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Abstract

Background

Genetic testing is essential to identify research participants for clinical trials enrolling people with Parkinson’s disease (PD) carrying a variant in the GBA or LRRK2 genes. Limited availability of professionals trained in genetic counseling is a major barrier to increased testing.

Objective

As an alternative to pre-test genetic counseling, we developed a web-based genetics education tool focused on GBA and LRRK2 testing for PD called the Interactive Multimedia Approach to Genetic counseling to INform and Educate in PD (IMAGINE-PD) and conducted user testing and usability testing.

Methods

Genetic counselors and PD and neurogenetics subject matter experts developed content for IMAGINE-PD, specifically focused on GBA and LRRK2 genetic testing. User testing to review content was conducted with thirteen patients with PD and eleven movement disorder specialists (MDS). Twelve PD patients were enrolled in usability testing of a high-fidelity prototype in accordance with national guidelines. Qualitative data analysis was used in both phases.

Results

Qualitative analysis conducted by three evaluators identified themes emerging from MDS and PD patient feedback in user testing in three content areas: content, function, and appearance. Similarly, qualitative analysis of usability testing feedback identified additional themes in these three content areas. Refinements were made to IMAGINE-PD based on consensus recommendation by evaluators.
Conclusions

User testing for content review and usability testing have informed refinements to IMAGINE-PD to develop this alternative to standard pre-test genetic counseling. Comparison of this stakeholder-informed intervention to standard telegenetic counseling approaches is ongoing.
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Introduction

Parkinson’s Disease (PD) is the second commonest neurodegenerative disease and the fastest growing neurological disease worldwide.[1,2] Variants in LRRK2, GBA, Parkin, DJ-1, VPS-35, PINK1, and SNCA are identified in 10-12% of PD cases.[3–9] Despite a genetic mutation frequency similar to some cancer syndromes where germline genetic testing is common,[10,11] genetic testing is not standard in the evaluation and management of PD and is rarely conducted as part of clinical care.[12] However, knowing one’s genetic status is already of key importance for research, as therapies targeting carriers of GBA and LRRK2 variants are in clinical trials.[13,14] Additionally, PD patients have expressed interest in learning their genetic information.[15,16]

Recently proposed recommendations would expand clinical or research genetic testing to nearly all PD patients.[17] However, the standard service delivery model for genetic testing includes pre-test and post-test genetic counseling, which is limited by the availability of specialized genetic counselors or physicians with sufficient genetics training.[18] Indeed, there are only 125 genetic counselors specialized in neurogenetics offering in-person visits, and 82 genetic counselors offering telehealth visits listed on the National Society of Genetic Counselors (NSGC)’s public directory for genetic counselors (findageneticcounselor.nsgc.org). We developed a web-based education tool focused on GBA and LRRK2 genetic testing called the Interactive, Multimedia Approach to Genetic counseling to INform and Educate in Parkinson’s Disease (IMAGINE-PD) to address this gap. IMAGINE-PD could be made widely available to increase genetics education prior to GBA and LRRK2 testing in clinical or research settings to identify research-eligible PD patients.
The goal of this work is to create a genetics education tool for GBA and LRRK2 testing incorporating key stakeholder input. Structured interviews were conducted with movement disorder specialists (MDS) and PD patients to evaluate the content of IMAGINE-PD in user testing, and with PD patients to evaluate website usability according to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) Research-Based Web Design & Usability Guidelines.[19] Qualitative data analysis informed changes to create a final version of IMAGINE-PD.

Materials and Methods

IMAGINE-PD Web Development

Website development and usability testing were conducted in accordance with the DHHS research-based web design and usability guidelines.[19] Core content for a genetic counseling tool for GBA and LRRK2 variant genetic testing for PD was developed by the authors (T.B., A.C.P, and T.F.T). GBA and LRRK2 variant testing information was included to align with the research-based testing being performed as part of the MIND Initiative research study ongoing at UPenn.[20] Content was then assigned to ‘primary’ or essential, ‘secondary’ or important but not essential, or ‘optional’ categories based on their level of importance. Primary concepts included a review of PD, basic genetics concepts, genetics of PD, genetic results disclosure, and limitations and implications of genetic testing. For each primary concept, an audiovisual recording of a movement disorders physician or genetic counselor describing the concept was created. Secondary information included: diagnosis, symptoms, and treatment of PD, an introduction to genetic counseling, a review of genetic testing (GT), types of genetic tests and results, risks and benefits of GT, a review of GBA including Gaucher’s disease and LRRK2, and
a review of other genetic causes of PD that are not tested in the study (limitations). One or more
slides were created to capture the secondary content important for genetic counseling including
text, visuals, and an optional audio recording of the text presented. Links to outside reading were
identified for optional material. All content was organized into a high-fidelity prototype website
using http://Wix.com (Tel Aviv, Israel). Once the preliminary set of material was created, we
solicited feedback on content and website organization from genetic counselors and physician-
scientists with expertise in neurogenetics. Changes were made to address points of feedback.
Working with the UPenn Center for Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics Clinical Research
Computing Unit, we created a new website incorporating the content from the prototype
compatible with common web-browsers and operating systems, accessible on typical connection
speeds, across a range of different resolutions and orientations, to account for use on computer,
smart phone, or tablet computer. Number of visits and time spent on each page is captured. A
computer graphics specialist with experience in medical artwork created animations
(http://spmotiondesign.com). Readability of each page was evaluated using readable.com to
ensure a Flesch-Kincaid readability index of below 9. With this prototype, user testing was first
conducted, and changes were then incorporated into IMAGINE-PD after reviewing feedback.
Usability testing was conducted subsequently, and again feedback was reviewed and refinements
were made to the content, organization, and web interface. The final version is available at
http://www.pennmedicine.org/imaginepd. A flowchart of the IMAGINE-PD web development
and testing process can be viewed in Figure 1.

Participants
In the user testing phase, thirteen cognitively normal patients with PD who receive care at UPenn, referred by their physicians, were enrolled. Movement disorders specialists (MDS) at the UPenn Parkinson’s Disease and Movement Disorders Center (PDMDC) and the Philadelphia Veterans Affairs Parkinson’s Disease Research, Education, and Clinical Center (PADRECC) were asked to participate (excluding authors ACP and TFT) for a total of eleven neurologists or psychiatrists. In the usability testing phase, twelve PD patients who did not participate in the user testing phase were enrolled. In both phases, sample sizes exceeded the suggestions outlined in the DHHS usability testing guidelines.[19] Identified participants were approached in person, by phone, or by email. Informed consent was obtained, and study visits were performed in-person by a single evaluator (NH).

User Testing

Structured interviews were conducted between a single evaluator with experience in conducting clinical research visits (NH) and a participant between 10/31/2019 and 12/11/2019. The evaluator had no prior relationship with any participant, nor any assumptions or presuppositions about the outcomes of the interviews. First, participants were asked eleven questions about their general internet use, four questions about e-mail and internet use for health information (adapted from Baker et al),[21] and three questions assessing background knowledge in genetics, PD, and genetic testing. During the interview the evaluator asked questions of each participant, and data were entered data directly into RedCap.[22,23] The evaluator navigated to each webpage in the prototype allowing the participant to view and listen to all material on that page with unlimited time. Prompts were given to ensure all participants interacted with all aspects of each page. Feedback was solicited using four open-ended questions, a 1-10 rating of
usefulness, and a 1-4 rating of clarity of presentation. After all webpages were reviewed, seven
additional questions were asked pertaining to the entire series of webpages and to solicit overall
comments. Questionnaires are available in the Supplement.

Usability Testing

The second iteration of IMAGINE-PD was created after the results of user testing was
reviewed, and changes were implemented. For usability testing, structured videoconference
interviews (https://bluejeans.com) were conducted between evaluator (NH) and participant
between 8/18/2020 and 9/14/2020. Again, the evaluator had no prior relationship with any
participant, nor any assumptions or presuppositions about the outcomes of the interviews. First,
participants were asked an abbreviated eight question internet use questionnaire. The participant
navigated to each webpage with unlimited time to view all content. Participants were asked to
use the ‘share-screen’ function so the evaluator could observe their navigation of the website.
Prompts were given to ensure all participants interacted with all aspects of each page. Feedback
was solicited using eight open-ended questions asked for each page. Questionnaires are available
in the Supplement.

Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics are presented for all demographic data and scales. A qualitative
analysis plan based on a grounded theory was developed in advance of data review in
consultation with Judy Shea, PhD. For both user testing review and usability testing phases all
data were collected into a spreadsheet excluding identifying information. Three evaluators (NH,
RAP, TFT) were given the following rules: 1) review the data from each webpage for PD


providers and PD patients separately and identify 1 or more key themes per page, if a theme is apparent, and 2) if more than 1 theme is apparent, order themes for each page in order of importance or frequency. Data was independently reviewed by each reviewer to improve trustworthiness of the analysis. Subsequently, the three evaluators met to establish consensus on the key themes. Suggestions for changes to the website were made after all themes were reviewed and discussed among the evaluators.

Standard Protocol Approvals, Registrations, and Patient Consents

Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval was obtained before initiating the study and informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to any study activities. This research adheres to the principles set out in the Declaration of Helsinki.

Data Availability

All deidentified data can be made available upon request of the authors.

Results

Website Development

The final version includes 27 webpages, including three video-only pages and three video pages with animations. Audiovisual pages also include accessible text for participants with hearing impairment. Each non-video page included audio recording of the text for auditory learners. The website is accessible via computer browser, mobile device, or tablet. The length of time to view all videos is 7 minutes and 42 seconds and estimated time to review all website
content is 25 – 40 min. The Flesch-Kincaid reading level for all pages was median of 8.3 (7.375 – 8.25).

User Testing

Participant demographics are summarized in Table 1. E-mail and internet use for health information is described in Figure 2A and Supplemental Table 1 in the Supplement. PD, genetics, and genetic testing knowledge is reported in Figure 2B.

For each webpage participants were asked to rate the usefulness (1 being the least useful and 10 being the most useful). The average usefulness across all pages for PD patients was 8.49 (SD = 0.65) and for MDS was 8.84 (SD = 0.64). The results for usefulness for each page are found in Supplemental Table 2 in the Supplement. Three domains of feedback were identified on user testing analysis: content, function, and appearance. Changes were made on 20 pages in response to content feedback, 4 pages in response to function feedback, and 4 pages in response to appearance feedback. A summary of the user testing qualitative analysis and recommendations for change are shown in Table 2.

At the conclusion, participants were asked to provide summary feedback. A summary of responses is found in Supplemental Table 3 in the Supplement. Comments regarding the order of the presented information, the interactive tools such as the buttons, links, and menus, and overall comments are provided in Supplemental Table 4 in the Supplement.

Usability Testing

Participant demographics are summarized in Table 1. All participants reported using the internet or email within the past 12 months. One (8.3%) participant reported dial-up network use,
9 (75%) of participants reported broadband network use, and 7 (58%) of participants reported using smartphones, and 8 (67%) reported accessing the internet via a Wi-fi network. All participants reported using the internet to communicate with a health care provider, and 9 (75%) reported using the internet to search for health or medical information.

The same three themes were again identified on usability testing qualitative analysis: content, function, and appearance. Changes were made on 10 pages in response to content feedback, 6 pages in response to function feedback, and 7 pages in response to appearance feedback. A summary of the usability qualitative analysis and recommendations for change are shown in Table 3.

Discussion

In this study, we evaluated a web-based genetics education tool for PD, using evidence-based research methods to refine the content and conduct usability testing. First, we developed content based on expert opinion and created a high-fidelity prototype. Next, we conducted user testing through structured interviews with MDS and PD patients to evaluate website content.

Subsequently, we conducted usability testing via structured interviews with PD patients. Using qualitative data analysis in both phases, we identified three domains of feedback (content, function, and appearance), and addressed feedback by incorporating changes to IMAGINE-PD to create a final version.

The qualitative analysis in both phases identified important themes among the feedback and led to significant improvements in IMAGINE-PD. In user testing, content feedback pertained to the volume and level of detail of information (“too much information”), the complexity of the content (“wording is too technical”), and the focus of the content (“make it
more related to PD”). To address these points, we made changes to focus and simplify the content and to use plain language at a Flesch-Kincaid reading level 9 or below. In usability testing, content feedback focused on the volume and level of detail of information and further refinements were made. Key points of feedback about website function included requests for a progress bar and mobile compatibility, which were both addressed for the final version. Feedback about appearance included font color and size, as well as picture choice. Final pictures were selected to ensure representation of individuals of diverse race, ethnicity, age, and sex. Some studies in PD and Alzheimer’s disease (AD) have employed the use of alternative media forms as pre-test education tools. For instance, the PDGENEration study, which offers genetic testing and counseling for PD, provides a pre-test education tool that is a pre-recorded video covering essential topics in PD genetics and genetic testing that was created by experts in neurogenetics and genetic counseling for PD (NCT04057794). Additionally, the Alzheimer’s Prevention Initiative, which conducts APOE testing in cognitively unimpaired people over 60 years old, utilizes a self-directed learning technique providing a brochure and a video covering content typically addressed in a pre-test counseling session coupled with multiple choice questions to reinforce learning.[24] To our knowledge, neither approach has undergone usability testing to incorporate the input of the end-user as we demonstrate in this study.

Beyond neurogenetics, these results can also be viewed in the context of alternative genetic education tools for inherited cancer syndromes, where more robust efforts to develop alternate education and disclosure methods are underway. In the Communication and Education in Tumor Profiling (COMET) and the Returning Genetic Research Panel Results for Breast Cancer Susceptibility (RESPECT) studies online educational tool for pre-test education was developed using the same evaluation methods used in this study, serving as a guide for user
testing and usability testing of IMAGINE-PD.[25,26] Furthermore, in the REACH3 and the Study of an eHealth Delivery Alternative for Cancer Genetic Testing for Hereditary Predisposition in Metastatic Cancer Patients (eREACH) studies, web-based alternatives to traditional provider-mediated counseling and results disclosure are being evaluated. The outcomes of these studies will be informative for understanding the use of web-based genetic education tools even beyond inherited cancer syndromes. However, differences in the target populations, genetic testing performed, and the implications of the genetic test results between IMAGINE-PD and the COMET, RESPECT, and REACH studies necessitated the rigorous user testing and usability testing that we report here.

This study has several strengths that should be noted. First, the content for IMAGINE-PD was developed by experts in genetic counseling in PD, neurogenetics experts, and movement disorder physicians. Second, the user testing includes the typical referring providers for neurogenetic services for PD patients (movement disorder physicians), and the intended end-user (PD patients). Third, this evaluation followed the DHHS guidelines for user testing and usability testing, nearly doubling the recommended sample size in each phase for this type of research.

Some limitations of this study should be acknowledged. First, the content of IMAGINE-PD is focused on targeted variant testing in GBA and LRRK2, limiting its scope and generalizability to other PD genetic testing. This was intentional to allow IMAGINE-PD to serve as a follow-up for results disclosure for the UPenn MIND study.[20] The MIND study conducts GBA and LRRK2 targeted variant screening in all PD patients seen at the PDMDC at UPenn using the same genetic tests for all involved study participants. As a result, the pre-test education in the IMAGINE-PD tool deviates from a typical pre-test genetic counseling session that would involve obtaining a family history and making decisions about test choice (family variant testing,
multi-gene panel testing, exome, or genome sequencing). Instead, this is a scalable approach to
screen everyone in the UPenn PD clinic for variants within two most common genes associated
with PD, to identify potentially eligible participants for clinical trials enrolling carriers of
variants in GBA or LRRK2. During a separate disclosure visit for GBA or LRRK2, a personal and
family history could be reviewed in detail, and additional testing could be pursued afterwards, if
indicated. Additionally, specialized content could be developed and added to subsequent
versions of IMAGINE-PD to accommodate other specific types of diagnostic genetic testing.
Second, all participants (physicians and patients) had a high level of education and experience
with technology and computer use, and interest in using an online pre-test education tool, which
probably does not capture the breadth of PD patients and may overestimate the user experience.
Ongoing evaluation of this tool will be necessary to determine which patients will be able to
successfully use an online educational platform and who would be better served by other
methods such as in-person or live telemedicine counseling with a genetic counselor.
Additionally, supplementary content could be developed to address deficits in patient or family-
member comprehension, low-literacy or education level, identifying potential psychosocial
concerns to prompt additional counseling, or comfort with technology. Making this tool
accessible while in clinic on a smart-phone, tablet, or computer screen may help to address
limitations in access to technology.

In summary, we present our findings from user testing and usability testing for the
development of IMAGINE-PD, an online pre-test genetic education tool. We describe a phased
review and iterative process of refining the content, appearance, and functionality based on
expert review as well as physician and patient feedback according to DHHS guidelines. The final
version, which is available at http://www.pennmedicine.org/imaginepd, will undergo further
evaluation to compare it to standard tele-genetic counseling with a genetic counselor (NCT04527146) measuring satisfaction, impact, and knowledge. As a virtual learning tool accessible anywhere by internet, IMAGINE-PD has potential to improve access to neurogenetic services for PD patients interested in learning about their eligibility for LRRK2 or GBA directed clinical trials.
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Table 1. Cohort Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Content Review</th>
<th>Usability</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>Physicians</td>
<td>PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at Test, y</td>
<td>64 (63-69)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>63 (59-72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, N (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>3 (23%)</td>
<td>4 (36%)</td>
<td>5 (42%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>10 (77%)</td>
<td>7 (64%)</td>
<td>7 (58%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease Duration, y</td>
<td>11 (7-13)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>8 (5-13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, y</td>
<td>17 (15-18)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>16 (16-17)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*2 excluded due to missing data.
### Table 2: Summary of Phase 1 Content Review.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Content Feedback</th>
<th>Changes made</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Introduction</td>
<td>Want more info on this page</td>
<td>Short summary was added on intro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. What is IMAGINE-PD</td>
<td>Not sure how the website is relevant to PD</td>
<td>Clarified study relevance to PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Summary</td>
<td>Wording is too technical</td>
<td>Simplified vocabulary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. What is PD</td>
<td>Wording is too technical</td>
<td>Simplified vocabulary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. How is PD diagnosed</td>
<td>Want more information on nonmotor symptoms</td>
<td>Information on nonmotor symptoms included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. What causes PD</td>
<td>Too much information on page</td>
<td>Information was cut down and simplified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. How is PD treated</td>
<td>Want less information on drugs, more information on other treatments</td>
<td>Drug section shortened and added other PD treatments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. What is genetics</td>
<td>Too much information on page</td>
<td>Information was shortened and simplified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Basics of genetics</td>
<td>Too much information on page and too technical</td>
<td>Information was shortened and simplified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. What is GT</td>
<td>Wording is too technical and not sure how information is related to PD</td>
<td>Simplified vocabulary, clarified relevance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.5. Reasons for GT</td>
<td>Page should be included as separate page</td>
<td>Separate page was made for information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Benefits and risks</td>
<td>Make it more related to PD and more information on benefits</td>
<td>Clarified PD relevance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. GT process</td>
<td>Too much detail</td>
<td>Simplified an shortened page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. How genetics affects PD</td>
<td>Too much information</td>
<td>Shortened page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. GBA and PD</td>
<td>Information too technical and want to know why this gene is being studied</td>
<td>Simplified vocabulary and clarified relevance of GBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. LRRK2 and PD</td>
<td>Information too technical and want to know why this gene is being studied</td>
<td>Simplified vocabulary and clarified relevance of LRRK2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Other rare genes for PD</td>
<td>Language seems too technical, why only GBA and LRRK2 being tested</td>
<td>Simplified language, provide more resources for additional information on other genes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. How do I get results</td>
<td>Language seems too technical, want more information</td>
<td>Clarified next steps and reviewed previously introduced topics to clarify information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. VUS and unexpected results</td>
<td>Wording too technical</td>
<td>Simplified language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Implications &amp; limitations</td>
<td>Confused about previously introduced topics</td>
<td>Added information to clarify</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Function Feedback</th>
<th>Changes made</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Instructions</td>
<td>Did not know that there was more information on the bottom and needed to scroll</td>
<td>Shortened page to minimize scrolling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Summary</td>
<td>Picture was clickable but did not lead to any new page</td>
<td>Fixed error so picture was no longer clickable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Appearance Feedback</td>
<td>Changes made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Introduction</td>
<td>Make title clearer and more obvious</td>
<td>Title was enlarged and bolded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. What is IMAGINE-PD</td>
<td>Use bullet points to make page easier to read</td>
<td>Used bullet points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Summary</td>
<td>Picture was not relevant</td>
<td>Used a different picture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. When to consider GT</td>
<td>Did not like picture</td>
<td>Used a different picture</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. What is PD  
- Already knew information, wanted a skip function  
- Shortened page, so not as much time would be spent on it

6. How is PD diagnosed  
- In page slides were confusing  
- Made in page slides more obvious

---
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Table 3: Summary of Phase 2 Usability Testing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Content Feedback</th>
<th>Changes made</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Introduction</td>
<td>Want more info on this page</td>
<td>Short summary was added on intro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. What is IMAGINE-PD</td>
<td>Too much information</td>
<td>Cut down on information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Genetics introduction</td>
<td>Too much information all at once</td>
<td>Added animations and broke page to two pages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. VUS</td>
<td>Wording is too technical</td>
<td>Removed to focus on the relevant testing and simplify material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Implications and limitations to GT</td>
<td>Accent was hard to follow at first</td>
<td>Added page with script of video</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. GBA page 1</td>
<td>Confused about GBA in Ashkenazi Jews, info too technical</td>
<td>Information was cut down and simplified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. GBA page 2</td>
<td>Information too technical</td>
<td>Simplified information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. GBA page 3</td>
<td>Want more relevance to PD</td>
<td>Clarified relevance of GBA to PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. LRRK2 page 1</td>
<td>Information too technical</td>
<td>Simplified information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. LRRK2 page 2</td>
<td>Information too technical</td>
<td>Simplified information</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Function Feedback</th>
<th>Changes made</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Title page</td>
<td>Did not know what to do</td>
<td>Clarified next steps, enlarged arrow to move to next page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. What is IMAGINE-PD</td>
<td>Hovering function was not compatible for mobile device</td>
<td>Made function easier to use with mobile device</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. What is PD</td>
<td>Want a progress bar to see length of the website</td>
<td>Added a progress bar to website</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. PD diagnosis/ treatment</td>
<td>In page slides were confusing</td>
<td>Removed in page slides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. What is GT</td>
<td>Page incompatible with mobile</td>
<td>Improved mobile compatibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. When to consider GT</td>
<td>Hard to navigate between external resources page</td>
<td>Provide instructions on navigation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Appearance Feedback</th>
<th>Changes made</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Title Page</td>
<td>Make title acronym clearer and change font color</td>
<td>Title acronym was made more obvious and changed font color</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. PD diagnosis/ treatment</td>
<td>Some of the text was too small</td>
<td>Increased text font</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. VUS</td>
<td>Graphic was confusing</td>
<td>Clarified graphic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. When to consider GT</td>
<td>Want more diverse pictures</td>
<td>Included more diverse pictures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Benefits and risks</td>
<td>Colors were too vibrant and hurt eyes</td>
<td>Toned down color scheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. GBA page 2</td>
<td>Did not like picture</td>
<td>Changed the picture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Conclusion</td>
<td>Did not like red font</td>
<td>Changed font color</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: PD = Parkinson’s disease; GT = genetic testing; VUS = variant of uncertain significance.
Figure Legends

Figure 1. IMAGINE-PD Website development flowchart.

Figure 2. A. Parkinson’s’ disease (PD) patients and movement disorders specialists (MDS) self-reported use of the internet and email for health information (adapted from Baker et al. 2003).[21] B. PD patients and MDS self-reported knowledge in genetics, PD, and genetic testing (GT).
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### Supplemental Table 1. Internet use reported by movement disorders specialists (N=11) and people with Parkinson’s disease (N=13) in the content review (Phase 1). Available data presented as percent of total cohort.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Internet Use</th>
<th>Movement Disorders Specialist</th>
<th>Person with Parkinson's Disease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt;12 hours per week</td>
<td>9 (69%)</td>
<td>7 (54%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-12 hours/week</td>
<td>1 (9%)</td>
<td>3 (23%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-9 hours/week</td>
<td>1 (9%)</td>
<td>3 (23%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### What device do you use for internet access? (more than once response allowed)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Device</th>
<th>Movement Disorders Specialist</th>
<th>Person with Parkinson's Disease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smartphone</td>
<td>11 (100%)</td>
<td>13 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desktop or Laptop</td>
<td>11 (100%)</td>
<td>11 (85%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tablet</td>
<td>6 (55%)</td>
<td>6 (46%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Type of internet access (more than once response allowed)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Access Type</th>
<th>Movement Disorders Specialist</th>
<th>Person with Parkinson's Disease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dial-up</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSL or Broadband (wired or wi-fi)</td>
<td>11 (100%)</td>
<td>13 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellular network</td>
<td>7 (64%)</td>
<td>6 (46%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Comfort with internet use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comfort Level</th>
<th>Movement Disorders Specialist</th>
<th>Person with Parkinson's Disease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Confident/no need for help</td>
<td>8 (73%)</td>
<td>5 (35%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comfortable/rare assistance</td>
<td>3 (27%)</td>
<td>4 (31%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need some assistance</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>3 (23%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncomfortable/needs assistance</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>1 (8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Supplemental Table 2. Average usefulness scale for each page reported by movement disorders specialists (N=11) and people with Parkinson’s disease (N=13) in the content review (Phase 1). Usefulness is reported from 1-10 with 1 being the lowest and 10 being the highest. PD = Parkinson’s disease. GT = Genetic Testing. VUS = Variant of uncertain significance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Movement Disorders Specialist</th>
<th>Person with Parkinson's Disease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Title</td>
<td>8.36</td>
<td>6.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. How to use the website</td>
<td>9.27</td>
<td>8.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Introduction</td>
<td>9.45</td>
<td>8.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Content Summary</td>
<td>9.70</td>
<td>9.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. What is PD?</td>
<td>8.55</td>
<td>9.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. PD Signs and Symptoms</td>
<td>8.18</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Causes of PD</td>
<td>8.64</td>
<td>8.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. PD Treatments</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>8.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Inheritance</td>
<td>8.36</td>
<td>8.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. When to consider GT</td>
<td>9.20</td>
<td>8.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Additional info about GT</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>9.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Types of GT</td>
<td>9.30</td>
<td>8.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. GT Process</td>
<td>9.30</td>
<td>7.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Role of Genetics in PD</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>8.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. GBA</td>
<td>9.11</td>
<td>8.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. LRRK2</td>
<td>9.11</td>
<td>8.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Other PD Genes</td>
<td>8.89</td>
<td>7.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. VUS</td>
<td>8.70</td>
<td>8.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Implications/limitations</td>
<td>9.10</td>
<td>7.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Conclusion</td>
<td>9.44</td>
<td>9.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td><strong>8.85</strong></td>
<td><strong>8.49</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Standard Deviation</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.64</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.65</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Supplemental Table 3.** Summary feedback reported by movement disorders specialists (N=11) and people with Parkinson’s disease (N=13) in the content review (Phase 1). Available data presented as percent of total cohort.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Movement Disorders Specialist</th>
<th>Person with Parkinson's Disease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Website navigation was:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Intuitive and easy to learn and had no problem accessing the information on this site’</td>
<td>6 (55%)</td>
<td>10 (77%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘the instructions were clear, and I had little to no trouble using this site’</td>
<td>4 (36%)</td>
<td>3 (23%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The information found on external links was:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definitely helpful</td>
<td>6 (55%)</td>
<td>7 (54%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat helpful</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>2 (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interesting but hard to understand</td>
<td>1 (9%)</td>
<td>0 (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not very helpful and/or difficult to understand</td>
<td>2 (18%)</td>
<td>0 (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Access to the ‘contact us’ page was:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feasible</td>
<td>9 (82%)</td>
<td>10 (77%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficult</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>2 (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I was not aware I could make contact to ask questions</td>
<td>1 (9%)</td>
<td>1 (8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Supplemental Table 4. Final remarks from movement disorders specialists (N=11) and people with Parkinson’s disease (N=13) in the content review (Phase 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement Disorders Specialist Feedback</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Page</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>moved up earlier, the nature of the testing may also need to move up earlier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

People with Parkinson’s Disease Feedback

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>distracting if the text didn't match the audio or if it was hard to read</td>
<td>3 - somewhat helpful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Helped</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>order made sense</td>
<td>helpful</td>
<td>4 - definitely helpful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>a little bit of both</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>helpful</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>helpful</td>
<td>4 - definitely helpful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Helpful tools</td>
<td>4 - definitely helpful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Made sense</td>
<td>helpful</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>It made sense</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3 - somewhat helpful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>look above, add a summary</td>
<td>they were fine</td>
<td>4 - definitely helpful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>made sense</td>
<td>helpful but should be more obvious when to scroll to next piece of info</td>
<td>4 - definitely helpful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Look above</td>
<td>helpful</td>
<td>4 - definitely helpful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Order was fine</td>
<td>more distracting</td>
<td>4 - definitely helpful</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Content Review Questions

Record ID

INDDID

First Name

Last Name

To start, I want to ask you a couple of general questions about how you use the internet and then more specific questions about the web-based eHealth tool we plan to use

Do you ever go on-line to access the Internet or World Wide Web, or to send and receive e-mail?
- Yes
- No

On average, how many hours per week do you spend on or using the internet?
- 0 - 3 hours per week
- 4 - 6 hours per week
- 7 - 9 hours per week
- 10 - 12 hours per week
- 12+ hours per week

Please rate your comfort level of using the internet
- 1 - I often feel confused when navigating online and cannot access the information I want and do not understand what is happening even with assistance
- 2 - I am uncomfortable using a computer and cannot access information without the assistance of someone else
- 3 - I can manage to find things on the internet with some assistance
- 4 - I am comfortable navigating online and rarely need assistance finding information
- 5 - I feel confident and know how to access information that I want without any assistance

Where do you go to use the Internet?

What device do you use to access the internet? (please select all that apply)
- computer (desktop or laptop)
- smartphone
- tablet
- other (please specify below)

please specify what other device you use

Do you use the Internet from home?

Where do you use the Internet from most often?
Which of the following, if any, are the reasons you do not access the Internet?

- Because you are not interested.
- Because it costs too much.
- Because it is too complicated to use.
- Because you do not think it is useful.
- not applicable (i.e. no reasons for not accessing internet)

In the last 12 months, have you used the Internet for any of the following reasons:

- Used e-mail or the Internet to communicate with friends or family?
- Used e-mail or the Internet to communicate with a doctor or doctor's office?
- Looked for health or medical information for yourself?

When you use the Internet, do you access it through:

- A regular dial-up telephone line
- Broadband such as DSL, cable or FiOS
- A cellular network (i.e., telephone, 3G/4G)
- A wireless network (Wi-Fi)
- Other: Please Specify

Please specify if you clicked 'other'

If you marked more than one, which do you use most frequently?

- A regular dial-up telephone line
- Broadband such as DSL, cable or FiOS
- A cellular network (i.e., telephone, 3G/4G)
- A wireless network (Wi-Fi)
- Other: Please Specify

Please specify if you clicked 'other'

Please complete the table using the scale provided: (adapted from Baker et al, JAMA. 2003;289:2400-2406)

In the Past Year, About How Often Did You:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Ever in the last Year</th>
<th>More than once a week</th>
<th>about once a week</th>
<th>Once a month</th>
<th>once every 2-3 months</th>
<th>less than once every 2-3 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Look on the Internet for information or advice about health or health care?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use e-mail or the Internet to communicate with a doctor or other health care provider?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use e-mail or the Internet to communicate with a family member or friend about health or health care?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Next, before we review the site, we would like to gauge your knowledge of genetics and heritability as well as your knowledge on Parkinson’s Disease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| What is your level of knowledge of genetics?                             | 1 I am not knowledgeable  
2 I have heard of some terms but don't know what they mean  
3 I am familiar but no in depth knowledge  
4 very knowledgeable         |
| What if your level of knowledge on Parkinson's Disease?                  | 1 I am not knowledgeable  
2 I have heard of some terms but don't know what they mean  
3 I am familiar but no in depth knowledge  
4 very knowledgeable         |
| What is your level of knowledge on genetic testing?                      | 1 I am not knowledgeable  
2 I have heard of some terms but don't know what they mean  
3 I am familiar but no in depth knowledge  
4 very knowledgeable         |

Thanks. Now I will ask specific questions about the web-based IMAGINE-PD tool we plan to use for the IMAGINE-PD study. We are going to go through each webpage, one at a time. On each, I will ask if there is anything that you don't understand, additional information you think should be included and any ways you think we should modify the screen.

Please take a moment to read the screen. Is there anything that you don’t understand? Any words or sections you think other participants might find confusing?

________________________________________________________________________

Please rate the usefulness of this screen  
(1 being the least useful and 10 being very useful)  

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10

After looking at this screen, is there any additional information you would want to see? Either on this screen or on the next screen?

________________________________________________________________________

Is there anything else we should change about the content on this screen? Is there anything we should change about the appearance or the graphics?

________________________________________________________________________
Do you feel like the page clearly delivered the material?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1 - Not at all</th>
<th>2 - The information was presented in a confusing way was hard to understand</th>
<th>3 - The information was presented in a confusing way but I was able to understand clearly</th>
<th>4 - the page clearly portrayed the information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Page 2 (How do I use this site?)

Please take a moment to read the screen. Is there anything that you don't understand? Any words or sections you think other participants might find confusing?

___________________________________________________________

Please rate the usefulness of this screen (1 being the least useful and 10 being very useful)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After looking at this screen, is there any additional information you would want to see? Either on this screen or on the next screen?

___________________________________________________________

Is there anything else we should change about the content on this screen? Is there anything we should change about the appearance or the graphics?

___________________________________________________________

Do you feel like the page clearly delivered the material?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1 - Not at all</th>
<th>2 - The information was presented in a confusing way was hard to understand</th>
<th>3 - The information was presented in a confusing way but I was able to understand clearly</th>
<th>4 - the page clearly portrayed the information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What would you expect to hear from the audio based on the title of the page? How useful was the audio to you? Why or why not?

___________________________________________________________

Page 3 (What is IMAGINE PD? Auto Audio)

Please take a moment to read the screen. Is there anything that you don't understand? Any words or sections you think other participants might find confusing?

___________________________________________________________
After looking at this screen, is there any additional information you would want to see? Either on this screen or on the next screen?

______________________________________________________________

Is there anything else we should change about the content on this screen? Is there anything we should change about the appearance or the graphics?

______________________________________________________________

Do you feel like the page clearly delivered the material?

○ 1 - Not at all  ○ 2 - The information was presented in a confusing way was hard to understand
○ 3 - The information was presented in a confusing way but I was able to understand clearly  ○ 4 - the page clearly portrayed the information

What would you expect to hear from this audio based on the title of the page? How useful was this audio to you? Why or why not?

______________________________________________________________

Page 4 (Summary)

Please take a moment to read the screen. Is there anything that you don’t understand? Any words or sections you think other participants might find confusing?

______________________________________________________________

Please rate the usefulness of this screen 
(1 being the least useful and 10 being very useful)  

○ 1  ○ 2  ○ 3  ○ 4  ○ 5  ○ 6  ○ 7  ○ 8  ○ 9  ○ 10

After looking at this screen, is there any additional information you would want to see? Either on this page or the next?

______________________________________________________________

Is there anything else we should change about the content on this page? Is there anything we should change about the appearance or the graphics?

______________________________________________________________
Do you feel like the page clearly delivered the material?

1. Not at all
2. The information was presented in a confusing way was hard to understand
3. The information was presented in a confusing way but I was able to understand clearly
4. The page clearly portrayed the information

What would you expect to hear from this audio based on the title of the page? How useful was this audio to you? Why or why not?

Page 5 (What is PD? Video)

Please take a watch the video. Is there anything that you don’t understand? Any words or parts you think other participants might find confusing?

Please rate the usefulness of this Video (1 being the least useful and 10 being very useful)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After watching the video, is there any additional information you would want to see? Either in the video or on the screen?

Is there anything else we should change about the content on the video? Is there anything we should change about the appearance or the graphics?

Do you feel like the video clearly delivered the material?

1. Not at all
2. The information was presented in a confusing way was hard to understand
3. The information was presented in a confusing way but I was able to understand clearly
4. The video clearly portrayed the information

What would you expect to see if you clicked on this video? How useful would this video be for you? Why or why not? How likely would it be that you would open and watch this video? Why or why not?

Page 6 (How is PD Diagnosed?)

Please take a moment to read the screen. Is there anything that you don’t understand? Any words or sections you think other participants might find confusing?

Please rate the usefulness of this screen (1 being the least useful and 10 being very useful)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
After looking at this screen, is there any additional information you would want to see? Either on this screen or on the next screen?

Is there anything else we should change about the content on this screen? Is there anything we should change about the appearance or the graphics?

Do you feel like the page clearly delivered the material?

- 1 - Not at all
- 2 - The information was presented in a confusing way was hard to understand
- 3 - The information was presented in a confusing way but I was able to understand clearly
- 4 - the page clearly portrayed the information

What would you expect to hear from this audio based on the title of the page? How useful was this audio to you? Why or why not?

---

**Page 7 (What causes PD? Video)**

Please take a moment to watch the video. Is there anything that you don’t understand? Any words or parts you think other participants might find confusing?

Please rate the usefulness of this screen (1 being the least useful and 10 being very useful)

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10

After watching the video, is there any additional information you would want to see? Either in the video or on the screen?

Is there anything else we should change about the content on the video? Is there anything we should change about the appearance or the graphics?

Do you feel like the video clearly delivered the material?

- 1 - Not at all
- 2 - The information was presented in a confusing way was hard to understand
- 3 - The information was presented in a confusing way but I was able to understand clearly
- 4 - the video clearly portrayed the information

What would you expect to see if you clicked on this video? How useful would this video be for you? Why or why not? How likely would it be that you would open and watch this video? Why or why not?
Please take a moment to read the screen. Is there anything that you don't understand? Any words or sections you think other participants might find confusing?

Please rate the usefulness of this screen (1 being the least useful and 10 being very useful)

- [ ] 1
- [ ] 2
- [ ] 3
- [ ] 4
- [ ] 5
- [ ] 6
- [ ] 7
- [ ] 8
- [ ] 9
- [ ] 10

After looking at this screen, is there any additional information you would want to see? Either on this screen or on the next screen?

Is there anything else we should change about the content on this screen? Is there anything we should change about the appearance or the graphics?

Do you feel like the page clearly delivered the material?

- [ ] 1 - Not at all
- [ ] 2 - The information was presented in a confusing way was hard to understand
- [ ] 3 - The information was presented in a confusing way but I was able to understand clearly
- [ ] 4 - The page clearly portrayed the information

What would you expect to hear from this audio based on the title of the page? How useful was this audio to you? Why or why not?

Page 9 (What is Genetics? Video)

Please take a moment to watch the video. Is there anything that you don't understand? Any words or sections you think other participants might find confusing?

Please rate the usefulness of this screen (1 being the least useful and 10 being very useful)

- [ ] 1
- [ ] 2
- [ ] 3
- [ ] 4
- [ ] 5
- [ ] 6
- [ ] 7
- [ ] 8
- [ ] 9
- [ ] 10

After watching the video, is there any additional information you would want to see? Either in the video or on the screen?
### Page 10 (Why should I have genetic testing?)

Please take a moment to read the screen. Is there anything that you don't understand? Any words or sections you think other participants might find confusing?

Please rate the usefulness of this screen (1 being the least useful and 10 being very useful)

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10

After looking at this screen, is there any additional information you would want to see? Either on this screen or on the next screen?

Is there anything else we should change about the content on this screen? Is there anything we should change about the appearance or the graphics?

Do you feel like the page clearly delivered the material?

- 1 - Not at all
- 2 - The information was presented in a confusing way was hard to understand
- 3 - The information was presented in a confusing way but I was able to Understand clearly
- 4 - the page clearly portrayed the information

What would you expect to hear from this audio based on the title of the page? How useful was this audio to you? Why or why not?
Page 10.5 (Types of Genetic Tests)

Please take a moment to read the screen. Is there anything that you don't understand? Any words or sections you think other participants might find confusing?

Please rate the usefulness of this screen (1 being the least useful and 10 being very useful)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

After looking at this screen, is there any additional information you would want to see? Either on this screen or on the next screen?

Is there anything else we should change about the content on this screen? Is there anything we should change about the appearance or the graphics?

Do you feel like the page clearly delivered the material?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Page 11 (Genetics 101. Video)

Please take a moment to watch the video. Is there anything that you don't understand? Any words or parts you think other participants might find confusing?

Please rate the usefulness of this screen (1 being the least useful and 10 being very useful)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

After watching the video, is there any additional information you would want to see? Either in the video or on the screen?

Is there anything else we should change about the content on this video? Is there anything we should change about the appearance or the graphics?

02/04/2022 2:46am
Do you feel like the video clearly delivered the material?

- 1 - Not at all
- 2 - The information was presented in a confusing way was hard to understand
- 3 - The information was presented in a confusing way but I was able to understand clearly
- 4 - The video clearly portrayed the information

What would you expect to see if you clicked on this video? How useful would this video be for you? Why or why not? How likely would it be that you would open and watch this video? Why or why not?

Page 12 (What is genetic testing?)

Please take a moment to read the screen. Is there anything that you don't understand? Any words or sections you think other participants might find confusing?

Please rate the usefulness of this screen (1 being the least useful and 10 being very useful)

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10

After looking at this screen, is there any additional information you would want to see? Either on this screen or on the next screen?

Is there anything else we should change about the content on this screen? Is there anything we should change about the appearance or the graphics?

Do you feel like the page clearly delivered the material?

- 1 - Not at all
- 2 - The information was presented in a confusing way was hard to understand
- 3 - The information was presented in a confusing way but I was able to understand clearly
- 4 - The page clearly portrayed the information

What would you expect to hear from this audio based on the title of the page? How useful was this audio to you? Why or why not?
Please take a moment to read the screen. Is there anything that you don't understand? Any words or sections you think other participants might find confusing?

Please rate the usefulness of this screen (1 being the least useful and 10 being very useful)

1  2  3  4  5  6  7  8  9  10

After looking at this screen, is there any additional information you would want to see? Either on this screen or on the next screen?

Is there anything else we should change about the content on this screen? Is there anything we should change about the appearance or the graphics?

Do you feel like the page clearly delivered the material?

1 - Not at all  
2 - The information was presented in a confusing way was hard to understand  
3 - The information was presented in a confusing way but I was able to Understand clearly  
4 - the page clearly portrayed the information

What would you expect to hear from this audio based on the title of the page? How useful was this audio to you? Why or why not?

Page 14 (Genetic Testing Process)

Please take a moment to read the screen. Is there anything that you don't understand? Any words or sections you think other participants might find confusing?

Please rate the usefulness of this screen (1 being the least useful and 10 being very useful)

1  2  3  4  5  6  7  8  9  10

After looking at this screen, is there any additional information you would want to see? Either on this screen or on the next screen?
Do you feel like the page clearly delivered the material?  
○ 1 - Not at all  
○ 2 - The information was presented in a confusing way was hard to understand  
○ 3 - The information was presented in a confusing way but I was able to understand clearly  
○ 4 - the page clearly portrayed the information

What would you expect to hear from this audio based on the title of the page? How useful was this audio to you? Why or why not?

Page 15 (How does Genetics affect PD? Video)

Please take a moment to watch the video. Is there anything that you don’t understand? Any words or parts you think other participants might find confusing?

Please rate the usefulness of this screen (1 being the least useful and 10 being very useful)

○ 1  
○ 2  
○ 3  
○ 4  
○ 5  
○ 6  
○ 7  
○ 8  
○ 9  
○ 10

After watching the video, is there any additional information you would want to see? Either in the video or on screen?

Is there anything else we should change about the content on the video? Is there anything we should change about the appearance or the graphics?

Do you feel like the video clearly delivered the material?

○ 1 - Not at all  
○ 2 - The information was presented in a confusing way was hard to understand  
○ 3 - The information was presented in a confusing way but I was able to understand clearly  
○ 4 - the video clearly portrayed the information

What would you expect to see if you clicked on this video? How useful would this video be for you? Why or why not? How likely would it be that you would open and watch this video? Why or why not?
Please take a moment to read the screen. Is there anything that you don't understand? Any words or sections you think other participants might find confusing?

Please rate the usefulness of this screen (1 being the least useful and 10 being very useful)

1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.

After looking at this screen, is there any additional information you would want to see? Either on this screen or on the next screen?

Is there anything else we should change about the content on this screen? Is there anything we should change about the appearance or the graphics?

Do you feel like the page clearly delivered the material?

1. Not at all
2. The information was presented in a confusing way but I was able to understand
3. The information was presented in a confusing way and I had trouble understanding
4. The page clearly portrayed the information

What would you expect to hear from this audio based on the title of the page? How useful was this audio to you? Why or why not?

Page 17 (How does LRRK2 affect PD?)

Please take a moment to read the screen. Is there anything that you don't understand? Any words or sections you think other participants might find confusing?

Please rate the usefulness of this screen (1 being the least useful and 10 being very useful)

1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.

After looking at this screen, is there any additional information you would want to see? Either on this screen or on the next screen?
Do you feel like the page clearly delivered the material?  
- 1 - Not at all  
- 2 - The information was presented in a confusing way was hard to understand  
- 3 - The information was presented in a confusing way but I was able to understand clearly  
- 4 - the page clearly portrayed the information

What would you expect to hear from this audio based on the title of the page? How useful was this audio to you? Why or why not?

Page 18 (Other rare genetic causes of PD)

Please take a moment to read the screen. Is there anything that you don't understand? Any words or sections you think other participants might find confusing?

Please rate the usefulness of this screen  
(1 being the least useful and 10 being very useful)  
- 1  
- 2  
- 3  
- 4  
- 5  
- 6  
- 7  
- 8  
- 9  
- 10

After looking at this screen, is there any additional information you would want to see? Either on this screen or on the next screen?

Is there anything else we should change about the content on this screen? Is there anything we should change about the appearance or the graphics?

Do you feel like the page clearly delivered the material?  
- 1 - Not at all  
- 2 - The information was presented in a confusing way was hard to understand  
- 3 - The information was presented in a confusing way but I was able to understand clearly  
- 4 - the page clearly portrayed the information

What would you expect to hear from this audio based on the title of the page? How useful was this audio to you? Why or why not?
Please take a moment to watch the video. Is there anything that you don't understand? Any words or parts you think other participants might find confusing?

Please rate the usefulness of this screen (1 being the least useful and 10 being very useful)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

After watching the video, is there any additional information you would want to see? Either in the video or on the screen?

Is there anything else we should change about the content on the video? Is there anything we should change about the appearance or the graphics?

Do you feel like the video clearly delivered the material?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1 - Not at all</th>
<th>2 - The information was presented in a confusing way was hard to understand</th>
<th>3 - The information was presented in a confusing way but I was able to understand clearly</th>
<th>4 - the video clearly portrayed the information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

What would you expect to see if you clicked on this video? How useful would this video be for you? Why or why not? How likely would it be that you would open and watch this video? Why or why not?

Please take a moment to read the screen. Is there anything that you don't understand? Any words or sections you think other participants might find confusing?

Please rate the usefulness of this screen (1 being the least useful and 10 being very useful)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

After looking at this screen, is there any additional information you would want to see? Either on this screen or on the next screen?
Do you feel like the page clearly delivered the material?  
☐ 1 - Not at all  
☐ 2 - The information was presented in a confusing way was hard to understand  
☐ 3 - The information was presented in a confusing way but I was able to understand clearly  
☐ 4 - the page clearly portrayed the information

What would you expect to hear from this audio based on the title of the page? How useful was this audio to you? Why or why not?

Page 21 (Implications of results. Video)

Please take a moment to watch the video. Is there anything that you don’t understand? Any words or parts you think other participants might find confusing?

Please rate the usefulness of this screen (1 being the least useful and 10 being very useful)  
☐ 1  
☐ 2  
☐ 3  
☐ 4  
☐ 5  
☐ 6  
☐ 7  
☐ 8  
☐ 9  
☐ 10

After watching the video, is there any additional information you would want to see? Either in the video or on the screen?

Is there anything else we should change about the content on the video? Is there anything we should change about the appearance or the graphics?

Do you feel like the video clearly delivered the material?  
☐ 1 - Not at all  
☐ 2 - The information was presented in a confusing way was hard to understand  
☐ 3 - The information was presented in a confusing way but I was able to understand clearly  
☐ 4 - the video clearly portrayed the information

What would you expect to see if you clicked on this video? How useful would this video be for you? Why or why not? How likely would it be that you would open and watch this video? Why or why not?
Please take a moment to read the screen. Is there anything that you don't understand? Any words or sections you think other participants might find confusing?

Please rate the usefulness of this screen (1 being the least useful and 10 being very useful)

☐ 1  ☐ 2  ☐ 3  ☐ 4  ☐ 5  ☐ 6  ☐ 7  ☐ 8  ☐ 9  ☐ 10

After looking at this screen, is there any additional information you would want to see? Either on this screen or on the next screen?

Is there anything else we should change about the content on this screen? Is there anything we should change about the appearance or the graphics?

Do you feel like the page clearly delivered the material?

☐ 1 - Not at all
☐ 2 - The information was presented in a confusing way was hard to understand
☐ 3 - The information was presented in a confusing way but I was able to understand clearly
☐ 4 - the page clearly portrayed the information

Now we would like to ask you just a few more questions about your overall thoughts on the website

Please rate how difficult it was to navigate through this site:

☐ 1 - I had an extremely difficult time and could not get to the material I wanted to learn
☐ 2 - It was difficult to interact with the website and the instructions were unclear on how to navigate
☐ 3 - The instructions were clear but I still did not have an easy time navigating the site
☐ 4 - The instructions were clear and I had little to no trouble using this site
☐ 5 - The site was intuitive and easy to learn and had no problem accessing the information on this site

Did you feel like the instructions on how to use this website helped you navigate through the website?

☐ Yes  ☐ No

Did you feel like the order of the pages made sense? Which order would you like the information presented to you?
How do you feel about the interactive tools (buttons, menus, links etc.) on the screen? Do they help with understanding the material? Do they distract from the material?

| Did you click on the external links providing additional information on the material presented on a page? If so, how helpful did you think the additional information was? |
|---|---|
| ○ 1 - not very helpful at all and/or difficult to understand |
| ○ 2 - interesting but hard to understand |
| ○ 3 - somewhat helpful |
| ○ 4 - definitely helpful |

If you had questions while going through the website, how easy was it for you to get to the ‘contact us’ page and submit an inquiry?

| ○ 1 - very difficult, I was not aware I could make contact to ask questions |
| ○ 2 - difficult, I wanted to ask questions but did not know how |
| ○ 3 - feasible, I wanted to ask questions and |

Please provide any additional feedback

____________________________________________
### Usability Questions

1. Do you ever go on-line to access the Internet or World Wide Web, or to send and receive e-mail?
   - Yes
   - No

2. In the last 12 months, have you used the Internet for any of the following reasons?
   - Used e-mail or the Internet to communicate with a doctor or doctor's office?
   - Looked for health or medical information for yourself?

3. When you use the Internet, do you access it through:
   - A regular dial-up telephone line
   - Broadband such as DSL, cable or FiOS
   - A cellular network (i.e., telephone, 3G/4G)
   - A wireless network (Wi-Fi)
   - Other: Please Specify

3a. If you marked more than one, which do you use most frequently?
   - A regular dial-up telephone line
   - Broadband such as DSL, cable or FiOS
   - A cellular network (i.e., telephone, 3G/4G)
   - A wireless network (Wi-Fi)
   - Other: Please Specify

**Please Specify**
Thanks. Now I will ask for specific feedback on the usability of this portal. Can you click the link we’ve provided? As you go from page to page, I’m going to ask you some questions.

What did you like best about this page? What did you like least?

Is the information understandable. If no, what should be clarified?

How do you feel about the fonts, colors and images?

Did the page load quickly enough?

How easy is navigating around this page and/or using all the buttons?

Did you feel like you knew what to do next from this page?

How could we improve this page?

Any other comments?

---

Page 1

What did you like best about this page? What did you like least?

Is the information understandable. If no, what should be clarified?

How do you feel about the fonts, colors and images?

Did the page load quickly enough?

How easy is navigating around this page and/or using all the buttons?

Did you feel like you knew what to do next from this page?

How could we improve this page?

Any other comments?
What did you like best about this page? What did you like least?

Is the information understandable. If no, what should be clarified?

How do you feel about the fonts, colors and images?

Did the page load quickly enough?

How easy is navigating around this page and/or using all the buttons?

Did you feel like you knew what to do next from this page?

How could we improve this page?

Any other comments?

Page 5

What did you like best about this page? What did you like least?

Is the information understandable. If no, what should be clarified?

How do you feel about the fonts, colors and images?

Did the page load quickly enough?

How easy is navigating around this page and/or using all the buttons?

Did you feel like you knew what to do next from this page?

How could we improve this page?

Any other comments?
What did you like best about this page? What did you like least?

Is the information understandable. If no, what should be clarified?

How do you feel about the fonts, colors and images?

Did the page load quickly enough?

How easy is navigating around this page and/or using all the buttons?

Did you feel like you knew what to do next from this page?

How could we improve this page?

Any other comments?

Page 11

What did you like best about this page? What did you like least?

Is the information understandable. If no, what should be clarified?

How do you feel about the fonts, colors and images?

Did the page load quickly enough?

How easy is navigating around this page and/or using all the buttons?

Did you feel like you knew what to do next from this page?

How could we improve this page?

Any other comments?
What did you like best about this page? What did you like least?

Is the information understandable. If no, what should be clarified?

How do you feel about the fonts, colors and images?

Did the page load quickly enough?

How easy is navigating around this page and/or using all the buttons?

Did you feel like you knew what to do next from this page?

How could we improve this page?

Any other comments?
### Page 18

What did you like best about this page? What did you like least?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the information understandable. If no, what should be clarified?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How do you feel about the fonts, colors and images?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did the page load quickly enough?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How easy is navigating around this page and/or using all the buttons?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did you feel like you knew what to do next from this page?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How could we improve this page?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any other comments?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Page 19

What did you like best about this page? What did you like least?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the information understandable. If no, what should be clarified?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How do you feel about the fonts, colors and images?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did the page load quickly enough?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How easy is navigating around this page and/or using all the buttons?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did you feel like you knew what to do next from this page?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How could we improve this page?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any other comments?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2

A. How often do you use email or the internet to:

- find information or advice about health?
- communicate with a health care provider?
- communicate with friends/family about health?
- communicate with others with health conditions/concerns?

The bars represent the number of participants who use email or the internet for different purposes, categorized by frequency of use:
- Green: < Every 2-3 months
- Yellow: Every 2-3 months
- Brown: Monthly
- Blue: Weekly
- Grey: > Once per week

B. What is your level of knowledge in:

- Genetics
- PD
- Genetic Testing

The bars represent the level of knowledge participants have in various areas, with the categories:
- Green: I am not knowledgeable
- Yellow: I have heard of some terms but don't know what they mean
- Brown: I am familiar but no in-depth knowledge
- Blue: Very knowledgeable
Interactive Multimedia Approach to Genetic Counseling to Inform and Educate in Parkinson’s Disease: IMAGINE-PD Content Review and Usability Testing

Parkinson’s Disease (PD): second most common neurodegenerative disease

~1 million people living with PD in the US

10 - 12% have a genetic form of PD, most commonly due to pathogenic variants in GBA and LRRK2

Clinical Trials targeting carriers of GBA or LRRK2 variants requires expansion in genetic testing and counseling

Develop IMAGINE-PD to meet the increased demand in genetic counseling

Develop content with expert consultation

Structured interviews: content review with PD specialists and people with PD

N = 11 N = 13

Structured interviews: usability testing with people with PD

N = 12

Qualitative data analysis to review feedback and improve IMAGINE-PD

Content Function Appearance

Three independent reviewers identify themes among content and usability feedback and suggest modifications

Integration to create final version of IMAGINE-PD