Midwifery care providers’ childbirth and immediate newborn care competencies: a cross-sectional study in Benin, Malawi, Tanzania and Uganda
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Abstract

Evidence-based quality care is essential for reducing the high burden of maternal and newborn mortality and morbidity in sub-Saharan Africa. Provision of quality care results from interaction between several components of the health system including competent midwifery care providers. We assessed midwifery care providers’ childbirth and immediate newborn competencies as part of the Action Leveraging Evidence to Reduce perinatal mortality and morbidity (ALERT) project in Benin, Malawi, Tanzania, and Uganda. We used a self-administered questionnaire assessing knowledge, and skills drills observations assessing skills and behaviours in the 16 ALERT maternity wards. A total of 302 participants took part in the knowledge assessment and 113 skills drills were conducted. The assessments revealed knowledge gaps in frequency of fetal heart rate monitoring and timing of umbilical cord clamping. More than half of the participants scored poorly on aspects related to routine admission tasks, clinical history-taking and rapid and initial assessment of the newborn in the skills drills assessment, while higher scores were achieved in active management of the third stage of labour. The assessment also suggested a lack of involvement of women in decision-making, illustrated by the failure to encourage women to ask questions and failing to report clinical findings back to them. Participants furthermore indicated a lack of support from their managers, supervision and access to education and training resources. Inadequate competency level of the midwifery care providers may be due to gaps in pre-service training but possibly furthermore related to the structural and operational facility characteristics including continuing professional development. Investment and actioning on these findings are needed when developing and
designing pre-service and in-service training to ensure women, newborns and families have access to evidence-based and quality midwifery care when needed.
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Background

Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) currently accounts for the highest burden of maternal and newborn mortality and morbidity [1-4] despite an increase in both births attended by skilled health personnel [5] and improved access to facility care during childbirth [6]. The majority of these deaths are preventable and reflect inequalities in access to health care as approaches to prevent or manage complications are well known [7-9]. Quality of maternal and newborn care is increasingly recognized internationally as a critical aspect of the unfinished maternal and newborn health agenda [10], particularly with respect to care around childbirth and in the immediate postnatal period [11, 12]. As countries are working towards achieving the Sustainable Development Goals’ (SDG) targets in relation to reducing maternal and newborn mortality, facility births have been one of the national and global strategies emphasized [13]. The policy assumption that availability and access to childbirth care services is sufficient to reduce maternal and newborn mortality may misrepresent any progress made as it does not necessarily reflect the quality of care received [12]. Due to lack of provider knowledge and skills as well as equipment and supplies many SSA countries are not able to provide essential maternal and newborn care [11, 14-16].

Quality midwifery care has the potential to ensure women and newborns receive the care needed to safeguard positive health outcomes [17, 18]. The provision of quality childbirth care is complex as outlined in “The Quality Maternal and Newborn Care Framework” developed by Renfrew et al. (2014). The framework is structured around five components of care: practice categories, organisation of care, values, philosophy, and care providers [19]. Several factors influence to which extent women and newborns receive the quality of care they need.
Cochrane systematic review concluded that the health workforce capacity is often insufficient because of essential deficiencies in pre-service training as well as continuous in-service training [20]. Another major common obstacle is the lack of an enabling environment in which to provide care, with even basic commodities such as water, electricity, and transport absent or sporadic in their availability in SSA. The workload, tasks, responsibilities, and the personal risks to midwifery care providers are often not reflected in their remuneration. All these aspects influence lack of teamwork, collaboration, and trust as well as the interaction with women during childbirth [20]. Evidence suggests that it is not uncommon for women to experience sub-optimal care in SSA and the experiences are often less positive in public facilities than in private facilities [21, 22]. It is generally acknowledged that globally pre-service midwifery education competency levels are difficult to compare across programmes due to their differing contents and lengths as well as varying faculty capacity including competence of midwifery educators, teaching and learning materials, in addition to the level of clinical training and supervision [23]. The current evidence of midwifery care providers’ competencies in relation to providing childbirth care focuses mainly on basic and comprehensive emergency obstetric and newborn care [24, 25]. Limited evidence is available on midwifery care providers’ competencies related to physiological childbirth and immediate newborn care in SSA, which is the essential basis for ensuring positive health outcomes and childbirth experiences. Identification of gaps in childbirth and immediate newborn care competencies is a critical step towards informing and designing effective midwifery care providers pre-service education and in-service training programmes. In this study we assessed competency levels among midwifery care providers in 16 hospital maternity units across Benin, Malawi, Tanzania, and Uganda.
Methods and Materials

Ethical considerations

This study is part of the Action Leveraging Evidence to Reduce perinatal mortality and morbidity in the sub-Saharan Africa project (ALERT). The project received ethical approval from the following institutions:

- Karolinska Institutet, Sweden (Etikprövningsmyndigheten—Dnr 2020–01587).
- School of Public Health research and ethics committee (HDREC 808) and Uganda National Council for Science and Technology (UNCST)— (HS1324ES).
- Muhimbili University of Health And Allied Sciences (MUHAS) Research and Ethics Committee, Tanzania (MUHAS-REC-04-2020-118) and The Aga Khan University Ethical Review Committee, Tanzania (AKU/2019/044/fb).
- College of Medicine Research and Ethics Committee (COMREC), Malawi—(COMREC P.04/20/3038).
- Comité National d’Éthique pour la Recherche en Santé, Cotonou, Bénin (83/MS/DC/SGM/CNERS/ST).
- The Institutional Review Board at the Institute of Tropical Medicine Antwerp and The Ethics Committee at the University Hospital Antwerp, Belgium—(ITG 1375/20. B3002020000116).

The study protocol was published in the Journal of Reproductive Health in 2021 and outlines the methodology for the design and conduct of the assessment of midwifery care providers’ childbirth and newborn immediate care competencies [26]. The protocol also outlines a qualitative component pertaining to provider experiences and perception related to in-service
training but in this paper we only report on the results from the quantitative study. Our reporting follows the STROBE Statement for cross-sectional studies [27] (S1 Table).

Study design

The study used a cross-sectional design employing a self-administered questionnaire for knowledge assessment and skills drills observations to assess skills and behaviours.

Study setting

The study was conducted as part of the ALERT project and informed the work stream related to “Positioning Midwifery”. The ALERT project aims to evaluate the effect of a four-component intervention on perinatal mortality in 16 hospital maternity units across Benin, Malawi, Tanzania, and Uganda. Selection criteria for these hospitals and characteristics of study countries were previously described in detail [28]. The maternity units were a mix of public and private-not-for-profit, which is common in many SSA countries. Each hospital had a minimum caseload of 2500 births per year. Caesarean section and blood transfusion services were available in all hospitals, and they all have a neonatal care unit except in Malawi. Basic amenities such as electricity and running water were available every day in the maternity wards even though electrical power shortages were common. Hand-washing stations and hand cleaning products were sometimes lacking. Although regular sterile gloves were available in all hospitals, long sterile gloves were often missing. Clinical protocols commonly available covered postpartum haemorrhage and (pre-)eclampsia in many of the hospitals. Areas scarcely covered by protocols were sepsis management, postnatal care for the woman and the newborn,
standard operating procedure for caesarean section as well as for admissions and transfers out.

Most hospitals, except for one in Uganda, had an ambulance service run by the hospitals themselves. However, in most cases they were not meeting reliable standards, relying on the woman and her family to pay for fuel, and serving solely as a means of transport as those driving the ambulances had no formal medical training.

Target population and sampling

All midwifery care providers including doctors providing midwifery care in the 16 ALERT project hospital maternity units were invited to take part in the knowledge assessment. During the data collection period a strike was on-going in Uganda which affected the number of midwifery care providers who were not present at the hospitals. Approximately one third of the midwifery care providers who took part in the knowledge assessment were randomly selected and invited to take part in the skills and behaviour assessment.

The definition of a midwifery care provider varies among countries [29]. To ensure clarity, our operational definition of a competent midwifery care provider is described in Box 1.
Box 1. Definition of competent midwifery care providers used in the study

Providers of midwifery care are competent maternal and newborn health professionals educated, trained, and regulated to national and/or international standards. They provide skilled, evidence-based, and compassionate care to women, newborns and families.

Providers of midwifery care:

- Promote and facilitate normal physiological, social and cultural processes throughout the childbearing continuum with a continuity of care philosophy;
- Seek to prevent and manage maternal and newborn complications;
- Consult and refer to other health services where required; and
- Respect women’s individual circumstances and views, providing sensitive and dignified care.

Source: [26]

Study tools

The study applied i) a self-administered questionnaire for the knowledge assessment and ii) an observation skills drills checklist for the skills and behaviour assessment. The data collection tools were developed based on the International Confederation of Midwives (ICM) Essential Competencies for Midwifery Practice Framework, 2019 update [30, 31].

The knowledge assessment questionnaire consisted of seven sections linked to childbirth and immediate newborn care. Section titles are illustrated in Box 2. (the full knowledge assessment questionnaire is included in supplementary file S2 Text).

Ideally, we would have carried out observations of actual clinical care to assess clinical skills and behaviours. However, observations are resource intensive and assessment procedure could have created tensions and ethical dilemmas in cases where care was being omitted. In view of
the co-design nature of the ALERT project we thus opted to carry out the observation study as skills drills sessions which were less threatening.

One ALERT team member who was part of the research team played the “woman” (the woman – called Mary) another the companion (the woman’s companion) and were given instructions on how to answer any questions asked by the midwifery care provider using the skills drills script (the skills drills script is included in supplementary file S3 Text).

An observation checklist was used to record which clinical practices were performed and which were not executed. The checklist included all clinical evidence-based aspects which would be expected to be addressed in each scenario. The skills drills observation checklist contained 12 sections (Box 2). The complete skills drills checklist tool is included in supplementary file S3 Text. The data collection tools and skills drills scripts were available in English, French and Swahili.

For the skills drills assessment a “Laerdal Mama Birthie Kit” was used [32]. The photograph in Figure 1 shows the content of a Laerdal Mama Birthie Kit. Additional equipment such as a blood pressure machine, thermometer, delivery kit and cloths to use as drapes were available to enhance the simulation.

INSERT Figure 1 here

Figure 1. Content of the Laerdal Mama Birthie Kit used for the skills drills

Credit: Elizabeth Ayebare, Uganda ALERT Team
Box 2. Content of the sections in the knowledge assessment and the skills drills observation checklist

**Content of the knowledge assessment by sections** (self-administered questionnaire)

Section 1. Provider characteristics (personnel information, educational background and general information related to current job)
Section 2. Working environment (history of in-service training)
Section 3. Triage and referral
Section 4. First stage management of labour
Section 5. Second stage management of labour
Section 6. Third stage management of labour
Section 7. Reporting and documentation, and handover between shifts

The self-administered questionnaire included three questions specifically related to the COVID pandemic.

**Content of the skills drill’s observation checklist by sections**

Section 1. The provider treats the client (Mary) in a cordial manner
Section 2. Admission
Section 3. The provider properly reviews and fills out the clinical history about Mary
Section 4. The provider properly conducts the physical examination between contractions
Section 5. The provider properly conducts the obstetric examination between contractions
Section 6. The provider properly conducts a vaginal examination
Section 7. Documentation
Section 8. The provider assists the woman to have a safe and clean birth
Section 9. The provider properly conducts a rapid initial assessment
Section 10. The provider adequately performs active management of the third stage of labour (AMTSL)
Section 11. The provider adequately performs immediate postpartum care
Section 12. Documentation of AMTSL

AMTSL as a prophylactic intervention is composed of a package of three components or steps: 1) administration of a uterotonic, preferably oxytocin, immediately after birth of the baby; 2) controlled cord traction (CCT) to deliver the placenta; and 3) massage of the uterine fundus after the placenta is delivered.
Pre-test

All the data collection tools were pre-tested in one or two the hospital maternity units different from the study hospital maternity units in each country but with similar characteristics to the study hospitals – level of the facility, services offered and number of deliveries [28]. The pre-tests identified some practical issues related to the conduct of the skills drills such as setting up the room, ensuring all equipment’s were available, the sequence of sections in the observation checklist as well as some missing aspects in the skills drills script. Tablet electronic devices were tested to ensure accurate programming and for ease of use. With the feedback provided by each country study team the tools were adjusted accordingly.

Data collection

Data were collected from May to June 2021 in all the 16 hospital maternity units by one ALERT co-investigator with a midwifery background and data collection assistants with nurse-midwifery training in each country. Standard operating procedures were developed detailing how to carry out the consent procedures as well as how to conduct the knowledge assessment and skills drills together with an equipment list for each activity. The consent form was available in English, French and Swahili. The team member playing the woman (Mary) was the same person for all the four hospital maternity units within the countries. The companion was played by different team members and was not applied in Benin and Tanzania as maternity units do not permit women to bring a companion in the labour ward.

Data collection teams underwent training prior to data collection. These sessions responded to the COVID-19 situation at the time and were therefore conducted virtually. Tablet electronic...
devices were used by participants to fill in the knowledge assessment questionnaire. For the skills drills one country elected to use the tablet electronic device to fill in the skills drills’ observation checklist, while the others used a paper format followed by data entry after each skills drill session. The paper format of the checklists was stored in a locked cabinet in the institutions of the project principal investigators. We randomly selected 10 per cent of the paper format checklists and checked against the data entered to the tablets before further processing. We did not find any discrepancies within the data checked. Data from the knowledge assessment questionnaires and skills drills observation checklist were exported from the tablet electronic devices to the Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) software and the data were stored on a secure server at the Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden.

Data analysis

Datasets were checked, verified, and cleaned with each country team and all data were anonymized prior to analysis. Completed knowledge assessment questionnaires and skills drills observations data were imported into Stata version 16.0 [33] for analysis. We calculated descriptive statistics, mean or proportion of all variables of interest. No inferential statistics were calculated due to the small study sample size, which would have produced implausibly broad, non-informative, confidence intervals as per the study protocol [26]. No imputation was performed for missing data. In addition to the mean and proportion analysis data from the skills drills were analysed according to performance using a “traffic light” presentation. Red denotes that the task was carried out less than 50% of the time, suggesting a dangerous gap in
performance. Yellow denotes that the task was carried out between 50% and less than 80% of
the time, suggesting a critical gap in performance. While green suggests that the task was
performed in the majority cases to the expected performance level according to
recommendations and good practice.

Results

The study included the 16 ALERT hospital maternity units. From these maternity units 302 out
of 414 eligible midwifery care providers took part in the knowledge assessment assessing
routine childbirth and immediate newborn care, and 113 skills drills were conducted to assess
skills and behaviour. In Benin 16%, Malawi 40%, Tanzania 16% and Uganda 26% of the
midwifery care providers did not take part in the knowledge assessment as they were not
present at the facility during the data collection period due to annual or study leave, not on
duty or other personal reasons. In one facility a provider declined to consent and in some cases
the providers did not find time to participate (Table 1).
Table 1. Total number of midwifery care providers in the study hospital maternity units, number of knowledge assessments and skills drills conducted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Total number of midwifery care providers*</th>
<th>Number/(%) of knowledge assessments</th>
<th>Number/(%) of skills drills</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benin</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>72 (84)</td>
<td>28 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>101 (60)</td>
<td>39 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>81 (84)</td>
<td>22 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>48 (74)</td>
<td>24 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All countries</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>302 (73)</td>
<td>113 (27)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Number of midwifery care providers at the time of data collection.

Knowledge assessment results

In total 302 participants took part in the knowledge assessment: Benin 72, Malawi 101, Tanzania 81 and Uganda 48 (Table 1). Table 2 describes participant characteristics in the four countries. Two thirds (74%) of the midwifery care providers were female ranging from 59% in Malawi to 89% in Benin. Overall 64% reported that they have completed tertiary education. About half (51%) were dual trained nurse-midwives, with the majority having obtained a diploma as the highest level of training containing some elements related to the provision of maternity care. For most of the participants years spent in pre-service education were more than two years (92%). Registration with a professional organization was common among all the countries except for Benin where around only half (56%) were registered.

The most common work modality was rotating shifts with very few participants only working day (18%) or night shifts (2%). None of the participants stated working evening shifts only.

Concerning the working environment 30% of the participants replied that they were supervised,
while 32% said they were not and 37% sometimes. Access to education and training resources varied among the countries with 58% of participants in Benin reporting not having access compared to 15% in Malawi.

### Table 2. Participant characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All countries (n=302)</th>
<th>Benin (n=72)</th>
<th>Malawi (n=101)</th>
<th>Tanzania (n=81)</th>
<th>Uganda (n=48)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>224 (74%)</td>
<td>64 (89%)</td>
<td>60 (59%)</td>
<td>58 (72%)</td>
<td>42 (88%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>78 (26%)</td>
<td>8 (11%)</td>
<td>41 (41%)</td>
<td>23 (28%)</td>
<td>6 (12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>School education (completed)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary education</td>
<td>5 (2%)</td>
<td>2 (3%)</td>
<td>3 (4%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary education</td>
<td>105 (35%)</td>
<td>17 (24%)</td>
<td>3 (3%)</td>
<td>58 (72%)</td>
<td>27 (56%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertiary education</td>
<td>192 (64%)</td>
<td>53 (74%)</td>
<td>98 (97%)</td>
<td>20 (25%)</td>
<td>21 (44%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Highest training qualifications related to the provision of maternity care</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certificate</td>
<td>96 (32%)</td>
<td>47 (65%)</td>
<td>2 (2%)</td>
<td>29 (36%)</td>
<td>18 (32%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploma</td>
<td>139 (46%)</td>
<td>78 (77%)</td>
<td>40 (49%)</td>
<td>21 (44%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor</td>
<td>53 (18%)</td>
<td>13 (18%)</td>
<td>21 (21%)</td>
<td>12 (15%)</td>
<td>7 (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master/Doctor’s degree</td>
<td>14 (5%)</td>
<td>12 (17%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 (4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year of pre-service training</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;2 years</td>
<td>24 (8%)</td>
<td>1 (1%)</td>
<td>4 (4%)</td>
<td>5 (6%)</td>
<td>14 (29%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;2 years</td>
<td>278 (92%)</td>
<td>71 (99%)</td>
<td>97 (96%)</td>
<td>76 (94%)</td>
<td>34 (71%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Professional title according to pre-service training</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurse-Midwife</td>
<td>155 (51%)</td>
<td>86 (85%)</td>
<td>69 (85%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midwife</td>
<td>100 (33%)</td>
<td>60 (83%)</td>
<td>1 (1%)</td>
<td>2 (2%)</td>
<td>37 (77%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurse</td>
<td>1 (0.3%)</td>
<td>1 (1%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctor</td>
<td>24 (8%)</td>
<td>7 (10%)</td>
<td>10 (12%)</td>
<td>7 (15%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>22 (7%)</td>
<td>4 (6%)</td>
<td>14 (14%)</td>
<td>4 (8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Years of experience</strong> (n=300)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤2 yrs.</td>
<td>86 (29%)</td>
<td>2 (3%)</td>
<td>55 (55%)</td>
<td>22 (27%)</td>
<td>7 (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-5 yrs.</td>
<td>69 (23%)</td>
<td>5 (7%)</td>
<td>24 (24%)</td>
<td>29 (36%)</td>
<td>11 (23%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10 yrs.</td>
<td>55 (18%)</td>
<td>11 (15%)</td>
<td>14 (14%)</td>
<td>18 (22%)</td>
<td>12 (26%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10 yrs.</td>
<td>90 (30%)</td>
<td>54 (75%)</td>
<td>7 (7%)</td>
<td>12 (15%)</td>
<td>17 (36%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Shifts - the most common</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day</td>
<td>55 (18%)</td>
<td>27 (38%)</td>
<td>9 (9%)</td>
<td>11 (23%)</td>
<td>8 (10%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Evening
Night
Rotating shifts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>7 (2%)</th>
<th>3 (4%)</th>
<th>3 (3%)</th>
<th>1 (1%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>240 (79%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Registered with a professional organization (n=299)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>40 (56%)</th>
<th>92 (91%)</th>
<th>66 (81%)</th>
<th>46 (96%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>52 (17%)</td>
<td>31 (43%)</td>
<td>4 (4%)</td>
<td>15 (19%)</td>
<td>2 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>3 (1%)</td>
<td>1 (1%)</td>
<td>2 (2%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Detailed results of the knowledge assessment section two to seven by country and for all countries can be found in the supplementary file S4 Table.

Access to equipment and medication needed for caring for women during childbirth differed among the countries, in Benin 49% of the participants said yes compared to 73% in Tanzania. 54% of the participants said that their hospital has a written protocol in place for triage related to labour and childbirth, and 45% said that a written protocol is in place for referral during labour and childbirth (only for non-referral facilities). 50% of participants said that no written protocol to assess women with symptoms of COVID-19 was in place and 14% did not know.

Participants were asked about fetal heart rate monitoring during the first stage of labour and how often this should be checked. The majority responded that the fetal heart rate should be checked every 30 minutes and 15% said every 15 minutes (Figure 2). Regarding fetal heart monitoring in second stage of labour 45% said that the fetal heart rate should be monitored every 15 minutes and only 14% said every 5 minutes (Figure 2).

**Figure 2.** Respondent’s report of how often the fetal heart rate should be monitored during 1st and 2nd stages of labour - all countries

**INSERT figure 2 here**
62% participants said that they encourage the women to drink and eat as wanted, while 2%
said that women should abstain fully from drinking and eating with some country variations
during first stage of labour. 58% indicated that they strongly encourage companionship
throughout labour and birth while 22% pointed out that they do not encourage it as it is not
policy in the facility. Almost all (92%) said that the used a partograph if available.

Nearly all participants (95%) said that breastfeeding should begin as soon as possible when the
baby is ready within the first hour after birth.

Participants were asked what the APGAR (Appearance, Pulse, Grimace, Activity, and
Respiration) letter stands for. 58% provided a correct answer with variation among the
countries. Only participants in Benin (38%) indicated that they did not know (Figure 3).

Related to monitoring (uterine tone, bleeding, blood pressure, and pulse) of women the first
two hours after giving birth 63% responded that women should be monitored every 15
minutes, while 32% indicated every 30 minutes.

If the baby is crying and does not need resuscitation, 59% said that the umbilical cord should
be clamped or tied within one to three minutes after birth and 35% said immediately after birth
(Figure 3).

Almost all participants identified that high blood pressure as well as high levels of protein in the
urine were signs and symptoms of postpartum preeclampsia.

Participants were asked about documentation and 4% answered that reporting is done
electronically, while the majority used a mix of paper and electronic formats. Furthermore,
participants were asked why it is necessary to document and report information and could
select multiple answers. The majority of participants (88%) reported that documentation was
useful for quality improvement. 69% answered that it is important for colleagues to learn about
the women. 56% answered that health managers regularly need data, and lastly, while the least
proportion (28%), thought that it was done to ensure that women themselves are informed.

The knowledge assessment questionnaire included three questions related to the COVID-19
pandemic asking about in-service training and availability of personal protective equipment.

56% of the providers reported that they had not received training on how to recognize or
assess symptoms of COVID-19 among women with the highest percentage in Tanzania (79%)
compared to lowest percentage in Malawi (32%). Only a quarter reported that protective
equipment was available to staff in sufficient quantity to change between patients, while 52%
reported that equipment was not available in sufficient quantity and 22% reported sometimes.
Skills drills results

Overall 113 skills drills were conducted: Benin 28, Malawi 39, Tanzania 22 and Uganda 24 (Table 1). Figure 4 depicts the results of the skills drills assessment by sections (1-12). Each section includes the mean performance by country and the overall mean performance for all four participating countries. None of the clinical skills and behaviours assessed reached 100% performance of tasks.

The clinical performance varied by section and across countries. For three of the sections (section 2 - admission, section 3 - clinical history-taking, and section 9 - rapid and initial assessment of the baby), less than 50% of tasks were completed, with small variations across countries. In the other nine sections, the clinical skills and behaviours assessed were all completed by at least half of the participants, with section 7 (documentation [record collected information]) scoring highest with a 71% completion rate. The overall average for the four countries covering all the 12 sections was 61%. The country specific total averages for all the 12 sections ranged 42% to 72% (Tanzania 42%, Benin 54%, Malawi 69%, and Uganda 72%) (S5 Figure). Additional skills drills results by country are included in supplementary file S5.
Figure 4. Skills drills assessment - clinical performance by country and all countries by sections.
Mean of tasks performed within each section.

Further details of each performed task included in the skills drills observation checklist was assessed according to performance level and displayed as a “traffic light” graph (S6 Figure). The result of the assessment suggests that many tasks were performed to the expected level, such as for monitoring fetal heart rate at admission and active stage management of third stage labour. Certain tasks, however, were performed poorly, such as encouraging the woman (Mary) to ask questions, highlighting the lack of involvement of women in decision-making.

Assessment of gestational age was lacking (except in Uganda), as was assessment of contractions in addition to monitoring of fetal heart rate in second stage of labour.

Discussion

The assessment identified strengths as well as areas which need improvement in routine childbirth and immediate postpartum care competencies (knowledge, skills, and behaviour) in all four of the project countries. Important gaps were identified in relation to admission, clinical history-taking, rapid and initial assessment of the newborn. The assessment also pointed to the lack of involvement of women in decision-making. This was illustrated by a failure to encourage the woman (Mary) to ask questions which was overall only done by one third of the participants (32%). Similarly, clinical findings were only reported back to the women in 62% of cases.
Basic knowledge such as what each letter of APGAR stands for were only correctly identified by 58% of the respondents whereas 29% were able to partly provide the correct answer and the remaining respondents did not know.

Participants furthermore indicated a lack of supervision, access to education and training resources and support from their managers. Only one quarter (25%) of participants reported that they had sufficient personal protective equipment to be able to change between patients.

Standardized guidelines on fetal heart rate monitoring are lacking as the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics recommends every 15 minutes [34] while the World Health Organization recommendation is every 15-30 minutes [35]. National guidelines also differ between countries with Malawi [36] and Tanzania (no reference available) not having specific recommendations, whereas the guidelines in Benin and Uganda recommend monitoring fetal heart rate every 30 minutes [37, 38]. 81% of the respondents correctly reported that fetal heart rate should be monitored every 30 minutes. Of interest, even though Malawi and Tanzania do not have national guidelines on fetal heart rate monitoring in labour, the majority of respondents identified the recommended interval at which monitoring should be performed.

We identified few studies which assessed knowledge and skills comparable to our study. A study conducted in rural Kenya assessing knowledge of intrapartum care among obstetric care providers, which used a questionnaire, reported similar findings to our study. The mean total knowledge score for all obstetric care providers was 62%, and only 14 providers (4%) scored as ‘competent’ (a score ≥ 80%) [39]. Another study assessing the quality of midwife-provided intrapartum care in Ethiopia applying multiple data collection methods suggested deficits...
related to provider competency level, basic infrastructure, lack of equipment and supplies as well as implementation of evidence-based guidelines. Direct comparison cannot be made to our study due to differences in study methodologies but findings from both studies point to the same shortfalls in ensuring competent evidence-based quality of care provision during childbirth and immediate newborn care [40].

Immediate care of newborns such as rapid and initial assessment were only performed among 48% of the providers during the skills drills indicating a serious gap. Underprovided immediate newborn care practices were also acknowledged in a study conducted in health care facilities in Ekiti State, Nigeria essentially due to inadequate knowledge and adherence to evidence based practices [41].

Similar to our findings, a study conducted in the Democratic Republic of Congo identified barriers to midwifery education due to the following: midwifery educators’ insufficient academic level, a lack of continuing education for midwifery educators and clinical mentors, institutes and clinical sites failing to meet the minimum standards, and poor communication between the various entities [42].

A study conducted in Kenya, Malawi and India suggests that the quality of intrapartum care women receive is often influenced more by a facility’s specific characteristics and the prevailing culture than by the individual midwifery care provider’s competencies.

These findings may explain why our study countries as well as other SSA countries are struggling to ensure positive health outcomes and meet the Sustainable Development Goals’ related to maternal and newborn health [5] as well as the “Strategies toward ending preventable maternal mortality” (EPMM) [43], and the Every Newborn Action Plan (ENAP) [44].
Mattison et al. (2021) has developed a framework which may facilitate the understanding of the political and institutional factors that enable and impede midwifery care providers to perform their tasks[45]. Furthermore, evidence suggests that many low-and-middle income countries are underinvesting in midwifery education despite data suggesting that a reduction in maternal and newborn morbidity and mortality can be achieved by providing quality midwifery care within an enabling environment. [17, 18]. A meta-analysis discussing the theoretical implications for nurse and midwifery educators and decision-makers to enhance the quality of education in Africa suggested several issues. Among the issues identified were outdated pre-service curricula, which do not meet current health system needs; lack of competent teaching staff both for theoretical and clinical teaching as well as inappropriate pedagogical methods and absence of adequate teaching infrastructure [46]. A recent mapping review of pre-service curricula in the ALERT project countries against the International Confederation of Midwives (ICM) Essential Competencies framework [30] suggests that main competence gaps relate to women-centred care, inclusion of women in decision making, fundamental human rights of individuals and evidence-based learning. The review also revealed that in many cases the course literature reading lists were based on outdated textbooks and lacked references to literature on evidence-based guidelines and scientific papers [47].

Our study findings add to body of literature reporting midwifery care providers competency gaps. The competency gaps identified in this study will be used to inform the content of the in-service training package designed as part of the ALERT project. We advocate that other stakeholders address these gaps when designing in-service training programmes for midwifery care providers.
Strength and limitation

The strengths of this study are that we were able to assess not only knowledge but also skills and behaviours of midwifery care providers across the four project countries. The limitations include the inability to assess all aspects of childbirth and immediate newborn care according to the ICM Essential Competencies as well as the relatively small sample of participants. The knowledge assessment only covered selected topics related to routine childbirth and immediate newborn care. The skills drills had several limitations. The skills drills were conducted by different country teams which may not have performed the sessions in exactly the same way even though a standard operating procedure detailing how to perform the sessions was available. The team members observing and completing the skills drills observation list may have had differing views on the level to which the specific tasks were performed by participants leading to potential inter-observer disagreements between countries. Given that the study was carried out in diverse settings, the social determinants of health, cultural aspects related to childbirth, and the local health workforce may have influenced responses to the assessments. Due to the diversity of settings, it may not be possible to generalize the study’s findings, however, transferability of the results is applicable.

Conclusion

Our study findings revealed gaps in knowledge and skills as well as behaviour of midwifery care providers in providing evidence-based quality childbirth and immediate newborn care in all the project hospitals in Benin, Malawi, Tanzania and Uganda. The important gaps identified warrant additional research with larger sample sizes and in more diverse settings to confirm or disprove
our findings. Further research will also be crucial to investigate if the gaps relate to inadequate
pre-service training, lack of continued professional development, specific facility characteristics,
and/or the cultural and social environment. However, our study findings indicate that
improvements are warranted due to midwifery care providers competency gaps related to
routine childbirth and immediate newborn care, lack of essential commodities, evidence-based
guidelines and mentorship. To overcome these limitations substantial investments in midwifery
care providers education and training, health care facility infrastructure and quality
improvement strategies are needed to ensure that all women, newborns and families are
provided with quality midwifery care during childbirth and the immediate newborn period.
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