Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on food safety inspection outcomes in Toronto, Canada: a Bayesian interrupted time series analysis
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Summary:
The coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic resulted in major disruptions to the food service industry and regulatory food inspections. The objective of this study was to conduct an interrupted time series analysis to investigate the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on food safety inspection trends in Toronto, Canada. Inspection data for restaurants and take-out establishments were obtained from 2017 to 2022 and ordered as a weekly time series. Bayesian segmented regression was conducted to evaluate the impact of the pandemic on weekly infraction and inspection pass rates. On average, a 0.31-point lower weekly infraction rate (95% credible interval [CI]: 0.23, 0.40) and a 2.0% higher probability of passing inspections (95% CI: 1.1%, 3.0%) were predicted in the pandemic period compared to pre-pandemic. Models predicted lower infraction rates and higher pass rates immediately following the pandemic that were regressing back toward pre-pandemic levels in 2022. Seasonal effects were also identified, with infraction rates highest in April and pass rates lowest in August. The COVID-19 pandemic resulted in an initial positive effect on food safety outcomes in restaurants and take-out food establishments in Toronto, but this effect appears to be temporary. Additional research is needed on seasonal and long-term inspection trends post-pandemic.
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Introduction:

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic caused major disruptions to society when declared by the World Health Organization in March 2020. Jurisdictions across the world instituted lockdowns, physical distancing requirements, and temporary closures of public businesses and other facilities to control the spread of the virus [1]. During this time, the incidence of food-borne illness reported to the United States’ Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network was 26% lower than in the prior three years (2017-2019) [2]. Similarly, in Colorado, a 52% decrease in the rate of persons seeking medical care for acute gastroenteritis was observed in 2020 vs. 2017-2019 [3]. It is not clear to what extent these decreases were due to actual declines in food-borne illness exposures or to decreased illness reporting, detection, or diagnosis.

Restaurants and food service settings were intermittently closed to indoor dining during the initial and subsequent waves of the COVID-19 pandemic, and as a result, they shifted their food service operations primarily to take-out and delivery, curbside pickup, and drive-thru options during pandemic waves [4]. The pandemic also led to enhanced hygiene, cleaning, disinfection, and other infection control practices and policies at these establishments as they aimed to control the spread of the virus (e.g., increased hand hygiene, cleaning and disinfection of high-touch surfaces, contactless menus and payment options, physical distancing of staff and patrons, suspending of self-serve buffets and salad bars) [5]. These enhanced practices and policies could also have influenced a reduction in food contamination and the spread of foodborne pathogens via these settings, which are common sources of food-borne illness outbreaks [6]. However, little research has been conducted to investigate food safety practices at restaurants and other food service establishments during the COVID-19 pandemic.
In Toronto, Canada, the city’s public health inspectors are responsible for conducting routine food safety inspections of restaurants and other retail food establishments according to provincial guidelines [7]. Food establishments are inspected once, twice, or three times per year depending on their risk level (low, moderate, or high, respectively) as determined via a risk categorization process and in accordance with the provincial food safety regulation [7]. In Toronto, food establishments then receive a pass, conditional pass, or fail rating based on the inspection results as part of a public disclosure system called DineSafe [8]. The inspection rating must be visible from the establishments entrance and results are posted publicly online [8]. Routine food safety inspections are important to promote and encourage food safety practices, and they can serve as an early warning indicator of the potential for food-borne illness outbreaks [9–11]. The pandemic led to an initial pause in food safety inspections in Toronto immediately following the provincial emergency declaration in March 2020, but its impacts on inspection outcomes have not been investigated. We conducted an interrupted time series analysis to investigate the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on DineSafe inspection trends in Toronto. Results can inform public health policy and planning related to food inspections in the post-pandemic era and in future pandemic preparedness.

Materials and Methods:

**Dataset access and description.** We obtained DineSafe inspection data from the City of Toronto’s Open Data Portal [12]. As the open data repository only provides the most recent two years of inspection data, we made a special request to access data dating back to 2017. Our dataset timeframe ranged form 1 January 2017 to 31 December 2022. The dataset contained information on the establishment ID number, name, business type, address, geolocation, and
inspections results. Inspection results included a separate row for each infraction identified,
including its severity (minor, significant, crucial, or other) and description, as well as the overall
inspection rating (pass, conditional pass, or fail). The full dataset contained information on
218,607 total inspection outcomes.

**Dataset preparation.** Data were obtained as comma separated value files and imported into
RStudio (version 2022.12.0 running R 4.2.2) for formatting, preparation, and analysis [13,14].

For the purposes of this analysis, we restricted the dataset to establishments classified as
restaurants and food take-aways. We then reformatted the dataset to one row per inspection by
summing the number of infractions per inspection. As conditional pass and fail inspections
usually resulted in a re-inspection within 48 hours, we removed such re-inspections from the
dataset to focus only on the routine inspections. The dataset was then converted to a time series
for analysis. We created a weekly time variable and summarized the total number of inspections
conducted, pass ratings, and infractions identified each week.

In Ontario, the province declared a state of emergency for COVID-19 on 17 March 2020,
resulting in the first provincial lockdown. Starting on this date, the DineSafe program was
essentially paused for several weeks. The dataset contained zero to three inspections per week
from week 13 to week 25 in 2020 (23 March to 21 June), which likely reflected only complaint-
based inspections. Given the low and inconsistent numbers of inspections during these weeks,
we decided to remove these weeks from the dataset. Therefore, the final dataset for analysis
contained 297 weeks of data: 168 weeks prior to the pandemic, and 129 weeks during the
pandemic.

**Interrupted time series analysis.** We conducted an interrupted time series analysis using
segmented regression to evaluate the impact of the pandemic on infraction and pass rate
outcomes [15,16]. Analysis was conducted under a Bayesian framework [17]. Bayesian analysis incorporates uncertainty via estimation of posterior distributions of model parameters, and direct probability statements can be made based on model results [17,18]. Two models were constructed to assess each of two outcomes of interest: 1) a negative binomial regression model for weekly infraction counts, with an offset term to account for the number of inspections conducted; and 2) an aggregated logistic regression model for the number of weekly pass ratings (vs. conditional pass or fail ratings) per number of inspections conducted [17].

The following variables were included as fixed effects in each model: time elapsed (continuous), pandemic period (yes/no indicator variable), and an interaction term between these two variables [15,16]. The pandemic period was defined to start the week following the first lockdown and emergency declaration in Ontario (Mar. 23, 2020). To account for seasonal effects, we included month as a varying effect via a multi-level structure. For each outcome, we compared this model structure to a model with month as a fixed-effect indicator variable. We also compared both models to models that included a first-order autoregressive term to account for any residual autocorrelation in weekly observations. These model comparisons were conducted using leave-one-out (LOO) cross-validation [19].

Weekly informative priors (prior distributions) were specified for all model beta coefficient parameters [18,20]. Priors were specified to have normal distributions with a mean of 0 and standard deviation (SD) of 1. Priors for varying effects (month) and residual SD parameters used half Student-\(t\) priors with 3 degrees of freedom and a SD of 2.5 [20]. The appropriateness of these priors was assessed via prior predictive checking to ensure the prior distributions captured a reasonable range of plausible values. Sensitivity analysis was also conducted to assess the
impact of specifying alternative weakly informative priors for beta coefficient parameters of \( N(0,0.5) \) and \( N(0,2) \).

Models were constructed using the “brms” package in RStudio, which fits models via the probabilistic programming software Stan [20,21]. We used the “CmdStanR” interface to fit the Stan models [22]. Stan estimates model parameters using Hamiltonian Monte Carlo and its extension, the no-U-turn sampler [20,21]. We estimated models using 4000 iterations across each of four chains via four cores, of which the first 1000 were warmup iterations. Model convergence was assessed via examination of trace plots, effective sample sizes, and r-hat values [17,20,23]. We also conducted posterior predictive checks to evaluate the suitability of the models to simulate new data in relation to the observed data, and evaluated residual autocorrelation for model parameters [24].

To facilitate and visualize interpretation of the model parameters, we calculated posterior predictions of the expected value of model parameters using the “marginaleffects” package [25]. Average marginal effects (i.e., contrasts) were calculated to assess the effect of the pandemic on both outcomes. Long-term time trends and the conditional seasonal effect of month were also visualized in the pre-pandemic and pandemic periods. All figures show the parameter distribution densities along with the median value and 66\% and 95\% credible intervals (CI). A copy of the dataset used in this analysis along with R script files used for all formatting and analysis in this study are available from the following GitHub page:

https://github.com/iany33/dinesafepandemic.

**Results:**
Descriptive results. The time series dataset contained data on a total of 81,435 inspections. The overall inspection pass rate was 91.4% (74,408 / 81,435). A total of 103,118 infractions were identified. Figure 1 shows weekly infraction and pass rates across the study time period, while Figure 2 shows the total number of infractions identified and inspections conducted per week. Raw summary comparisons for all outcomes identified before and during the pandemic are shown in Table 1. Weekly infraction rates appeared to be lower during compared to prior to the pandemic, while pass rates appeared similar (Figure 1 and Table 1). Additional variability was also noted for both outcomes during the pandemic period. The number of weekly inspections conducted was much lower during the pandemic period and started to increase back toward pre-pandemic levels in the latter part of 2022 (Figure 2 and Table 1).

Interrupted time series results. Both the infraction rate and pass rate models showed no issues with convergence or residual autocorrelation (see Supplementary Material). Additionally, for both outcomes, the LOO comparisons found that multi-level models with month as a varying effect, and no auto-regressive term, had the best fit (see Supplementary Material). The sensitivity analysis of alternative prior distributions suggested minimal impact on the model results (see Supplementary Material).

Results of the multi-level negative binomial regression model for weekly infraction rates are shown in Table 2, with model coefficients exponentiated as incidence rate ratios (IRR). However, the effects are most intuitively illustrated in Figures 3-5. Figure 3 shows posterior predictions for the average expected value of the infraction rate in pre-pandemic and pandemic periods on the left, with the average marginal effect (i.e., contrast) of the pandemic shown on the right. On average, the pandemic resulted in a 0.31-point lower food safety infraction rate (95% CI: 0.23, 0.40) compared to the pre-pandemic period (Figure 3). This effect was primarily noted
in the first 2 years of the pandemic until the week of 26 April 2022 (-0.40, 95% CI: -0.32, -0.48),
when weekly inspection numbers were still consistently <200, compared to the most recent
period from 22 April to 31 December 2022 (-0.09, 95% CI: -0.01, -0.19). Figure 4 shows
posterior predictions for the infraction rate over time in both periods. A slightly decreasing trend
in weekly infraction rates was noted pre-pandemic. A level change was noted post-pandemic,
with much lower infraction rates that are predicted to be increasing back toward pre-pandemic
levels (Figure 4). Figure 5 shows the monthly, or seasonal, effects of the pandemic on posterior
predictions for the weekly infraction rate. In both pre-pandemic and pandemic periods, predicted
infraction rates were highest in April, and lowest in January and September (Figure 5).

Results of the multi-level aggregated logistic regression model for weekly pass rates are
shown in Table 2, with model coefficients exponentiated as odds ratios (OR). As above, these
effects are most intuitively illustrated in Figures 6-8. Figure 6 shows posterior predictions for the
average expected value of the weekly inspection pass rate in pre-pandemic and pandemic periods
on the left, with the average marginal effect of the pandemic shown on the right. On average, the
pandemic resulted in a 2.0% higher probability of passing food safety inspections (95% CI:
1.1%, 3.0%) compared to the pre-pandemic period (Figure 6). As above, this effect was strongest
in the first 2 years of the pandemic (2.3%, 95% CI: 1.4%, 3.2%) compared to the most recent
period from 22 April to 31 December 2022 (1.4%, 95% CI: 0.3%, 2.6%). Figure 7 shows
posterior predictions for the pass rate over time in both periods. A slightly decreasing trend in
weekly infraction rates was noted pre-pandemic, but it is not clear if this is practically
meaningful. A level change was noted after inspections were resumed during the pandemic, with
much higher predicted pass rates that are regressing back toward pre-pandemic levels (Figure 7).
Figure 8 shows the monthly, or seasonal, effects of the pandemic on posterior predictions for the
weekly pass rate. In both pre-pandemic and pandemic periods, predicted pass rates were highest in January and December, and lowest in August (Figure 8).

**Discussion:**

The COVID-19 pandemic resulted in major disruptions to society starting in March 2020, including routine public health services such as food safety inspections of restaurants and take-out facilities. This was reflected in the DineSafe program in Toronto, where inspections were not conducted for several weeks following the initial provincial declaration of emergency and lockdown. Additionally, the number of inspections conducted each week following resumption of routine inspections in 2020 was substantially reduced compared to pre-pandemic levels until approximately mid-2022. This reduction in DineSafe inspections is due to COVID-19 closures and restrictions, as well as the reallocation and secondment of many public health inspectors to assist with COVID-19 case and contact management and other pandemic-related duties during the initial and subsequent waves [26].

After controlling for long-term time trends and seasonality, our interrupted time series analysis found that there was a substantial average effect of the pandemic on lowering food safety infraction rates, and increasing inspection pass rates, particularly in the initial 1-2 years of the pandemic. This increase in food safety outcomes is likely due to the enhanced hygiene, cleaning, sanitation, and other precautions implemented in restaurants and take-out facilities to prevent the spread of the COVID-19 virus. Surveys and focus groups conducted with the general public in the initial months of the pandemic found an overall increased adoption of hand hygiene (e.g., handwashing, sanitizer use) and other cleaning and sanitation practices [27,28]. It is likely that these practices were also enhanced among restaurant and take-out facility management and
staff due to personal concerns about COVID-19 and government requirements for infection control [29]. Additionally, food establishments were also likely responding to customer concerns about hygiene and COVID-19 control measures, which have been shown to be predictors of their dining behaviours at restaurants and other food service facilities during the pandemic [30].

Despite the average effects of the pandemic, when examining time trends during the pandemic period, we identified a regression of infraction and pass rates back toward pre-pandemic levels. The initial step change in rates following the pandemic and regression back toward the mean is common in interrupted time series analyses for temporary effects of major events or interventions [16]. It is unclear whether these rates will stabilize at or near pre-pandemic levels or whether they will worsen over time in the coming years. For example, the pandemic and other ongoing global events (e.g., inflation) have led to numerous operational challenges for restaurant and food service operators, including stress, financial losses, labour shortages, and supply chain difficulties that may persist in the future and lead to potential food safety lapses [31]. We also noted slightly decreasing trends for both rates during the pre-pandemic period, and it is unclear what was driving those trends or if they would have continued had the pandemic not occurred.

When examining infraction and pass rate trends by month, we identified some seasonal effects. The pre-pandemic and pandemic period monthly effects were similar, except there was much more variability noted in the pandemic period likely due to the lower number of inspections conducted and time trends noted above. Interestingly, weekly infraction rates were highest in the spring (April) and lowest in the fall to early winter (September to January), while inspection pass rates were lowest in the summer (August) and highest in winter (December and January). Conditional pass and fail outcomes for an inspection are assigned when one or more
significant or critical infractions are identified that correspond to potential health hazards (e.g.,
time-temperature abuse, pest infestation) and cannot be immediately corrected [8]. In contrast,
total infractions also include minor infractions that present minimal health risks directly (e.g.,
sanitary condition of food handling room) [8]. Lower pass rates in August could be related to
higher average air temperatures in summer months. For example, prior research in New York has
found that higher ambient air temperatures were associated with increased temperature-control
related infractions in restaurants [32]. Foodborne illness rates also show a seasonal trend with
many infections being highest in summer months [33,34]. It is unclear why total infractions
differed seasonally. Future research would be beneficial in this area, including types of
infractions identified and differences in their frequency by month or season, as well as primary
research with public health inspectors and restaurant operators to determine barriers, facilitators,
and other factors affecting food safety practices in these settings post-pandemic.

We examined total infraction rates as an outcome rather than specific categories (e.g., minor,
significant, critical), as preliminary evaluation found little difference in trends when these rates
were stratified by type, and the pass rate outcome reflects information on more severe infractions
identified. The publicly available DineSafe dataset did not contain any information about the
characteristics of included food establishments, such as inspection frequency, cuisine or food
type, number of employees, or chain vs. independent status, which are known to be related to
inspection outcomes but could not be investigated in this study [35–37]. Future research could
aim to investigate how the pandemic affected food safety outcomes in food service
establishments with different characteristics. The dataset contained geolocation data for each
establishment, but these were not considered in this study as we focused on the overall time
series of inspection outcomes across the city. Future research could also examine pandemic-
related and post-pandemic inspection outcome trends in different geographical areas, as prior
research has shown a relationship between infractions and neighbourhood socioeconomic status
indicators [36,38].

We used a Bayesian approach to estimate the effect of the COVID-19 pandemic on food
safety inspection outcomes in restaurants and take-out facilities in Toronto. This analytical
approach allowed us to determine probability distributions of modelled outcomes and to account
for uncertainty in the model parameters and predicted expected effects. We found that the
pandemic had an initial positive effect on lowering total infraction rates and increasing
inspection pass rates, but this effect appears to be temporary with outcomes regressing back
toward pre-pandemic levels in 2022. This finding suggests that enhanced COVID-19 infection
control measures could have temporarily improved food safety outcomes in restaurants and food
service settings. However, further research is needed to examine longer-term trends in these
outcomes as COVID-19 control measures and requirements are reduced and removed from such
settings and as operators cope with additional post-pandemic stressors. We also identified
seasonal trends in inspection outcomes that warrant future research and investigation.
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Table 1. Summary statistics of weekly restaurant and take-away establishment food safety inspection trends, Toronto, 2017–2022.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Pre-Pandemic Period (n = 168 weeks)</th>
<th>Pandemic Period (n = 129 weeks)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspections</td>
<td>364.6</td>
<td>79.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infractions</td>
<td>486.0</td>
<td>108.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infraction rate</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pass rate</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Bayesian segmented regression model results for two weekly inspection outcomes, Toronto, 2017–2022.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome / parameter</th>
<th>Estimate&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>95% credible interval</th>
<th>R-hat&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Bulk ESS&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Tail ESS&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infraction rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(negative binomial model)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>IRR = 1.38</td>
<td>1.31, 1.46</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>4170</td>
<td>5139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time elapsed</td>
<td>IRR = 0.999</td>
<td>0.999, 1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>14,302</td>
<td>9084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pandemic period</td>
<td>IRR = 0.233</td>
<td>0.188, 0.291</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>12,088</td>
<td>8853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(yes vs. no)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time*pandemic</td>
<td>IRR = 1.005</td>
<td>1.004, 1.006</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>11,754</td>
<td>8183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group-level effects</td>
<td>SD = 0.058</td>
<td>0.030, 0.103</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>3381</td>
<td>5029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pass rate (logistic regression model)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>OR = 11.21</td>
<td>10.22, 12.29</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2944</td>
<td>3803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time elapsed</td>
<td>OR = 0.999</td>
<td>0.999, 1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>15,308</td>
<td>9994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pandemic period</td>
<td>OR = 2.08</td>
<td>1.48, 2.92</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>8230</td>
<td>7522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(yes vs. no)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time*pandemic</td>
<td>OR = 0.998</td>
<td>0.997, 0.999</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>8450</td>
<td>8548</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Group-level effects for month

SD = 0.111  0.063, 0.191  1.00  2315  3853

\(^a\) Intercept and fixed-effect parameter estimates and credible intervals are shown here as odds ratios (OR) for the logistic model and incidence rate ratios (IRR) for the negative binomial model. Group-level effect estimates for month are shown as SD estimates.

\(^b\) R-hat values are an indicator of model convergence, with values closer to 1 indicating convergence. Bulk and tail effective sample size (ESS) are indicators of Marko Chain sampling efficiency, with numbers greater than 100 indicating reliable results.
Figure legends

Figure 1. A) Weekly infraction rate (number of total infractions identified per number of inspections conducted) at restaurants and take-out establishments in Toronto, 2017–2022. B) Weekly pass rate (number of passes per number of inspections conducted) at restaurants and take-out establishments in Toronto, 2017–2022.

Figure 2. Number of total infractions identified and inspections conducted per week at restaurants and take-out establishments in Toronto, 2017–2022.

Figure 3. A) Posterior predictions of the average expected value of the weekly infraction rate at restaurants and take-out establishments in Toronto in the pre-pandemic (January 2017 to March 2020) and pandemic periods (June 2020 to December 2022). B) Average marginal effect of the COVID-19 pandemic on the expected value of the weekly infraction rate.

Figure 4. Predicted expected value of the weekly infraction rate at restaurants and take-out establishments in Toronto over time during the pre-pandemic (January 2017 to March 2020) and pandemic periods (June 2020 to December 2022).

Figure 5. Posterior predictions of the month-specific average expected value of the weekly infraction rate at restaurants and take-out establishments in Toronto in the pre-pandemic (January 2017 to March 2020) and pandemic periods (June 2020 to December 2022).
Figure 6. A) Posterior predictions of the average expected value of the weekly pass rate at restaurants and take-out establishments in Toronto in the pre-pandemic (January 2017 to March 2020) and pandemic periods (June 2020 to December 2022). B) Average marginal effect of the COVID-19 pandemic on the expected value of the weekly pass rate across the study time period.

Figure 7. Predicted expected value of the weekly pass rate at restaurants and take-out establishments in Toronto over time during the pre-pandemic (January 2017 to March 2020) and pandemic periods (June 2020 to December 2022).

Figure 8. Posterior predictions of the month-specific average expected value of the weekly pass rate at restaurants and take-out establishments in Toronto in the pre-pandemic (January 2017 to March 2020) and pandemic periods (June 2020 to December 2022).