Hand Dermatitis among Health Care Workers during the COVID-19 Pandemic: Prevalence, and Risk Factors
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Abstract

Background: Health care workers (HCWs) need to perform new preventive measures to protect themselves and patients against ongoing COVID-19 transmission, which can increase the occurrence of hand dermatitis (HD) among them.

Objectives: This study aimed to investigate the prevalence of HD among HCWs and its possible risk factors in IRAN.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.
Methods: A survey of 159 HCWs working in university hospitals was performed between August to September 2020. Research data were collected via the standardized Nordic Occupational Skin Questionnaire (NOSQ-2002).

Results: The prevalence of HD in the study population was 51.6%. Females had a 3.84 fold higher risk of HD than males (confidence interval (CI): 1.85-8). HCWs older than 40 years and those who aged 30-39 years had a 9.6 and 1.72 fold higher risk of HD than HCWs aged 20-29 years (CI: 2.6-35.7; CI: 0.87-3.4, respectively). A significant association was found between the prevalence of HD among HCWs and working hours per week, and wearing gloves (P<0.05).

Conclusion: Possible risk factors for developing HD among HCWs are female gender and older age. Preventive measures for HD are needed for HCWs, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic.
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1- Introduction

The Coronavirus disease of 2019 (COVID-19) first appeared in December 2019 in Wuhan, China. Later, the World Health Organization (WHO) declared it a pandemic on March 11, 2020. The Center for Diseases Control (CDC) and World Health Organization (WHO) recommended preventive measures to hinder the spread of this novel virus (SARS-CoV-2). These measures emphasize the importance of social distancing, wearing a facemask and gloves, frequent hand washing with water and soap, or using alcohol-based hand sanitizers. These preventive measures for health care workers (HCWs), as a critical point of infection control, to protect themselves and prevent cross-transmission and spread of pathogens has already been emphasized. Although these recommendations may be effective against infectious diseases, they may alter integrity of the skin resulting in skin barrier dysfunction, and increased risk of hand dermatitis (HD).

Contact dermatitis is a skin disorder that occurs when the skin encounters irritants or allergens. Contact dermatitis is the most common occupational disease, including irritant contact dermatitis (80%) and allergic contact dermatitis (20%). Prolonged glove wearing and frequent hand hygiene using detergents, soaps, water, alcohol-based sanitizers, and other disinfectants are known risk factors for developing contact dermatitis. Therefore, HCWs are at higher risk of developing contact dermatitis. Studies before the COVID-19 pandemic reported that prevalence of contact dermatitis affecting the hand of HCWs ranges from 12% to 50%, Although, the reported prevalence of contact dermatitis in the studies from different countries during the COVID-19 outbreak have been increased.

To date, there is limited data on the prevalence of HD among HCWs in Iran during the COVID-19 pandemic. We aimed to determine the prevalence of HD among HCWs in three
university hospitals in Birjand, Iran, during the COVID-19 pandemic. Furthermore, we investigated the association between HD and other related factors.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study design

The present study is a descriptive-analytic study conducted from August to September 2020 in Birjand, Iran. Cluster sampling was carried out in three university hospitals affiliated with Birjand University of Medical Sciences, including: Razi hospital, Valiasr hospital, and Imam Reza hospital. Birjand is the capital of South Khorasan province in eastern Iran. The protocol of study was approved by the Committee on Research Ethics at Birjand University of Medical Sciences with the approved No. 3789. (Ethic code IR.Bums.REC.1398.8).

2.2. Sample size calculation

A total sample size of 159 HCWs, who were defined as first-line workers performing patient-related duties, was calculated through the convenience sampling method. HCWs that have been working at the hospitals for at least one year were included in the study. Those who did not complete the questionnaire completely were excluded.

2.3. Data collection

Data was collected using a validated self-administered questionnaire. The history of occupational HD was determined using standardized Nordic Occupational Skin Questionnaire version 2002 (NOSQ-2002)\(^\text{17}\), which included two forms: The first form included questions about demographic information including age, gender, level of education, occupation, marital status, work experience, workplace ward, working hours per week, etc; the second form included questions about the most important occupational and environmental risk factors for formation of HD (i.e. history of allergy, history of HD, wearing gloves, frequency of hand washing, etc), and symptoms associated with HD (i.e. itching, burning, redness, dry skin with scaling, irritation, pain, and tiny water blister on the hand, wrist, or forearm) to determine prevalence of HD and associated factors among HCWs.\(^\text{18}\) In this questionnaire, having any of dermatitis-associated symptoms over the last six month (length of time HCWs were involved in caring for COVID-19) considered as dermatitis.

2.4. Data quality control

The use of this standardized questionnaire makes it possible to compare the results with other studies. The English version of NOSQ was translated to Persian by a professional translator familiar with medical terminology; thereafter, a panel of experts of academics (two dermatologists and eight faculty members of nursing and midwifery) familiar with the terminologies reviewed and confirmed the validity of the questionnaire. The reliability of the questionnaire was also confirmed by calculation of Cronbach’s α coefficient of 82%.\(^\text{19}\)
2.5. Data analysis

Chi-square and Logistic regression tests were used for data comparisons, considering α level of 0.05. Data analysis was done using SPSS version 18. P-value < 0.05 was considered as significant threshold. Data were shown as mean ± SD and number (percent).

3. Results

The subjects were 159 HCWs. The participants' mean age was 31.7 ± 10 years. The majority of the subjects participating in the study, 111 (66.9%) were female, and the highest number of HCWs, 129 (81.1%) were nurses. Demographic data of the HCWs participating in this study are shown in Table 1.

Table 2 shows the prevalence of HD based on different variables of HCWs participating in this study. Eighty two (51.6%) of the study subjects had HD; the prevalence of HD had an almost equal distribution among HCWs. The prevalence of HD was significantly higher (P<0.05) in females (61.3%) than in males (29.2%). The prevalence of HD had an almost equal distribution among HCWs with a diploma (45.5%) and a bachelor (49.6%) educational degree. However, most of the HCWs with a MSc degree (65.2%) reported to have HD. The prevalence of HD was highest (86.4%) in the group older than 40 years and lowest (39.7%) in the 20-29 year group. Among 133 HCWs who currently use gloves, 66 (58.4%) had HD and the prevalence of HD was reported equal among those who did not wear gloves. The highest prevalence of HD (62.4%) was found in HCWs working fewer than 50 hours per week, and declined with increasing working hours.

Based on the results obtained from logistic regression test, female HCWs had a 3.84 fold increased risk of HD compared with males (confidence interval (CI): 1.85-8; P< 0.001). HCWs older than 40 years and those who aged 30-39 years had a 9.6 and 1.72 fold increased risk of HD compared with HCWs aged 20-29 years (CI: 2.6-35.7; CI: 0.87-3.4; P=0.001; P=0.001, respectively). Additionally, the prevalence of HD in HCWs who worked fewer than 50 hours per week was significantly higher than those who worked 50 hours or more per week (Odds Ratio (OR): 0.29; CI: 0.15-0.58; P< 0.001). Furthermore, results obtained from Chi-square test showed that the prevalence of HD among HCWs who are currently wearing gloves was significantly higher than those who never used gloves (OR: 0.71; CI: 0.96-5.2; P<0.05). Although, most of the HCWs with a MSc degree are reported to have HD, there was no significant association between prevalence of HD in HCWs and educational level (P>0.05).

4. Discussion

Hand dermatitis is a common occupational disease, with a prevalence of 12-50% among HCWs prior to the COVID-19 pandemic.\textsuperscript{10-12} in the current study the prevalence of HD was
51.6% among HCWs at university hospitals of Birjand, Iran, during the COVID-19 pandemic. Additionally, a significant correlation was found between the prevalence of HD and female gender, age, working hours per week, and wearing gloves. The results of our study is consistent with other studies conducted on skin damages among HCWs during the COVID-19 pandemic, which showed an increase in the prevalence of HD. Lan et al.\textsuperscript{20}, in March 2020, reported the first evidence of increasing prevalence of skin damage among HCWs in China. It was reported that the prevalence of hand skin damage was 74.5%, which was probably related to frequent hand hygiene and wearing gloves for a longer time. A study conducted by Aydin et al.\textsuperscript{13}, (2020) in Turkey, reported that the frequency of HD among nurses was 70.9%. Another study from that country conducted by Erdem et al.\textsuperscript{16}, (2020) showed that the frequency of HD was 50.5% of the HCWs working in COVID-19 wards. Similarly, Imani Khanegah et al.\textsuperscript{18}, (2020) in another province of Iran, reported that 65.7% of nurses working in COVID-19 ward in Ardabil Province had HD. Other studies from different countries reported the prevalence of symptoms associated with contact dermatitis of 46.4% in Saudi Arabia\textsuperscript{15}, 90.4% in Germany\textsuperscript{21}, 82.6% in Ireland\textsuperscript{22}, 80% in Italy\textsuperscript{23}, and 73.1% in China.\textsuperscript{24} The disparity in the prevalence is probably due to the different study design, population size, and genetic differences. Moreover, some studies assessed all types of skin damage, which reported overall HCWs’ skin damage during the COVID-19 pandemic, while in the current study we have just assessed the prevalence of HD. A study conducted by Tousi et al.\textsuperscript{25}, (2006) in the same country as the present study, and reported that the prevalence of HD was 43.9% among HCWs in a university hospital of Tehran. Given these results, the prevalence of HD clearly increased among HCWs during the COVID-19 pandemic.

In the present study, we found a significantly higher prevalence of HD in females than in males. In line with our study, Celik et al.\textsuperscript{26}, (2020) conducted a study on HCWs and showed that hand eczema was significantly higher in women. Likewise, Hamnerius et al.\textsuperscript{27}, (2017) reported similar results either. Additionally, a large population-based Norwegian survey showed that the overall lifetime prevalence of HD was higher in women than men.\textsuperscript{28} The higher prevalence of dermatitis in women than men could be attributed to the role of women in housekeeping and more exposure to water, detergents, and irritants.\textsuperscript{26,29-30} However, some studies reported that prevalence of HD was not associated with gender.\textsuperscript{31-32}

The results of our study showed that there was a positive correlation between age of HCWs and the prevalence of HD, and the highest prevalence of HD was found in HCWs older than 40 years. Two studies conducted on Danish children reported that children with a higher age were at a higher risk of developing HD. They attributed their observations to a higher frequency of hand washing in children with higher age.\textsuperscript{29,33-34} On the other hand, the prevalence of HD was varied at different ages in other studies,\textsuperscript{30,34-35} therefore, age could not be considered as a direct risk factor for developing HD. This finding is probably due to the higher prevalence of HD in HCWs who have more years of working experience.\textsuperscript{36}
In the current study, a negative correlation was found between the risk of developing HD and the working hours per week among HCWs. Lampel et al.\textsuperscript{11}, found no significant association between the prevalence of HD in inpatient nurses working at an academic hospital and their working hours per week. By contrast, Özyazıcıoğlu et al.\textsuperscript{32}, reported that the incidence of HD in the fourth-year nursing students was significantly higher than the third-year students. They attributed this finding to the higher working hours of the fourth-year students compared with the third-year students, which was inconsistent with our findings. A possible speculative explanation for this could be increased exposure time of HCWs to other irritants at home while housekeeping, or the fact that HCWs with HD may work fewer hours per week.

There are some known risk factors for developing contact dermatitis including frequent hand washing, long term wearing gloves, and using disinfectants.\textsuperscript{9} It has been reported that SARS-CoV-2 could be transmitted through contact, thus proper hand hygiene is one of the main ways to prevent the COVID-19 spreading.\textsuperscript{37} Previous studies reported a significant increase in the frequency of hand washing and using disinfectants among HCWs during the COVID-19 pandemic.\textsuperscript{21,38} Repeated exposure to soap and water, and disinfectants could alter the integrity of the skin and damage protective effect of this organ by changing the PH of the epidermis, depleting the lipid barrier, and increasing trans-epidermal water loss, which finally leads to contact dermatitis. Paradoxically prolonged wearing of gloves may cause maceration and irritant contact dermatitis of the hand skin by over-hydrating the stratum corneum layer.\textsuperscript{9} Additionally, wearing latex gloves could develop skin reactions through immunoglobulin E-mediated hypersensitivity to latex, latex allergy, and irritant contact dermatitis.\textsuperscript{39-40} In the present study, 58.4\% of HCWs who currently used gloves had HD. Similarly, Kaihui et al.\textsuperscript{41}, (2020) reported that 88.5\% of HCWs using long term of latex gloves during the COVID-19 outbreak complained adverse skin reactions.

HD is one of the main factors that can reduce the quality of life and productivity of HCWs, and may cause physical discomfort and increase their absenteeism either.\textsuperscript{42-43} Therefore, the results of our study may help prevent HD among HCWs, especially those who are at higher risk.

5. Conclusion

Although hand hygiene is an effective method to prevent transmission of infectious diseases such as COVID-19, it may increase the risk of hand dermatitis formation. Our results showed that the prevalence of HD was 51.6\% among HCWs during the COVID-19 pandemic. Additionally, it was revealed that female gender, and older age might be possible risk factors for the occurrence of HD among HCWs. Therefore, this data can help hospitals to provide education on HD and acquaint HCWs with proper measures for prevention.
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Table 1. Demographic data of the health care workers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>30.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>69.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education Levels</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploma</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>78.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSc</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duties</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurse</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>81.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auxiliary Nurse</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midwife</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital Status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>77.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Prevalence of hand dermatitis based on different variables among study subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Hand Dermatitis</th>
<th>Hand Dermatitis</th>
<th>Odds ratio (OR)</th>
<th>Confidence interval (CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes Frequency (Percentage)</td>
<td>No Frequency (Percentage)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>82 (51.6)</td>
<td>77 (48.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>14 (29.2)</td>
<td>34 (70.8)</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.34 – 4.1</td>
<td>P = 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>68 (61.3)</td>
<td>43 (38.7)</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education Levels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploma</td>
<td>5 (45.5)</td>
<td>6 (54.5)</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.34 – 4.1</td>
<td>P = 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor</td>
<td>62 (49.6)</td>
<td>63 (50.4)</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.34 – 4.1</td>
<td>P = 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSc</td>
<td>15 (65.2)</td>
<td>8 (34.8)</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>0.52 – 9.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-29 years old</td>
<td>29 (39.7)</td>
<td>44 (60.3)</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>0.87 – 3.4</td>
<td>P = 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39 years old</td>
<td>34 (53.1)</td>
<td>30 (46.9)</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>0.87 – 3.4</td>
<td>P = 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;40 years old</td>
<td>19 (86.4)</td>
<td>3 (13.6)</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>2.6 – 35.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working hours</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;50 hours / week</td>
<td>53 (62.4)</td>
<td>38 (37.6)</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>0.87 – 3.4</td>
<td>P = 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥50 hours / week</td>
<td>19 (32.8)</td>
<td>39 (67.2)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.15 – 0.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wearing gloves</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes now</td>
<td>66 (58.4)</td>
<td>47 (41.6)</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>0.87 – 3.4</td>
<td>P = 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes but not now</td>
<td>14 (33.3)</td>
<td>28 (66.7)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.15 – 0.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>2 (50)</td>
<td>2 (50)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.15 – 0.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>