Factors influencing adoption of oral health promotion by antenatal care providers in Moyo district, North-Western Uganda
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Abstract

Background: Oral health promotion (OHP) during pregnancy is an important global public health and basic human right issue related to quality of life. Several statements and guidelines have been published emphasizing the need for improved oral health care of pregnant mothers, prenatal care providers have missed this critical opportunity. In this study, we assessed factors influencing adoption of oral health promotion by Antenatal care providers.

Materials and Methods: A descriptive cross-sectional study design that employed both quantitative and qualitative data collection methods and analysis. 152 samples determined using Yamane's 1967 and stratified sampling technique was used. Three FGDs and six KI interviews were held. Univariate, bivariate and multivariate analyses were done using SPSS (20.0) and ATLAS Ti for qualitative analysis.

Results: Adoption of OHP was low 28% (42). Factors influencing adoption were age of respondents (p=0.032*), level of care of health facility (p=0.004*), good understanding between dentists and ANC providers (aOR=0.283, 95%CI=0.084-0.958, p= 0.042*), availability of practice guideline for OHP in ANC (aOR=0.323, 95%CI=0.108-0.958, p= 0.043*), number of years at work (p=0.084), being knowledgeable (aOR=2.143, 95%CI=0.864-5.311, p= 0.100), having skills to advance OHP (aOR=0.734, 95%CI=0.272-1.984, p= 0.542), Management being good at influencing new practices (aOR=0.004.73, 95%CI=0.227-2.000, p= 0.477). More emphasis on national and local of oral health issues, continuous staff training on oral health, dissemination of National oral health policy (NOHP) were some of key issues that emerged from the qualitative results.

Conclusion: Adoption of OHP was low. This was attributed to age, number of years spent at work, level of health facility, having good understanding between dentists and ANC providers, availability of practice guidelines, dissemination of National oral health policy, continuous staff training. We recommend the current NOHP to be reviewed, develop prenatal OHC guidelines, enhance the capacity of ANC providers through training, collaboration with dentists and launch official adoption of OHP.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.
1. Background

Oral disease is one of the most important public health issues in the world with significant socio-economic impacts [1, 2, 3, 4]. Among pregnant women, the common oral health diseases are periodontal diseases with a high prevalence rate [5]. Pregnancy provides an opportunity for women to initiate new healthy behaviours, including oral health practices, dental and prenatal care providers have missed this critical opportunity to promote oral health [6]. Some of the missed opportunities for oral health promotion include; oral health education, oral health examination and referral for dental services when mothers are receiving antenatal care.

Oral health is a basic human right fundamental to people’s quality of life [7], achieving oral health goals would require health systems being supported by enabling actions in education, improved nutrition and hygiene [8]. Antenatal care is the care provided by skilled healthcare professionals to pregnant women and adolescent girls to ensure the best health conditions for both mother and baby during pregnancy and its components include; risk identification, prevention and management of pregnancy-related or concurrent diseases including health education and health promotion [9]. Pregnancy has an impact on oral related quality of life [10].

Globally, several statements and guidelines have been published emphasizing the need for improved oral health care of pregnant mothers, because, hormonal changes during pregnancy combined with neglected oral hygiene tend to increase the incidence of oral diseases including gingivitis [11]. The United States of America, Department of Health and Human Services highlighted the need for partnerships between dentists and other health professionals, including nurses and midwives and these recommendations have resulted in the development of evidence-based practice guidelines for oral health care during pregnancy and early childhood for all health professionals including prenatal care providers (American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry, 2009; California Dental Association Foundation, American College of

In low and middle-income countries, the prevalence of oral diseases continues to increase, moreover, tooth decay is rapidly increasing among adults and there will be a huge burden of this health problem in the future if sustainable programs are not put in place [13, 14]. The good oral health of a pregnant woman should be considered to be of utmost importance for dental practitioners and antenatal care providers [15, 16, 17, 18]. Integration of Oral health into primary care has been implemented in some health care systems to reduce the burden of oral health disease and to improve access to oral health care, especially for disadvantaged populations and communities [19, 20, 21]. Asia developed a strategy in which emphasis was put on the integration of oral health promotion and other disease prevention strategies [22], various countries have implemented preventive strategies to maintain the oral health of mothers during pregnancy [23].

Adopting an integrated approach to healthcare would achieve better outcomes for patients with oral diseases and several policy recommendations have been made including the adoption of oral health in all policies, strengthening inter-professional collaboration, and inclusion of oral health in the curriculum for all healthcare professionals [24, 25]. In addition, the WHO global policy for the improvement of oral health showed that the promotion of oral health is a cost-effective strategy to reducing the burden of oral diseases and maintaining oral health and quality of life. Oral Health services should focus on prevention and early diagnosis [26, 27].

In Sub-Saharan Africa, there is an increased prevalence of dental diseases, resulting from increased consumption of sugars and inadequate exposure to fluorides [28], with an increased burden of periodontal diseases among pregnant women in Mali [29, 30, 31], while pregnant mothers in Tanzania had increased burden of bleeding gum, dental pain, tooth decay and swollen gums [32]. Nigeria’s National oral health policy indicated the need for oral health to be included as a component of health promotion and must be
provided in antenatal care services [33, 34] and emphasis has been placed on integrating oral health promotion in maternal and child care among African Countries [35, 36].

In Uganda, the prevalence of oral diseases among women was the highest at 42.4% compared to the general population [37], 67.0% of pregnant mothers having periodontal diseases [38], 86.0% postpartum mothers with plague deposits [39]. Uganda has emphasised that appropriate promotion of oral health requires integration of oral health policy elements, strategies and policies of all sectors to impact community health, including maternal and child health [40]. In the Moyo district, there is limited data on the level and factors associated with the adoption of Oral health promotion by antenatal care providers.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Study Population and settings

This cross sectional study on adoption of oral health promotion was done among antenatal care providers working in general hospital, Health Centre IVs and health centre IIIs within Moyo district between August 2017 to October 2017.

The inclusion criteria required that, for the ANC providers to participate in the study, they should be health workers working at ANC units, those who had ever worked at the ANC unit, including all Nurses, Midwives, Clinical Officers and medical doctors within the selected health facilities who consented to participate in the study. We excluded all health professionals who were not Nurses, Midwives, Clinical Officers, Gynaecologists, paediatricians, general medical doctors and had never worked at the ANC department before the study.

2.2 Study variables

Adoption of Oral Health Promotion as a dependent variable was measured using 24 items of practices related to oral health care services by antenatal care providers. ANC providers who mentioned doing up to three quarters 3/4 (75%) or more than 75% of the 24 practice items were considered to have adopted oral health promotion for pregnant mothers. Using scale of 0-17 (0-70%) items to refer to non-adoption and scale 18-24 (75%-100%) referred to adoption of oral health promotion by ANC providers.
Knowledge and attitude influencing adoption of oral health promotion: 11 items were used to measure the level of knowledge of the respondents on adoption of oral health promotion. ANC providers who scored less or equal to 6 (≤55%) were recorded as not knowledgeable, those who scored 7 and above (≥64%) as knowledgeable. For measuring attitude of the respondents 6 items were used, those who scored 3 (≤50%) and below were recorded to have had negative attitude and respondents who scored 4 to 6 (≥50%) were recorded to have positive attitude on adoption of oral health promotion.

Capacity of Health facilities to provide oral health promotion activities: 16 items were used to measure the capacity of the health facilities to promote oral health during antenatal care and 11 items used to measure the strategies required to influencing adoption of oral health promotion by antenatal care providers.

2.3 Study Design

A descriptive cross-sectional study was used where both quantitative and qualitative methods of data collection and analysis were employed. A concurrent data collection approach was used including information and the interpretation of overall results [41], this design was used because of the shorter data collection period and the need to provide a comprehensive analysis of the research problem. 152 samples were determined using Yamane's (1967) and a stratified sampling technique was used. Three FGDs and six KI interviews were held. Univariate, bivariate and multivariate analyses were done using SPSS (20.0) for quantitative analysis and ATLAS Ti for qualitative analysis.

2.2 Data Analysis

We analysed Quantitative data using Microsoft Excel 2010 and SPSS 20. Chi-square statistics were computed to check for statistically significant differences in the parameters between the dependent and independent variables (Univariate, bivariate and multivariate analysis were performed in SPSS version 20.0). Logistic regression was done to obtain strength of association between categorical dependent and independent variables and statistically significant items at this level were then analysed using
multivariate logistic regression to obtain adjusted conclusions for the finding of the study. Qualitative data were transcribed verbatim, coded and organised in themes in ATLAS.ti. Triangulation was done to incorporate both the quantitative and qualitative data obtained from respondents.

3. Results

3.1 Demographic Characteristics of the respondents

Our study considered a total of 152 ANC providers, mean age 36, range 37 years, a standard deviation of 10.49, of which, 57(37.5%) were 37 years and above, 92(60.5%) females, 106(69.9%) had worked between 1-10 years, 82(53.9%) working HC IIIs, 67(44.1%) Nurses and 82(53.9%) were Certificate holders. 42 (28%) of the respondents out of 152 had adopted oral health promotion for pregnant mothers.

Although individual practices of ANC providers appeared to be below the expected level of good practice in the adoption of oral health promotion, the management and officer in charge of the MCH programme noted that adoption or integration of oral health promotion in ANC would be vital for overcoming some of the challenges of poor oral health, its outcome on pregnancy and the child (See Table 1).

"There is need to adopt or integrate oral health promotion into ANC services to overcome some of the challenges created by the poor oral health of the pregnant mothers" (KI 1).

More emphasis supporting the need for adoption of Oral Health promotion by ANC providers showed that adoption is likely to reduce heart diseases among pregnant mothers;

“Of late there are increased cases of heart diseases...... originating from the dental issues as bacteria tend to move to the bloodstream and later on move to the heart valves without knowing, adopting oral health promotion will have a possibility of reducing such challenges in the future” KI 2).

"It will be cheaper adopting oral health promotion into ANC services compared to when it handled as a separate program” (FGD 1).
3.2 Factors influencing adoption of Oral Health Promotion by ANC Providers

In our multivariate analysis, age of respondents in years (p=0.032*), level of care of health facility (p=0.004*), good understanding between dentists and ANC providers (aOR=0.283, 95%CI=0.084-0.958, p= 0.042*), availability of practice guideline for OHP in ANC (aOR=0.323, 95%CI=0.108-0.958, p= 0.043*), worked 1-10 years (p=0.011*), were statistically significant and associated with adoption of oral health promotion by ANC providers. However, general number of years at work (p=0.084), being knowledgeable (aOR=2.143, 95%CI=0.864-5.311, p= 0.100), having skills to advance OHP in ANC (aOR=0.734, 95%CI=0.272-1.984, p= 0.542), Management good at influencing new practices (aOR=0.477.734, 95%CI=0.227-2.000, p= 0.477) were not statistically significantly associated with adoption oral health promotion by ANC providers (see Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Number (Total =152)</th>
<th>Percentage (Total =100%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22-26</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27-31</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32-36</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 &amp; above</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>39.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>60.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of years at work</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-10 years</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>69.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-20 years</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30 years</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40 years</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level of Health Facility</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>26.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCIV</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>19.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCIII</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>53.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Professional Cadre</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurses</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>44.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midwives</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double trained Nurse/midwives</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Officers</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical doctors</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Professional Qualification</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certificate</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>53.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploma</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>39.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor’s Degree</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of respondents
“Top management at the national level is not good at influencing new policies and guidelines, there is no dissemination of the National Oral health policy, if dental health issues are not marketed by the authorities, no one will pay attention and it will continue to be neglected, and yet adoption will lead to a reduction on missed opportunities, maximizing resources – one staff offering all that is needed and improving service delivery” (KI 1).

In our univariate analysis, female ANC providers were more likely to adopt oral health promotion compared to their male counterparts. Respondents aged between 22-26 years, 27-31 years, and 32-36 years were less likely to adopt oral health promotion during prenatal care compared to those aged 37 years and above. Respondents who had been working for the last 21-30 years were 14 times more likely to adopt oral health promotion compared to response who had for 31-40 years, 6 times more likely compared to those for 27-31 years, and 8 times more likely to those who had for 22-26 years respectively (see Table 2).

In terms of the level of health facilities, respondents working at the district general hospital were less likely to adopt oral health promotion compared to those working at HC IIIIs. However, working at a general hospital contributed to 82.7% adoption compared to working at HCIV which only contributed to 13.8% adoption of oral health promotion by ANC providers.

Knowledgeable respondents were four times more likely to adopt oral health promotion compared to those that were not knowledgeable and respondents who had no skills to advance OHP in ANC were less likely to adopt oral health promotion compared to those who said they had no skills in advancing OHP for prenatal care.

Respondents who said that management was good at influencing new practices, were more likely to adopt oral health promotion compared to those who said that management was not good at influencing new practices. Good understanding between dentists and ANC providers contributed to the adoption of OHP, and having practice guidelines for OHP by ANC providers would lead to the adoption of oral health
promotion. However, dental health issues appear to be neglected by the authorities compared to other departments (See Table 2).

"Even if the staff members make recommendations for some dental items, the oral health department issues are always pushed aside and not taken care of" (KI 3).

The whole district only offers extraction within government health facilities, other services are in a private dental clinic (KI 4).

We do not have oral health policies for ANC and there is no local guideline that is put in place yet. We do not have the National Oral Health policy even in our dental department and I have never seen it before" (KI 2).

There is a need for training of ANC providers on oral health promotion for pregnant mothers (FGD 1).

The ANC providers were also asked to raise issues that should be included in the oral health promotion guideline. More than half 95 (62.5%) of the respondents mentioned almost all responses and these were; prevention of oral diseases and this was followed by oral health education 93 (61.2%), Oral health education 93 (61.6%), ways of improving access to oral healthcare 91(60.3%) and assessment of pregnant women’s oral health 88 (58.3%) (See Figure 1).

**Figure 1:** Knowledge on the kind of preventive oral care pregnant women should receive

![Figure 1: Knowledge on the kind of preventive oral care pregnant women should receive](https://example.com/figure1.png)
In line with the knowledge of respondents on the safety of obtaining dental radiographs during pregnancy, the majority 125 (82.2%) of the respondents were not aware that it is safe to obtain dental radiographs in pregnant women while only 26 (17.1%) of the respondents said obtaining dental radiograph in pregnant women is safe (See Figure 2).

**Figure 2:** Awareness on the safety of obtaining dental radiographs during pregnancy

The ANC providers were also asked to raise issues that should be included in the oral health promotion guideline. More than half 95 (62.5%) of the respondents mentioned almost all responses and these were; prevention of oral diseases and this was followed by oral health education 93 (61.2%), Oral health education 93 (61.6%), ways of improving access to oral healthcare 91(60.3%) and assessment of pregnant women’s oral health 88 (58.3%) (See Figure 3).

**Figure 3:** Multiple responses showing issues to be included in oral health promotion guideline for ANC
Table 2: Factors influencing adoption of oral health promotion by ANC providers in Moyo district, north-western Uganda

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Adoption of Oral Health Promotion by ANC Providers</th>
<th>Univariate Logistic Regression Analysis</th>
<th>Multivariate Logistic Regression Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adoption (%)</td>
<td>Non-Adoption (%)</td>
<td>UOR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age in years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22-26</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.184(0.050-0.679)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27-31</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.509(0.195-1.327)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32-36</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.597(0.235-1.517)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 &amp; above</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of years at work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-10 years</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5.273(0.663-41.920)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-20 years</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7.467(0.825-67.573)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30 years</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14.000(1.200-163.367)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40 years</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of Care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.827(0.366-1.869)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC IV</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.138(0.031-0.621)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCIII</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledgeable</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>4.016(1.642-9.823)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Knowledgeable</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have skills to advance OHP in ANC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.415(0.193-0.893)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management is good at influencing new practices</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.300(0.122-0.735)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A good understanding exists between dentists and ANC providers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.223(0.087-0.674)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice guidelines for OHP in ANC are available</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.482(0.229-1.013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

Adoption of Oral Health Promotion by Respondents

In this study, adoption of oral health promotion by ANC providers was low 42 (28%) of 152. Similarly, a study conducted in Australia among antenatal care providers showed that very few ANC providers were involved in practices that promote oral health among pregnant women [42]. Adoption of oral health by ANC providers would play a significant role not only in the lives of pregnant mothers and also in their babies [43]. Maternal oral health behaviour could be shaped during antenatal care [44], promotion of oral health among pregnant women by Nurses would be feasible and need to be incorporated into the first antenatal booking visit and also recognized that oral health promotion was within their scope of practice [45].

Respondents aged between 22-26 years, 27-31 years, and 32-36 years were less likely to adopt oral health promotion during prenatal care compared to those aged 37 years and above. There was a statistically significant relationship between age and adoption of oral health promotion. This may be due to experiences gained over the years about oral health. Contrary to the findings of our study, another study noted that age is significantly and negatively associated with workability [46], job satisfaction among Nurses is also related to age [47].

Moreover, the number of years one spent at work improves occupational competence, consists of expertise, skills, and knowledge acquired through experience, training, and education, people’s work and are influenced by previous work experiences [48]. Our study noted that respondents who had been working for the last 21-30 years were 14 times more likely to adopt oral health promotion compared to response who had for 31-40 years, who were 6 times more likely compared to those for 27-31 years, and 8 times more likely to those who had for 22-26 years respectively. Similarly, [49] noted in their study that, there was an association between years spent at work and adoption of oral health promotion, Nurses' experiences are closely related to the occurrence of adverse events [50]. However, Positive work
environments are important in achieving patient safety, quality care and favourable patient outcomes [51].

Similarly, experience gives Nurses' exposure to different patient or health-related conditions, including different clinical and preventive scenarios that would eventually contribute to increased knowledge, critical thinking and technical skills [52]. In general, job performance among nurses is motivated by years of experience [53]. However, it has also been noted that age and length of time in service within ANC do not influence knowledge of oral healthcare for prenatal mothers [54].

In terms of the level of the health facility where respondents were working, our study found that those working at the district general hospital were less likely to adopt oral health promotion compared to respondents working at HC IIIs. However, working at a general hospital contributed to 82.7% adoption compared to working at HCIV which only contributed to 13.8% adoption of oral health promotion by ANC providers. This may be because most of the care of HCIIIs in the district are offered by generally Nurses, Midwives and Clinical officers, ANC at this level of facility handles all aspects of preventive services including oral health education and promotion. The general hospital and HCIVs may be overwhelmed by several conditions because of referrals from lower facilities.

In our study, less than half 56(36.8%) of the respondents were knowledgeable about OHP in ANC and those knowledgeable were four times more likely to adopt oral health promotion compared to those that were not knowledgeable. Similarly, Nurses and Midwives had insufficient knowledge of oral healthcare [54]. Respondents in our study were not knowledgeable about the fact that several physiological changes take place among pregnant women and these have an effect on oral health, pregnancy and brings about several changes in the oral cavity [55]. Our finding was similar to the study in Australia which noted that ANC providers had limited knowledge on prenatal oral healthcare [49].

In terms of knowledge on the safety of dental procedures during pregnancy, our study found that ANC providers were not knowledgeable about the safety of different dental services that pregnant women
should receive, including the use and safety of local anaesthetics during pregnancy, teeth extraction, scaling and Root planning and Root Canal. This knowledge about the safety of dental procedures during pregnancy should be addressed to improve service utilization and oral health promotion among pregnant mothers. Similarly, a study by [55] found a knowledge gap among gynaecologists about the safety of some of the dental procedures for pregnant mothers. Local anesthetics lidocaine commonly used during dental care are safe, although, with some negligible adverse effect [56], some of these effects may include immune response-mediated allergic reactions and others that are unrelated to the immune response and these allergic responses are very rare in practice [57].

About the safety of obtaining dental radiographs in pregnant women, the majority 125 (82.2%) of the ANC providers were not aware that it is safe to obtain dental radiographs in pregnant women and use of dental radiographs, if necessary, after the first trimester does not pose any risk to the developing fetus [58], however, it is recommended to use protective lead aprons and thyroid collar to shield the sensitive areas. This kind of misconception could however be overcome among ANC providers by continuous services professional training and collaboration among different professionals within the different health facilities.

In our study, respondents who had no skills to advance OHP in ANC were less likely to adopt oral health promotion compared to those who said they had skills in advancing OHP for prenatal care. This calls for the need to incorporate prenatal oral care in health higher institutions of learning and continuous professional education for ANC providers in practice. Health institutions have limited training for prenatal oral healthcare [59], adequate skills attained by health workers would enable them to perform desired tasks, more regular training and paying attention to discuss career development prospects would be of great value to advance their skills [60].

In addition, our study found that having a good understanding between dentists and ANC providers contributed to adoption. A study conducted in Australia found no interprofessional collaboration and
understanding between non-dentists and dentists [61]. An inter-professional collaboration education model for dental and medical providers are needed and the provision of an appropriate referral system for comprehensive clinical care of pregnant patients and accredited standards that encourage development and implementation [63, 64]. There is a need for collaboration between ANC providers with dentists to encourage all pregnant women to comply with the oral health professionals' recommendations regarding appropriate dental brushing techniques and the importance of dental visits [63]. However, Collaboration across organizational boundaries remains challenging due to power dynamics and trust that affect the strategic choices made by each health professional about whether to collaborate, with whom and to what level [64]. These decisions directly affect inter-professional relationships trust and respect can be fostered through a mix of interventions aimed at building personal relationships and establishing agreed rules that govern collaborative care and that are perceived as fair to all professions. Some of the factors that facilitate the integration of oral health are interdisciplinary education and collaborative practices between dental and other healthcare professionals [65]. Adoption of oral promotion requires coordination and cooperation between ANC providers and dentists [66]. Understanding and interprofessional collaboration improve professional output, through the provision of clarity around the meaning and definition of professional roles [67].

In terms of management, respondents who said management was not good at influencing new practices among ANC providers were less likely to adopt oral health promotion compared to management being good at influencing new practices. Poor leadership were a key factor leading to providers' weak workplace trust and contributed to often-poor quality services, driving a perverse cycle of negative patient-provider relations [71]. For leaders to have lasting influence, staff members should be involved in health policy development and some of the key participatory roles in health policy development include mentoring, supporting and developing future policymakers [72].
In addition, the Ottawa charter emphasized that all sectors at all levels be involved in formulating policy and direct them to be aware of the health consequences of their decisions and to accept their responsibilities for health, health promotion policy requires the identification of obstacles to the adoption of healthy public policies and ways of removing them, thus health policies must focus on making it easy for beneficiaries to make healthier choices [73]. Involvement of staff members would help them on what is in the policy and also improve on policy dissemination and implementation at all levels, avoiding situations wherein a district, some oral health professionals have not even seen the national oral health policy until it was presented to them during the research work.

Moreover, our study found that there is a need to develop oral health promotion guidelines for pregnant mothers and according to [49], many countries have developed oral health guidelines for pregnant mothers to help them improve the oral health of both pregnant mothers and the babies and the main barriers for ANC providers in promoting oral health was lack of practice guidelines on oral health care during pregnancy. There is a need for local and national health policy agenda in Uganda to incorporate the prevention of oral diseases during pregnancy [38]. Burke-Litwin model noted that, when staff members feel that organizational policies and procedures are favourable to them, they are motivated to perform the required actions, implying that appropriate formulation and implementation of policies motivate staff members to perform required actions.

**Study Strength and Limitations**

Our study had several strengths firstly, the large sample size covering three quarters of the health workers and all categories of cadres of health workers in the district, secondly, our study was the first in Uganda with a focus on the adoption of oral health promotion by antenatal care providers, we involved research assistants who were well trained to create rapport with respondents, thirdly, we trained research assistants on data collection procedures, maintaining confidentiality, ensure correctness and consistency of recorded data. Fourth, all our research tools were fully pretested and checked for accuracy and
correctness, only filled questionnaires were included for analysis. However, our study could not be used to analyse behaviour or practices of the ANC providers over some time as it was a snapshot, limitation of confounding bias which was controlled by running stepwise multiple logistic regression analysis to arrive at meaningful and conclusive results.

**Conclusion**

Adoption of OHP was low. Factors influencing the adoption of oral health promotion were, Age of respondents, the number of years at work, good understanding between dentists and ANC providers, and management being good at influencing new practices contributed to an increased level in the adoption of oral health promotion. However, knowledge gap, poor dissemination of National oral health policy and lack of prenatal oral health guidelines were some of the barriers to an increased level of adoption by antenatal care providers. We, therefore, recommend that the current NOHP should be reviewed, develop prenatal OHC guidelines, enhance the capacity of ANC providers through training, collaboration with dentists and launch official adoption of OHP.
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