compression sites in OHCA research. Therefore, in our study, we used a more stable and reliable indicator, “sustained ROSC,” as a primary outcome and included an adequate sample size to examine the intergroup differences. Further research is required to evaluate the effect of various compression sites on survival and neurological outcomes.

Second, the effectiveness of preventing AV compression cannot be guaranteed by mere reliance on body landmarks. Variations in individual body shape and medical history could result in differences in anatomical cardiac positions, leading to diverse hemodynamic responses during CPR,
despite chest compressions being applied at similar body landmarks. Particularly, in Qvigstad et al.’s study, the difference in chest compression sites were merely 2 cm apart. This minor discrepancy in distance may confound the generation of a meaningful difference in hemodynamics, let alone completely avoid AV compression. In this study, TEE was used to accurately determine the occurrence of AV compression during CPR. In the future, TEE augmented by improved technologies may help rescuers precisely locate the AV to ensure more efficient chest compressions.

Within the AV-compressed group in this study, some rescuers adjusted the chest compression site based on patient conditions or available resources in an attempt to decompress the AV. This led to crossover situations; however, as this was observational research that did not interfere with clinical decisions, and in line with the “intention to treat” principle, patients whose chest compression site was adjusted were not excluded. Therefore, an additional analysis was conducted on the AV-compressed group to account for this deviation (Table S3). Out of 37 patients, the AV became uncompressed in 14 patients following TEE-guided compression site adjustment, whereas in 23 patients, the AV remained persistently compressed regardless of any adjustment attempts. Notably, regardless of whether the AV was ultimately uncompressed, we did not observe a noticeable difference in outcomes. Furthermore, the prognosis for the AV-compressed group remained significantly worse compared with that for the AV-uncompressed group, despite these adjustments in compression site. This might be attributed to our cohort undergoing prolonged CPR; on an average, it involved approximately 30 min of prehospital resuscitation and an additional 11 min post-ED arrival.
resuscitation to successfully uncompress the AV. Under such extended resuscitation scenarios, any intervention might be less effective, thus explaining the observed results. Further research should evaluate the clinical impact of early TEE-guided compression site adjustment, even in prehospital settings or for patients with in-hospital cardiac arrest.

This study, primarily focused on patients experiencing non-traumatic OHCA, has underscored the detrimental effects of AV compression on ROSC and overall survival outcomes. However, generalization of these findings to traumatic OHCA cases is not recommended. Notably, a study conducted on a traumatic OHCA swine model revealed that chest compressions near the AV (or LVOT) during resuscitation significantly diminished coronary perfusion and arterial pressures and EtCO₂ levels, thereby drastically reducing the survival rate. Despite these findings, clinical data affirming the adverse effects of AV compression in patients with traumatic cardiac arrest is lacking, highlighting the need for further research in this domain. In addition, the potential for similar effects in patients experiencing in-hospital cardiac arrest and the potential benefits of prehospital TEE-guided chest compressions remain largely unexplored. Therefore, more extensive research efforts must focus on these areas in the future.

**Limitations**

This study has some limitations. First, performing TEE outside the hospital remains a challenge; hence, it is unclear if the initial TEE findings, whether AV was being compressed, were consistent
with those during prehospital resuscitation. However, since we did not change the compression
device, position, or depth post-ED arrival, it is probable that the AMC observed initially on TEE at
the ED approximates the AMC during prehospital resuscitation. In the future, if TEE can be
successfully used or if more convenient and lightweight tools can detect AV compression, both in
prehospital situations, stronger evidence may be obtained. Second, as our study design excluded
patients who achieved early ROSC prior to TEE and those who were resuscitated with ECMO or
REBOA, our cohort primarily comprised patients who had already undergone prolonged CPR. This
specific selection criteria might have inadvertently led to an underrepresentation of long-term
outcomes, such as survival rates until hospital discharge and the associated neurological outcomes at
discharge. A more extensive study incorporating prehospital TEE might potentially to address this
limitation. Third, constrained by staffing resources, approximately only 23% of patients experiencing
OHCA arriving at the ED during the study period were able to undergo resuscitative TEE. Despite
concerns of potential selection bias, this rate of resuscitative TEE aligns with those previously
reported,\(^9,42\) indicating a common challenge in clinical settings. Nevertheless, this limitation
highlights the need for further extensive studies to minimize selection bias and provide a more
comprehensive understanding of the issue.

Conclusion

In patients experiencing OHCA, CPR performed without compressing the AV considerably
increases the probability of achieving ROSC, sustaining ROSC, and survival to hospital admission.

However, the beneficial impact of an uncompressed AV on long-term survival and neurological outcomes requires further exploration and research.
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Figures and figure legends

Figure 1. Flowchart of the study

Patients with non-traumatic OHCA receiving TEE exam in the ED from Oct 2020 to Jan 2023 (N=104) → Enrolled for analysis (N=76)

AV Un-compressed (N=39)

AV Compressed (N=37)

1. ROSC before obtaining TEE image (N=8)
2. REBOA inflation before ROSC (N=1)
3. Initiate ECMO flow before ROSC (N=4)
4. Cannot identify compression site on TEE (N=3) (All were due to cardiac tamponade from aortic dissection)
5. Poor quality of TEE image (N=4)
6. Missing TEE image (N=8)

OHCA, Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest; TEE, transesophageal echocardiography; ED, emergency department; ROSC, return of spontaneous circulation; ECMO, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; REBOA, resuscitative endovascular balloon occlusion of the aorta; AV, aortic valve.
**Figure 2.** Subgroup results for sustained ROSC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>Sustained ROSC (Number/total (%))</th>
<th>Adjusted Odd Ratio (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>AV un-compressed: 24/39 (62.5%)</td>
<td>AV compressed: 9/37 (24.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Initial rhythm</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-shockable</td>
<td>18/30 (60.0%)</td>
<td>8/31 (25.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shockable</td>
<td>3/9 (33.3%)</td>
<td>1/9 (11.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Witnessed arrest</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>11/25 (44.0%)</td>
<td>4/27 (14.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10/14 (71.4%)</td>
<td>5/10 (50.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bystander CPR</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>10/23 (43.5%)</td>
<td>3/16 (18.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1/16 (6.2%)</td>
<td>6/21 (28.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 67</td>
<td>12/23 (52.2%)</td>
<td>2/17 (11.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 67</td>
<td>9/16 (56.3%)</td>
<td>7/20 (35.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CCI</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 5</td>
<td>10/23 (43.5%)</td>
<td>4/24 (16.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 5</td>
<td>11/17 (64.7%)</td>
<td>3/13 (23.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Etiologies of arrest</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiogenic</td>
<td>11/19 (57.9%)</td>
<td>6/15 (40.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-cardiogenic</td>
<td>10/20 (50.0%)</td>
<td>3/22 (13.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total prehospital time (min)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 32</td>
<td>9/20 (45.0%)</td>
<td>8/24 (33.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 32</td>
<td>12/19 (63.2%)</td>
<td>1/13 (7.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EMT response time (min)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 5</td>
<td>12/23 (52.2%)</td>
<td>5/17 (29.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 5</td>
<td>9/16 (56.3%)</td>
<td>4/20 (20.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the subgroup analysis model for age, CCI, total pre-hospital time, and EMT response time, the cut-off values are determined based on the medians of the enrolled population. All models are adjusted for sex, CCI, witnessed arrest, bystander CPR, initial shockable rhythm, and total prehospital time, except for the variables themselves. The blue dashed line represents the overall OR value.

ROSC, return of spontaneous circulation; AV, aortic valve; CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation; CCI, Charlson Comorbidity Index; EMT, emergency medical technician; OR, odds ratio.
**Figure 3.** EtCO₂ levels at each minute of resuscitation after ED arrival

All data points are presented as median and interquartile range.

EtCO₂, end-tidal carbon dioxide; ED, emergency department.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the study participants</th>
<th>Total (n=76)</th>
<th>AV un-compressed (n=39)</th>
<th>AV compressed (n=37)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patients’ characteristics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>65.3±14.7</td>
<td>62.2±13.1</td>
<td>68.6±15.8</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male)</td>
<td>51(67.1%)</td>
<td>27(69.2%)</td>
<td>24(64.9%)</td>
<td>0.686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>24.9±5.9</td>
<td>24.1±6.6</td>
<td>25.6±5.5</td>
<td>0.299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlson Comorbidity Index *</td>
<td>4.5(6.8)</td>
<td>5(4)</td>
<td>3(4)</td>
<td>0.263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chronic disease</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic heart disease</td>
<td>20(26.3%)</td>
<td>13(33.3%)</td>
<td>7(18.9%)</td>
<td>0.154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic lung disease</td>
<td>9(11.8%)</td>
<td>2(5.1%)</td>
<td>7(18.9%)</td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic kidney disease</td>
<td>10(13.2%)</td>
<td>5(12.8%)</td>
<td>5(13.5%)</td>
<td>0.929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic liver disease</td>
<td>5(6.6%)</td>
<td>3(7.7%)</td>
<td>2(5.4%)</td>
<td>0.688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total dependence</td>
<td>6(7.9%)</td>
<td>3(7.7%)</td>
<td>3(8.1%)</td>
<td>0.946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prehospital resuscitation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrest in public</td>
<td>13(17.1%)</td>
<td>8(20.5%)</td>
<td>5(13.5%)</td>
<td>0.418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispatcher identified</td>
<td>39(51.3%)</td>
<td>19(48.7%)</td>
<td>20(54.1%)</td>
<td>0.642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Witnessed arrest</td>
<td>24(31.6%)</td>
<td>14(35.9%)</td>
<td>10(27.0%)</td>
<td>0.406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bystander CPR</td>
<td>37(48.7%)</td>
<td>16(41.0%)</td>
<td>21(56.8%)</td>
<td>0.170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial shockable rhythm</td>
<td>15(19.7%)</td>
<td>9(23.1%)</td>
<td>6(16.2%)</td>
<td>0.453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Airway management</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETI</td>
<td>13(17.1%)</td>
<td>6(15.4%)</td>
<td>7(18.9%)</td>
<td>0.683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMA</td>
<td>55(72.4%)</td>
<td>29(74.4%)</td>
<td>26(70.3%)</td>
<td>0.586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bag-Valve-Mask</td>
<td>5(6.6%)</td>
<td>1(2.6%)</td>
<td>4(10.8%)</td>
<td>0.147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prehospital Epinephrine</td>
<td>37(48.7%)</td>
<td>16(41.0%)</td>
<td>21(56.8%)</td>
<td>0.170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>In-hospital resuscitation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Epinephrine amount during in-hospital CPR (mg) *</td>
<td>10±2</td>
<td>10±4</td>
<td>10±0</td>
<td>0.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shockable rhythm on ED arrival</td>
<td>12(15.8%)</td>
<td>7(17.9%)</td>
<td>5(13.5%)</td>
<td>0.596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECMO after ROSC</td>
<td>4(5.3%)</td>
<td>2(5.1%)</td>
<td>2(5.4%)</td>
<td>0.957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTM</td>
<td>8(10.5%)</td>
<td>6(15.4%)</td>
<td>2(5.4%)</td>
<td>0.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Possible Etiology</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiogenic</td>
<td>34(44.7%)</td>
<td>19(48.7%)</td>
<td>15(40.5%)</td>
<td>0.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asphyxia or respiratory failure</td>
<td>6(7.9%)</td>
<td>5(12.8%)</td>
<td>1(2.7%)</td>
<td>0.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unconclusive or others</td>
<td>36(47.4%)</td>
<td>15(38.5%)</td>
<td>21(56.8%)</td>
<td>0.170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Critical time point</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMT response time</td>
<td>6.0±2.9</td>
<td>5.6±2.7</td>
<td>6.3±3.2</td>
<td>0.314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total pre-hospital time</td>
<td>32.3±7.7</td>
<td>32.9±7.7</td>
<td>31.7±7.9</td>
<td>0.535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time form ED arrival to TEE image</td>
<td>6.9±3.2</td>
<td>6.7±3.0</td>
<td>7.1±3.4</td>
<td>0.550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total CPR time</td>
<td>57.0±12.2</td>
<td>55.1±12.6</td>
<td>59.0±11.7</td>
<td>0.164</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
* These variables were presented as median ± interquartile range

AV, aortic valve; BMI, body mass index; CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation; ETI, endotracheal intubation;
LMA, laryngeal mask airway; ED, emergency department; ECMO, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation;
ROSC, return of spontaneous circulation; TTM, targeted temperature management; EMT, emergency
medical technician; TEE, transesophageal echocardiography.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AV un-compressed (n=39)</th>
<th>AV compressed (n=37)</th>
<th>Unadjusted Odds Ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Adjusted Odds Ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary Outcomes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustained ROSC</td>
<td>21 (53.8%)</td>
<td>9 (24.3%)</td>
<td>3.63 (1.36-9.67)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>4.72 (1.46-15.18)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Secondary Outcomes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtCO₂ level at the 10th minute post-ED arrival</td>
<td>*16.0 (10.0-25.0)</td>
<td>*14.0 (3.75-36.75)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.97-1.02)</td>
<td>0.673</td>
<td>0.99 (0.97-1.02)</td>
<td>0.674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any ROSC</td>
<td>22 (56.4%)</td>
<td>12 (32.4%)</td>
<td>2.70 (1.06-6.87)</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>3.30 (1.10-9.88)</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survive to hospital admission</td>
<td>13 (33.3%)</td>
<td>3 (8.1%)</td>
<td>5.67 (1.46-21.97)</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>6.74 (1.59-28.50)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active withdrawal after resuscitation</td>
<td>3 (7.7%)</td>
<td>2 (5.4%)</td>
<td>1.46 (0.23-9.26)</td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td>1.38 (0.21-9.10)</td>
<td>0.741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survive to hospital discharge</td>
<td>2 (5.1%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge with mRS ≤ 2</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* These cells were presented as median with first and third quartiles (Q1– Q3).

All models are adjusted for sex, Charlson Comorbidity Index, witnessed arrest, bystander CPR, initial shockable rhythm, and total pre-hospital time.

ROSC, return of spontaneous circulation; EtCO₂, end-tidal carbon dioxide; ED, emergency department; AV, aortic valve; mRS, modified Rankin scale; N/A, not applicable.