Arterial hypertension and Aβ-amyloid accumulation have spatially overlapping effects
on posterior white matter hyperintensity presence and volume
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Key Points

Question

Is the spatial distribution and volume of cerebral white matter hyperintensities (WMH) related to arterial hypertension, amyloid pathology, and cognition?

Findings

In this cross-sectional and longitudinal cohort study, WMH increased with hypertension and amyloid, with a spatial overlap in occipital and parietal lobes. Amyloid is involved in the relationship between WMH in corona radiata and splenium on cognitive performance. Global, occipital, and frontal WMH were strongly associated with cognitive performance and worsening, regardless of hypertension and amyloid status.

Meaning

Posterior white matter is susceptible to vascular disease and amyloid pathology; its damage plays a role in the effect of amyloid pathology on cognition.
Abstract

Importance

Posterior white matter hyperintensities (WMH) in subjects across the Alzheimer’s disease (AD) spectrum with minimal vascular pathology suggests that amyloid pathology—not just arterial hypertension—impacts WMH, adversely influencing cognition.

Objective

To characterise the effects of AD pathology, namely β-amyloid (Aβ) and hypertension on WMH and cognition.

Design

Cross-sectional and longitudinal

Setting

Multicentre

Participants

We analysed data from subjects with normal cognition (NC), subjective cognitive decline (SCD), and mild cognitive impairment (MCI) enrolled in the ongoing observational multicentre DZNE Longitudinal Cognitive Impairment and Dementia Study. We focused on participants with available magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers, and cognitive and hypertension information. We conducted analyses between 02.2022 and 06.2022.

Intervention(s) (for clinical trials) or Exposure(s) (for observational studies):

NA

Main Outcomes and Measures

Spatial distribution and volume of MRI WMH in relation to Aβ42/40 levels and arterial hypertension. Mediation of the relationship between Aβ positivity and hypertension on cognition by the regional WMH volume.

Results

We included 355 participants (median age 70.3 [IQR 66.0-74.4] years; 168 female; 121 NC, 154 SCD, 80 MCI). Hypertension and Aβ positivity were positively associated ($p_{FWE} <0.05$) with WMH volume, with large spatial
overlap in the occipital lobes (regression coefficient ± standard error; hypertension: 0.49±0.14; Aβ: 0.41±0.15), parietal lobes (hypertension: 0.62±0.23; Aβ: 0.61±0.24), corona radiata (hypertension: 0.55±0.19; Aβ: 0.61±0.24), optic radiation (hypertension: 0.64±0.19; Aβ: 0.50±0.20) and splenium (hypertension: 0.38±0.13; Aβ: 0.39±0.13). Global and occipital WMH volumes were strongly associated cognitive changes over a three-year period, estimated with preclinical Alzheimer’s cognitive composite 5 (PACC5) (global: 2.18±0.61, \( p_{FDR}<0.01 \); occipital: 3.31±0.75, \( p_{FDR}<0.01 \)), regardless of Aβ and hypertension status. The association between Aβ positivity and baseline cognitive performance (direct-memory: -0.42±0.08, \( p_{FDR}<0.01 \); executive: -0.28±0.28, \( p_{FDR}<0.01 \)) was partially mediated by WMH in the corona radiata (indirect-memory: -0.04±0.02, \( p_{FDR}<0.05 \); executive: -0.04±0.02, \( p_{FDR}<0.01 \)) and splenium (indirect-memory: -0.02±0.02, \( p_{FDR}<0.01 \); executive: -0.04±0.02, \( p_{FDR}<0.01 \)). Evidence supporting hypertension affected cognition was insufficient (direct-memory: 0.03±0.07, \( p_{FDR}=0.683 \); executive: -0.05±0.07, \( p_{FDR}=0.499 \); PACC5 change: 0.01±0.02, \( p_{FDR}=0.588 \)).

**Conclusions and Relevance**

Posterior white matter is susceptible to vascular disease and Aβ accumulation. Its deterioration is involved in the association between Aβ and cognitive dysfunction. Posterior WMH could be a promising target to tackle the downstream damage related to the interacting and potentiating effect of these two pathologies.

**Trial Registration**

German Clinical Trials Register (DRKS00007966, 04/05/2015)
Abbreviations

Aβ β-amyloid
AD Alzheimer’s disease
ATN Amyloid/Tau/Neurodegeneration
B regression coefficient
CAA Cerebral amyloid angiopathy
CAT Computational Anatomy Toolbox
CERAD Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer’s Disease
CN Non-complaining healthy controls
CSF Cerebrospinal fluid
CSVD Cerebral small vessel disease
FA Flip angle
FDR False discovery rate
FLAIR Fluid Attenuated Inversion Recovery
GM Grey matter
IQR Interquartile range
MCI Mild cognitive impairment
MRI Magnetic resonance imaging
NC Normal cognition
PACC5 Preclinical Alzheimer's Cognitive Composite scores
ROI Region of interest
ROC Receiver operating characteristic
SCD Subjective cognitive decline
SD Standard deviation
SE Standard error
SPM Statistical parametric mapping
TE Echo time
TI Inversion time
TICV Total intracranial volume
TR Repetition time
VBM Voxel-based morphometry
WM White matter
WMH White matter hyperintensities
Introduction

The term “cerebral white matter hyperintensities” (WMH) describes dynamic and diffuse microstructural alterations in both periventricular and deep white matter, which appear hypodense on computed tomography and hyperintense on T2-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and coincide with demyelination, axon loss, and gliosis.\textsuperscript{1,2} WMH are common — especially but not exclusively in old age — and have important clinical symptoms, including apathy, fatigue, delirium, depression, progressive cognitive impairment, physical function disturbances, and increased risk of dementia and stroke.\textsuperscript{2,3}

Alterations to the functioning of cerebral microvessels — also known as cerebral small vessel disease (CSVD) — caused, for instance, by long-term exposure to cardiovascular risk factors (hypertension particularly) have been assumed to drive WMH formation.\textsuperscript{4-6} Nonetheless, recent studies demonstrating elevated global and posterior WMH in patients along the Alzheimer's disease (AD) spectrum with minimal vascular pathology (for review see 1,7-9) call into question the assumption that any "AD-related" WMH solely reflect a vascular contribution. This viewpoint has also been challenged by studies reporting a more "AD-like" WMH pattern in A\textsubscript{β} positive subjective cognitive decline (SCD), mild cognitive impairment (MCI) or AD. The "AD-like" pattern is roughly confined to deep and periventricular posterior regions comprising the (parieto-)occipital lobe, corona radiata, optic (thalamic) radiation, or the corpus callosum (especially splenium) and presents neuropathologically underlying gliosis, and axonal and myelin loss, but minimal vascular pathology — likely occurring secondary to cortical neurodegeneration.\textsuperscript{7-10} Further studies demonstrate frontal or temporoparietal WMH dominance in AD as well and others report mixed results on the relationship between posterior WMH and AD pathology.\textsuperscript{11-14} "WMH of presumed vascular origin" are, however, usually depicted in deep and periventricular frontal areas, suggesting some spatial WMH heterogeneity in hypertension compared to AD.\textsuperscript{8,15}

To determine and disentangle the effects of both hypertension and AD pathology on WMH and their consequences for cognition, we study WMH of non-demented participants of a large multicentre cohort with available cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) biomarkers, history of hypertension, and cross-sectional as well as longitudinal neuropsychological tests.
Design and methods

Overall study design and participants

We used baseline (magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers, cognitive performance scores, medical records) and longitudinal (cognitive performance scores) data from the DELCODE (DZNE Longitudinal Cognitive Impairment and Dementia Study) cohort, an observational multicentre study from the German Centre for Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE) that focuses on the multimodal assessment of preclinical and clinical AD stages. All participants received an extensive assessment at the local study site prior to joining DELCODE, which included medical history, psychiatric and neurological examination, neuropsychological testing, blood laboratory work-up, and routine MRI in accordance with local standards. All memory clinics used the Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer’s Disease (CERAD) neuropsychological test battery to assess cognitive function. We focus on non-complaining healthy controls with normal cognition (NC) and participants with SCD and MCI, but we excluded patients with dementia due to AD. Group definitions and inclusion and exclusion criteria can be found in eDesign.

Hypertension

Medical records were retrospectively screened for cardiovascular risk factors at the time of MRI; information was available for most participants (83%, n=871). Patients diagnosed before to have primary or secondary arterial hypertension were considered to suffer from hypertension (1: hypertensive; 0: normotensive). Single blood pressure measurements were not taken into account since repeated, long-term or at-home measurements would be required for the final diagnosis.

Cognitive performance

All participants underwent a rich neuropsychological assessment. We focused on memory and executive function at baseline derived from multiple neuropsychological tests using confirmatory factor analysis to reduce the influence of test-specific effects and measurement errors. Furthermore, we assessed change in the Preclinical Alzheimer’s Disease Cognitive Composite (PACC5) scores over a three-year period, which encompasses episodic memory, timed executive function, and global cognition and provides an outcome measure which is sensitive to amyloid-related changes. We estimated individual rates of change of PACC5 scores using linear mixed effect models.
**Structural MRI data acquisitions**

We used T1-weighted and FLAIR MRI scans from DELCODE (Acquisition parameters in eMethods).

**Biomarker characterisation**

Lumbar puncture was carried out by trained study assistants for 49% of DELCODE participants. CSF samples were centrifuged, aliquoted and stored at -80°C for retests. Biomarkers known to be related to AD pathology (CSF Aβ42 and Aβ40) were determined by commercially available kits (V-PLEX Aβ Peptide Panel 1 (6E10) Kit (K15200E)). Each participant was classified as normal (-) or abnormal (+) with regard to amyloid levels based on the Aβ42/40 ratio, independently of their pTau status, in line with the ATN classification system. Cut-offs (Aβ positive: Aβ42/40 ≤ 0.08; Aβ negative otherwise) were calculated from DELCODE using the Gaussian mixture modelling in the R-package flexmix (v2.3-15) (for details see 16,21).

**Segmentation and spatial processing structural MRI**

We processed baseline T1-weighted and FLAIR scans as follows. We performed bias field inhomogeneities correction, skull stripping, and segmentation using the Computational Anatomy Toolbox (CAT) for statistical parametric mapping (SPM). We segmented grey matter (GM), white matter (WM), and CSF from T1-weighted scans using CAT12’s AMAP approach and identified WMH probability maps from FLAIR scans using the Lesion Prediction Algorithm in the Lesion Segmentation Toolbox. We then used SPM’s geodesic shooting for normalising tissue classes (and WMH maps) into MNI to a study-specific template. We adjusted for local volume changes introduced by the normalisation in GM and WMH probability maps by modulation with Jacobian determinants. Finally, we smoothed WMH maps with Gaussian kernels (4mm and 6mm full width at half maximum). Processing results of all steps were carefully checked visually and statistically using CAT12’s covariance-based tools.

**ROI-based processing**

We extracted WMH volume from 12 regions of interest (ROI) in cerebral WM, as described in detail in a previous study. In brief, we created ROIs in accordance with the STRIVE criteria and included the four lobes of the brain, four major WM tracks and three sections of the corpus callosum and a global cerebral WM mask. We calculated WMH volumes for each ROI and adjusted for total intracranial volume (TICV). All computations were conducted in the template space.
Statistical analyses

Relationship between hypertension, Aβ positivity, and group

We tested for associations between hypertension, Aβ positivity, and the group (NC, SCD or MCI) given their potential collinearity\textsuperscript{26–29} using the Pearson's Chi-squared test with Yates' continuity correction in the R-package \textit{stats} (v3.6.2).

Voxel- and ROI-based WMH analysis and mediation models

Effects of hypertension and Aβ positivity on WMH. We hypothesised that a history of hypertension and an abnormal build-up of Aβ relate positively to the likelihood of developing WMH, but that both conditions display distinct spatial effects: hypertension on deep and periventricular frontal regions and Aβ on deep and periventricular posterior regions, as discussed in the literature.\textsuperscript{1,4–9} We used a 2×2 ANCOVA model in CAT12 to examine the relationship between WMH probability (outcome) and hypertension and Aβ (factors) at a voxel level. Similarly, to probe the same relationship at a ROI level, we built 2×2 ANCOVA models in R (stats, v3.6.2), one for each region of interest separately. We controlled for covariates and confounders (see ‘Covariates, confounders, and data transformation’ below).

Effects of WMH on cognitive performance. Our hypothesis was that cognitive performance and rates of change in cognition declined as WMH presence increased, in agreement with previous findings.\textsuperscript{2,3} For voxel-based analysis, we used multiple linear regression in CAT12 with WMH probability as the dependent variable and cognitive performance as the independent variable. Likewise, for each region of interest, we also used multiple linear regression in R (stats, v3.6.2) to probe the relationship between regional WMH volume and cognition. We created different models with memory, executive function, and PACC5 rates of change as dependent variables. Note that, for studying the temporal effect of WMH on cognition, we leveraged summary statistics (PACC5 rates of change) instead of using a linear mixed effect model to keep the mass univariate analysis efficient\textsuperscript{30} and both the voxel- and region-wise analyses consistent. We controlled for hypertension and Aβ positivity in addition to covariates and confounders (see ‘Covariates, confounders, and data transformation’ below).

Mediation models. Motivated by theoretical considerations,\textsuperscript{31} we also tested for an indirect mediating effect of hypertension and Aβ positivity (independent variables) on cognitive performance (dependent variable) via regional WMH volume (mediator variable). We used the R-package mediation (v4.5.0) and multiple linear regression in the R-package \textit{stats} (v3.6.2) for this purpose (\textit{e-Methods}). Significance was assessed using 95% confidence intervals generated by bias corrected bootstrap with 1000 replicates.
**Covariates, confounders, and data transformation.** We adjusted all models for covariates (age, sex, years of education, and clinical group) and confounders (TICV and MRI acquisition site) to reduce biases brought on by correlated regressors. To account for collinearity between TICV and sex, we also chose “overall mean” as “centering” for TICV. We log-transformed regional WMH volumes to account for skewness.

**Explicit mask.** We used an explicit mask to constrain the analysis to voxels in which data for at least five patients were available.

**Correction for multiple comparisons.** We adjusted \( p \)-values for multiple comparisons using the false discovery rates (FDR) approach to deal with the problem of multiple comparisons.\(^{32}\)

**Reporting.** We reported regression coefficients, standard error, and \( p \)-values.

A schematic overview both processing and analysis methods is illustrated in *eFigure 1*.

---

**Results**

**Sample description**

We included baseline data of 355 subjects out of 1079 recruited for DELCODE after quality control and assessing the availability of CSF biomarkers and MRI (*eFigure 2*; median age 70.3 [IQR 66.0-74.4] years, 47.3% female, median years of education 13 [IQR 12-17]). PACC5 trajectories were only available for a subset (\( n=215/355 \)). Demographics and WMH volumes stratified by hypertension and A\( \beta \) positivity are summarised in *Table 1*.

We found strong evidence for an association between clinical groups and A\( \beta \) positivity (\( X^2=23.469, p<0.0001 \)), but not between hypertension and A\( \beta \) positivity (\( X^2=2.1302, p=0.1444 \)) nor hypertension and clinical groups (\( X^2=2.334, p=0.5061 \)). We therefore did not adjust statistical analyses for “clinical groups” to avoid collinearity issues.

**The effect of hypertension and A\( \beta \) positivity spatially overlaps in posterior WMH**

We first studied the effects of hypertension and A\( \beta \) positivity in relation to WMH probability and volume. At a voxel level, although overlapping, the effects of hypertension on WMH probability were diffuse whereas those of A\( \beta \) were predominantly observed in the occipital white matter (*Figure 1*; peak: optic radiation, \( xyz = [32, -67, 4] \), \( t=5.24, p_{FDR}=0.005 \)). Only the contributions of A\( \beta \) to occipital WMH probabilities survived FDR correction (*Figure 1b*). At a ROI level, WMH volume in the whole brain increased with hypertension and in the oc-
Cipital and parietal lobes, corona radiata and optic radiation, and splenium of the corpus callosum increased with both hypertension and Aβ positivity (Table 2 and eFigure 3). Subjects with hypertension and abnormal Aβ biomarker levels thus had the largest global and regional WMH volumes, whereas those with normotension and Aβ negative biomarker status had the lowest (eFigure 3).

**WMH are associated with lower and worsening cognitive performance**

We then investigated whether cognitive performance at baseline and over time was associated with local WMH probability and regional WMH volume (Figure 2 and eTable 1). We found very strong evidence for an effect of WMH burden and probability on lower and worsening cognitive performance, despite adjusting for hypertension and Aβ positivity. The probability—but not total volume—of frontal periventricular WMH was associated with lower cognitive performance and greater decline over time (at xyz=[16, 26, -10] – memory: $t=3.51$, $p_{FDR}=0.027$; executive function: $t=4.53$, $p_{FDR}=0.004$; PACC5 change: $t=3.05$, $p_{FDR}=0.051$).

**Posterior WMH plays a role in the effect of Aβ on cognitive performance**

We examined whether Aβ positivity or hypertension were associated with cognition (Table 3). We found no clear evidence for an association between hypertension and cognitive performance (regression coefficient ± standard error; on memory: 0.03±0.07, $p_{FDR}=0.683$; on executive function: -0.05±0.07, $p_{FDR}=0.499$; on PACC5 change: 0.01±0.02, $p_{FDR}=0.588$). We did find Aβ positivity to relate to lower memory and executive function, and even cognitive decline over time (0.04±0.02, $p=0.027$). However, the latter relationship did not survive FDR correction. The effect of Aβ biomarker status on memory performance was partially mediated by WMH volume in the occipital lobes (-0.03±0.02, $p_{FDR}=0.008$) and splenium (-0.02±0.02, $p_{FDR}=0.006$) and, less evidently, corona radiata (-0.04±0.02, $p_{FDR}=0.024$) and optic radiation (-0.04±0.02, $p_{FDR}=0.042$). WMH volume in the splenium and corona radiata partially mediated the effects of Aβ on executive function (splenium: -0.04±0.02, $p_{FDR}=0.008$; corona radiata: -0.04±0.02, $p_{FDR}=0.006$).

**Discussion**

Using data from a large multi-site cohort of older adults along the AD spectrum (n=355), we investigated the impact of arterial hypertension and Aβ positivity on WMH and cognition. Our findings provide evidence that both arterial hypertension and Aβ positivity are associated with increased presence and volume of WMH, that global and occipital WMH were strongly associated with lower cognition and faster cognitive decline, and that
Aβ plays a role in the association between WMH in the splenium and corona radiata and baseline memory and executive function. Indeed, individuals with hypertension who had abnormally elevated Aβ biomarker levels had the highest WMH burden, whereas those on the other end of the spectrum had the lowest. The effects of hypertension and Aβ positivity on WMH nonetheless overlapped spatially, particularly in the parietal and occipital lobes, and corona radiata, optic radiata, and splenium of the corpus callosum. Despite the fact that hypertension relates to WMH and, in turn, hypertension and WMH are strongly associated with lower cognitive performance, we did not find sufficient evidence for a direct or indirect (through WMH) influence of hypertension on domain-specific cognitive function.

We observed a posterior WMH dominance in Aβ positive older adults in the predementia stage of the AD continuum. Posterior WMH burden was more prominent nonetheless when both Aβ retention and hypertension occurred simultaneously. Therefore, posterior white matter could be considered vulnerable to the independent yet interacting and potentiating effects of AD and CSVD. One could thus consider posterior WMH to be a structural correlate that underlies the common observations that vascular disease, in particular hypertension, lowers the threshold for all-cause dementia development in face of pre-existing AD pathology. As posterior WMH dominance could also relate to cerebrovascular deposition of Aβ, i.e. cerebral amyloid angiopathy (CAA), a condition that highly overlaps with AD pathology (for review see 33,34), we visually inspected susceptibility-weighted sequences of all MRIs. Isolated lobar haemorrhagic markers were found in less than 10% (19 with possible and 4 with probable CAA) of participants, making a relevant impact of CAA on posterior WMH in our sample highly unlikely.

WMH can negatively impact on executive, memory and global cognitive function, but associations with memory function have been less consistent compared to associations with executive function (for review see 35). We found rather equally strong relationships between executive or memory performance, respectively, and WMH in widespread regions comprising temporal, parietal and occipital lobes, corpus callosum and several white matter tracts (eTable 1). Interestingly, frontoparietal periventricular (corona radiata) and posterior (especially splenial) WMH partially mediated the effect of Aβ on executive and memory functions. Both of these white matter structures are found to be abnormal in AD but are also susceptible to altered hemodynamics e.g. through cerebrovascular risk. As such, these regions are considered clinically relevant and hubs where distinct pathologies impact the neural circuitries that are connected by these two certain regions and that are responsible for cognitive processing. In particular, white matter damage in the splenium of the corpus callosum, as associated with Aβ pathology, could be expected to translate to lower cognitive functioning in global cognition but also in discrete
In previous studies though, posterior/splenial WMH have been found associated with executive (including attention), but not memory function. Differences may arise from WMH quantification methods and/or smaller sample sizes including AD patients only (not individuals with SCD/MCI), in whom largely advanced (medial temporal lobe) AD pathology is the major driver for memory decline, possibly "diluting" concurrent memory effects of posterior WMH.

Contrary to our expectations and to strong evidence from large longitudinal population-based studies (for review see ), we did not see a direct or indirect (through WMH) hypertension effect on cognition. This finding might reflect a selection bias of the DELCODE study: exclusion of individuals with advanced vascular disease. This constellation, additionally, explains the somewhat lower prevalence of arterial hypertension (nearly 55% compared to 63%), with a slightly higher number of Aβ positives (35% compared to a range of 17% to 34%) compared to that in population-based cohorts aged over 60 years. Our definition of arterial hypertension was based on retrospective screening of medical records for already existing hypertension diagnoses, which might have missed those participants with recently, i.e. newly, diagnosed hypertension after baseline MRI, also contributing to lower prevalence.

This study has limitations. First, our imaging results are cross-sectional. While our findings suggest WMH are indeed spatially associated with both hypertension and Aβ positivity, they do not address causality (e.g. vascular risk first, impaired brain drainage second). Longitudinal analysis of DELCODE imaging data might provide further insights into the influence of lifestyle over time and help disentangle the mixed effects observed in this cross-sectional study. Second, our mediation model assumes WMH volume mediates the association between Aβ and hypertension on cognitive function. While this choice was based on a theoretical consideration, the reverse model would also be feasible. Third, the study of WMH probability patterns in other cohorts of individuals with a high vascular but low AD profile or vice versa could be informative on the mechanisms leading to these findings. Further, we did not consider WMH patterns, which could be punctuated or confluent, for example, or the clinically established distinction between deep and periventricular WMH.

**Conclusion**

Our findings point toward a large spatial overlap between the effect of hypertension and Aβ positivity on WMH, with both constellations considered risk factors for white matter damage. Interestingly, our data call into question whether posterior WMH are a core feature related to AD pathology, instead suggesting posterior white
matter is susceptible to vascular disease and Aβ accumulation. Posterior WMH seems to play a role in the association between Aβ positivity and cognitive function, and could therefore be a promising target to tackle the downstream damage related to the interacting and potentiating effect of multiple pathologies.
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Figures

**Figure 1. The effect of hypertension and Aβ positivity spatially overlaps in posterior WMH.**

**Analysis.** We examined the relationship between WMH probability (outcome) and hypertension and Aβ positivity (factors) at a voxel level via a 2×2 ANCOVA in CAT12. We accounted for the effects of age, sex, education, site, and TICV. We used an explicit mask to constrain the analysis to voxels in which data for at least five patients were available. **Illustration.** The top and middle rows show glass brain projections of contrasting WMH probability of 355 subjects with and without hypertension and with normal or abnormal concentrations of Aβ, respectively. In the bottom row in a, regions are blue if T values are higher for hypertension than for Aβ; otherwise, regions are gold. Contrast maps are thresholded at 5% (subfigures a and b show uncorrected and FDR corrected versions, respectively). **Findings.** Although overlapping, the effects of hypertension on WMH probability were diffuse whereas those of Aβ positivity were predominantly observed in the occipital white matter (peak: optic radiation, xyz = [32, -67, 4], t=5.24, p<0.005). Only the contributions of Aβ positivity to occipital WMH probabilities survived FDR correction (white arrow in b).

![Figure 1](image1.png)

**Figure 2. WMH are associated with worse baseline and longitudinal cognitive performance.**

**Analysis.** We used multiple linear regression in CAT12 with WMH probability as the dependent variable and cognitive performance as the independent variable. We accounted for the effects of hypertension, Aβ positivity, age, sex, education, site, and TICV. We used an explicit mask to constrain the analysis to voxels in which data for at least five patients were available. We thresholded contrast maps at 5% and adjusted p-values for FDR. **Illustration.** Regression results with memory, executive function, and PACC5 rates of change as independent variables (top to bottom). **Findings.** We found very strong evidence for an effect of WMH on baseline cognitive performance and worsening over time, despite adjusting for hypertension and Aβ positivity. We observed the most evident effect of voxel-wise WMH probability on memory performance in the anterior thalamic radiation (xyz=[-7, -1, -1], t=7.12, p<0.005), on executive function performance in the splenium (xyz=[2, -30, 19], t=7.17, p<0.005), and on PACC5 change in the inferior longitudinal fasciculus (xyz=[37, -1, -29], t=6.30, p<0.005). Frontal periventricular WMH probability was consistently associated with lower cognitive performance and greater decline over time (at xyz=[16, 26, -10] - memory: t=3.51, p<0.027; executive function: t=4.53, p<0.004; PACC5 change: t=3.05, p<0.051).
### Table 1. Demographics and WMH volume, stratified by hypertension diagnosis and Aβ positivity (n=355).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Subjects n (%)</th>
<th>Age in years Median [IQR]</th>
<th>Female n (%)</th>
<th>Education in years Median [IQR]</th>
<th>WMH volume in ml Median [IQR]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>162 (45.6)</td>
<td>68.9 [64.3, 72.8]</td>
<td>82 (23.1)</td>
<td>14 [12, 17]</td>
<td>1.43 [0.75, 3.05]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>193 (54.4)</td>
<td>72.0 [67.0, 75.0]</td>
<td>86 (24.2)</td>
<td>13 [12, 17]</td>
<td>2.19 [1.22, 6.14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aβ positivity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>230 (64.8)</td>
<td>68.6 [64.8, 73.1]</td>
<td>114 (32.1)</td>
<td>13 [13, 17]</td>
<td>1.54 [0.83, 3.63]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>125 (35.2)</td>
<td>72.6 [68.6, 75.8]</td>
<td>54 (15.2)</td>
<td>13 [12, 17]</td>
<td>2.77 [1.39, 6.57]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using the Mann-Whitney U test, we found strong evidence for differences in global WMH volume between subjects with hypertension and normotension (W = 11574, p = 2.509e-5) and Aβ+ vs Aβ- biomarker status (W = 10866, p-value = 1.453e-4). IQR: interquartile range.

### Table 2. Association between regional WMH volume, hypertension and abnormal Aβ levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regional WMH volume</th>
<th>Hypertension</th>
<th>Aβ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B (SE)</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global white matter</td>
<td>0.284 (0.109)</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal white matter</td>
<td>0.319 (0.024)</td>
<td>0.186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal white matter</td>
<td>0.221 (0.213)</td>
<td>0.309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital white matter</td>
<td>0.486 (0.136)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal white matter</td>
<td>0.617 (0.226)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corona radiata</td>
<td>0.552 (0.194)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External capsule</td>
<td>-0.163 (0.199)</td>
<td>0.424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal capsule</td>
<td>0.256 (0.151)</td>
<td>0.099</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We built 2×2 ANCOVA models with the R-package stats (v3.6.2) to examine the relationship between WMH probability (outcome) and hypertension and Aβ positivity (factors) at a ROI level—one for each region of interest separately. We controlled for age, sex, education, site, and total intracranial volume. We log-transformed WMH volumes to deal with skewness. B: regression coefficient. SE: standard error. CC: Corpus callosum. We print \( p_{FDR} < 0.05 \) in bold.

Table 3. Aβ positivity is strongly associated with memory and executive dysfunction \( (p_{FDR}<0.001) \); WMH on occipital lobes, corona radiata, optic radiation, and splenium partially mediate these relations.

| Independent variable | Mediator variable | Dependent variable | Memory | | | Executive function | | |
|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------|--------|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|                      | [Regional WMH volume] | Direct effect | Indirect effect | Direct effect | Indirect effect |
| Aβ                   | Occipital white matter | -0.42 (0.08) | -0.03 (0.02) | 0.004 (0.004) | -0.28 (0.08) | <0.001 (0.01) | -0.02 (0.02) | 0.090 (0.090) |
|                      | Parietal white matter | -0.02 (0.01) | 0.160 (0.160) | -0.04 (0.02) | 0.014 (0.014) | -0.04 (0.02) | 0.002 (0.002) |
|                      | Corona radiata | -0.04 (0.02) | 0.028 (0.028) | -0.02 (0.02) | 0.002 (0.002) | -0.04 (0.02) | 0.004 (0.004) |
|                      | Optic radiation | -0.02 (0.02) | 0.002 (0.002) | -0.02 (0.02) | 0.002 (0.002) | -0.04 (0.02) | 0.004 (0.004) |
|                      | Splenium | -0.02 (0.02) | 0.002 (0.002) | -0.02 (0.02) | 0.002 (0.002) | -0.04 (0.02) | 0.004 (0.004) |

We used the R-package mediation (v4.5.0) and multiple linear regression in the R-package stats (v3.6.2) for this purpose. The significance of p-values for these associations was based on 95% confidence intervals generated using bias corrected bootstrap with 1000 replicates. The effect of WMH on cognition can be found in eTable 1. We controlled for hypertension, age, sex, education, site, and total intracranial volume. We log-transformed WMH volumes to deal with skewness. B: regression coefficient. SE: standard error. We print \( p_{FDR} < 0.05 \) in bold.