Modelling 25-hydroxyvitamin D responses to different recommended daily intakes of vitamin D
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Abstract (82 words)
The reference nutrient intake for vitamin D in people aged ≥4 years is 10 μg/day in the UK, which contrasts with the recommended daily allowance of 15 μg/day for people aged 1-70 years in the USA. Using modelling of published data, we compared 95% confidence intervals for serum concentrations of 25-hydroxyvitamin D3 (25(OH)D3) attained in response to these two doses. 97.5% of those taking 10 μg/day vs. 15 μg/day were predicted to attain circulating 25(OH)D concentrations >44 nmol/L vs. 50 nmol/L, respectively.
Vitamin D is crucial for maintaining bone mineralisation, which helps prevent osteopenia and osteoporosis (Holick, 2007).

Vitamin D is synthesised in the skin by exposure to ultraviolet B (UVB) radiation. Its major circulating metabolite, 25-hydroxyvitamin D (i.e. 25(OH)D), is subsequently activated to form 1,25(OH)2D (calcitriol) which exerts its biological effects. Vitamin D deficiency is prevalent in the UK and USA, especially in spring and winter (Hyppönen & Power, 2007; Ganji et al., 2012; Lin et al., 2020).

Vitamin D status is characterised by the serum levels of 25(OH)D. Thresholds are controversial and vary internationally (Dominguez et al., 2021). According to the UK Department of Health, serum 25(OH)D should not be lower than 25 nmol/L (SACN, 2016). However, deleterious effects were reported to be associated with serum 25(OH)D < 50 nmol/L (Malabanan et al., 1998; Gaksch et al., 2017; Giustina et al., 2020; Griffin et al., 2021). Others have proposed 75 nmol/L or even higher concentrations as a sufficiency target (Holick, 2007, Dominguez et al., 2021).

The UK Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition (SACN) recommends a reference nutrient intake (RNI) of 10 µg/day vitamin D in winter and spring for the general population and 10 µg/day all year round for the at-risk groups (SACN, 2016). On the other hand, the US National Academy of Medicine (NAM) recommends a daily allowance of 15 µg/day vitamin D for 1- to 70-year-old, and 20 µg/day for over 70s (Bouillon, 2017). Here, we investigate whether there is significant difference between 10 and 15 µg/day doses in terms of serum 25(OH)D PK profiles, and how they compare with the 25, 50 and 75 nmol/L thresholds for 25(OH)D proposed in the field.

To answer this question, we first searched the literature for clinical trials reporting effects of a daily dose of 10 µg vitamin D₃ dose either with or without calcium or other vitamins in participants with baseline 25(OH)D concentrations <25 nmol/L. We limited the dosage form to tablets, chewable tablets, pills and capsules and found 4 arms of 3 randomised controlled trials (RCTs) between 1979 and 2019 (Lips et al., 1988; Chel et al., 1998; Grados et al., 2003). All studies focused on elderly subjects and bodyweight was not reported. The mean serum 25(OH)D reached 60 nmol/L after 12 weeks (dots with solid lines in Figure 2A). Similarly, 2 arms of 2 RCTs for 15 µg/day vitamin D₃ dose were identified (dots with solid lines in Figure 2B): both studies focused on elderly subjects, and bodyweight was unavailable (Chel et al., 1998; Heijboer et al., 2015).

Next, we used modelling and simulation to generate the 95% confidence interval (CI) for the serum 25(OH)D concentrations attained using this dose, irrespective of bodyweight.

Previously, we reported a physiologically-based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) model for serum pharmacokinetics (PK) of vitamin D₃ and 25(OH)D₃ (Figure 1). This naïve averaged model employed only a single set of parameters and made accurate predictions for adult populations from Asia, Europe, Americas, and Oceania in all seasons under a wide range of doses (repeated daily dosing: 83 clinical trial arms, 12.5 – 1250 µg/day; large single doses: 16 clinical trial arms, 1250 – 50000 µg) (Huang & You, 2021).
Here, we further developed it into a nonlinear mixed effects (NLME) model. We first refitted the vitamin D part of the model with the same data from [Huang & You, 2021] (13 arms: single dose between 70 – 2500 µg; repeated dose between 20 – 275 µg/day). The best model inherited all parameters from the naïve average model but the hepatic clearance parameter $CL_H$: for repeated daily dosing, NLME: 0.222 h⁻¹, 95% CI [0.179, 0.274]; naïve average: h⁻¹ (Table 1: “Vitamin D Part”. Also referred to as model 11 Table S5).

We then constructed a 90-day 25(OH)D training set for the sake of computing time (90 arms in total; doses from 10 to 1250 µg/day) and refitted the 25(OH)D part of the model based on the expected parameter values for the vitamin D part. Our final model for the 25(OH)D part includes random effects for the partition coefficient $K_{25rb}$ and maximum clearance $CL_{max}$ does not have any covariates, and makes good parametric inference (Table 1: “25(OH)D Part (without weight)”. Also referred to as model 7 in Table S6). It is important to note the training data span a wide variety of race, age, gender, and geographical location, as mentioned earlier. Hence, the model is not limited by these factors and can be viewed as a general model for any population in the world.

Table 1. Parameters for the final model. Models were fitted using the SAEM method in nlme R package.
We then generated the 95% CI for attained serum 25(OH)D for the 10 µg/day dose by sampling the NLME model. Encouragingly, all reported mean values were within the 95% CI (Figure 2A). We found the baseline 25(OH)D levels between 18 and 24 nmol/L only had limited effects on the predicted serum 25(OH)D concentrations at 26 weeks (dashed lines in Figure 2A) ranging from 44 to 72 nmol/L. This is consistent with the observations that people with the lowest baseline levels had the highest increment in 25(OH)D in response to the same dose (Huang & You, 2021). Given the lower bound of 44 nmol/L, model predicts >2.5% chance for a person with baseline 25(OH)D < 25 nmol/L to fall below the 50 nmol/L threshold at the 10 µg/day dose.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>25(OH)D Part (without weight model 7 in Table S6)</th>
<th>Est. in Ln</th>
<th>SE in Ln</th>
<th>%RSE</th>
<th>Back-transformed (95% CI)</th>
<th>BSV</th>
<th>Shrink%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TKp25rb</td>
<td>-2.08</td>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>0.124 (0.0789, 0.196)</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>24.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCLmax</td>
<td>-2.9</td>
<td>0.0848</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>0.055 (0.0466, 0.065)</td>
<td>79.0</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additive error</td>
<td>0.00105</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00105</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportional error</td>
<td>0.0551</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0551</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>25(OH)D Part (with bodyweight model 10 in Table S6)</th>
<th>Est. in Ln</th>
<th>SE in Ln</th>
<th>%RSE</th>
<th>Back-transformed (95% CI)</th>
<th>BSV</th>
<th>Shrink%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TKp25rb</td>
<td>-1.35</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>0.258 (0.158, 0.421)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>27.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCLmax</td>
<td>-3.04</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.0477 (0.0383, 0.0595)</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>20.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additive error</td>
<td>0.000794</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.000794</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportional error</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We simulated the 15 µg/day dose for two trial arms with baseline values at 23 and 27 nmol/L, respectively (dots with solid lines in Figure 2B). All data points were within the predicted 95% CI (Figure 2B). Interestingly, the lower bound of the predicted 95% was 50 nmol/L (dashed curves in Figure 1B). This is consistent with the US NAM recommendation that 15 µg/day dose is needed to achieve the 50 nmol/L target, though the simulation is made for a person with baseline levels >23 nmol/L and the claim is made for the majority of the US population.

We next evaluated the impact of 25(OH)D baselines on predicted steady-state 25(OH)D levels by simulating different baseline values between 10 and 25 nmol/L. The predicted steady state serum 25(OH)D concentration was insensitive to baseline values after...
approximately 18 weeks for 10 µg/day dose (Figure 2C) and 16 weeks for 15 µg/day dose (Figure 2D). In other words, the 25(OH)D baseline might be unimportant for the steady state, and these simulation results support the recommendation that 15 µg/day dose is sufficient for 97.5% of adult population to achieve the 50 nmol/L target.

Vitamin D is lipophilic and distributes into the fat tissue. We explored whether bodyweight or body mass index (BMI) could be incorporated into the model. Among the 25(OH)D data we used, 33 out of 90 arms reported mean bodyweight ([45, 93] kg). Our best model (model 10 in Table S6) does not consider BMI. Instead, it assumes volume of distribution for each compartment is proportional to bodyweight. For instance, $V_{TB} = 62.6 \times WT/70$, where $V_{TB} = 62.6L$ for a 70kg man. This new model reduces BSV for the 2 parameters $Kp_{25rb}$ and $CL_{max}$ (Table 1: “25(OH)D Part (with bodyweight)”) and moderately improves the goodness of fit. When we repeated the simulations in Figures 2C and 2D for subjects weighing 45kg and 93kg, the qualitative conclusions on the 2 doses remain the same (Figure S1).

In summary, based on a well-qualified naïve averaged model, we developed an NLME PBPK model to assess the 95% CI of 25(OH)D serum PK at 10 and 15 µg/day doses. Our simulation suggests repeated dosing at 15 µg/day is sufficient for 97.5% of population to reach the 50 nmol/L threshold, while 10 µg/day elevates 25(OH)D concentrations >44 nmol/L in 97.5% of people. This may be important to consider when choosing a daily dose of vitamin D to prevent vitamin D deficiency.

**Contributions**

Designed the research: TY. Collected data: ZHH. Collated data: NM, TY. Performed modelling: All authors. Analysed the data: All authors. Drafted the paper: TY. Finalised on the paper: All authors.

**Acknowledgement**

We are grateful for the time and useful comments made by Prof Martin Hewison (University of Birmingham) and Prof Adrian R Martineau (Queen Mary University of London), which significantly improved the manuscript. The authors would also like to thank Prof Kesara Na-Bangchang for the constructive advice. NM, TY and Prof Kesara Na-Bangchang are supported by the Royal Golden Jubilee Ph.D. Programme in Thailand (grant number PHD/0095/2561).

**References:**


