Disease modeling and pharmacological rescue of autosomal dominant Retinitis Pigmentosa associated with \textit{RHO} copy number variation
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\textbf{Abstract}

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.
Retinitis pigmentosa (RP), a heterogenous group of inherited retinal disorder causes slow progressive vision loss with no effective treatments available. Mutations in the rhodopsin gene (RHO), account for ~40% cases of autosomal dominant RP (adRP). In this study, we describe the disease characteristics of the first ever reported mono-allelic copy number variation (CNV) in RHO as a novel cause of adRP. We (1) show advanced retinal degeneration in a male patient (~age in years, 60s) harboring four transcriptionally active intact copies of rhodopsin, (2) recapitulate the clinical phenotypes using retinal organoids, and (3) assess the utilization of a small molecule, Photoregulin3 (PR3), as a clinically viable strategy to target and modify disease progression in RP patients associated with RHO-CNV. Patient retinal organoids showed outer segment developmental defects (microscopy), increased RHO mRNA levels (qRT-PCR and bulk RNA-sequencing), along with elevated expression and mislocalization of rhodopsin protein (RHO) within the cell body of rod photoreceptors (western blotting and immunohistochemistry). Lastly, by targeting the upstream regulator of RHO, NR2E3, we effectively altered RHO expression, leading to the partial rescue of RHO protein localization from the soma to the inner/outer segments of rod photoreceptors and providing a proof-of-principle for personalized medicine. Taken together, this study supports the clinical data indicating that adRP due to rhodopsin CNV develops due to a dominant negative gain of function.

Introduction

Retinitis pigmentosa (RP) is a genetically heterogenous group of rod-cone photoreceptor degenerative diseases that are unified by common clinical features characterized by progressive vision loss, commonly starting as night blindness(O’Neal and Luther 2022). RP affects roughly 1 in 3000-5000 individuals and is inherited as an autosomal recessive, autosomal dominant (ad) or X-linked disease(Chizzolini et al. 2011). adRP can be caused by mutations in at least 24 different known genes(“RetNet: Summaries” n.d.) and mutations in rhodopsin gene (RHO) are the most common genetic cause. Mutation in the RHO was the first identified cause of RP with a single-base substitution at codon 23 (P23H) subsequently leading to protein misfolding and triggering the death of the rod photoreceptors(Dryja et al. 1990). RHO is located on the long arm of chromosome 3 (3q22.1) and drives the expression of a 348 amino-acid G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) with seven transmembrane domains, a luminal N terminus, and a cytoplasmic C terminus. Morphologically, the rhodopsin protein (RHO) is localized in the disc membrane of the rod photoreceptor outer segments. Currently >150 different rhodopsin mutations have been identified, all contributing through multiple mechanisms with each having distinct consequences on the protein structure and function(Athanasiou et al. 2018). Based on the experimentally studied biochemical and cellular characteristics, several mechanisms have been linked with RHO-mutation associated photoreceptor degenerations including protein misfolding, endoplasmic reticulum (ER) retention and instability, glycosylation defects, post Golgi trafficking and outer segment targeting, dimerization deficiency, altered post-translational modifications and reduced stability, disrupted vesicular trafficking and endocytosis, impaired protein trafficking all leading to constitutive phototransduction activation or altered transducin interactions(Newton and Megaw 2020).
Very recently, we reported copy number variations (CNV) in RHO as a novel cause of adRP (Duncan et al. 2019). The current study presents a unique opportunity to better understand the pathogenic effects of two extra copies of intact wild-type RHO on a single allele at 3q22.1 in a male patient (~age in 60s) diagnosed with adRP. Transgenic mice overexpressing wild-type Rho have previously demonstrated photoreceptor degeneration, however the precise mechanism of degeneration is still unclear (Olsson et al. 1992). Although mice have similar genetics to humans, the distribution, subtypes, quantity of retinal cells (especially photoreceptor cells), and the developmental timeline of the retina, differs greatly (Volland et al. 2015). Therefore, access to human cells and tissues vis-à-vis the retinal organoid model provides a reliable, translational, and clinically relevant system to gain insights into the pathogenic effects of excessive rhodopsin in photoreceptors. Induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC)-based models have been used to model several retinal degenerations such as Retinitis Pigmentosa (Tucker et al. 2013; Giacalone et al. 2019), Usher’s syndrome (Dulla et al. 2021), Leber congenital amaurosis (LCA) (Parfitt et al. 2016; Kruczek et al. 2021) and a recent study on CRX-associated LCA7 (Chirco et al. 2021). This report aimed to unravel the pathogenesis of late-onset RHO-CNVS retinal disease using retinal organoids.

Patient-specific retinal organoids serves as a versatile tool for testing various therapeutic interventions including small molecules which aims at modulating the pathways (Moore, Skowronska-Krawczyk, and Chao 2020; Liu et al. 2021). Small molecule-based targeted therapeutics have the potential to cross the blood-brain barrier when administered systemically and can be therapeutically titrated. Amongst these, nuclear receptors are important targets as they have druggable ligand-binding sites. Approximately 15% of approved drugs, target at least 48 members of human nuclear receptors superfamily, and 10 amongst these are orphan (Zhao, Zhou, and Gustafsson 2019). Numerous orphan nuclear receptors are expressed in the retina. Nuclear receptor subfamily 2 group E member 3 (NR2E3), orphan nuclear receptor in photoreceptors, is a direct target of neural retina leucine zipper (NRL), the main rod-specifying gene (Kobayashi et al. 1999). It is expressed very early in post-mitotic rods and coactivates the transcription of rod-specific genes including RHO, CRX and NRL (Bumsted O'Brien et al. 2004; Cheng et al. 2004; Mitton et al. 2000). Recent studies have identified photoregulins (PR), small molecules that target NR2E3 and can modify disease progression in some mouse models of rod photoreceptor mutation-associated RP (Nakamura et al. 2016, 2017). We tested the hypothesis that PR targeting NR2E3 can mitigate the deleterious effects of the RHO-CNVS using in vitro patient-specific retinal organoids. Overall, we demonstrated the establishment of a human retinal organoid model of RHO-CNVS associated with adRP to gain critical insights into the pathogenesis of human disease and to provide an important screening tool for the development of potential novel therapies.

Results

RHO-CNVS patient presented clinical characteristics of adRP.

The clinical and ERG data of a patient and one healthy first-degree relative of the patients is included (Table 1). Complete ophthalmological examination revealed features of RP (Hirji 2023) including bone spicule-like pigmentation changes, optic disc pallor and attenuation of retinal blood vessels (Figure 1A, Supplementary Figure 1A),
with outer retinal atrophy due to the loss of the photoreceptor layers, sparing the central foveal region (Figure 1B). Genetic testing of the proband by next-generation sequencing (NGS) showed a complex duplication-rearrangement of chromosome 3q22, which encompassed the entire RHO coding sequence, 5' and 3' regulatory regions and flanking genes. The rearrangement consisted of a 48 kb triplicated region embedded within a 188 kb duplication, resulting in three apparently intact RHO genes on one chromosome and a fourth, unaltered RHO gene on the homologous chromosome. Each of the three intact copies were flanked by H1FOO, IFT122, EFCAB12 and MBD4, suggesting a duplication-inverted-and triplication-duplication event, in which the triplicated segment is inverted and located between correctly oriented genomic segments (Figure 1C). No other additional causative variants of inherited retinal degeneration candidate genes were identified. Whole genome sequencing supported the rearrangement and extra copies of RHO which were identified by NGS. The RHO copy number variants were not detected in the unaffected daughter of the patient. Clinically collected four-generation pedigree data of the family (data not shown) included two male family members affected with RP, indicating male-male transmission, consistent with an autosomal dominant inheritance. The proband’s affected father was not examined as he was deceased, but he had been diagnosed clinically with RP. The clinical findings were consistent with the hypothesis that copy number variation in the wild-type rhodopsin gene causes adRP.

RHO-CNV retinal organoids exhibit photoreceptor maturation defects.

To assess the effects of RHO-CNV in human retinal organoids, we initially reprogrammed the peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from one patient with four copies of RHO (RM) as well as the corresponding familial control (RC) into iPSCs (Supplementary Figure 2A-B). The iPSC lines had the colony morphology of tightly packed cells with a high nucleus-to-cytoplasm ratio, a well-defined border typical of stem cells, and expression of pluripotent markers (Supplementary Figure 2C-D). We then differentiated the patient and control iPSC lines into retinal organoids by following our previously published protocols (Bachu et al. 2022; Arthur et al. 2022), as per the differentiation timeline depicted in Figure 2A. Retinal organoids from the RHO-CNV patient and the control displayed well-defined neuroepithelial lamination, indicating the alignment of photoreceptors on the apical surface of the organoids and a dark central basal region consisting of ganglion cells by phase contrast microscopy (Supplementary Figure 2E). Notably, there were no clear visible anatomical changes in apical-basal retinal cell type distribution during the early differentiation timeframe, when the cone and rod photoreceptors are usually born in 45-50-days-old and 90-120-days-old human retinal organoids, respectively (data not shown). Over the prolonged differentiation culture timeframe (>180 days), the control (RC) retinal organoids displayed hair-like protrusions which were presumptive inner and outer segments at the apical side of the retinal organoids, a critical event indicating start of photoreceptor maturation. In contrast, to control organoids, all the patient (RM) retinal organoids showed short initial hair-like protrusions that did not elongate over the extended culture time as far out as 300 days in culture (Figure 2B). We further examined the fine structure of photoreceptors in the RHO-CNV organoids using transmission electron microscopy (Figure 2C). Upon assessing the 300-day-old organoids, we observed that while the
patient organoids developed connecting cilium and inner segments like the control organoids, they failed to develop outer segments, validating the morphological defects observed by phase-contrast microscopy. Taken together, these morphological changes suggest that iPSC-retinal organoids from the patient with RHO-CNV demonstrated features consistent with degenerative phenotypes associated to adRP.

**RHO-CNV retinal organoids revealed conspicuous defects in rod phototransduction and ciliary transcripts.**

Control and patient retinal organoids were analyzed at two developmental stages: at rod photoreceptor birth (D120), and at rod maturation (D300). For each sample, qRT-PCR was carried out by utilizing primers designed to nine key genes that regulate the development and maturation of rod photoreceptors. mRNA levels were analyzed for the expression of genes specific for early pan photoreceptor (OTX2, CRX, RCVRN), early rod photoreceptors (NRL, NR2E3), rod-specific phototransduction (PDE6B, SAG, RHO) and ciliary (IFT122) genes (Supplementary Table 4). To equilibrate the data to equivalent the number of photoreceptors in organoids, we compared the targeted photoreceptor gene expression in RC and RM by normalizing to CRX, a ubiquitously expressing photoreceptor gene maintained from development to adulthood(Yamamoto et al. 2020). The expression of all the target genes were detected at each time point (D120 and D300) in the retinal organoids (Figure 3A). Pan-photoreceptor and early rod marker genes showed similar expression levels with no noticeable variations in RC and RM organoids. In contrast, the phototransduction and ciliary genes were expressed at a higher level in the patient than in control organoids. More relevant to the current study, there was a significant ~3 log2 fold change (log2FC) increases in the RHO levels at D120 and D300 in the patient organoids. We also observed a small ~1 log2FC, statistically significant increase in rod arrestin (SAG) at D300. Relatedly, a small ~1 log2FC, non-statistical increases was observed in IFT122, a gene reported to be triplicated in NGS along with RHO.

To advance a better molecular understanding on detailed genomic expression and gene regulatory networks, we performed bulk-RNA sequencing on 300-days-old retinal organoids (n=3 independent biological replicates). Patient retinal organoids demonstrated upregulated transcriptomic levels of RHO (~3 log2FC) and SAG (~2 log2FC), comparable to the qRT-PCR data (Figure 3B). Additionally, we also observed increased expression in other outer segment genes including PRPH, visual cycle genes RDH8 and HCN1, and a synaptic gene which includes PTPRT in patient relative to control organoids (Figure 3B). Following the Gene Ontology enrichment analysis (EnrichGO) of significantly differentially up-regulated genes, we confirmed differential increases in phototransduction cascades including the visual/light perception and membrane potential/Ca+ signaling pathways in patient organoids. Additionally, increases in genes associated with the synaptic signaling pathway were also detected, suggestive of photoreceptor dysfunction (Figure 3C). Pathway enrichment analysis of significantly differentially expressed genes for cellular components category pointed to perturbation in pathways associated with defects in glycosylation especially N-linked glycosylation as well as ER and Golgi transport (Figure 3D, Table 2), highlighting potential pathophysiology indicative mechanisms of RHO-CNV associated RP. Although glycosylation is not required for the rhodopsin biosynthesis, N-linked glycosylation (at
N2 and N15), a post-translational modification, is a necessary step for interacting with chaperones during ER transport. This is also an essential step towards incorporation of the heptahelical G-protein coupled receptor rhodopsin in the rod outer segments. Thus, RNAseq data suggests that the defects in rhodopsin glycosylation possibly decreased the ability of rhodopsin to exit ER, and leading to an adRP phenotype (Tam and Moritz 2009; C. H. Sung and Tai 1999).

**RHO-CN V retinal organoids displayed mis-localized and elevated Rhodopsin protein levels.**

Immunofluorescence staining on the cross sections of control and the patient retinal organoids was examined for the spatial location of the photoreceptors at three time points (D120, D200, D300). Pan-photoreceptor precursor and progenitor proteins (OTX2, CRX), and rod specific proteins (NR2E3) were expressed in the apical layer of the organoids in a similar pattern both in the control and patient with no observable loss of photoreceptors (Supplementary Figure 3A-C). These results corroborated our gene expression and transcriptomics data (Figure 3A-B) indicating no defects in rod biogenesis in the patient organoids. As the organoids began to mature, the distribution of RHO protein was observed in the outer segments of control organoids starting at D200, earliest timepoint for detection in human retinal organoids using our differentiation protocol. Compared to the control, the patient organoid had mis-localized RHO protein accumulating in the photoreceptor cell soma, at all analyzed time-points (D200, D260 and D300) (Figure 4A). Co-staining with NR2E3, a rod-specific marker, confirmed mislocalized RHO expression in the rod photoreceptor soma within patient organoids (Supplementary Figure 3D). Additionally, we observed an increase in the expression and localization of rod-specific phototransduction protein, SAG, in patient retinal organoids (Figure 4B).

Patient retinal organoid homogenates displayed a significant 16-fold and 9-fold higher fractions of ~40 kDa monomer and ~80 kDa dimer rhodopsin content respectively in patient organoids relative to controls despite loading equal amounts of protein lysates by western blot (Figure 4C-C”). A significant 1.5-fold increase in ~48 kDa SAG protein, a rhodopsin interacting protein was also observed (Figure 4D-D’). These findings suggest that RHO-CN V actively translated the excessive rhodopsin protein which did not undergo the post-translational modifications that are necessary for the packaging and transport of rhodopsin to the rod outer segments, ultimately leading to rod photoreceptor dysfunction and potentially death in the patient.

**PR3 treatment attenuates RHO expression and partially rescues RHO trafficking in RHO-CN V retinal organoids.**

Since, the excess RHO protein generation due to the extra RHO copies is likely not being processed appropriately, we aimed to test potential therapies that may reduce RHO protein levels in rods. We targeted orphan nuclear receptor, NR2E3, using a small molecule to assess its effect on RHO regulation and expression in the human patient organoid model (Figure 5A). A small molecule drug, PR3, targeted to NR2E3, has been previously described to regulate the rod gene expression in Rhop23H mice, suggesting its potential for the use in the treatment of RP (Nakamura et al. 2017). We treated 300-days-old, RHO-CN V patient retinal organoids with PR3 for one week and...
assessed the effects on RHO mRNA expression and protein localization. Immunofluorescence staining of PR3-treated organoids displayed a partial rescue of RHO localization with optimal trafficking observed in the 0.25 µM PR3-treated organoids (Figure 5B). None of the organoids showed any evidence of toxicity post-treatment. PR3 drove a significant downregulation of RHO in a dose-dependent manner (0.1-0.5 µM). Following qRT-PCR analysis, we observed a 2-to-5 log2FC decrease in RHO expression, along with smaller decreases in other rod-specific genes such as NR2E3, GNAT1 and PDE6B (Figure 5C). We did not see any significant effects of PR3 on blue-, green- and red-cone opsin genes (Supplementary Figure 4). We further compared the RHO expression of PR3-treated patient organoids with control (RC) organoids. RHO expression levels in the patient organoids treated with 0.1 and 0.25 µM PR3 resembled control organoids, while 0.5 µM PR3 resulted in significantly decreased RHO expression compared to control organoid levels (Figure 5D).

We further carried out bulk RNA-sequencing analysis to comprehensively characterize three different groups of organoids, 0.25 µM PR3-treated and vehicle-treated patient organoids and control (RC) organoids from three independent differentiation experiments. Consistent with the qRT-PCR gene expression analysis, the results showed a significant downregulation in RHO and other rod phototransduction genes including SAG and GNAT1 (Figure 6A). Additionally, we confirmed that PR3 did not have any adverse effects on cone opsin transcripts. Principal component analysis (PCA) and normalized read counts analysis of sequenced data for rod (RHO, SAG, GNAT1, NR2E3) and other genes demonstrated that the PR3-treated organoids were more alike to control organoids compared to vehicle treated patient organoids (Figure 6B-C, Supplementary Figure 5B). Upon KEGG analysis of differentially expressed genes associated with the rod phototransduction pathway from the three different groups of organoids, we observed that several rod pathway components which were upregulated in patient organoids were potentially salvaged following PR3 treatment (Figure 6D). EnrichGO analysis of significantly downregulated genes in visual perception/phototransduction pathways confirmed the specific activity of PR3 in retina (Figure 6E) while analysis for significantly upregulated genes showed changes in cilium organization, axoneme assembly, ER to Golgi transport and glycosylation, all of which are critical to outer segment formation and protein trafficking (Figure 6F, Supplementary Figure 5A-B) suggestive of recovery in rod photoreceptor maturation. Thus, the data presented strongly suggests that PR3, a NR2E3 modulator, could potentially rescue rod photoreceptor homeostasis in RHO-CNVI patients.

Discussion
To our knowledge, there have been no previous reports of RP associated with multiple copies of wild-type RHO in humans. However, retinal degeneration phenotypes have been reported in mice bearing extra copies of Rhodopsin and showed that even a 10-30% increase in rhodopsin expression resulted in slow degeneration, while a 3-5-fold increase caused severe and rapid photoreceptor loss (Olsson et al. 1992). More recent studies show that the retinal degeneration phenotype correlated closely to the amount of RHO overexpression (Tan et al. 2001; Wen et al. 2009). The current study reports the clinical retinal phenotype of a patient with RHO-CNVI, and photoreceptor abnormalities in iPSC-derived retinal organoids from the patient.
There is an increasing interest in gene augmentation therapeutic strategies for retinal degeneration patients with biallelic mutations in \textit{RPE65}, since the approval of Voretigene neparvovec. Although visual outcomes in most treated patients have been very encouraging with significant improvement in visual field and light sensitivity (Maguire et al.
2021), there have been some recent reports of progressive pericentral atrophy (Gange et al.
2022). One potential cause of retinal pigmented epithelium (RPE) atrophy following \textit{RPE65} gene augmentation could be overexpression of \textit{RPE65} in the AAV-\textit{RPE65} infected cells, due to the utilization of strong promoters such as CAG. Furthermore, the current preclinical approaches to target adRP due to \textit{RHO} are primarily based on gene therapies, with three competing approaches. One to knockdown mutant \textit{RHO} by replacing with a wild-type \textit{RHO}; second to merely overexpress wild-type \textit{RHO} (Massengill and Lewin 2021) and third to overexpress NR2E3, the upstream regulator of \textit{RHO} (Li et al.
2021). Overexpression of wild-type \textit{RHO} approach could have unintended adverse consequences on photoreceptor survival if the relationship between \textit{RHO} expression and rod survival is not clearly understood. Our current study on \textit{RHO}-CNV associated with adRP raises the awareness of toxicities and complications that might arise from either of the gene augmentation therapy approaches.

Our data strongly supports the notion that the RP phenotype in the patient is likely due to \textit{RHO} accumulation and mislocalization in the patient’s photoreceptors. Based on studies in animal models, \textit{RHO} mutations could lead to death of rod photoreceptors by several mechanisms, including: (a) overwhelming the transport machinery, thereby mislocalizing and driving cell dysfunction and death, as observed with Q344R/P/ter \textit{RHO} mutations in mice (C. H. Sung et al.
1994); (b) reducing the supply of phototransduction deactivating proteins for chromophore-attached \textit{RHO}, leading to constitutive activation and degeneration, as proposed in some forms of \textit{RHO} mutations such as G90D and T94I (Dizhoor et al.
2008); (c) reducing \textit{RHO} glycosylation in the Golgi leading to \textit{RHO} instability and photoreceptor degeneration, as in T17M mutation (Murray et al.
2015), or by (d) \textit{RHO} misfolding, driving ER stress/unfolded protein response (UPR) (Olsson et al.
1992; Chiang et al.
2015). Based on the RNAseq data in our current study, it is likely that \textit{RHO} overexpression overloads the rod ER significantly reducing the efficiency of \textit{RHO} glycosylation. Previous studies have shown that \textit{RHO} undergoes N-linked glycosylation at two distinct sites, Asn-2 and Asn-15. This glycosylation is believed to be critical for protein folding through interactions with chaperones as well as for the transport of rhodopsin to the outer segments. Interestingly, studies in \textit{Xenopus} suggest that this glycosylation is also responsible for nascent disc assembly (Murray, Fliesler, and Ali Ubaidi 2009). Additionally, we specifically analyzed the ER stress-UPR pathway in the patient organoids and did not detect any differences compared to control (\textit{data not shown}).

Nuclear receptors are ideal therapeutic targets because their activities can be readily induced or repressed with small molecules. This allows for fine tuning of the biological functions of the receptor to alter disease pathogenesis. A few drugs targeting these receptors are already in the clinic (Dhiman, Bolt, and White 2018). It is interesting that targeting a reduction in wild-type \textit{RHO} expression has also been proposed as a pan-RP therapy. Several groups have explored reduction in the expression of \textit{RHO} as even a little decrease can slow RP progression (Lewin et al.
1998). The photoregulin
group of compounds identified through a screen to target the NR2E3 orphan nuclear receptor were shown to repress rhodopsin expression with minor effects on cone opsins in mice (Nakamura et al. 2016). Additionally, upon delivery to mice by intra-peritoneal injection, PR3 has been found to be safe and effective in mitigating retinal degeneration, and improving visual function in the P23H rhodopsin mouse model (Nakamura et al. 2017), suggesting photoregulins an attractive preclinical candidates to treat humans with RHO-related adRP. Since excessive RHO leads to adRP, a tight regulation of RHO may be necessary to treat photoreceptor degeneration. In our studies, PR3 had a robust effect on rhodopsin expression with no effects on cone opsins. In conclusion, we have established a disease-in-a-dish model for RHO-CNV associated adRP and a potential therapeutic option for managing the devastating outcomes of the disorder.

Conclusion

To our knowledge, this is the first reported study characterizing the RHO-CNV, a novel cause of adRP. This case of CNV has expanded the profile of highly mutated RHO and by correlating the clinical behavior to disease modeling using retinal organoids. We have used the organoid model for targeted drug testing with PR3, small molecule inhibitor of NR2E3. Taken together, the results of this study demonstrate that RHO expression requires precise control for rod photoreceptor functioning and maintenance.

Methods

Clinical and Molecular Diagnosis

Both the proband (Male patient, ~age in years, 60s) and his unaffected daughter (~age in years, 30s) provided written informed consent, which was approved by the UCSF Institutional Review Board (IRB # 18-26409) and adhered to the tenets set forth in the Declaration of Helsinki. The participants gave consent to participate in the current study and have the results of this research work published. The proband (unidentified Lab ID # RM) and his asymptomatic daughter (unidentified Lab ID # RM) were examined at the University of California San Francisco (UCSF, CA, USA) including the pedigree data collection, clinical examination, and genetic diagnosis. Retinal examination included visual acuity testing, fundus photography, spectral domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT), full-field electrophysiological testing (ERG). For genetic diagnosis of RHO-CNV, peripheral blood (PB) samples collected from the proband and the unaffected daughter of the proband were screened by targeted Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) using a 266-gene retinal dystrophy panel and whole genome sequencing (Blueprint Genetics, USA).

Generating iPSC lines.

Peripheral blood samples collected from the human subjects (unidentified Lab ID # RC and RM) were reprogrammed into iPSCs as per the schematic outlined in Supplementary Figure 2A. Blood samples were processed by density-gradient centrifugation using Ficoll-paque™ PLUS (17-1440-02, GE Healthcare Biosciences, Sweden) to isolate the peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) (Supplementary Figure 2B). A small fraction of ~1-2 x 10^6 MNCs was cultured in 1-well of a 12-well suspension plate with peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) expansion medium
(Supplementary Table 1) by changing half media every day. Date of seeding the PBMC in culture was designated as Day (D) -4. Four days later, ~0.2-0.4 x 10^6 PBMCs were reprogrammed using CytoTune™-iPS 2.0 Sendai Reprogramming Kit (A16517, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), at 2.5:2.5:1.5 (KOS:c-myc: Klf4) multiplicity of infection. 24h post-transfection on D1, a full media change was done. Two days post transfection on D3, cells were plated onto 1-2 wells of a Matrigel (354234, Corning, USA, diluted as 1 µg/mL in DMEM/F12)-coated 6-well plate with reprogramming medium (Supplementary Table 1). Half media change was done on every other day from D3 until D6. For all the suspension cultures, medium change was done by centrifugation at 200x g for 10 minutes and resuspending the cell pellet with the respective medium and culturing back into the plates. On D8, cells were transitioned to iPSC medium (Supplementary Table 1) with further media changes done on every other day. About 14-21 days post transfection, colonies with typical characteristics of iPSC morphology were manually picked. Pure, compact, and tightly packed iPSC clones were split using Passaging solution (Supplementary Table 1) for further expansion, characterization (Supplementary Figure 2C-G), and retinal organoid differentiation.

Retinal Organoid Differentiation.

Retinal organoids were differentiated using one clone from each iPSC lines via the three step embryoid body (EB) approach following our previously published protocols and as per the schematic depicted in Figure 3A (Bachu et al. 2022; Arthur et al. 2022). Briefly, iPSC colonies were lifted and cultured in 6-well suspension plate. Small iPSC colonies self-aggregated as EBs within 24h were gradually transitioned to complete Neural Induction Medium (NIM) (Supplementary Table 1) from D0 to D3. On D6, EBs were treated with 1.5 nM BMP4 (120-05ET, PeproTech, USA). By D7, the EBs were transferred onto a Matrigel-coated plate with NIM and 1.5 nM BMP4 to facilitate the adherence of EBs to the plate. Brief exposure of BMP4 in the differentiation process included the treatment of EBs with 1.5 nM (from D6-D8) to 0.75 nM (D9-D11) and 0.375 nM (D12-14). On D15, BMP4 was completely removed and EBs were fed with just NIM. From D16 through D30, the adherent EBs were fed Retinal Differentiation Medium (RDM) (Supplementary Table 1) at the intervals of every 2 days. Regions of the plate displaying clear, shiny borders indicating the retina-like morphology were manually lifted using a sterile P100 pipette tip. Lifted neural retina was transferred to a 6-well suspension plates and allowed to self-acquire the 3D organoid configuration within the next 2 days. From D31, the developing retinal organoids were fed 3D-RDM medium (Supplementary Table 1) along with 1 µM All-trans retinoic acid (R2625, Millipore Sigma) every 3days until D120. From D120 onwards, organoids were fed with just 3D-RDM medium without All-trans retinoic acid every 3 days until the completion of the study. Organoids were periodically assessed for morphological characteristics using phase contrast microscopy (Olympus IX70) at regular intervals. Various time-points of rod photoreceptor differentiation and maturation in the retinal organoids were utilized for phenotypic characterization and drug assessments (Supplementary Figure 3B).

Immunohistochemistry and Imaging.

Retinal organoids and confluent colonies of iPSCs were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) (157-8, Electron Microscopy Sciences, USA) in 1X PBS for 20
minutes. Organoids were cryopreserved in 15% through 30% sucrose (made in 1X PBS), and frozen in 2:1 mixture (20% sucrose: OCT, Sakura, USA). 10 µm sections of retinal organoids were collected on Super frost® Plus microscopic slides (12-550-15, Fisher Scientific, USA) using Leica CM3050 S cryostat. Immunohistochemistry was done as described previously. Organoid sections were pap-pen and PFA-fixed iPSC clones were permeabilized at room temperature for 15 mins with 0.1% Triton® X-100 (0694-1L, VWR Life Science, USA) in 10% Normal Donkey Serum (NDS, S30-100ML, EMD Millipore Corp, USA; made in 1X PBS). Following to that, sections were incubated for 1 hour in 10% NDS. Primary antibodies (Supplementary Table 3A) diluted in 10% NDS were added to the slides and incubated overnight (12-18 h) at 4°C. The slides were washed thrice in 1X PBS at 5 mins intervals and further incubated for 1 hour at room temperature with fluorescently conjugated secondary antibodies (Supplementary Table 3B) diluted at 1:250 in 10% NDS. Cell nuclei were counter stained with DAPI (1ug/mL, Roche, USA) for 10 minutes. The slides were then washed thrice in 1X PBS at 5 mins interval and cover slipped using Fluoromount G (Electron Microscopy Sciences, USA). Images were acquired using ZEN software on LSM700 confocal microscope (Zeiss, Inc.) and processed by Image J (NIH, USA).

**RNA extraction and Quantitative Real-Time PCR (qRT-PCR).**

Total RNA was extracted from retinal organoids using RNeasy® Mini Kit (74104, Qiagen, USA) as per the manufacturer’s instructions. The quality and purity of the extracted RNA was assessed by NanoDrop One (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). cDNA was synthesized using iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (1708891, Bio-Rad, USA). Real-time qRT-PCR was performed on CFX96 system (Bio-Rad, USA) using iTaq™ Universal SYBR® Green Supermix (64047467, Bio-Rad, USA). Primer sequences used for qRT-PCR is listed in Supplementary Table 4. The amplification reactions set were: 95°C for 30 s, 40 cycles at 95°C for 5 s, 60°C for 25 s, 95°C for 5 s and final extension at 95°C for 5 s. The Ct values of the target genes were first normalized to the endogenous control β-actin. The corrected Ct values were then utilized to compare and validate the control and patient retinal organoids. Log₂ fold change in gene expression of all targets were then calculated.

**Bulk RNA Sequencing.**

The quality control, RNA library preparations and sequencing reactions of the extracted RNA from retinal organoids were performed at Novogene Corporation Inc (CA, USA). FASTQ files received from Novogene following QC were quantified using Salmon package (V 1.9.0) by pseudo-aligning against homo sapiens hg19 genomic assembly in Galaxy(Afgan et al. 2016). Low-counts (<10) were filtered out and batch correction was carried out using Combat package in DEBrowser (v1.24.1) R package (Kucukural et al. 2019). Differential expression analysis was carried out using DESeq2 in DEBrowser. Genes with an adjusted p-value < 0.05 were assigned as differentially expressed. Gene ontology enrichment analysis and KEGG pathway analysis of differentially expressed genes was carried out in DEBrowser and Beavr (Perampalam and Dick 2020)packages. Differential expression analysis and pathway enrichment data have been uploaded as supplementary files (Table 2).
Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)

Retinal organoids (300-days-old) were washed in 1X PBS three times at 10 mins intervals and fixed with Karnovsky’s fixative (4% paraformaldehyde/2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M PBS, pH 7.4) overnight at 4°C. For TEM, organoids were washed in 1X PBS and stained in 1% osmium tetroxide (19180, Electron Microscopy Sciences, USA; made in distilled water) for 1 hour at room temperature followed by staining with 2% uranyl acetate (22400, Electron Microscopy Sciences, USA; made in distilled water). After three washes in distilled water, organoids were then dehydrated in a graded series of ice-cold ethanol (50%, 70%, 95% and 100%) for 20 mins each. Organoids were incubated in propylene oxide (00235-1, Polysciences Inc, USA) twice for 5 mins, followed by a 5-mins incubation in 1:1 mix of propylene oxide: Epon 812 (13940, Electron Microscopy Sciences, USA). Organoids were allowed to infiltrate in Epon 812 overnight at room temperature. Next day, the organoids were embedded in PELCO silicone rubber molds (105, Ted Pella Inc, USA) using Epon 812, and polymerized for 48 hours at 60°C. Ultrathin (70 nm) sections were collected and imaged using a Philips Tecnai 10 electron microscope at the VA Medical Center, San Francisco, USA.

Western Blotting.

Retinal organoids were collected and washed in cold 1X PBS twice at 5 mins intervals. Protein was extracted by homogenizing the organoids using hand-held pestle (1415-5390, USA Scientific) in ice-cold RIPA buffer (See supplementary Table 2A) with 1% complete™ Protease inhibitor cocktail (11697498001, Roche Diagnostics, GmbH). The lysates were incubated at 4°C for 10 minutes and then centrifuged at 14,000 xg for 15 minutes at 4°C. Supernatant was collected and the protein concentration was measured using Pierce™ BCA Protein Assay Kit (23227, Thermo Scientific, USA) as per the manufacturer’s instructions. Equal amounts (20 µg) of protein lysates were mixed with 4x Laemmli sample buffer (161-0747, Bio-Rad, USA) and 10% dithiothreitol (DTT, R0861, Thermo Scientific, USA). Samples were resolved on a precast gel (12% Mini-Protean TGX Gels, 456-1044, Bio-Rad, USA) for 20 mins at 70 V until the loading dye entered the resolving layer, then increased to 150 V for 40-60 mins or until the run was completed (See supplementary Table 2A). After electrophoresis, the proteins were transferred onto an Immobilon®-FL PVDF membrane (IPFL20200, Merck Millipore, USA) ice-cold transfer buffer (See supplementary Table 2A) at 100V for 90 minutes. The blots were blocked with blocking solution for 30 minutes. The blots were incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies diluted in blocking solution (See supplementary Table 3A for primary antibody details). Thereafter, blots were washed thrice with TBS-T buffer (10 mins interval each) and incubated for 1 hour at room temperature with host-specific secondary antibodies diluted in blocking solution (See supplementary Table 3B for secondary antibody details). The blots were washed another three times with TBS-T buffer (10 mins interval each) and visualized using LiCor Odyssey XF scanner. For quantification of protein expression, the background was subtracted for each band and normalized to internal control band using Image J software. Statistical calculations were performed using multiple technical and five independent biological replicates.

**PR3 treatment on Retinal Organoids.**
Patient-specific RHO-CNV retinal organoids (~300-days-old) were treated with small molecule Photoregulin3 (PR3, SML2299-5MG, Sigma, 5 mM stock made in DMSO) supplemented in 3D-RDM for 1 week by changing medium every other day. Three different concentrations of PR3 utilized in this study were 0.1 µM, 0.25 µM, and 0.5 µM. DMSO was used as a vehicle control. One-week, post-PR3 treatment, retinal organoids were assessed by qRT-PCR, bulk RNA sequencing and imaging experiments.

**Statistical Analysis.**

All the data were obtained from three independent retinal differentiation experiments. The quantified data values were provided as mean ± SEM. The intergroup differences for all the analysis were determined with the GraphPad Prism v9, using a two-tailed student's t-test or ANOVA. Significant differences are indicated by p values listed in the figures. For imaging studies, at least 3 sections were averaged to account for regional variability in iPSC reprogramming and retinal organoid differentiation.
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**Main Figures**

**Figure 1:** Retinal imaging and next generation sequencing. (A) Ophthalmological color fundus examination of a patient clinically diagnosed of RHO-CNV displaying bone spicule pigimentary changes. (B) OCT image showing extensive loss of the outer retinal layers leaving an intact island at the fovea. (C) Schematic illustration of NGS using a 266-gene retinal dystrophy panel showing a complex chromosome 3q22 duplication-rearrangement resulting in 48 kb triplicated region embedded within a 188 kb duplication forming three apparently intact RHO genes on one allele and a fourth, unaltered RHO on the homologous allele. NGS=Next generation sequencing; OCT=Optical coherence tomography.
**Figure 2:** RHO-CNV disease modeling using iPSC-derived retinal organoids showed morphological defects. (A) Schematic representation showing the timeline of human retinal differentiation and maturation including the birth and development of rod photoreceptors. (B) Phase contrast microscopy images showing long hair-like protrusions (OS) from differentiated photoreceptors (ONL) present at the apical surface of retinal organoids from control (RC) at day 200, 260 and 300 (top). Retinal organoids from patient (RM) showing shorter protrusions which do not extend progressively over long-term culturing indicating maturation defects (bottom). (C) Electron microscopy images showing ultra-magnification of distinct OS, IS and CC structures of rod photoreceptors in control organoids and the absence of OS is shown in patient organoids. CC=Connecting cilium; IS=Inner segments; ONL= outer nuclear layer; OS=Outer segments. Scale bar = 50 µm.

**Figure 3:** Transcriptomic analysis of RHO-CNV retinal organoids presented elevated RHO expression. (A) qRT-PCR analysis shows ~3 Log2FC increased RHO mRNA levels in patient (RM) organoids at all time-points of rod differentiation and maturation. No significant change was observed in other photoreceptor genes except for a small ~1 Log2FC increase in rod arrestin (SAG) at D300 time-point. Log2FC=Log2 Foldchange. Statistical two-way ANOVA analysis with Fisher’s LSD test and 95% confidence interval. *=p<0.05, and ****=p<0.0001. Aqua bars, D120; gray bars, D300 (B) Volcano plot showing significant differentially expressed genes. Significantly upregulated genes are highlighted in red and significantly downregulated genes are highlighted in blue (adjusted p<0.01). (C) Dot plot showing EnrichGO analysis of biological process on the differentially expressed genes. The size of the dot represents number of differentially expressed genes in the pathway and the X-axis represent the ratio over all genes associated with the pathway. Plot shows a defect in rods and phototransduction associated pathways as well as synaptic transmission suggesting rod dysfunction. (D) Box plot showing the data from pathway enrichment analysis of cellular component category predominantly highlighting the defect in glycosylation and Golgi/ER modification/transport. Colors in the dot and blot plots represent relative significance (calculated p-values in scale). N=3-4 independent experiments and 12-15 organoids per experiment.

**Figure 4:** Rhodopsin protein mislocalization and increased levels in RHO-CNV retinal organoids. (A) Immunofluorescence staining of RHO displaying the proper localization (arrowheads) in the outer segments and improper localization (arrowheads) in the cell body of photoreceptors within the control (RC; top) and patient (RM; bottom) organoids respectively at all time-points. (B) SAG expression (red) followed a similar trajectory as RHO, with increased labelling in patient organoids (bottom) over control (top). DAPI (blue) labels nuclei. OS=outer segment; ONL=outer nuclear layer. Scale bar = 25 µm. (C) Blot probed for RHO and SAG showing increased levels of 40 kDa monomer and 80 kDa dimer, RHO (C), and 48 kDa, SAG (D) in patient retinal organoids. β-actin was used as a loading control. Densitometric analysis quantifying the relative intensity of monomeric RHO (C'), dimeric RHO (C''), and SAG (D') in comparisons to the control. Statistical two-tailed unpaired T-test analysis with 95% confidence interval.
confidence level. * = p <0.05, and ** = p <0.01. N=5 independent experiment and 12-15 organoids per experiment.

Figure 5: Partial rescue of rhodopsin localization and expression levels in PR3 treated RHO-CNV retinal organoids. (A) Cartoon illustration showing gene expression during the stepwise development and maturation of rod photoreceptors. Small molecule, PR3 acts on NR2E3 downregulating the expression of GNAT1, PDE6B, SAG and RHO. (B) Immunostaining of ~300-days-old PR3-treated retinal organoid sections from patient (RM) showing the trafficking of RHO protein (arrowheads) towards outer segments at all doses of PR3. The most appropriate RHO localization to OS is seen at 0.25 µM PR3 (arrowheads). DAPI (blue) labels nuclei. OS=outer segment; ONL=outer nuclear layer. Scale bar =25 µm. (C) qRT-PCR analysis shows ~2-5 Log2FC decrease in RHO mRNA levels in a dose-dependent manner for PR3 treated-patient (RM) organoids. No significant change was observed in NRL, but a small decrease was observable in GNAT1, PDE6B and NR2E3 (one-way ANOVA analysis with Sidak test and 95% confidence interval). (D) qRT-PCR analysis showing a comparison of RHO mRNA levels in PR3-treated patient organoids to control (RC) organoids (unpaired t-test). Log2FC=Log2 Foldchange. * = p <0.05, ** = p <0.01 and *** = p <0.001. N=3-4 independent experiments and 12-15 organoids per experiment.

Figure 6: RNA sequencing analysis of RHO-CNV organoids following PR3 treatment. (A) Volcano plot showing significant differentially expressed genes following 1 week of PR3 treatment in D300+ patient organoids with cutoffs at p<0.01 and ~1log2FC. (B) 3D principal component analysis (PCA) plot showing the tightly clustered independent biological replicates. Additionally, PR3 treated patient organoids were spatially closer to control (RC) compared to patient (RM) organoids. (C) Normalized read count plots showing relative expression of RHO, GNAT1, SAG and NR2E3. (D) KEGG analysis of differentially expressed genes showing dysregulation of key phototransduction pathway comparing RC with RM organoids and the recovery following PR3 treatment of RM organoids. Down- and up-regulated genes are indicated in blue and red respectively. (E, F) Box plots showing EnrichGO analysis of differentially expressed genes that are either downregulated (E) or upregulated (F) by comparing PR3 treated to vehicle treated organoids. N=3 independent experiments and 12-15 organoids per experiment.

Supplementary Figures:

Supplementary Figure 1: RHO-CNV identification. (A) Fundus photography showing the retina of control (RC) and irregular pigmentation pattern in a patient (RM). All the clinical hallmarks of RP including black-spicule pigmentation, attenuated blood vessel and optic disc pallor seen in patient are indicative of RP.
Supplementary Figure 2: Patient-specific iPSC reprogramming and retinal organoids. (A) Timeline depicting the sequence of events for reprogramming the PBMCs to iPSCs via Cytotune™ iPS 2.0 Sendai Reprogramming kit. (B, C) Representative phase contrast microscopy image of PBMCs and a stably generated iPSC colony. (D) Confocal microscopic images of an iPSC colony expressing pluripotent markers, SOX2, OCT3/4, NANOG and a co-localized image of all three pluripotent markers. DAPI labels nuclei. Scale bar = 25 µm. (E) Low-power bright-field microscopic images showing full view of a retinal organoid from control (RC) and patient (RM) at different time-points of photoreceptor maturation. Patient organoids showed much shorter and less dense protrusions in comparison to control. Scale bar = 50 µm. iPSC=induced pluripotent stem cells; OS=outer segment; ONL=outer nuclear layer; PBMC=Peripheral blood mononuclear cells.

Supplementary Figure 3: Rod biogenesis and development in RHO-CNValve retinal organoids did not differ from control. Confocal microscopic images of control (RC) and patient (RM) organoids labeling the early pan-photoreceptor markers, OTX2 and CRX; and early rod-specific post-mitotic photoreceptor marker, NR2E3 showing no difference in expression during (A) rod biogenesis at D120 (B) early rod maturation at D200, and (C) late rod maturation at D300. (E) Immunolabeling of patient (RM) organoids showing the double staining of rod marker, NR2E3 along with RHO protein displaying the mislocalized expression of RHO within the cell body of patient (RM) at D260. DAPI (blue) labels nuclei. Scale bar = 25 µm.

Supplementary Figure 4: PR3 treated RHO-CNValve retinal organoids do not affect cone opsin expression. (A) qRT-PCR analysis shows no effect on cone opsin (BCO, GCO, LCO) mRNA levels at all the doses of PR3 treated-patient (RM) retinal organoids. Statistical analysis (ANOVA) revealed no significant differences. N=3 independent experiments and 12-15 organoids per experiment.

Supplementary Figure 5: Recovery in glycosylation and ER-Golgi transport pathways in PR3-treated RHO-CNValve organoids. (A) Box plot showing the data from pathway enrichment analysis of cellular component category of differentially expressed genes following PR3 treatment showing the recovery in the dysregulated glycosylation as well as ER pathways (B) Normalized read count plots showing relative expression of MAN1A2, MIA3, TFG, GBF1, COG3, SEC16B, SEC13, ARF4, and ALG12 in control(RC), patient (RM) and PR3-treated organoids. N=3 independent experiments and 12-15 organoids per experiment.
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### Table 1. Clinical characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject ID (Gender)</th>
<th>Age (~Years)</th>
<th>Age at First Sx (~Years)</th>
<th>VA OD, OS</th>
<th>Slit-Lamp Exam</th>
<th>Dilated Fundus Exam</th>
<th>Genetics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RM (Male)</td>
<td>60s</td>
<td>40s</td>
<td>20/40</td>
<td>Pseudophakic OU</td>
<td>- Mild disc pallor</td>
<td>Chromosome 3q22 duplication rearrangement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20/70-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>- RPE atrophy adjacent to optic disc and along arcades with RPE hyperplasia</td>
<td>- Entire RHO gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Bone spicules</td>
<td>- 5' regulatory regions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Mild, non-foveal cystoid macular edema</td>
<td>- Flanking genes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- 48kb triplicated region embedded within a 188 kb duplication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RC (Female)</td>
<td>30s</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>OU: Normal</td>
<td>OU: Normal</td>
<td>No Chromosome 3q22 duplication rearrangement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** N/A, not applicable; OD, right eye; OS, left eye; OU, both eyes; RPE, retinal pigmented epithelium; Sx, symptoms; VA, visual acuity.