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ABSTRACT
An epidemic not attributable to plague caused thousands of deaths in Milan in the summer of 1629, a time of war and famine that immediately preceded the even more fatal Great Plague of 1630, which is estimated to have killed tens of thousands of people. The 5,993 deaths of 1629 recorded in the Liber Mortuorum of Milan (a city with an estimated population of 130,000 inhabitants at the time) were 45.7% more than the average number recorded between 1601 and 1628. Mortality peaked in July, and 49% of the deaths were attributed to acute fever without a rash or any organ involvement. These deaths involved 1,627 males and 1,334 females, and occurred at a median age 40 years (range 0-95). In this paper, we discuss the possible cause of the epidemic, which may have been an outbreak of typhoid fever.
INTRODUCTION

Various historical sources indicate that thousands of deaths in Milan in 1629 were caused by a ‘malignant fever’ [1-6] that was attributed to a contagion imported by hungry peasants pouring into the city in a search for food [2]. In the spring of that year, people began to drop dead in the street, “some with roots of herbs, others with bran in their mouths” [2]. From the end of May, all beggars were confined to the Lazaretto of St. Gregory, although this was against the advice of the Magistrato di Sanità (the government authority responsible for public health) [7], which feared it could generate a contagious focus [2]. Mortality in the Lazaretto rapidly increased, and soon reached an average of 70-80 deaths per day [8]. In June, all of the less debilitated inmates were discharged and, according to the contemporary physician and chronicler Alessandro Tadino [2], the disease soon spread throughout the city (“si comunicò il male”). In a handwritten note of 1632, another contemporary physician, Senatore Settala, called the disease “… quel morbino di San Gregorio ... che è non solo febre maligna ma pestilentiale et attacaticia et quasi peste (i.e. “that little morbus of St. Gregory, which is not only a malignant fever but pestilential, contagious, and almost like a plague)” [9]. According to Tadino, there were 8,750 deaths in Milan between January and August 1629 [2], a figure that was substantially confirmed by the Resident of the Grand Duchy of Tuscany, who wrote in July that “seven thousand souls have died here since January, mostly people of low rank” [6]. Moreover, the Resident of the Republic of Venice sent a dispatch to the Serenissima on 1 August in which he claimed that the number of deaths was as high as 14,000 in just two months [10].

The terrible Great Plague that occurred in Milan just a few months later in 1630 has almost erased the memory of the previous summer’s epidemic, the cause of which remains unknown. The aim of this study was to analyse the deaths listed in 1629 in the Milan’s Mortuorum libri (MML), a series of registers indicating the causes of deaths in the city that was started in 1450 and is one of
the oldest and most complete systematic collections of public health data in pre-industrial Europe [11].

METHODS

The MML of 1629 was consulted at the Archivio di Stato in Milan [12], and used to construct a database containing the demographic data of the deceased (name, surname, age, gender, titles or social status) and the main cause of death and other contributing illnesses as described by the city’s appointed medical officers. The monthly number of deaths in 1629 was compared with that during the period 1601-1628 as reported in Giuseppe Ferrario’s 1840 list of the total of all of the deaths recorded in the MML each year by calendar month [13]. The distribution of the deceased by age and gender was compared using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test.

Sennert's 1608 treatise [14] was used as a reference to interpret the meaning attributed to the different types of fever associated with the deaths by the drafters of the certificates. Accordingly, deaths due to fever or in which fever was involved were categorised as followed: a) fever without a description of organ involvement; b) fever associated with gastrointestinal symptoms; c) fever associated with respiratory symptoms; d) fever with rash; and e) febre etica, a term describing the chronic/long-lasting fever often associated with phthisis or long-lasting/debilitating diseases [14].

The deaths attributed to epilepsia, a term that may have been used to describe infantile febrile convulsions or infantile weakness without any associated fever, epilepsy or epileptic disease in people of any age were not included in the analysis of deaths caused by febrile illness.

The weekly number of the deaths due to fever was recorded, as were the personal data of the people whose deaths were attributed to the different types of fever (age, sex, and the number of days between symptom onset and death when available), and the name and professional position (non-graduate surgeon or graduate doctor) of the health officer certifying each death.
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Finally, the chi-squared test was used to compare the percentage of deaths due to fever without organ involvement in males and females stratified by age.

RESULTS

The 1629 MML lists 5,997 cases of death but, as four proved to be duplicates or erroneous transcriptions, the actual number was 5,993, of which 211 were recorded in the city’s three prisons and 171 involved beggars found dead in the street. Most of the entries (71%) were made by two *cerusici* (non-graduate surgeons) specifically appointed to inspect corpses; the others were written by 33 *physici collegiati* (graduate doctors). The number of deaths recorded in 1629 was 45.7% higher than the 4,113 annual average number in the period 1601-1628 and, in comparison with this, the excess deaths occurring in 1629 started in May and peaked in July (Fig. 1).

The deceased included 3,072 males and 2,788 females; gender could not be deduced in 133 cases (2.2%), mainly involving people found dead in the street whose name was unknown. Their median age at the time of death was 40 years (range 0-107); this information was not available in 200 cases (3.3%). As shown in Figure 2, there were no significant differences in the distribution of deaths by gender or age group.

A total of 3,363 deaths were attributed to, or associated with fever. Table 1, panel A, shows the number of deaths attributed to different patterns of fever. Fever without a description of organ involvement was the reported cause of death in 2,964 cases, and fever associated with gastrointestinal (*cum fluxo*) or respiratory symptoms (*cum catarro*) was reported in respectively 141 and 144 cases. Excluding six deaths due to *variola* (smallpox) between the ages of two months and 21 years, there were only 12 cases of death due to fever with rash (age range 14-65 years). The 102 deaths associated with *febre etica* occurred in much younger subjects than those with the other types of fever, regardless of organ involvement, and were associated with respiratory symptoms in
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five cases, two of which were also associated with hemoptysis (cum sputo sanguinis). Febre etica
was also reported in the case of two deaths mainly attributed to phtisi.

The following definitions of fever without any specific reference to organ involvement were
found in the MML: febre acuta (1,793 cases), febre (320), febre longa (315), febre continua (218),
febre acuta maligna (203), febre maligna (74), febre terzana (15), febre peracuta (14), and febre
frenetica (12) (Tab. 1, panel B). In some cases, the time interval between symptom onset and death
was recorded, including 7.9% of the deaths due to febre acuta and 38.4% of those due to febre
acuta maligna: in both cases, the median interval was ≤10 days. The cerusici and phisici collegiati
tended to use different terms to describe the type of fever. The deaths due to febre longa (long
fever) or febre without any other specification were prevalently recorded by cerusici, and all of the
diagnoses of febre frenetica were formulated by only one of the two cerusici. All of the diagnoses
of febre peracuta were made by phisici collegiati (all but one by the same doctor) and, although
they made only about 40% of the diagnoses of death due to fever without organ involvement,
phisici collegiati made 60% of the diagnoses of febre continua.

Figure 3 shows the weekly distribution of deaths associated with the different patterns of
fever. The number of deaths attributed to fever without any reported organ involvement began to
increase in week 19 of 1629, and peaked in week 29; the number of deaths due to fever with
gastrointestinal symptoms showed a similar temporal trend, whereas those due to fever with
respiratory symptoms were more evenly distributed throughout the year. One hundred and forty-
four (84.2%) of the 171 deaths of beggars found dead in the street were recorded between week 24
and week 28 (from mid-June to mid-July), and the entries covering the deaths of 128 included up to
seven people at a time, without any indication of their age, sex or cause of death.

The distribution of deaths due to different types of fever without any description of organ
involvement was substantially comparable, with most of the deaths being recorded during the
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summer months (Supp. Fig. 1); however, the distribution of the deaths associated with *febre etica* was not seasonal.

Figure 4 shows the number and percentage of deaths due to fever without any organ involvement in males and females stratified by age. The deaths were relatively more frequent in males (53% vs 47.8%; p<0.001), particularly in the stratum 41-45 years (67.3% vs 54.8%; p=0.01).

**DISCUSSION**

The available data show that Milan was hit by a major epidemic in the summer of 1629 that caused a 60% increase in the number of recorded deaths in comparison with 1628. The officially recorded deaths of 171 beggars (2.9% of the total for the year) are not enough to explain the extent of this increase and, given that the number of deaths estimated by the chroniclers of the time is much larger than that recorded in the MML, it is unlikely that the number of unrecorded deaths in lazarettos and hospitals would be enough to make up the difference. It is therefore likely that the deaths caused by the *morbino* were perceived as being more numerous than they actually were.

Although the chroniclers emphasised the role of migrants in spreading the epidemic, the increase in the number of incident cases of death due to fever started in week 19, and more than 80% of the deaths of beggars found dead in the street were recorded between week 24 and week 28, presumably after their release from the lazaretto of St. Gregory: it is also interesting to note that the MML describes such beggars as 'those of St. Gregory' (*ex illis Sancti Gregorii*) only from the second half of June.

The terms used to define the different types of fever retained the classification based on the theory of humours in only a minority of cases, and only two of the four types of putrid fever contemplated by Galen [15] (*febre continua*, the cause of which is attributed to a blood alteration, and *febre terzana*, attributed to a bile alteration) are recorded as being associated with the deaths that occurred in 1629. The use of these terms implies an effort to describe the symptom and
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pathogenesis of the disease causing the deaths, and so it is not surprising that febre continua was
more frequently used by the physici collegiati than the two cerusici. However, neither of these types
of fever differ from the other types of acute fever in terms of their distribution between the sexes
and seasonality, the median age of the subjects at the time of death, or (when this information was
available), the duration of the symptom before death.

On the contrary, febre etica did not seem to be seasonal and, in comparison with the other
types of fever, appeared at a much younger age and a longer time before death. The cases associated
with phthisis or respiratory symptoms cum sputo sanguinis suggest a diagnosis of pulmonary
tuberculosis. In his 1619 treatise on fevers, Sennert [14] described its long-lasting nature (“in quibus
intermperies febrilis quasi in habitum transivit”: Book III, Chap. I, page 333) and its association
with phthisis (“sepissime febris talis excitatur ex pulmonum ulcer in phthisicis, qua vulgo plerique
hecticam vocant”: Book II, Chap. XVI, page 208), and it is likely that the use of the term febre
etica by Milanese public health officers in 1629 was in line with this medical tradition.

Febre longa was probably a diagnosis of convenience used (mainly by the two cerusici) to
reinforce the exclusion of plague, which was believed to be fatal in a matter of days (Sennert, Book
IV, Chap. IV, page. 421). Nevertheless, the deaths due to febre longa occurred at a similar median
age and had a seasonal peak that similar to that of the large group of acute fevers without organ
involvement that caused most of the deaths in 1629. We have therefore included these cases in our
analysis of deaths due to fever without organ involvement as a possible part of the epidemic.

Seventeenth century medical science certainly knew of the existence of malignant or
pestilential fevers other than the plague (Sennert, Book IV, Chap.VII, pages 478-484), although
there was much discussion about their nature, and it is clear that the Milanese public health officers
chose the terms used in their contemporary medicine to indicate the presence of an epidemic fever
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that was less severe and less lethal than the plague. The most correct and complete formulation
would have been febre acuta maligna, which was used almost exclusively by the physici collegiati.
The first reported cases of the plague in Milan appeared in October 1629 [1], and it was unlikely
that the presence of a large plague epidemic could be hidden for long, particularly from the
watchful eyes of the Residents of the other Italian States. Moreover, the Protofisico Generale (Chief
Physician) of the Duchy of Milan, Lodovico Settala, had published a treatise on plague in 1622
based on his experience of the 1576 epidemic [16], and many of the city’s public health personnel
were experienced in its empirical diagnosis [17]. Taken together, this seems to be sufficient to
affirm that Milan’s public health authorities were more than capable of recognising a case of
plague, and that the morbino of Senatore Settala was something else.

Except for the six cases attributable to smallpox, the MML rarely recorded rash or other
cutaneous manifestations, which strongly suggests that the morbino was not the epidemic typhus of
which a number of episodes had occurred in Milan since the fifteenth century [18]. Epidemic typhus
was described and distinguished from plague by Fracastoro [19], who confirmed its exanthematous
nature in 1547 [4]; furthermore, as a large outbreak had occurred in Florence in the winter of 1621
[20], it can be assumed that Milan’s public health officers would have easily recognised it. Finally,
epidemic typhus is more frequent during the winter, when heavy clothing favours the proliferation
of lice and the transmission of Rickettsia prowazekii [21,22], whereas the morbino was a summer
epidemic.

The number of deaths reported in Milan between 1601 and 1629 was always higher in the
summer [13] and, in 1629, their number increased at the end of May and reached a peak in July. In
many ways, it seems that the morbino may have been an enteric fever, most probably typhoid fever
which, although typically food- or water-borne, can also be transmitted by inter-human contacts
[23]. The recurrent excess of registered deaths in Milan during the summer of every year from 1601
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[13] suggests that enteric fevers were endemic, and that more or less extensive outbreaks occurred depending on the weather or other favouring events. The population maintenance of Salmonella enterica serotype Typhi (which was responsible for 76.3% of the enteric fevers reported worldwide in 2017) [24] is guaranteed by chronic carriers, who represent approximately 2-5% of the typhoid patients who fail to clear the infection fully within one year of recovery [25]. It is worth remembering that the first anatomical description of the ileus lesions later identified as being attributable to typhoid fever was made by Spigelius in 1624, when he was Professor of Anatomy at the University of Padua [26]. Males are more susceptible to typhoid fever and at greater risk of typhoid ileal perforation [27, 28], which may explain the higher rate of deaths attributed to fever without any indication of organ involvement among males in Milan in 1629.

Seventeenth-century Milan was intersected by numerous canals, had a rich and easily accessible groundwater table, and its drinking water came from thousands of private household wells that were often dangerously close to cesspits containing the excreta of its inhabitants [29].

Poor sanitation and water supplies are still the main causes of enteric fever throughout the world, and accounted for more than 14 million cases in 2017 [24]. In the pre-antibiotic era, the lethality of typhoid fever was more than 10% [30], and mortality rates of as high as 30-50% have been reported in countries in which severe typhoid fever is endemic, such as Papua New Guinea and Indonesia [31]. It should also be noted that health and social welfare conditions were dramatically compromised in Milan in 1629, as is borne out by the 118 residents recorded in the register as dying of starvation.

This study has a number of limitations in addition to those due to the inevitable difficulties involved when trying to attribute a cause to an epidemic solely on the basis of written documents and without any investigation in human remains. The first is the lack of information concerning the deaths occurring in the Lazaretto and other hospitals. Secondly, it was impossible to assess the
fever-related deaths occurring during childhood because of the widespread use of the convenient
diagnosis of *epilepsia* in 340 cases among those aged less than eight years. The physicians of the
time used the term *epilepsia* to refer to infantile weakness not necessarily accompanied by febrile
convulsions [32], and it was frequently used in this sense in the MML as it was a generic diagnosis
that was sufficient to rule out the suspicion of plague. Thirdly, the cause of death could not always
be interpreted because the available information was insufficient. Finally, the lack of information
concerning all of the deaths that occurred in the city and the precise number of inhabitants at the
time of the epidemic prevents any estimate of mortality in general and by specific cause. However,
given that the available estimates indicate a total population of about 130,000 inhabitants [33] and a
of typhoid fever lethality rate about 10% [30], it could be supposed that no less than 30,000 people
(more or less a quarter of the population) have been affected by the disease, enough to justify the
alarm raised.

In conclusion, a serious epidemic probably due to enteric fever (possibly typhoid fever)
occurred in Milan during the summer of 1629, and was favoured by the poor economic and
nutritional conditions of a significant proportion of the population. It is possible that the
concomitant existence of famine, war, and the arrival of many impoverished peasants from the
surrounding countryside caused an extensive epidemic, thus drawing particular attention to a
phenomenon that was not unusual and had been largely ignored in previous years. Subsequently, the
arrival of the Great Plague of 1630, which is estimated to have caused tens of thousands of deaths,
almost completely erased all memory of the *morbino* of 1629.
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Table 1. Characteristics of the deaths attributed to different patterns of fever (panel A), and different types of fever without any specific reference to organ involvement (panel B).

### Panel A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Described patterns of fever</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Sex, n (%)</th>
<th>Age, years</th>
<th>Days from symptoms onset to death n. of cases</th>
<th>Median (range)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fever without any described organ involvement</td>
<td>2964‡</td>
<td>1627 (54.9)</td>
<td>1334 (45.1)</td>
<td>40 (0-95)</td>
<td>260 (1-181)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever associated with gastrointestinal symptoms</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>78 (55.3)</td>
<td>63 (44.7)</td>
<td>50 (2-90)</td>
<td>13 (3-181)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever associated with respiratory symptoms</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>70 (48.6)</td>
<td>74 (51.4)</td>
<td>60 (1-95)</td>
<td>10 (3-30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Febre etica◊</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>44 (43.1)</td>
<td>58 (56.9)</td>
<td>20 (2-74)</td>
<td>6 (151-91-181)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever with rash</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7 (58.3)</td>
<td>5 (41.7)</td>
<td>41 (14-65)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

‡Gender missing in three cases. ◊Definition referring to fever associated with phthisis or chronic debilitating diseases (ref. 14)

### Panel B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Different types of fever without any described organ involvement</th>
<th>No. (%</th>
<th>Sex, No. (%)</th>
<th>Age, years</th>
<th>Certifier of death, No. (%)</th>
<th>No. of days from symptom onset to death n. of cases</th>
<th>Median (range)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Febre acuta</td>
<td>1793 (60.5)</td>
<td>976 (60.0)</td>
<td>814 (61.0)</td>
<td>1091 (61.8)</td>
<td>702 (58.6)</td>
<td>142 (10-2-30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Febre</td>
<td>320 (10.8)</td>
<td>176 (10.8)</td>
<td>144 (10.8)</td>
<td>222 (12.6)</td>
<td>98 (8.2)</td>
<td>2 (11-8-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Febre longa</td>
<td>315 (10.6)</td>
<td>151 (9.3)</td>
<td>164 (12.3)*</td>
<td>287 (16.3)***</td>
<td>28 (2.3)</td>
<td>2 (121-60-181)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Febre continua</td>
<td>218 (7.4)§</td>
<td>119 (7.3)</td>
<td>99 (7.4)</td>
<td>86 (4.9)***</td>
<td>131 (10.9)</td>
<td>27 (10-3-24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Febre acuta maligna</td>
<td>203 (6.8)</td>
<td>133 (8.2)</td>
<td>70 (5.2)*</td>
<td>7 (0.4)***</td>
<td>196 (16.4)</td>
<td>78 (9-3-20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Febre maligna</td>
<td>74 (2.5)</td>
<td>47 (2.8)</td>
<td>27 (2.0)</td>
<td>55 (3.1)*</td>
<td>19 (1.6)</td>
<td>7 (7-4-15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Febre terzana</td>
<td>15 (0.5)</td>
<td>8 (0.5)</td>
<td>7 (0.5)</td>
<td>6 (0.3)</td>
<td>9 (0.8)</td>
<td>2 (37-14-60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Febre peracuta</td>
<td>14 (0.5)</td>
<td>9 (0.6)</td>
<td>5 (0.4)</td>
<td>49 (25-70)</td>
<td>0 (0)***</td>
<td>14 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Febre frenetica</td>
<td>12 (0.4)</td>
<td>8 (0.5)</td>
<td>4 (0.3)</td>
<td>30 (20-70)</td>
<td>12 (0.7)*</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

‡Gender missing in three cases. §Certifier missing in one case. *p-value <0.05; **p-value <0.001; ***p-value <0.0001
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FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1: The number of deaths recorded in Milan’s Liber Mortuorum for each month of 1629, and the mean (min-max) monthly number of deaths in the period 1601-1628.

Fig. 2: Deaths recorded in 1629, stratified by gender and age group at the time of death (age not available in 200 cases).

Fig. 3: Weekly distribution of the deaths attributed to fever without any described organ involvement in comparison with the distribution of deaths due to fever with gastrointestinal symptoms, fever with respiratory symptoms, and febre etica.

Fig. 4: Number and percentage of deaths due to fever without any described organ involvement, stratified by gender and age.

Supplementary Figure 1. Monthly distribution of deaths due to the different types of fever.
Figure 3

- Fever without described organ involvement
- Fever associated with respiratory symptoms
- Fever associated with gastrointestinal symptoms
- Febre etica

Axes:
- No. of deaths (fever without described organ involvement)
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Legend:
- White bars: Fever without described organ involvement
- Black bars: Fever associated with respiratory symptoms
- Grey bars: Fever associated with gastrointestinal symptoms
- Black and white diagonal lines: Febre etica
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The graph illustrates the distribution of deaths over weeks, categorized by fever type.