Mid-life leukocyte telomere length and dementia risk: a prospective cohort study of 435,046 UK Biobank participants
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Abstract (150 words)

**Introduction:** While aging is the strongest overall risk factor for Alzheimer’s disease (AD), it is unclear whether telomere shortening, a hallmark of accelerated biological aging, plays a role in development of AD.

**Methods:** Data from the large prospective UK Biobank cohort (n=435,046) were used to evaluate whether mid-life leukocyte telomere length (TL) is associated with AD/AD-related dementia (AD/ADRD) over a mean follow-up of 12.2 years. In a subsample without AD/ADRD and with brain imaging data (n=43,390), we linked TL to brain magnetic resonance imaging phenotypes with indications of AD or vascular dementia pathology.

**Results:** Longer TL was associated with a lower risk of AD/ADRD, larger hippocampus volume, lower total volume of white matter hyperintensities, higher fractional anisotropy and lower mean diffusivity in the fornix.

**Discussion:** Longer TL in midlife may play a protective role against AD/ADRD. A better understanding of underlying mechanisms may help improve diagnosis and management of dementia.

**KEYWORDS**
dementia, cognitive tests, magnetic resonance imaging, fractional anisotropy, mean diffusivity, APOE genotypes, volume of hippocampus, white matter hyperintensities
HIGHLIGHTS

1. Longer telomere length is associated with a lower risk of incident Alzheimer’s Disease or Alzheimer’s Disease Related Dementia (AD/ADRD), similarly with subtypes Alzheimer’s disease and vascular dementia, and in subsamples of APOE e3e3, e2, and e4 genotypes.

2. Longer telomere length also is associated with better cognitive performance in specific cognitive domains, larger hippocampus volume, lower total volume of white matter hyperintensities, and higher fractional anisotropy and lower mean diffusivity in the fornix.

3. Longer telomere length, however, is associated with lower fractional anisotropy and higher mean diffusivity in several white matter tracts such as sagittal stratum, retrolenticular part of internal capsule, and posterior corona radiata.
RESEARCH IN CONTEXT

1. **Systematic Review**: The authors conducted a literature review using PubMed. Previous studies reported unclear and at times, contradictory associations of telomere length with Alzheimer’s disease (AD) and cognitive performance. Few studies investigated associations between telomere length and brain magnetic resonance imaging features and their focuses were limited to brain structures. Relevant publications were properly cited.

2. **Interpretation**: Our findings suggest that longer TL is associated with a lower risk of AD or AD Related Dementia (AD/ADRD), which is also revealed by better cognitive performance and less severe atrophy in brain structures but microstructural differences from tensor diffusion imaging show conflicting results.

3. **Future Directions**: Further investigation is needed to understand 1) the conflicting associations between telomere length and brain magnetic resonance imaging markers and their impacts on health outcomes; 2) by which mechanisms telomere length can have protective or harmful effects on AD/ADRD.
1 | BACKGROUND

Telomeres are nucleoprotein structures that cap the end regions of chromosomes with repetitive base pair sequences of TTAGGG. They are crucial in protecting DNA from damage and preserving genome stability [1]. In somatic cells, telomeres progressively shorten with each successive cell division. Telomere length (TL) is maintained by the telomerase enzyme activity, which is most active at early developmental stages but almost completely inactive in somatic tissues during adulthood and older age [2]. Critically short TL signals cells to stop replicating and can trigger cellular senescence changes [3]. A significant consequence of cellular senescence is the change in the cellular secretome and a shift towards a pro-inflammatory state (i.e., the Senescence-Associated Secretory Phenotype, SASP) that can exert deleterious effects in different tissues and organs, including the brain [4]. The accumulation of senescent cells can lead to accelerated aging phenotypes, increased incidence of age-related diseases, and a higher mortality risk [5]. Additionally, shorter leukocyte TL is associated with increased mortality risks [6] and cardiovascular disease [7]. In contrast, longer TL is associated with increased risks of certain cancers, including glioma, ovarian, and lung cancer [8]. More recently, an expanding body of literature suggests that TL may also play an important role in the development of neurodegeneration and neurodegenerative disorders [9].

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the most prevalent neurodegenerative disease associated with aging. The association between TL and AD remains unclear and at times conflicting. In a recent meta-analysis of 13 retrospective case-control studies, eight showed a significantly shorter mean TL in AD patients, one showed a longer mean TL in AD patients, and four reported no significant difference between AD patients and controls [10]. The conflicting results may be explained by small samples, pathology of other neurodegenerative disorders in AD patients, and controls including possible preclinical AD cases. Due to shared pathological features, AD,
frontotemporal, Lewy body, vascular, and mixed dementia are classified as AD/AD-related dementia (ADRD) for research purposes [11].

Cognitive decline and brain changes occur many years before AD diagnosis [12]. Previous studies examined the association between TL and cognitive function using general population samples or cognitively unimpaired samples. Despite some inconsistent results, recent meta-analyses reported that longer TL was associated with better general cognition [13] and performance in several cognitive domains, including processing speed, memory, and executive functioning [14,15]. In addition, a prospective study showed that shorter leukocyte TL significantly predicted memory decline over a 20-year follow-up period [16].

Few population-based studies have explored TL in relation to brain MRI imaging features. All such studies found in the literature were cross-sectional with a limited sample size, which makes causal inference difficult. Two studies reported positive associations with regional and global brain volumes [15,17] and inverse associations with the presence of white matter hyperintensities (WMH) and ventricular enlargement [18]. Another study, however, observed an unexpected positive association between longer leukocyte TL and greater load and score of WMH [15].

Given previous inconsistent results, we designed this study to better assess the causal relationship between TL and AD/ADRD. We leveraged the unprecedentedly large population-based cohort UK Biobank (UKB) to not only estimate associations between TL and risk of incident AD/ADRD, but to also assess associations with early indications of AD/ADRD, including cognitive performance and brain imaging derived phenotypes (IDPs) [19,20] in participants who were dementia-free at the time of multi-modality imaging assessment. We analyzed incident AD/ADRD overall and by APOE genotype or subtype of AD/ADRD, namely
AD or vascular dementia, plus brain MRI markers sensitive to AD or vascular pathology. Finally, as a means of avoiding any possibility of confounding, we also carried out genetics-based Mendelian randomization (MR) analyses to estimate causal effects of genetically determined TL on AD/ADRD and related measures.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | UK Biobank

UKB is a large population-based prospective study recruiting volunteers aged 40 to 69 years between 2006 and 2010 [21,22]. At recruitment, participants completed an extensive questionnaire and provided biological samples for genetic and other future assays. Since 2014, UKB re-invited participants from the baseline cohort to undergo a multimodal imaging assessment of the brain, heart, and body. During the visit, baseline and additional cognitive tests were administered online.

2.2 | Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Data were from active UKB participants excluding dropouts. We excluded from our analysis participants with 1) non-European ancestry based on genome-wide genotype data (n=51,131) to compare with genetics-based MR analysis results using genetic variants identified from populations of European descent (genome-wide association studies (GWASs) using other ancestries remain scarce); 2) TL outside the range of the 0.01th to 99.9th percentile (n=15,644): extreme TL of participants outside of this range was likely due to diseases strongly associated with TL; 3) diagnosed AD/ADRD prior to or at baseline (n=393); 4) diagnosed with other cause-dementia at any time before the last follow-up (n=190). After these exclusions, we had a total of 435,046 participants in the baseline cohort. Additionally, we restricted to the subsample of participants who attended the first imaging visit between 2014 and 2019 and were free of
AD/ADRD at the imaging visit (termed “imaging cohort”) (n=43,390) (Figure 1). The baseline cohort was used to assess associations of TL with incident AD/ADRD and baseline cognitive performance. The imaging cohort was used to test for associations of TL with IDPs and performance of cognitive tests first implemented at the first imaging visit. For specific analyses, participants with any missing outcome or covariates were further excluded.

2.3 | Data

2.3.1 | Telomere Length Measurement

Using DNA extracted from peripheral blood leukocytes, data of leukocyte TL were produced on behalf of UKB [23]. TL was measured using a multiplex quantitative polymerase chain-reaction-based technique by comparing the amount of the telomere amplification product (T) to that of a single-copy gene (S). A T/S ratio was derived representing the mean TL that was adjusted for the influence of technical parameters and was log- and z-transformed among the included samples before analysis.

2.3.2 | Genotype Data

UKB participants were genotyped using DNA extracted from the baseline blood samples [21]. Genetic principal components (PCs) to account for ancestry differences were derived within participants of European descent using the genome-wide genotype data. APOE genotypes were determined based on the genotypes of the two single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), rs429358 and rs7412, on chromosome 19. Genetic variants strongly associated with leukocyte TL at the genome-wide significance level (p<8.31×10⁻⁹, threshold for GWASs incorporating variants with minor allele frequencies <0.1%) in the UKB GWAS [24] were selected as genetic instruments (n=130) (Table SA.1) to estimate TL-outcome associations using MR methods.
These genetic variants were uncorrelated and robust to pleiotropy, and they were enriched with functional variants near genes underlying telomere and telomerase biology [24].

2.4 | Assessment of Outcomes

2.4.1 | AD/ADRD

AD/ADRD was confirmed using the first occurrence data of UKB by mapping multi-source data to 3-character ICD codes (Table SB.1). A dementia case was first diagnosed with AD/ADRD or other-cause dementia (ICD-10: F02) depending on which occurred first. Other-cause dementia as described previously was excluded from our analyses. AD and vascular dementia as subtypes of AD/ADRD were analyzed, allowing the cases to have other diagnoses in AD/ADRD, e.g., a patient may have both AD and vascular dementia diagnoses.

2.4.2 | Cognitive Tests

We selected UKB cognitive tests a priori, previously shown to have moderate to high concurrent validity with well-validated reference tests and test-retest reliability (specifically, reaction time, numeric memory, symbol digit substitution, trail making part B, and matrix pattern completion) [25]. We also included tests mostly correlated with general cognitive ability, i.e., fluid intelligence [25], and derived general cognitive ability scores combining five baseline cognitive test scores via the first principal component from Fawns-Ritchie et al. [25]. Data were from baseline or the first imaging visit depending on when the cognitive test was first implemented. Cognitive assessments are detailed in Text SC.1. Their associated cognitive domains, data field IDs, and weblinks are provided in Table SB.2.

2.4.3 | Image-Derived Phenotypes (IDPs)
We utilized the IDPs generated by an image-processing pipeline developed and run on behalf of UKB [26]. Specifically, we selected IDPs showing evidence of association with dementia [19,20]: 1) AD-signature region volumes from T1-weighted structural imaging: hippocampus, parahippocampal cortex, entorhinal cortex, inferior parietal lobule, precuneus, and cuneus; 2) total volume of WMH derived from combined T1 and T2-weighted fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) structural imaging; and 3) weighted mean FA and MD of white matter tracts from diffusion-weighted imaging. Left and right hemisphere measurements for the same tract were averaged before analysis. A description of the selected IDPs is provided in Table SB.3.

2.5 | Covariates

Baseline covariates included demographics (age, sex, assessment center near residence), socioeconomic status (education, Townsend deprivation index), lifestyle factors (BMI, smoking status, alcohol intake frequency, physical activity), APOE genotype, and top 10 genetic PCs (PC1-PC10) based on genome-wide genotype data to account for ancestry differences. Townsend deprivation index score was a measure of material deprivation at the postcode level based on the preceding national census data. Higher scores represent greater levels of deprivation. Smoking status and alcohol intake frequency were accessed through a touchscreen questionnaire. Physical activity (low, moderate, or high) was self-reported and measured following the short International Physical Activity Questionnaire guidelines [27].

2.6 | Statistical Methods

The association between TL and time from baseline to first incident AD/ADRD diagnosis was estimated using a Cox proportional hazards model, adjusting for the covariates previously
described. Participants who did not develop AD/ADRD but died during follow-up were censored at date of death; otherwise, at the last follow-up date (March 31, 2021). Sensitivity analyses were performed by APOE genotype (e3e3, e4, or e2), for AD and vascular dementia, separately. Linear regression models were used to examine the associations of TL with cognitive measures and IDPs adjusting for the same covariates as for AD/ADRD and head size additionally for IDPs. Before modelling, each continuous outcome was transformed by the rank-based inverse normal transformation to correct for the distributional skewness, followed by a z-transformation to unify the scales.

To estimate the causal effects of TL on AD/ADRD and related outcomes, we applied several MR methods to ensure our results are robust to MR assumptions: 1) inverse-variance weighting (IVW) method [28] (primary) that meta-analyzes causal estimates from individual genetic instruments; 2) a weighted median-based method [29] that assumes that the majority of genetic variants are valid instrumental variables; 3) MR-Egger [30] that allows us to assess horizontal pleiotropy additionally; 4) robust adjusted profile score (MR-RAPS) method [31], accounting for any residual weak instrument bias, pleiotropy, and extreme outliers. Both instrument-TL associations and instrument-outcome associations from the present study were adjusted for age, sex, genotyping array and the first ten genetic principal components (PC1-PC10).

Prior to the MR analysis, the instrument-TL associations (regression coefficients and standard errors) were adjusted for winner’s curse using (see the method in Text SC.2 and R code in Text SC.3). Before and after winner’s curse adjustment, we calculated the mean F-statistic [32] and percent of variance in TL attributed to the genetic instruments to evaluate the weak
instrument bias, and the $I^2$-statistic [33] to evaluate the no measurement error (NOME) assumption of MR-Egger.

Observational p-values or MR p-values from the primary IVW method were evaluated at the level of false discovery rate less than 5%. All the statistical analyses were performed in R version 3.4.1.

3 | RESULTS

Participant characteristics at recruitment of the baseline cohort (n=435,046) are presented in Table 1. The mean follow-up time from baseline to first imaging visit for participants in the imaging cohort (n=43,390) was 8.97 years (SD=1.75). Participants who subsequently underwent the first imaging visit were healthier than the baseline sample (Table 1), which had also been shown by a previous study [34], and similarly AD/ADRD cases versus non-AD/ADRD controls (Table SB.4).

3.1 | Observational Association Analysis

3.1.1 | Telomere length and risk of incident AD/ADRD

During a mean follow-up of 12.2 years, we identified 6,424 incident AD/ADRD cases (mean age at diagnosis 72.8 years, SD=6.06): 2527 AD cases (mean age at diagnosis 73.5 years, SD=5.09) and 1,330 vascular dementia cases (mean age at diagnosis 73.5 years, SD=5.03). The incidence of AD/ADRD or its subtype linearly decreased as TL increased (Figure SB.1). Compared with non-AD/ADRD controls (0.83±0.13), AD/ADRD cases (0.80±0.12, $p<2.2\times10^{-16}$) had a shorter mean TL and similarly, AD (0.80±0.12, $p<2.2\times10^{-16}$) and vascular dementia (0.79±0.12, $p<2.2\times10^{-16}$) cases.

Longer leukocyte TL at baseline was associated with a lower risk of incident AD/ADRD (Figure 2). The hazard ratio (HR) of AD/ADRD was 0.93 per SD longer in TL ($p=3.37\times10^{-7}$),
after adjusting for the covariates. Similar results were found for different APOE genotypes, as well as for vascular dementia and AD (Figure 2). One SD of TL corresponds to approximately 650 base pairs in a European adult population, which is approximately 26 years of telomere attrition given the telomere shortening rate in the population is about 25 base pairs per year [35].

3.1.2 | Telomere length and cognitive performance

Longer TL was significantly associated with better cognitive performance at baseline, including faster reaction time (mean SD change per SD longer in TL ($\beta$)= -0.005, $p=0.003$), higher fluid intelligence ($\beta=0.011$, $p=1.41\times10^{-5}$), and higher numeric memory ($\beta=0.013$, $p=0.009$). Although not statistically significant at the level of FDR<5%, longer TL was suggestive of higher general cognitive ability ($\beta=0.009$, $p=0.066$) [25] (Figure 3). For cognitive tests measured at the first imaging visit (but not implemented at the baseline visit), each SD increase in TL was associated with better scores on tests of processing speed/executive functioning, including more symbol digit substitution ($\beta=0.012$, $p=0.029$) and shorter duration to complete trail making part B ($\beta=-0.013$, $p=0.022$). Additionally, each SD increase in TL was associated with better non-verbal reasoning measured with matrix pattern completion ($\beta=0.013$, $p=0.027$).

3.1.3 | Telomere length and regional brain MRI features

Figure 4 presents the associations between TL and volumetric IDPs of AD signature regions [19,20] and total WMH volume. Longer TL was significantly associated with higher hippocampus volume ($\beta=0.012$, $p=0.012$) and lower total WMH volume ($\beta=-0.015$, $p=0.002$). There were no significant associations between TL and other AD signature volumes (Figure 4).
We also evaluated the associations between TL and markers of white matter microstructural damage assessed by diffusion tensor imaging. Longer TL was associated with higher FA (indicating better white matter fiber integrity) and lower MD (indicating more glial cellularity and lower inflammatory burden) in the fornix. Interestingly, most of the other white matter tracts analyzed showed the opposite direction of association between TL and FA or MD. (Figures 5 and 6).

3.2 | Mendelian Randomization Analysis

The effect sizes of genetic variants associated with TL and their standard errors were corrected for winner’s curse (Table SA.1). A single instrument (rs35671754) was dropped from the analysis after this correction due to its sign reversing. The mean F-statistics before and after winner’s curse adjustment were 127.11 and 120.25, respectively, indicating that our instrument set remained strong. The uncorrected and corrected coefficients explained 3.61% and 3.42% of the variance in TL, respectively. Additionally, the I² statistic before and after winner’s curse adjustment were close to 1 (97.6% and 97.4%, respectively), suggesting a low risk of violating the NOME assumption of MR-Egger.

Using the IVW MR method, there was no evidence showing that genetically determined TL was associated with AD/ADRD or its subtypes (Figure SB.2). Genetically determined TL was not associated with any of the cognitive measures (Figure SB.3), volumetric IDPs of AD signatures, or total volume of WMH (Figure SB.4). Genetically determined TL was not associated with FA or MD in the fornix. The MR analysis, however, confirmed observational associations between longer TL and lower FA in tracts such as sagittal stratum, posterior corona radiata, and retrolenticular part of internal capsule (Figure SB.5), as well as those between longer TL and higher MD in tracts, e.g., sagittal stratum, superior longitudinal fasciculus, and posterior
thalamic radiation (Figure SB.6). Different MR methods showed consistent results (Figures SB.7-SB.11). MR-Egger tended to produce a larger effect size than the other methods, which may be inflated by horizontal pleiotropy (indicated by an estimated intercept significantly different from zero; see Figures SD.1-SD.71). MR-RAPS accounted for pleiotropy and the results of IVW and MR-RAPS were similar across outcomes.

4 | DISCUSSION

Using middle-aged adults with a mean follow-up of 12.2 years, we found that longer leukocyte TL was associated with a lower risk of AD/ADRD, and specifically of AD and vascular dementia. In the population without dementia diagnoses, longer TL was associated with better performance across multiple cognitive domains. We also found that longer TL was associated with brain MRI features linked to a lower risk of dementia, including higher hippocampus volume, lower total volume of WMH, along with higher FA and lower MD in the fornix.

Our results are consistent with previous observational studies suggesting a protective effect of longer TL on AD, including those reported in recent meta-analyses of case-control studies [10]. Contrary to our findings, Fani et al. [36] reported a U-shaped relationship between TL and risk of AD, where both shorter and longer TL were associated with a higher AD risk. Significantly higher risk of AD with shorter leukocyte TL was also reported in non-APOE e4-carriers only in a prospective population cohort [37]. While previous MR studies reported a protective effect of genetically determined longer TL on AD risk [38,39], we did not find significant genetic associations with AD/ADRD or its subtypes in our MR analyses. Our samples were younger and we used more genetic instruments of TL to increase statistical power. However, the percent of variance in TL explained by the genetic instruments is still not sufficient
to power the outcomes due to limited numbers of cases in this young cohort. Our analysis was of leukocyte TL. Perhaps TL in brain or other tissues may show a stronger causal effect.

The relationship we observed between TL and alterations in the fornix is commensurate with the study by Staffaroni et al. [40] that showed TL attrition over time was associated with decreased fornix FA, increased fornix MD, and greater hippocampal volume loss. The fornix is a white matter bundle in the limbic system that functions as the principal outflow pathway from the hippocampus [41]. Microstructural changes in the fornix had been reported as an early predictor of cognitive decline in older adults with normal cognition and an indicator of AD progression [42]. Moreover, reduced FA and increased MD in the fornix have been suggested as promising imaging markers for AD [41]. Our findings on associations of longer TL with lower FA and higher MD in several white matter tracts suggest that longer TL may also have deleterious effects on related health outcomes including dementia. Further investigation is needed to understand the conflicting associations between TL and brain MRI markers.

The mechanism linking leukocyte TL with AD/ADRD and related brain MRI markers is still unclear. Telomere dysfunction has a major impact on stem cell exhaustion and genomic instability, where the biological changes including reduced neurogenesis and increased mosaic DNA content variation have been lined to AD [43–45]. Additionally, shorter TL may reflect an increased pool of senescent cells, which is associated with SASP [4]. Neuroinflammation plays an important role in exacerbating amyloid-β burden and tau hyperphosphorylation that are two core pathologies of AD [46]. Pro-inflammatory cytokines and other SASP factors are associated with brain structural abnormalities, cerebrovascular pathology, cognitive impairment, and elevated risk of AD [47,48]. Taken together, TL can be linked to AD/ADRD and related brain...
MRI markers through direct and indirect pathways. Future studies are necessary to address by which mechanisms TL can have protective or harmful effects.

Several limitations need to be considered when interpreting the results of the current study. First, TL was measured in leukocytes in peripheral blood, which may not represent TL in the brain. However, peripheral blood TL is positively correlated with cerebellum TL and plays a direct role in AD pathogenesis [49]. Second, while we attempted to investigate AD and vascular dementia, we included cases with diagnosis of other AD/ADRD. However, we also included volumetric AD signatures and brain IDPs that have indications for vascular dementia. Third, study participants were relatively young, with many not yet old enough to have developed AD/ADRD. Lastly, our results may not be generalized to non-European populations. Healthy volunteers are over-represented in our baseline and imaging cohorts, which could lead to underestimated exposure-outcome associations. However, the impact is alleviated by the large sample size and significant heterogeneity of exposures [50]. Moreover, we believe that the above limitations would diminish rather than enhance our ability to reject the null hypothesis, thus raising the robustness of our statistically significant findings.

Together with our findings that longer TL is associated with better cognitive performance and lower risks of incident AD, vascular dementia, and their related brain markers, this suggests that TL is a robust indicator of neurodegeneration or cognitive impairment toward the development of AD/ADRD. Further research is needed to elucidate the biological mechanisms linking TL and dementia and to understand the health impact of lower FA and higher MD associated with longer TL in several white matter tracts.
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Table 1 Baseline characteristics of participants in the baseline or imaging cohort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Baseline Cohort (n=435,046)</th>
<th>Imaging Cohort (N=43,390)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseline age, years (mean ± SD)</strong></td>
<td>56.8 ± 8</td>
<td>55.3 ± 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex, female (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>236,117 (54%)</td>
<td>22,373 (52%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Death (%)</strong></td>
<td>30,504 (7%)</td>
<td>425 (1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age at death (mean ± SD)</strong></td>
<td>71.1 ± 7.3</td>
<td>71.6 ± 6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>75,541 (18%)</td>
<td>2,984 (7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSEs or equivalent</td>
<td>16,231 (4%)</td>
<td>1,122 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O levels/GCSEs or equivalent</td>
<td>59,497 (13%)</td>
<td>4,705 (11%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/AS levels/NVQ/HND/HNC</td>
<td>79,609 (18%)</td>
<td>7,778 (18%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other professional qualifications</td>
<td>64,394 (15%)</td>
<td>6,946 (16%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College or university degree</td>
<td>137,941 (32%)</td>
<td>19,721 (46%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Townsend deprivation index</strong></td>
<td>-1.5 ± 3</td>
<td>-1.9 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BMI, kg/m² (mean ± SD)</strong></td>
<td>27.4 ± 4.8</td>
<td>26.6 ± 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smoking status (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>234,004 (54%)</td>
<td>26,022 (60%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous</td>
<td>154,156 (36%)</td>
<td>14,596 (34%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>45,343 (10%)</td>
<td>2,687 (6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alcohol intake frequency (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>29,060 (7%)</td>
<td>1,847 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special occasions only</td>
<td>46,553 (11%)</td>
<td>3,323 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3 times a month</td>
<td>48,359 (11%)</td>
<td>4,619 (11%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2 times a week</td>
<td>114,513 (26%)</td>
<td>11,195 (26%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-4 times a week</td>
<td>104,311 (24%)</td>
<td>12,345 (28%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily or almost daily</td>
<td>91,947 (21%)</td>
<td>10,053 (23%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IPAQ activity group (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>57,696 (14%)</td>
<td>5,742 (14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>177,073 (44%)</td>
<td>18,504 (45%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>163,435 (41%)</td>
<td>16,902 (41%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>APOE genotype (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e3e3</td>
<td>254,331 (58.46%)</td>
<td>25,619 (59.04%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e2e3</td>
<td>53,573 (12.31%)</td>
<td>5,388 (12.42%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e2e2</td>
<td>2,766 (0.64%)</td>
<td>250 (0.58%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e2e4</td>
<td>10,931 (2.51%)</td>
<td>1,036 (2.39%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e3e4</td>
<td>103,077 (23.69%)</td>
<td>10,122 (23.33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e4e4</td>
<td>10,350 (2.38%)</td>
<td>975 (2.25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e1e2</td>
<td>3 (&lt;0.01%)</td>
<td>0 (0.00%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Telomere length (T/S ratio), adjusting for technical parameters</strong></td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; APOE, apolipoprotein E; CSE, certificate of secondary education; GCSE, general certificate of secondary education; NVQ, national vocational qualification; HND, higher national diploma; HNC, higher national certificate. BMI, body mass index; IPAQ, International Physical Activity Questionnaire.
**Figure 1** Flowchart of study participant selection.

**Figure 2** Associations between telomere length and AD/ADRD or related phenotypes.

*Significant at the false discovery rate < 0.05 level; AD is defined as AD or dementia in AD; N: sample size; NCases: number of cases; Hazard Ratios (HRs) per Standard Deviation (SD) longer in Telomere Length (TL) from Cox proportional hazards models adjusting for age, sex, education, Townsend deprivation index, BMI, smoking status, alcohol intake frequency, and IPAQ physical activity group, APOE genotype, PC1-PC10, and baseline assessment center; P-Value: unadjusted p-value for multiple testing.
Figure 3 Associations between telomere length and cognitive function measures.

*Significant at the false discovery rate < 0.05 level; N: sample size; Mean Standard Deviation (SD) changes per SD longer in Telomere Length (TL) from linear regression models adjusting for age, sex, education, Townsend deprivation index, BMI, smoking status, alcohol intake frequency, and IPAQ physical activity group, APOE genotype, PC1-PC10, and baseline assessment center; P-Value: unadjusted p-value for multiple testing. The two ends representing best and worst performance are labelled.

Figure 4 Associations between telomere length and volumetric IDPs of AD signatures and white matter hyperintensities (WMH).

*Significant at the false discovery rate < 0.05 level; N: sample size; Mean Standard Deviation (SD) changes per SD longer in Telomere Length (TL) from linear regression models adjusting for age, sex, education, Townsend deprivation index, BMI, smoking status, alcohol intake frequency, IPAQ physical activity group, APOE genotype, PC1-PC10, baseline assessment center, and head size; P-Value: unadjusted p-value for multiple testing.
**Figure 5** Associations between telomere length and weighted-mean fractional anisotropy IDPs.

*Significant at the false discovery rate < 0.05 level; N: sample size; Mean Standard Deviation (SD) changes per SD longer in Telomere Length (TL) from linear regression models adjusting for age, sex, education, Townsend deprivation index, BMI, smoking status, alcohol intake frequency, IPAQ physical activity group, APOE genotype, PC1-PC10, baseline assessment center, and head size; P-Value: unadjusted p-value for multiple testing.
**Figure 6** Associations between telomere length and weighted-mean mean diffusivity IDPs.

*Significant at the false discovery rate < 0.05 level; N: sample size; Mean Standard Deviation (SD) changes per SD longer in Telomere Length (TL) from linear regression models adjusting for age, sex, education, Townsend deprivation index, BMI, smoking status, alcohol intake frequency, IPAQ physical activity group, APOE genotype, PC1-PC10, baseline assessment center, and head size; P-Value: unadjusted p-value for multiple testing.