Mapping out the higher education curricula to locate pre-service teachers’ training in health education: the case for critical pedagogy
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School health education (SHE), as the principal pedagogical tool of public health, may be utilized in schooling to cultivate awareness in health-related issues and to equip children with relevant skills. This empirical work seeks to explore the curricula of pre-service schoolteachers, to identify whether graduate courses include SHE as a subject, how is it shaped and whether critical pedagogy principles are embedded. Following the qualitative research paradigm, a corpus of n=21 documents was generated including all the educational departments of the Greek higher education, consisting of Departments of Early Childhood Education and Care (n=3), Departments of Preschool Education (n=9), Departments of Primary Education (n=9). Content analysis identified SHE in 11 out of 21 educational departments (47%). Thematic analysis revealed considerable variability in the curricula, whereas emphasis is observed in health-related topics than the methods and values of SHE. Critical pedagogy principles are not explicitly evident in the curricula, whereas a biomedical orientation is persistent leaving little space for concepts relevant to social justice to flourish. Schoolteachers pre-service training in SHE needs to be revised in accordance with the key messages emerging from the major public health crisis of pandemic Covid-19, acknowledging that vulnerability is exacerbated and inequalities are widened.
Introduction

School as a social apparatus, not only encompasses learning, but also raises social awareness, fabricates social consciousness, promotes solidarity amongst school actors in a whole school community perspective (Giroux, 2011). In this light, education related to health may be linked to formal, informal or hidden curriculum implemented in schools. The formal curriculum refers to officially designed and enacted activities for which the national timetable allocates specific periods of teaching time and retains a compulsory character. The informal curriculum refers to activities that accompany educational practice on a voluntary and non-compulsory basis, while the hidden curriculum entails the messages embedded in the dominant school culture, investigating classroom materials, cultural artefacts and rituals in the schooling process (Kelly, 2009).

Since health education may not be part of the formal curriculum, it remains inherent to the hidden curriculum, which is inextricable to what children and adolescents learn through prominent cultural features of school reality, perpetuating and reinforcing the norms and values conveyed in the formal curriculum and informal curriculum. The principal agent to incarnate the hidden curriculum is schoolteacher, whilst it constitutes the main means for social and emotional learning and wellbeing practiced through various aspects of the schooling process, such as informal instruction, role modelling and mentoring which are linked to an evolving narrative ecology of schools in public health crisis (Maynard et al., 2022). However it is acknowledged that pre-seservice teacher education alone is not suffice to shape their professional identity, as this is a product of ongoing interplay among pre-service teacher education, vocational integration and exercise of professional duties and in-service training (Androusou & Tsafos, 2018).

Following the unprecedented public health crisis of the pandemic Covid-19 a fundamental question begs for an answer: How future generations will be educated on public health issues? To approach critically this broad yet intriguing question, one may first need to explore the curricula of future
schoolteachers, responding, in the first place, to another question: Do future schoolteachers receive pre-service training in health-related topics and methods, and if so, what kind of training do they receive?

Health education is in place for over two centuries as a field of knowledge and action. However, it remains a rather neglected aspect of the public health domain. Its roots are traced back in the late eighteenth and early nineteenth century in industrialized countries, when the migration from the countryside to the cities and the steep rise in population accumulated in urban areas, altered conditions of living, housing and sanitation due to overcrowding, poverty and lack of water supplies and a danger of epidemic diseases, called for public health action and teaching people health-related subjects provided (Baggott, 2000). Health education accompanied the rise and foundation of public health, taking various forms from fascist indoctrination (Fitzpatrick & Tinning, 2014) to didactic and moralistic communication on health maintaining a judgmental and totalitarian character (Jensen, 1997), which is reminiscent of the recent public health crisis. To the other end, in times of public health normalcy, health education may adopt an emancipatory character, placing at hear solidarity and viewing health as a public good following the mandates of the World Health Organization for equity (Jarpe-Ratner & Marshall, 2021; Martinson & Elia, 2018; Matthews, 2013; Simpson & Freeman, 2004).

Although the literature offers an abundance of conceptual approaches as what constitutes health education, in this article it is viewed as the principal pedagogical method of public health, acknowledging though that it is a value laden and contested terrain (Duncan, 2004), imbued with politics (Daher-Nashif, 2022) and conceptualized upon the epistemological dichotomy between health objectivism and health interpretivism. Health objectivism, associated with biomedical perspective and developed by Parsonian scholars in sociology, contends that health is an objective fact whose existence can be subjected to empirical observation, it may be equated simplistically with the absence of disease and, associated with this assumption, the human body could be seen in a mechanistic manner whereas social and environmental factors are disregarded to a large extent
(Duncan, 2007). By contrast, health subjectivism emerged to criticize and oppose the above dominant paradigm, proposing that meanings are constructed, negotiated and managed by different individuals and groups within various social and historical contexts, focuses on democratic education, including critical thinking, action and a holistic view of health, linked also to environmental issues (Broom & Willis, 2007). A solution to the Sisyphean regression of health education to health objectivism, medical hegemony and individualistic orientation towards health would be the revisit and revise the curricula of health education with critical pedagogy principles as advocated by a multitude of scholars (Jarpe-Ratner & Marshall, 2021; Kirk, 2018; Martinson & Elia, 2018; Matthews, 2013; Simpson & Freeman, 2004; Wallerstein & Bernstein, 1988).

Given that social inequalities have been exacerbated during the health crisis of the pandemic (Cheater, 2020; Marmot & Allen, 2020), empowerment education would ensure community participation and dialogue in personal level but also in social arenas to reinforce the individuals’ and communities’ ability of gaining control over their own health. The literature offers practical ways to use a three-phase model of critical pedagogy in health education and considers several pedagogical techniques to overcome some of the inherent difficulties in using this teaching approach leading to ‘critical consciousness’. This model addresses overcoming the difficulties of encouraging students and teachers to be co-learners in a power sharing arrangement; negotiating the content of the learning; ensuring that discussion is inclusive of a range of voices, perspectives and points of view; and dealing with the conflict which may be caused by the political nature of the teaching and learning (Matthews, 2013).

**Health education after the pandemic Covid-19**

The pandemic Covid-19 challenged the omnipotence of the biomedical model on two grounds. First, it proved that the possibility of massive infectious transmittable diseases is far from vanished, despite the biomedical achievements. Quite the contrary, epidemics will reoccur due to the main upstream causes of the COVID-19 pandemic. As critical public health proposes, major viral epidemics emerged in the past and will re-emerge in the future constitute a result the transformation
of peasant agriculture to industrialized production especially of meat in the context of natural habitat destruction (Waitzkin, 2020). Also, this severe and massive health crisis showed that the dispute to the biomedical hegemony is extensive and deep-rooted. The phenomenon of misinformation on public health matters, termed as “infodemic”, fueled the vaccine hesitancy and provoked governments across the globe, to resort to an extensive use of militaristic metaphors, whilst mass communication has been legitimized to adopt and reproduce martial and patriarchal metaphors, inherently masculine, power-based, paternalistic and violent (Castro Seixas, 2021).

Infodemic may be understood, among others, as a case of resistance to medical dominance and a case of dispute to health objectivism, described above. The war language that has been largely used in a state of emergency needs to be replaced by health education methods which have been effective against vaccine hesitancy and is grounded on an egalitarian and democratic value system used also in the context of the pandemic basically against vaccine hesitancy and “infodemic” (Li et al., 2020; Mbah et al., 2021; Willis et al., 2021).

If it is clear up to this point that societies will be confronted by repeated public health crises and states of emergency, then we are legitimized to seek to enhance but also to rejuvenate health education as a rather neglected and to some degree problematic field of knowledge.

This article, which is the first of a series forthcoming scholarly publications, seeks to explore whether and how the schoolteachers are taught the subject of health education in terms of courses of undergraduate studies leading to bachelor degrees. To do so, the Greek public higher education system is taken as a case study. More specifically, the syllabuses of all the educational departments of the Greek universities are scrutinized to locate “heath education” as a subject within them, in order to map out whether health education is included in pre-service schoolteachers and nursery teachers training. Then, the curricula of health education, as a subject in the syllabuses, are approached analytically, seeking to explore how are future schoolteachers are trained in health education, how is pre-service training shaped, analyzing the curricula against the health objectivism
and health subjectivism paradigm and the pedagogical methods, with particular emphasis on critical pedagogy.

**Research methods**

This empirical work seeks to explore a) whether health education is included as a subject in undergraduate courses of educational departments of public universities in Greece, b) how is health education shaped based on the curriculum of each educational department, c) to what extent, is the subject of health education is informed by critical pedagogy principles. The educational departments included in this study are: a) Early Childhood Education and Care, b) Early Childhood Education and c) Primary Education. More specifically, the following research questions are used as a frame to the subsequent data analyses:

1. Is “Health Education” (HE onwards) included as a subject in the undergraduate courses of Departments of Education of the public Hellenic Universities?
2. In what form (mandatory or optional) is the subject of HE included in the undergraduate courses of the Departments of Education of the public Hellenic Universities?
3. How is HE described in the curriculum of each of the Department of Education?
4. Does HE curriculum follow the objectivism or subjectivism paradigm in health?
5. Does HE curriculum include methodological and ethical issues of health education?
6. To what extent the principles of critical pedagogy may be traced in the syllabuses of the module of HE included in the graduate courses of the Departments of Education of the public Hellenic Universities?

Content analysis and thematic analysis are used to approach analytically the generated textual data. First, content analysis is employed as a method of identification and quantification in a systematic manner the presence of HE in the syllabuses of the educational departments, responding this to 1st and 2nd research questions. A corpus of seventeen (n=17) undergraduate studies syllabuses (both
preschool and primary education schools) have been thoroughly scrutinized to locate “health education” and relevant terms. To do so, a series of inclusion and exclusion criteria were set.

Inclusion criteria: health AND education, promotion, prevention.

Exclusion criteria: special needs, psychology, physical education, first aids, biology.

Second, content analysis is used to approach the content of each curriculum responding from the 3rd to the 6th research question to approach the set of textual data, as these emerge through the syllabuses and the recorded curricula and seeks for patterns in the meaning of the data to discover re-emerging themes. To do so, a first set of codes (health-related topics) is generated to divide the curricula into the two divisions of health objectivism and subjectivism. Then a second set of codes (pedagogical principles) is set to identify methods and values of health education in the curricula. Finally, thematic analysis is employed to respond to the 6th research question, by searching for certain terms relevant to critical pedagogy concepts, namely the following: solidarity, critical thinking, student empowerment, social justice, liberation, democracy, responsible citizenship, within the curricula of health education.

Results

In the first round, a corpus of 21 documents is gathered responding to the total of the 21 educational departments in the higher education system of Greece. From this pool of data, 3 segments respond to an equal number of types of educational departments. 1st segment: Departments of Early Childhood and Care (n=3), 2nd segment: Departments of Early Childhood Education (n=9) and 3rd segment: Departments of Primary Education (=9). In total, HE is identified in the in 10 out of 21 educational departments (=47%). In detail HE is identified in 66% of the Departments of Early Childhood Education and Care, in 44% of the Departments of Preschool Education and in 44% of the Departments of Primary Education. The outcomes of the analyses are summarised in Table I, II and III following the 3 segments of educational departments. It is noted that the schoolteachers in secondary education are not graduates from educational departments, but from scientific disciplines (e.g. mathematics, biology, IT) and philosophy.
Table I reports data from the Departments of Early Childhood and Care that include the subject of HE in their syllabuses. The specific departments provide preservice training to graduates who will be occupied in nurseries for children from birth to 4 years old. As Table I shows, 2 out of 3 Departments of Early Childhood Education and Care include the subject of HE in the syllabuses reaching a percentage of 66% in total coverage. The curriculum of the University of West Attica includes 13 modules, of which the total a percentage of 100% is focused on health-related topics, heavily biomedical-oriented, whereas there is no single module devoted to pedagogical principles, not to mention critical pedagogy. The curriculum of the University of Ioannina, includes 10 out 13 modules devoted to health-related topics, whereas the first two (i.e. 1. Definitions of Health and HE, 2. Historical overview and mythology) leaves marginally space for pedagogical principles.

The Table II below presents data extracted from all the Departments of Early Childhood Education. The graduates will be occupied to preschool education for children at the age of 5 years old.

As shown in Table II 4 out of 9 Departments of Early Childhood Education include HE in their syllabuses, reaching 44% in total coverage.

The curriculum of the National and Kapodistrian University of Athens is divided into two sections, describing the first one as the topic-oriented, including a number of core health related topics, whereas the second section refers to pedagogical methods, leaving thus space to critical pedagogy, balancing also between the topics and the methods of HE.

The curriculum of the Democritus University of Thrace follows a similar distinction between the content and the methods and goes a step further by clarifying that students will be introduced to “the modern methodology of Health Education, which concerns the development of those skills that promote mental and physical health, but also social well-being”. The reference to “modern methodology” implies, by contrast, that an old-fashioned methodology has been displaced.

The curriculum of the University of Western Macedonia refers to 12 modules, instead of a general description as the two previous ones. It appears that only 3 out of 12 modules focus on health-related topics (i.e. nutrition, oral hygiene, traffic education) and these three topics do not appear as
biomedical-oriented. Most of the modules (9 of 12) focus on methodological and conceptual/ethical dimensions of HE, leaving considerable degree for critical pedagogy principles.

The curriculum of the University of Ioannina offers two HE subjects in the syllabus, one mandatory (Health Education I) and one optional (Health Education II). However, there is no description of the curriculum for the optional subject (i.e. Health Education II) in the syllabus. In respect to HE I, it appears that 11 out of 13 modules (from 3rd to 13th) are topic-oriented, whereas only 2 out of 13 (i.e. the 1st and 2nd) leaving space for pedagogical principles and critical pedagogy.

The Table III below contains data extracted from all the Departments of Primary Education in Greek public universities. The graduates will be occupied to primary education for children from 6 to 11 years old.

As Table III shows, 4 out of 9 departments of primary education include HE as a subject in their syllabuses, reaching in total 44% coverage. In respect to Aristotle University, it is noted that although the subject is included in the timetable of the Department, it is not included in the syllabus and consequently the curriculum is missing.

In respect to contents and methods it is observed that the National and Kapodistrian University offers two subject, although one may be considered purely HE. The modules of the curriculum include conceptual approaches and methods of HE following the school setting approach, while the topics cover behavior issues (e.g. self-esteem, self-confidence) and elementary individual health (e.g. physical exercise, use and abuse of substances, sexual treatment, oral health treatment, stress).

In the University of Ioannina the modules of the curriculum is overall oriented to methods (e.g. Application of knowledge in practice, Work in an interdisciplinary environment, Decision making, teamworking, project planning etc) and not to health-related topics, leaving ample space for critical pedagogy principles to flourish. In the University of Patras the modules, as previously, is balanced between fundamental concepts of HE (e.g. Health, Health Education - Health Promotion, Health Literacy) and to the methods (e.g. Programs – Project, Logical Model, Planning – Educational activities)
DISCUSSION

This study is the first of its kind in the Greek higher educational system and one of the few in the international literature that served a twofold purpose, endowing quantification and qualification of the curricula of health education in educational departments to explore whether and how schoolteachers receive preservice training in health education.

First, in terms of the quantifiable purpose, the syllabuses of all the educational departments, namely early childhood and primary education, of the public higher education system, have been scrutinized to identify whether school health education (SHE) is included as a subject. In total, the subject was traced in less than half of the educational departments (47%). This, in practical terms, means the Greek Universities offer marginally preservice SHE training to future educational staff at both preschool and primary education. This is particularly alarming given the recent major public health crisis of Covid-19, which documented the importance of health education in terms of the public health crisis management. The limited presence of SHE in schoolteachers bachelor degrees may be interpreted against the biomedical dominance in SHE, in that it is mostly associated with a subject associated with medical, nursing and public health schools, rather than educational faculties.

As mentioned above, the literature is scarce on the topic. A rather dated empirical work, conducted more than two decades ago, surveyed courses of bachelor degrees of primary, secondary and physical education at Canadian universities seeking to identify, similarly to this study, the extent to which students receive sexual health education preservice training, reporting it is offered either as a compulsory or optional subject below the average, i.e. 39.3% (McKay & Barrett, 1999). However, the particular study focused on sexual health education, whilst the current one focuses on SHE, a broader field of knowledge. Another study, dated again, conducted more than a decade ago, seeks to discuss a curriculum change in the provision of health promotion in preservice teacher education in a one-year postgraduate certificate in education secondary course taking as a case study one Higher Education Institution in the UK, adopting a different perspective as it explores the
transformations to the health promotion component of the programme and their implementation (Byrne et al., 2012).

The second purpose of this study was to identify the patterns inherent in the SHE curriculum, in cases this was traced in educational departments syllabuses. This purpose, in turn, was divided into two aims. One the one, we sought to understand how the SHE curriculum is shaped, to what extent it encompasses health-related topics and to what extent it includes methods and ethics. In the latter, it was particularly important within the scope of this empirical work, to track critical pedagogy principles. In respect to graduates from early childhood education and care preservice training in SHE, appears to retains an explicit biomedical orientation on health-related topics, whereas the methods and ethics of SHE, which constitute the foundation of the subject, are not dismissed from the design of the curriculum. Graduates from preschool education preservice training in SHE, is more balanced between the thematic and the methodological input in the curricula design, whereas the health-related topics encompass element of social and environmental facets of health. A similar outcome is extracted through the analyses for preservice primary education teachers’ training, whilst in two instances the curriculum is embedded by more sophisticated critical health promotion conceptions (e.g., empowerment, environmental respect) and methods (teamworking, decision-making skills, project planning). Considerable variability is reported also by McKay & Barrett (1999) variability between individual programs in time allocated and topic emphasis.

Concerns as for the pre-service training of schoolteachers in Greece are echoed in an article highlighting the need to integrate the teaching of science and biology with health and environmental education, presenting also a proposal for a university course adapted to the needs of present and future teachers towards the abovementioned subjects’ integration (Athanasiou, 2021). The need to revise the SHE curricula with critical pedagogy principles is reiterated in another research conducted in Greece, reporting a constantly changing position in school curricula, deficiencies in schoolteachers’ in-service training, coordination and leadership impede the implementation
(Soultatou et al., 2023). However, even though those two scholarly works are among the few focused in Greek SHE curricula, both adopt different perspectives than the current one. Health education evolved over time from a behaviour change focus to creating healthy, fair and sustainable environments, accomplishing at the same time the ambitious goal of social justice. These three interlinked goals of health promotion, after the pandemic Covid-19, is suggested by Baum (2021) advising to address planetary health issues, greater engagement of the civil society in a proactive advocacy process in policymaking, placing health and equity before profit, encouraging participatory decision-making and working towards health equity. Seeds of this perspective are found, yet limited currently, in the schoolteachers’ preservice training in SHE regarding the case of the Greek tertiary education.

The existent curricula design in most of the educational departments in Greece lacks explicit elements of critical pedagogy principles. Aligning critical pedagogy with health education may encourage students and teachers to creating a co-learning and power sharing environment, to creating a bottom-up, democratic and inclusive climate and to ensuring that within this condition conflict resolution may be achieved, as the conception of conflict will be interpreted towards emancipation and social transformation (Wallerstein, 2020; Wallerstein & Bernstein, 1988). However, as previous scholarly work has shown exploring university Departments of Early Childhood Education in Greece, the curricula are to a great extent dominated by a focus on general pedagogical knowledge, educational sciences, psychology, whereas the knowledge is usually transferred to students using traditional, didactic approaches in lectures where educators talk and students listen (Kourtì & Androussou, 2013). The pandemic Covid-19 lockdowns and university closures forced the use of information computer technologies in distance learning (Tzifopoulos, 2020), although it is ambivalent if this forced migration to digital environments of higher education teaching and learning challenged the conventional didactic methods of passive learning, as distance impeded interaction. In this respect, the SHE methods and values need to be taken more seriously into account in the design of SHE curricula, utilizing the means of digital technologies that have
been proven particular useful and effective at times of public health crises (i.e. lockdown and school closures).

In summary, one of the key messages conveyed in the recent massive public health and humanitarian crisis is that populations need to be educated not only in individual health protection measures but to cultivate a culture of solidarity towards social justice, to move from health objectivism towards health subjectivism and to acknowledge more seriously the egalitarian values and methods in educating children for similar circumstances that may reoccur in the future. With this frame of theory and action, higher education institutions offering pre-service training to schoolteachers need, on the one, to recognize the need to reinforce health education in their curricula, and, on the other, to revise the existing curricula with critical pedagogy principles that will serve the purpose of social solidarity and social justice.
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