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Abstract

**Background:** The effectiveness of fluvoxamine to shorten symptom duration or prevent hospitalization among outpatients in the US with mild to moderate symptomatic coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is unclear.

**Design:** ACTIV-6 is an ongoing, decentralized, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled platform trial testing repurposed medications in outpatients with mild to moderate COVID-19. A total of 1288 non-hospitalized adults aged ≥30 years with confirmed COVID-19 experiencing ≥2 symptoms of acute infection for ≤7 days prior to randomization were randomized to receive fluvoxamine 50 mg or placebo twice daily for 10 days. The primary outcome was time to sustained recovery, defined as the third of 3 consecutive days without symptoms. Secondary outcomes included composites of hospitalization or death with or without urgent or emergency care visit by day 28.

**Results:** Of 1331 participants randomized (mean [SD] age, 48.5 [12.8] years; 57% women; 67% reported receiving at least 2 doses of a SARS-CoV-2 vaccine), 1288 completed the trial (n=614 placebo, n=674 fluvoxamine). Median time to recovery was 13 days (IQR 12–13) in the placebo group and 12 days (IQR 11–14) in the fluvoxamine group (hazard ratio [HR] 0.96, 95% credible interval [CrI] 0.86–1.07; posterior probability for benefit [HR>1]=0.22). Twenty-six participants (3.9%) in the fluvoxamine group were hospitalized or had urgent or emergency care visits compared with 23 (3.8%) in the placebo group (HR 1.1, 95% CrI 0.6–1.8; posterior probability for benefit [HR<1]=0.340). One participant in the fluvoxamine group and 2 in the placebo group were hospitalized; no deaths occurred. Adverse events were uncommon in both groups.

**Conclusions:** Treatment with fluvoxamine 50 mg twice daily for 10 days did not improve time to recovery, compared with placebo, among outpatients with mild to moderate COVID-19. These
findings do not support the use of fluvoxamine at this dose and duration in patients with mild to moderate COVID-19.
INTRODUCTION

There remains a need for oral therapies to prevent progression to severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Novel oral antivirals have demonstrated a clinical benefit in unvaccinated persons; however, the efficacy of current recommended therapies for mild to moderate COVID-19 for vaccinated patients is unclear and may be lower than what was reported in unvaccinated populations. Repurposing approved drugs developed for other conditions presents an attractive strategy for the identification of new treatment options and expanding access to potentially life-saving care.

Fluvoxamine is a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 1994 for the treatment of obsessive-compulsive disorder and is now used to treat a variety of psychiatric conditions, including social anxiety disorder and depression. Fluvoxamine has also been noted to activate the sigma-1 receptor, which may decrease inflammation by reducing endoplasmic reticulum stress and down-regulating the expression of inflammatory genes. Early studies in patients with COVID-19 reported improved clinical outcomes in participants receiving fluvoxamine.

A placebo-controlled, randomized, adaptive platform (TOGETHER) trial of 1497 symptomatic Brazilian adults with confirmed severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) and a known risk factor for progression to severe COVID-19 found treatment with fluvoxamine 100 mg twice daily for 10 days reduced the need for hospitalization, defined as retention in an emergency setting or transfer to a tertiary hospital. However, tolerability has been identified as a potential limiting factor for this dose of fluvoxamine, with 74% of participants in the fluvoxamine group reporting completion of more than 80% of possible doses. The STOP COVID 2 trial, in which participants were randomized to receive 100 mg of
fluvoxamine twice daily or placebo for 15 days, was stopped for futility in May 2021 after an interim analysis found that the low event rate seen in the trial with the original sample size was associated with a less than 10% conditional probability of demonstrating efficacy of fluvoxamine. A subsequent meta-analysis of 3 clinical trials found a high probability (94.1–98.6%) that fluvoxamine was associated with a reduced risk for hospitalization from COVID-19, with a risk ratio of 0.75 (95% confidence interval [CI] 0.58–0.97). Given these conflicting results, regulatory authorities and guideline committees have not recommended fluvoxamine as early treatment for COVID-19. Uncontrolled observational data suggested a lower 50 mg dose would be more tolerable.

We sought to investigate a 50 mg twice daily dose of fluvoxamine for 10 days in a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, platform trial investigating repurposed drugs for non-hospitalized persons with mild to moderate COVID-19.

METHODS

Trial Design and Oversight

Accelerating COVID-19 Therapeutic Interventions and Vaccines (ACTIV)-6 (NCT04885530) is a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled platform protocol conducted using a decentralized approach. ACTIV-6 enrolls outpatients with mild to moderate COVID-19 with a confirmed positive polymerase chain reaction or antigen test for SARS-CoV-2 infection, including home-based testing. The full trial protocol with the statistical analysis plan is available in the Online Supplement.
The protocol was approved by each site’s institutional review board. Informed consent was obtained from each participant either via written or electronic consent. An independent data monitoring committee oversaw participant safety and trial performance.

**Participants**

Recruitment into the platform trial opened on June 11, 2021 and is ongoing. Participants were enrolled into the fluvoxamine group or matched or contributing placebo from August 6, 2021 through May 27, 2022 at 93 sites in the United States. Participants were identified by trial sites or they self-identified either by registering online or by contacting a central study telephone hotline. Participants without a local site were managed by the coordinating center call center.

Sites verified eligibility criteria including age ≥30 years, confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection ≤10 days, and experiencing ≥2 COVID-19 symptoms for ≤7 days from time of consent. Symptoms included the following: fatigue, dyspnea, fever, cough, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, body aches, chills, headache, sore throat, nasal symptoms, and new loss of sense of taste or smell. Exclusion criteria included hospitalization, known allergy or contraindication including prohibited concomitant medications, or study drug use within 14 days of enrollment (see Online Supplement for full eligibility criteria). Vaccination was not an exclusion. Standard COVID-19 therapies available under FDA approval or emergency use authorization were allowable.

**Randomization and Interventions**

Within the platform trial, study drugs could be added or removed according to adaptive design and/or emerging evidence. Participants were randomized to one of the study drugs actively enrolling at the time of randomization, which included oral ivermectin 400 μg/kg/day for 3 days
or inhaled fluticasone furoate 200 μg once daily for 14 days or placebo during the period that the
fluvoxamine group was open. As multiple study drugs were available, randomization occurred
based on appropriateness of each drug for the participant as determined by the eligibility criteria.
Participants could choose to opt out of specific groups during the consent process.

ACTIV-6 was designed to share information from participants randomized to receive
placebo. At the first step of randomization, participants were assigned to receive either placebo
or active drug in the ratio 1:m, where m is the number of study drug groups for which
participants were eligible. Subsequently, participants are randomized among the m study groups
with equal probability. Participants randomized to receive placebo contribute to all of the study
groups for which eligibility was met.

Participants received study drug via direct home delivery from a central pharmacy with a
10-day supply of either fluvoxamine in a foil blister strip or identical matched placebo foil blister
strip, provided by the manufacturer (Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL). Participants self-
administered 50 mg (1 blister) of fluvoxamine or matching placebo twice daily for 10 days.

**Outcome Measures**

The primary measure of effectiveness was time to recovery, defined as the third of 3 consecutive
days without symptoms. This was selected *a priori* from among the 2 co-primary outcomes that
remain available to other platform study drugs. The key secondary outcome was hospitalization
or death by day 28. Other secondary outcomes included time unwell; COVID-19 Clinical
Progression Scale on days 7, 14, and 28; mortality through day 28; and urgent or emergency care
visit or hospitalization through day 28.
**Trial Procedures**

ACTIV-6 is a decentralized trial; thus, all study visits are planned as remote. Screening and eligibility confirmation are participant-reported and confirmed by trial sites. A positive SARS-CoV-2 test result was verified by sites prior to randomization. At the screening visit, participants reported demographic information, eligibility criteria, medical history, concomitant medications, symptoms, and completed quality of life questionnaires.

A central investigational pharmacy distributed study drug to residential addresses provided by participants, and shipping and delivery were tracked. Participants must have received study drug to be included in the analysis. Receipt of study drug was defined as day 1.

Participants were asked to complete assessments and report safety events daily through the first 14 days of study. From days 15–28, participants continued to report if they had symptoms until they had experienced 3 consecutive days without symptoms. Follow-up visits occurred at day 28 and day 90. At each study assessment, participants self-reported symptoms and severity, health care visits, and any new medications.

The daily and follow-up assessments were monitored, and sites were actively notified of events requiring review, including serious adverse events (SAEs). In addition, participants were invited during assessments to request contact from the study team or to report any unusual circumstances. Failure to complete daily assessments also triggered a review for any possible SAEs. A missed assessment on the day after receiving the first dose of study medication (day 2) or any day of missed assessments up to day 14 prompted a notification to the site to contact the participant. All participants were instructed to self-report concerns either via an online event reporting system, by calling the site, or by calling a 24-hour hotline.
Statistical Analysis Plan

The ACTIV-6 trial is designed to be analyzed using a Bayesian approach. Decision thresholds were set to balance overall power with control of the Type I error rate in the context of a study drug-specific goal. An estimated sample size of approximately 1200 participants was expected to be sufficient to conclude whether there is meaningful evidence of clinical benefit on the primary outcome.

As a platform trial, the primary analysis is implemented separately for each study drug, where the placebo group consists of concurrently randomized participants who met enrollment criteria for that study drug, including consent to be randomized to fluvoxamine. A modified intention-to-treat (mITT) approach was specified for primary analyses, including all participants who received study drug. From other remote trials, it was recognized that medication delivery (placebo or study drug) may not always occur (e.g., failure of delivery, participant withdrawal, or interval hospitalization). This resulted in exclusion of the participant for the mITT analysis. All available data were utilized to compare fluvoxamine versus concurrent placebo control, regardless of post-randomization adherence. The safety population included those participants in the mITT population who reported taking at least 1 dose of study drug or matching placebo.

Heterogeneity of treatment effect was assessed for preselected subgroups and for the following covariates: age, sex, duration of symptoms, body mass index (BMI), symptom severity, calendar time (corresponding to predominant SARS-CoV-2 variant), and vaccination status.
RESULTS

Of the 3837 participants who consented to be evaluated for inclusion in the fluvoxamine group, 1331 were randomized to fluvoxamine 50 mg twice daily (n=686) or placebo (n=645), and there were n=674 participants receiving study drug in the fluvoxamine group, and n=614 receiving study drug in the placebo group (Figure 1). Of participants receiving placebo, 326 (53%) received matching placebo and 288 (47%) contributed from the pooled placebo group.

The mean (SD) age of the participants was 48.5 (12.8) years, and 42% were ≥50 years of age (Table 1). The population was 57% female, 81% identified as White, 7.5% Black/African American, 6.4% Asian, and 17% Latino/Hispanic ethnicity. Although not required for enrollment, high risk co-morbidities were prevalent, including BMI >30 kg/m² (36.4%), diabetes (9.2%), hypertension (24.4%), and asthma (13.2%). Vaccination was common, with 67% of participants reporting at least 2 doses of a SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. The median time from symptom onset to receipt of study drug was 5 days (interquartile range [IQR] 4–7) with 77% and 80% receiving placebo and study drug, respectively, within 7 days of symptom onset (eFigure 1). Baseline symptom prevalence and severity are described in eTable 1. Although allowable per protocol, therapeutics available under FDA approval or authorization were uncommonly used (remdesivir 0.08%, monoclonal antibody 1.6%, ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir 1%) (Table 1).

Primary Outcome

In the mITT population, the posterior probability for benefit on the primary outcome of time to recovery between the fluvoxamine and placebo groups was 0.22 (hazard ratio [HR] 0.96, 95% credible interval [CrI] 0.87–1.07) where a HR>1 indicates faster symptom resolution in the active drug group (Table 2, Figure 2A). The median time to recovery was 12 days (IQR11–14)
in the fluvoxamine group and 13 days (IQR 12–13) in the placebo group. There remained no statistical evidence of a treatment benefit when using a non-informative prior, no prior, and with various approaches to imputing missing symptom data (Figure 3).

Secondary Outcomes

Hospitalizations were uncommon, with 1 in the fluvoxamine group and 2 in the placebo group, and there were no deaths in the study (eFigure 2A). Thus, the HR on this composite outcome was uninformative. The composite secondary outcome of urgent or emergency care visits, hospitalizations, or death was similar with fluvoxamine (3.9% [26/670]) compared with placebo (3.8% [23/607]) (HR, 1.1 [95% CrI 0.6–1.8] where an HR>1 favors placebo) (Table 2, Figure 2B, eFigure 2B). The difference in the amount of time spent feeling unwell with COVID-19 was 0.06 days (95% CrI -0.33 to 0.43 days) in favor of placebo (Figure 2C). The posterior probability of any benefit observed with the COVID Clinical Progression Scale (Online Supplement) at days 7, 14, and 28 also did not meet prespecified thresholds for beneficial treatment effect. For example, by day 7, 93.1% (604/649) of the fluvoxamine group and 93.9% (559/595) of the placebo group were not hospitalized and did not report limitation of activities (eFigure 3).

Heterogeneity of Treatment Effect Analyses

There was no evidence of a treatment effect with fluvoxamine as compared with placebo for vaccine status, timing of symptom onset, severity of symptoms, age, sex, or calendar time. There was evidence for possible differential treatment effect on time to recovery for BMI.
(heterogeneity of treatment effect p=0.014), with a suggestion of increasing treatment benefit with increasing BMI (eFigure 4).

**Adverse Events**

Among participants who reported taking study drug at least once, AEs were uncommon and similar in both groups (5.2% [32/615] with fluvoxamine vs. 6.2% [35/565] with placebo) (eTable 2).

**DISCUSSION**

Among outpatients with mild to moderate COVID-19, treatment with fluvoxamine 50 mg twice daily for 10 days compared with placebo did not improve time to recovery in this large trial of 1288 participants. The lack of treatment effect was also seen for secondary outcomes including hospitalization, death, and acute care visits. Hospitalization and death were uncommon in our largely vaccinated study population. These findings do not support the use of fluvoxamine at this dose and duration in patients with mild to moderate COVID-19.

There are numerous conflicting trials for use of fluvoxamine, and some of the differences can be attributable to dosage. In comparison with the largest published trial to date, the TOGETHER trial, ACTIV-6 has some similarities and differences. The TOGETHER trial utilized a higher dose of fluvoxamine (100 mg twice daily) compared with 50 mg twice daily in ACTIV-6. The timing of the study was also different, as the TOGETHER trial reported randomization to fluvoxamine from January 20 to August 5, 2021, predating the arrival of the SARS-CoV-2 omicron variant and associated subvariants. By contrast, ACTIV-6 was conducted during the delta and omicron variant surges. Lastly, these trials enrolled different
populations. The TOGETHER trial’s fluvoxamine participants were unvaccinated, high-risk, symptomatic Brazilian adults with a known risk factor for progression to severe COVID-19. ACTIV-6 enrolled adults in the United States regardless of COVID-19 risk factors or vaccination status. In fact, the majority (67%) of patients enrolled in ACTIV-6 reported at least 2 doses of a SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. Similar null results were observed in the 2022 COVID-Out trial, which also tested fluvoxamine 50 mg twice daily versus placebo in a majority vaccinated population with overweight or obesity.\(^{15}\)

ACTIV-6 has several strengths. As a nationwide trial in the United States, ACTIV-6 is a generalizable trial for all adults aged $\geq 30$ years old with COVID-19. This trial enrolled rapidly during the delta and omicron variant surges and included vaccinated patients, thus remaining a highly relevant population for the present times. ACTIV-6 also has limitations. Due to the broadly inclusive study population, few clinical events occurred, resulting in an inability to study the treatment effect on clinical outcomes such as hospitalization. Due to the remote nature of the trial, the median time from symptom onset to receipt of study drug was 5 days, which is at the upper limit of the recommend start of antiviral medicines ($\leq 5$ days). However, we did not observe any significant interaction with respect to the time from symptom onset to study drug receipt, consistent with other trials.\(^{10,15}\)

The ACTIV-6 trial did not identify a clinically relevant treatment effect with fluvoxamine 50 mg twice daily for 10 days compared with placebo as outpatient COVID-19 treatment. We did not observe any faster time to clinical recovery in the population studied. Unlike prior randomized trials of fluvoxamine at 100 mg twice daily, we did not see any impact on prevention of clinical progression to severe COVID-19 with a lower dose of fluvoxamine.\(^{8,10}\)
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Figure Legends

Figure 1. CONSORT diagram

Figure 2. Posterior distributions of effects of (A) time to sustained recovery; (B) Hospitalization, urgent or emergency care visits, or death; (C) mean time unwell

Figure 3. Kaplan-Meier for primary outcome of time to sustained recovery
Table 1. Baseline characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Fluvoxamine 674</th>
<th>Placebo 614</th>
<th>Overall 1288</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, median (IQR), y</td>
<td>47.0 (37.0-56.8)</td>
<td>48.0 (39.0-58.0)</td>
<td>47.0 (38.0-58.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &lt; 50, No. (%)</td>
<td>395 (58.6)</td>
<td>350 (57.0)</td>
<td>745 (57.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>387 (57.42)</td>
<td>347 (56.51)</td>
<td>734 (56.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>286 (42.43)</td>
<td>265 (43.16)</td>
<td>551 (42.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to answer</td>
<td>1 (0.15)</td>
<td>2 (0.33)</td>
<td>3 (0.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian or Alaska Native, No. (%)</td>
<td>6 (0.89)</td>
<td>10 (1.63)</td>
<td>16 (1.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian, No. (%)</td>
<td>45 (6.68)</td>
<td>37 (6.03)</td>
<td>82 (6.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American, No. (%)</td>
<td>47 (6.97)</td>
<td>49 (7.98)</td>
<td>96 (7.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Eastern or North African, No. (%)</td>
<td>6 (0.89)</td>
<td>5 (0.81)</td>
<td>11 (0.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander,</td>
<td>2 (0.30)</td>
<td>2 (0.33)</td>
<td>4 (0.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White, No. (%)</td>
<td>542 (80.42)</td>
<td>496 (80.78)</td>
<td>1038 (80.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity: Latino, No. (%)</td>
<td>119 (17.66)</td>
<td>102 (16.61)</td>
<td>221 (17.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region, No. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midwest</td>
<td>146 (21.66)</td>
<td>137 (22.31)</td>
<td>283 (21.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>56 (8.31)</td>
<td>42 (6.84)</td>
<td>98 (7.61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>341 (50.59)</td>
<td>316 (51.47)</td>
<td>657 (51.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>131 (19.44)</td>
<td>119 (19.38)</td>
<td>250 (19.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Call center, No. (%)</td>
<td>78 (11.57)</td>
<td>92 (14.98)</td>
<td>170 (13.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, median (IQR), kg/m^2</td>
<td>27.8 (24.5-32.1)</td>
<td>28.1 (24.4-32.4)</td>
<td>27.9 (24.4-32.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI &gt; 30 kg/m^2, No./total (%)</td>
<td>246 (36.5)</td>
<td>223/613 (36.4)</td>
<td>469/1287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, median (IQR), kg</td>
<td>81.6 (69.5-96.2)</td>
<td>81.6 (68.0-97.5)</td>
<td>81.6 (68.9-97.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight &gt; 88 kg, No. (%)</td>
<td>258 (38.3)</td>
<td>238 (38.8)</td>
<td>496 (38.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart disease, No./total (%)</td>
<td>23/658 (3.50)</td>
<td>30/587 (5.11)</td>
<td>53/1245 (4.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes, No./total (%)</td>
<td>59/658 (8.97)</td>
<td>56/588 (9.52)</td>
<td>115/1246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High blood pressure, No./total (%)</td>
<td>153/658 (23.25)</td>
<td>151/588 (25.68)</td>
<td>304/1246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD, No./total (%)</td>
<td>16/658 (2.43)</td>
<td>14/588 (2.38)</td>
<td>30/1246 (2.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma, No./total (%)</td>
<td>89/658 (13.53)</td>
<td>75/587 (12.78)</td>
<td>164/1245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic kidney disease, No./total (%)</td>
<td>2/658 (0.30)</td>
<td>6/588 (1.02)</td>
<td>8/1246 (0.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker, past year, No./total (%)</td>
<td>88/658 (13.37)</td>
<td>76/588 (12.93)</td>
<td>164/1246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant cancer, No. (%)</td>
<td>18 (2.67)</td>
<td>24 (3.91)</td>
<td>42 (3.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccine status, No./total (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not vaccinated</td>
<td>210/670 (31.34)</td>
<td>195/607 (32.13)</td>
<td>405/1277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccinated (1 dose)</td>
<td>8/670 (1.19)</td>
<td>7/607 (1.15)</td>
<td>15/1277 (1.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccinated (2+ doses)</td>
<td>452/670 (67.46)</td>
<td>405/607 (66.72)</td>
<td>857/1277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days between symptom onset and receipt of drug,</td>
<td>5 (4-7) [n=657]</td>
<td>5 (4-7) [n=595]</td>
<td>5 (4-7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days between symptom onset and enrollment,</td>
<td>4 (2-5) [n=666]</td>
<td>4 (2-5) [n=602]</td>
<td>4 (2-5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Fluvoxamine</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>Overall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptom burden on day of drug receipt, No./total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>36/622 (5.8)</td>
<td>37/569 (6.5)</td>
<td>73/1191 (6.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>396/622 (63.7)</td>
<td>353/569 (62.0)</td>
<td>749/1191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>176/622 (28.3)</td>
<td>166/569 (29.2)</td>
<td>342/1191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>14/622 (2.3)</td>
<td>13/569 (2.3)</td>
<td>27/1191 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remdesivir (free text), No. (%)</td>
<td>0 (0.00)</td>
<td>1 (0.16)</td>
<td>1 (0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nirmatrelvir/ritonavir/paxlovid (free text), No. (%)</td>
<td>8 (1.19)</td>
<td>5 (0.81)</td>
<td>13 (1.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monoclonal antibodies (free text), No. (%)</td>
<td>11 (1.63)</td>
<td>10 (1.63)</td>
<td>21 (1.63)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Participants also had the option to select “Undifferentiated” or “Unknown”. Neither option was selected by any participant.

b Participants may have selected any combination of the race descriptors.
### Table 2. Primary and secondary outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Endpoint</th>
<th>Fluvoxamine</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Estimate (95% Interval)</th>
<th>Posterior P(efficacy)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time to recovery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HR: 0.96 (0.87, 1.07)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skeptical prior (primary)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HR: 0.94 (0.82, 1.06)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-informative prior</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HR: 0.94 (0.83, 1.07)</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean time unwell, days (95% CrI)</td>
<td>11.22 (11.00, 11.41)</td>
<td>11.27 (11.08, 11.47)</td>
<td>Δ: -0.06 (-0.43, 0.33)</td>
<td>0.613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality (day 28), No./total (%)</td>
<td>0/662 (0.00)</td>
<td>0/599 (0.00)</td>
<td></td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalization or death through day 28, No./total (%)</td>
<td>1/670 (0.15)</td>
<td>2/607 (0.33)</td>
<td>HR: 0.45 (0.04, 4.99)</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalization, urgent care, emergency room visit, or death through day 28, No./total (%)</td>
<td>26/670 (3.88)</td>
<td>23/607 (3.79)</td>
<td>HR: 1.1 (0.6, 1.8)</td>
<td>0.340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical progression ordinal outcome scale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OR: 1.28 (0.69, 1.88)</td>
<td>0.173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OR: 1.17 (0.49, 2.01)</td>
<td>0.386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OR: 1.46 (0.79, 2.28)</td>
<td>0.103</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dots indicate not evaluable.
OR>1 favors placebo.
Figure 1. CONSORT Diagram

17,320 self-identified, non-hospitalized adults with test-demonstrated COVID-19 assessed for eligibility

- 13,483 did not consent to fluvoxamine.
  - Did not return a consent form (n=9,819)
  - Consented to at least one study arm not including fluvoxamine (n=3,288)
  - Returned a consent form but declined to participate in any study arm (n=396)

3,837 reported meeting inclusion criteria and consented for fluvoxamine.

- 1,777 excluded as ineligible for fluvoxamine.
  - Eligible but elected not to continue (n=613)
  - SSRIs, SNRIs or MAOIs in last two weeks (n=444)
  - Did not complete screening information (n=358)
  - Fluoxetine in last 45 days (n=80)
  - Drug allergy to fluvoxamine (n=72)
  - Bipolar disorder (n=63)
  - Found to not meet inclusion criteria (n=61)
  - On tizanidine, thyroid hormone, alosetron, pimozide, diazepam, ramelteon or linezolid (n=55)
  - Pregnant, possibly pregnant, or nursing (n=32)

2,060 eligible for fluvoxamine and randomized

- 729 randomized to an alternative active study drug in the platform trial

1,331 randomized

- 686 were allocated to fluvoxamine
  - 12 did not receive study medication in the mail and were excluded

- 645 were allocated to placebo
  - 545 allocated to a placebo matching fluvoxamine
  - 229 were randomized to a placebo matching an alternative active agent
  - 31 did not receive study medication in the mail and were excluded

674 included in the primary analysis

614 included in the primary analysis

*In this platform trial with multiple study drugs, participants were able to choose which agents they were willing to be randomized to. Participants were first randomized 1:m between placebo and study drug, where m is the number of study arms for which the participant is eligible and consents to participate in. Then, participants are randomized with 1/m probability among the study drugs for which they were eligible.
**Figure 2.** Posterior distributions of effects of (A) time to sustained recovery; (B) Hospitalization, urgent or emergency care visits, or death; (C) mean time unwell
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Figure 3. Kaplan-Meier for primary outcome of time to sustained recovery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Fluvoxamine</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>