Investigating Causal Relationships between Inflammatory Bowel Disease and Autism Spectrum Disorder: A Bidirectional Two-sample Mendelian Randomization Study
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Abstract

Background and aims

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is usually comorbid with psychological disorders. Emerging observational studies have indicated the association between autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and IBD, including Crohn’s disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC), whereas the causality remains unknown. Our study aimed to explore the causal association between IBD and ASD using bidirectional two-sample Mendelian randomization (MR) design.

Methods

Summary-level data from large-scale genome-wide association (GWAS) studies of IBD (International Inflammatory Bowel Disease Genetics Consortium, \(N_{\text{cases}}=25,042, N_{\text{controls}}=34,915\)) and ASD (Integrative Psychiatric Research-Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, \(N_{\text{cases}}=18,382, N_{\text{controls}}=27,969\)) were retrieved. Gene variants for IBD and ASD were selected as instrumental variables. MR analyses were performed mainly including the inverse-variance-weighted method with a series of sensitivity tests.

Results

Genetic predisposition to IBD was associated with a high risk of ASD (odds ratio [OR] = 1.03, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 1.01-1.06, \(P = 0.01\); OR [95% CI]: 1.03 [1.01-1.05], \(P = 0.02\) for CD; OR [95% CI]: 1.03 [1.01-1.07], \(P = 0.04\) for UC). In contrast, no causal association was found for the genetic liability to ASD on IBD.

Conclusions

Our findings reveal that genetically predicted IBD is causally related to ASD, whereas the causal association of ASD on IBD is not supported. Our study highlights the early screening
and surveillance of psychological symptoms, as well as sustaining support for patients with IBD. Further investigations on age-specific groups are warranted.
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1. Introduction

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), mainly classified into two subtypes including Crohn's disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC), is a chronic relapsing and remitting disease contributed by the dysregulated immune system and the commensal ecosystem with no cure (Graham and Xavier, 2020). Although the incidence of IBD in western countries is relatively stable, newly industrialized countries are still in the stage of accelerating incidence (Kaplan and Windsor, 2021).

A wide range of comorbid conditions, including metabolic syndrome, cardiovascular disorders, and neuropsychological diseases, have been observed to coexist with IBD (Argollo et al., 2019). Increasing evidence has indicated that poor psychological health might aggravate the disease course of IBD (Fairbrass et al., 2021; Gracie et al., 2016). Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is a neurodevelopmental disease with impaired social communication skills as well as restricted and repetitive behaviors (American Psychiatric Association and Association, 2013). The suicide attempt and deaths from un-natural causes of patients with ASD are approximately 3-fold higher than the generally population (Catalá-López et al., 2022; Kõlves et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2018). Complex mechanisms including genetic and environmental factors with gene-environment interactions are involved in the development of ASD (Taylor et al., 2020).

Emerging recognition of the associations between IBD and ASD has been raised. Among the patients with IBD, a national Swedish cohort study observes a 40% increased risk of developing ASD (Butwicka et al., 2019). For the patients with ASD, a recent meta-analysis involving over 11 million participants indicates that the risk of subsequently developed IBD is
increased by approximately 66% (Kim et al., 2022). Interactions between gut microbiota and the brain also indicate the possible involvement of altered gut microbiome in the pathogenesis of ASD (Bundgaard-Nielsen et al., 2020). The dysregulations in composition, ecology, and functionality of gut microbiome in children with ASD irrespective of diet have been identified (Wan et al., 2022).

Although increasing evidence has supported the linkages, the causality and sequential temporality between IBD and ASD remains unclear. In this study, using the bidirectional two-sample MR approach (Figure 1), which can avoid confounding, reverse causation, and various biases in observational epidemiological studies (Davey Smith and Hemani, 2014), we aimed to reveal the causal relationship between IBD and ASD.

2. Methods

2.1 Study design

A bidirectional two-sample MR design was used to evaluate the causal relationship between IBD and ASD (Figure 1). On the one hand, the causality of IBD on ASD was examined by the MR method. On the other hand, the causality of ASD on IBD was evaluated by the MR method in reverse. This study was based on de-identified summary-level genome-wide association study (GWAS) data, and therefore our study did not require ethical approval or consent.

2.2 Data sources

The summary-level GWAS data of patients with IBD, including UC and CD, was extracted
from the study by the International Inflammatory Bowel Disease Genetics Consortium (IIBDGC) (de Lange et al., 2017). The IIBDGC study involves 59,957 individuals (25,042 cases and 34,915 controls) for IBD, 40,266 individuals (12,194 cases and 28,072 controls) for CD, and 45,975 individuals (12,366 cases and 33,609 controls) for UC. Summary data of ASD patients were obtained from a study of Integrative Psychiatric Research-Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (iPSYCH-PGC) (Grove et al., 2019). The iPSYCH-PGC study includes 46,351 individuals (18,382 cases and 27,969 controls) with ASD. All of the patients and control subjects for IBD and ASD were of European ancestry.

2.3 Mendelian randomization analysis

MR was performed in R (V 4.1.0) using the TwoSampleMR package (Hemani et al., 2018). The genetic instruments were selected based on the genome-wide significance threshold ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) associated with each trait, and further underwent the clumping process using a threshold of $r^2 < 0.001$ and distance (kb) = 10 000 of linkage disequilibrium (LD). After harmonizing the exposure and outcome datasets, palindromic SNPs with intermediate allele frequencies were removed. If the SNP was not identified in the outcome dataset, a proxy single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP; $r^2 > 0.8$) was utilized, or the SNP was discarded when no proxy was available. $F$ statistics for each instrument were estimated by $F = \beta^2/\text{SE}^2$, and an $F$ statistic < 10 was regarded as insufficiently informative for further analysis (Li and Martin, 2002). Inverse variance weighted (IVW) regression was principally chosen for inferring causality, assuming all genetic variants were valid instruments (Burgess et al., 2013).
2.4 Sensitivity analysis

Heterogeneity was further evaluated using Cochran’s Q test and the $I^2$ index, and it was considered existing using the criteria of Cochran’s Q test’s $P < 0.05$ and $I^2 > 50\%$. The causal effects were further assessed by the MR-Egger, weighted-median (WM), and weighted mode-based estimate (WMBE) methods. MR-Egger intercept and Mendelian Randomization Pleiotropy Residual Sum and Outlier (MR-PRESSO) tests were used to detect potential horizontal pleiotropy (Verbanck et al., 2018). MR-PRESSO was also used to identify horizontal pleiotropic outliers. Leave-one-out analysis was performed to identify potential outliers affecting the overall estimates by excluding one SNP at a time. The causality was established when the following criteria were met: IVW passed the statistical significance ($P < 0.05$) and one of the following assumptions was achieved: 1) no detected heterogeneity, and MR-Egger, WM, and WMBE were directionally identical; 2) if heterogeneity was detected, it could be corrected by MR-PRESSO, while less than 50\% of the instruments were outliers; 3) heterogeneity existed with more than 50\% of the instruments detected as outliers, while results from MR Egger and WM were significant and directionally identical, with WMBE in the same direction (Marini et al., 2020; Verbanck et al., 2018).

3. Results

3.1 Selection of instrumental variables (IVs)

LD-independent SNPs for IBD were obtained following the clumping, and the following conditions were used to further remove the listed SNPs: 1) a desired SNP was not detected during extracting SNPs from the outcomes, and a proxy in LD could not be identified from the
outcome; 2) for ambiguous SNPs or palindromic SNPs with ambiguous strands, no correction could be made. Supplementary Table S2 lists the SNPs selected as IV for further analysis. F statistics for each IV-exposure association were larger than 10 (ranging from 29.80 to 500.60). Thus, the weak instrumental variable bias was less likely to exist in our study.

3.2 Two-sample Mendelian randomization analysis for causality of IBD on ASD

The estimates of causal effect of IBD on ASD were summarized in Figure 2 and Supplementary Figure S1. Table 1 illustrates the results from the examination of heterogeneity. Genetic liability to IBD was significantly associated with an increased risk of ASD, and no outlier was detected by MR-PRESSO test (Inverse variance weighted [IVW, 95% CI]: 1.03 [1.01-1.06], P = 0.01; all results from the methods were directionally consistent; Figure 2, Table 1, Supplementary Figure 1A). Scatter plot was also used to demonstrate the estimates of causal effects (Figure 3A). The causal estimate of IBD on ASD was without heterogeneity (Cochran’s Q = 98.10, P = 0.53, Table 1) and remained robust with leave-one-out test (Supplementary Figure S2A). The funnel plots also illustrated that the evidence of heterogeneity for IBD on ASD was not visually significant (Supplementary Figure S3A). No significant evidence of horizontal pleiotropy for the causality of IBD on ASD was found (MR-Egger intercept = -0.002, SE = 0.004, P = 0.54; MR-PRESSO global test P = 0.56; Supplementary Table S3).

3.3 Two-sample Mendelian randomization analysis for causality of CD and UC on ASD

We further evaluated the causal effects of the two IBD subtypes, CD and UC, on ASD.
Genetic susceptibility to CD or UC was significantly increased the risk of ASD, and no outlier was detected by MR-PRESSO test (IVW [95% CI]: 1.03 [1.01-1.05], $P = 0.02$ for CD; IVW [95% CI]: 1.03 [1.01-1.07], $P = 0.04$ and Weighted median [95% CI]: 1.05 [1.01-1.10], $P = 0.04$ for UC), all results from the methods were directionally consistent; Figure 2, Table 1, Supplementary Figure 1B-C). Scatter plots were also used to show the estimates of causal effects (Figure 3B-C). The causal estimate of CD or UC on ASD was without heterogeneity (Cochran’s $Q = 64.43$, $P = 0.78$ for CD; Cochran’s $Q = 62.25$, $P = 0.08$ for UC; Table 1). The causality of CD on ASD remained robust (Supplementary Figure S2B), while that of UC on ASD was affected by certain SNPs in leave-one-out tests (Supplementary Figure S2C). The funnel plots were also shown in Supplementary Figure S3B-C. No significant evidence of horizontal pleiotropy for the causal association of CD or UC on ASD was found (MR-Egger intercept = -0.002, SE = -0.005, $P = 0.47$ and MR-PRESSO global test $P = 0.10$ for CD; MR-Egger intercept = 0.0007, SE = 0.005, $P = 0.89$ and MR-PRESSO global test $P = 0.78$ for UC; Supplementary Table S3).

3.4 Two-sample Mendelian randomization analysis for the causality of ASD on IBD

The causal associations of ASD on IBD, including CD and UC, were evaluated. No evidence of causal effects of ASD on IBD, CD, or UC were identified (Wald ratio [95% CI]: 1.04 [0.77-1.40], $P = 0.80$ for IBD; Wald ratio [95% CI]: 1.35 [0.92-1.99], $P = 0.12$ for CD; Wald ratio [95% CI]: 0.95 [0.65-1.39], $P = 0.79$ for UC; Supplementary Table S4).

4 Discussion
In the present study, we investigated the causal relationship between IBD and ASD using MR. Our findings indicate that genetic susceptibility to IBD, including both CD and UC, is associated with an increased risk of ASD, whereas genetic liability to ASD does not lead to IBD.

Previous observational studies suggest the comorbidity of IBD and ASD (Alexeeff et al., 2017; Butwicka et al., 2019; Doshi-Velez et al., 2015; Kohane et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2018). A cross-sectional study involving over 14,000 patients with ASD indicates that the prevalence of IBD among patients with ASD is higher than that in the overall hospital population (0.83% vs. 0.54%) (Kohane et al., 2012). A cohort study totaling over 60,000 ASD cases in the United States demonstrates that the prevalence of IBD in patients with ASD is higher than that of the control population or nationally reported rates (Doshi-Velez et al., 2015). In another retrospective study from the TRICARE Management Activity Military Health System database involving over 48,000 ASD cases, researchers identify an enhanced risk of IBD diagnosis in children with ASD (OR = 1.67, 95% CI = 1.31-2.13) (Lee et al., 2018). A meta-analysis involving over 11 million participants confirms that ASD is epidemiologically associated with incident IBD (OR = 1.66, 95% CI = 1.25-2.21), including CD (OR = 1.47, 95% CI = 1.15-1.88) and UC (OR = 1.91, 95% CI = 1.41-2.6) (Kim et al., 2022). Researchers assumed a temporal order of IBD diagnosis subsequent to ASD diagnosis, indicating that ASD might increase the risk of IBD (Kim et al., 2022). Among patients with IBD, based on a Northern California cohort, it is observed that the risk of ASD is increased (odds ratio [OR] = 1.34, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 1.22-1.40) (Alexeeff et al., 2017). A more recent study from a national Swedish cohort demonstrates that patients with IBD is significantly associated with an increased risk of
developing ASD (hazard ratio [HR] = 1.40, 95% CI = 1.10-1.70) (Butwicka et al., 2019). By leveraging bi-directional MR design, our study reveals that the causality of ASD on IBD is unfounded. Instead, IBD could causally increase the risk of ASD.

The psychological status of patients with IBD has long been concerned, and plenty of patients with IBD are comorbid with neuropsychological disorders (Gracie et al., 2019). In current clinical guidelines for IBD from American College of Gastroenterology and British Society of Gastroenterology, only screening for depression and anxiety is mentioned, and psychological interventions for IBD patients are weakly recommended (Farraye et al., 2017; Lamb et al., 2019). Although observational studies have indicated the association between IBD with depression or schizophrenia, research by MR approaches eventually do not support the causality of IBD on the two psychological disorders (Luo et al., 2022; Qian et al., 2022). In contrast, our study suggests the causality of IBD on ASD, implying that awareness and screening for ASD and other psychological disorders among patients with IBD are warranted. Psychological supports and interventions might also be needed to help maintain the mental health and improve the prognosis of patients with IBD.

The mean age of ASD diagnosis is 60.5 months, with a range from 30.9 to 234.6 months, according to a recent study involving 55 cohorts from 35 countries (van’t Hof et al., 2021). For children with IBD, 4% and 18% of them present before age 5 and 10 years, receptively (Rosen et al., 2015). Patients with IBD, especially the children, often present with more insidious and non-specific symptoms, and can even be asymptomatic, which leads to common delays in the diagnosis of IBD (Ajbar et al., 2022; Rosen et al., 2015). Therefore, the significance of surveillance for ASD among patients with childhood-onset IBD is highlighted.
The ages at diagnosis of the populations with IBD or ASD used in this study are partially overlapped in childhood age, and the causal effects in our study might be underestimated since summary data based on age stratification is currently unavailable.

One strength of our study is that using the bi-directional two-sample MR approach, we revealed the causality of IBD on ASD for the first time. Compared to previous observational studies, our results are less affected by potential confounding, reverse causation, and other biases that can generate their associations. Additionally, a series of sensitivity analyses were conducted and confirmed that our results were robust. However, several limitations need to be mentioned. Our research is limited to individuals of European ancestry, restricting the generalizability of our conclusions to other ancestries. In addition, despite non-detected heterogeneity and horizontal pleiotropy, the causal effect of UC on ASD was affected by certain SNPs in the leave-one-out analysis, and therefore the findings in this study warrant further validation by larger-scale and more powerful datasets. Moreover, subgroup analysis stratified by the baseline characteristics, for example, age and sex, was not available due to the use of summary-level statistics.

In summary, the present study reveals that genetically predicted IBD is causally related to ASD, whereas the causal association of ASD on IBD is not supported. Our study signifies the early screening and surveillance of psychological symptoms, as well as sustaining support for patients with IBD. Further explorations on age-stratified groups are warranted.
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Figure Legends

Figure 1. Schematic diagram of two-sample Mendelian randomization (MR) analysis for the causality between inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) and autism spectrum disorder (ASD). There are three core assumptions for MR: 1) Assumption 1: the instrumental variants (IVs) should be associated with the exposure (IBD); 2) Assumption 2: the IVs should not be associated with confounders; 3) Assumption 3: the IVs should influence the outcome (ASD) only by the exposure. The causal relationship between IBD and ASD will not be established if any of the assumptions are violated. ASD: autism spectrum disorder; IBD: inflammatory bowel disease; IIBDGC: International Inflammatory Bowel Disease Genetics Consortium; iPSYCH-PGC: Integrative Psychiatric Research-Psychiatric Genomics Consortium; IVW, inverse variance weighted; MR: Mendelian randomization; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism.

Figure 2. The causal association of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), including Crohn’s disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC), on autism spectrum disorder (ASD). Error bars indicate the 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for the estimates. CI: confidence interval; IVW: inverse variance weighted.

Figure 3. Scatter plots of the causal association of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), including Crohn’s disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC), on autism spectrum disorder (ASD). A. IBD on ASD; B. CD on ASD; C. UC on ASD. The slope of each line indicates the estimation of effects by each method. SNP: single nucleotide polymorphism.
Figure 1

- Genetic Variants for IBD
- Exposure IBD
- Outcome ASD

Assumption 1: Genetic Variants for IBD → Exposure IBD
Assumption 2: Confounders → Exposure IBD
Assumption 3: Outcome ASD → Genes

 masih belum sepenuhnya jelas hubungan antara Exposure IBD dan Outcome ASD
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>SNPs</th>
<th>Odds Ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inflammatory Bowel Disease</td>
<td>IVW</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>1.03 (1.01-1.06)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MR-Egger</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>1.05 (0.99-1.12)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weighted median</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>1.04 (0.99-1.08)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weighted mode</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>1.03 (0.97-1.11)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MR-PRESSO</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>1.03 (1.01-1.06)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crohn's Disease</td>
<td>IVW</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.03 (1.01-1.05)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MR-Egger</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.02 (0.96-1.10)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weighted median</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.02 (0.99-1.06)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weighted mode</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.01 (0.95-1.08)</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MR-PRESSO</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.03 (1.01-1.05)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulcerative Colitis</td>
<td>IVW</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.03 (1.01-1.07)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MR-Egger</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.07 (0.97-1.17)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weighted median</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.05 (1.01-1.10)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weighted mode</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.06 (0.99-1.13)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MR-PRESSO</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.03 (1.01-1.07)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1. Mendelian randomization (MR) analysis for the causality of inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD) on autism spectrum disorder (ASD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>SNPs</th>
<th>Mendelian randomization</th>
<th>Heterogeneity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Odds ratio (95% CI)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBD</td>
<td>ASD</td>
<td>IVW</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>1.03 (1.01-1.06)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MR Egger</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>1.05 (0.99-1.12)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Weighted median</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>1.04 (0.99-1.08)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Weighted mode</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>1.02 (0.97-1.11)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MR-PRESSO</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>1.03 (1.01-1.06)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>ASD</td>
<td>IVW</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.03 (1.01-1.05)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MR Egger</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.02 (0.96-1.10)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Weighted median</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.02 (0.99-1.06)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Weighted mode</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.01 (0.95-1.08)</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MR-PRESSO</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.03 (1.01-1.05)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC</td>
<td>ASD</td>
<td>IVW</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.03 (1.01-1.07)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MR Egger</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.07 (0.97-1.17)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Weighted median</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.05 (1.01-1.10)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Weighted mode</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.06 (0.99-1.13)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MR-PRESSO</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.03 (1.01-1.07)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ASD: autism spectrum disorder; CD: Crohn’s disease; CI: confidence interval; IBD: inflammatory bowel disease; IVW: Inverse variance weighted; SNP: single-nucleotide polymorphism; UC: ulcerative colitis.