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Abstract

INTRODUCTION: It remains unclear to which extent vascular burden promotes neurodegeneration and cognitive dysfunction in a cohort spanning low-to-severe small vessel disease (SVD) and amyloid-beta pathology.

METHODS: In 120 subjects, we investigated 1) whether vascular burden, quantified as total or lobar white matter hyperintensity (WMH) volumes, is associated with different cognitive domains; and 2) whether the total WMH effect on cognition is mediated by amyloid (^{18}F-AV45-PET), glucose metabolism (^{18}F-FDG-PET), and/or cortical atrophy.

RESULTS: Increased total WMH volume was associated with poorer performance in all cognitive domains tested, with the strongest effects observed for semantic fluency. These relationships were mediated mainly through cortical atrophy, particularly in the temporal lobe, and to a lesser extent through amyloid and metabolism. WMH volumes differentially impacted cognition depending on lobar location and amyloid status.

DISCUSSION: Our study suggests mainly an amyloid-dependent pathway in which vascular burden affects cognitive impairment through temporal lobe atrophy.

Keywords: white matter disease; cognition; Alzheimer's disease; amyloid; neurodegeneration; glucose metabolism; biomarker; white matter hyperintensities
1. Introduction

White matter hyperintensities (WMH) detected on MRI are a common finding in stroke and dementia clinic patients [1,2]. Yet, the contributions of WMH to cognitive decline remain poorly understood. While Alzheimer’s disease (AD)-type pathologies such as amyloid-β (Aβ) plaques or tau neurofibrillary tangles are known to accelerate cognitive decline [3,4], the cognitive correlates of cerebral small vessel disease (SVD), specifically of WMH, have been both underestimated and understudied in AD [5].

SVD is a group of diseases that affects small arteries, venules, and capillaries of the brain [6]. MRI-based markers of SVD include WMH of presumed vascular origin, cerebral microbleeds, lacunae, and enlarged perivascular spaces. Amongst these markers, WMH is the most studied proxy of SVD. Notwithstanding that a low burden of WMH is frequently observed in healthy elderly [7,8], prior research indicated that WMH often co-exist with AD pathology [9]. In fact, more than 80% of AD dementia cases at autopsy may display cerebrovascular disease [10]. WMH are associated with an increased risk of stroke and (AD) dementia and faster clinical progression [11], highlighting that WMH should not just be interpreted as silent consequences of ageing [8].

Network studies have shown that focal WMH may initiate a cascade of events affecting remote brain areas often involved in AD [12,13]. In particular, WMH were found to be related to neurodegenerative processes (hypometabolism/atrophy) in AD-vulnerable regions [14–20]. Specifically, these neurodegenerative processes were demonstrated to mediate the relationship between SVD burden and cognitive impairment [15,18,21]. Longitudinal studies, in turn, supported the potential causal relationship between SVD and cognitive impairment by showing that elevated WMH burden at baseline worsened neurodegeneration and cognition over time in AD cohorts [22,23]. Similarly, studies have highlighted that baseline WMH predicted higher Aβ
deposition over time [24–26], and even suggested that vascular abnormality precedes Aβ deposition in the cascade of AD pathophysiology [27].

However, hitherto, the majority of large-cohort studies in dementia that investigated the effects of WMH on neurodegeneration and cognition excluded subjects with considerable WMH burden, such as the Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI). Others focused on cognitively normal elderly, with few enriched for high WMH burden [15]. Therefore, our knowledge is still limited regarding the effects of vascular pathology in patients of more extreme endophenotypes with evidence of significant WMH burden in addition to AD pathology [5]. Furthermore, to our knowledge, no studies have yet comprehensively studied the potential mediating roles of Aβ, glucose metabolism, and atrophy in the vascular contributions to cognitive impairment [26].

In this study, we investigated a unique cohort of clinically normal elderly and “real-world” patients capturing the spectrum of low to extensive WM disease and Aβ pathology. The objectives were two-fold: 1) investigate whether vascular burden, quantified as total or lobar WMH volume, is associated with cognition; 2) investigate the potential mediating roles of Aβ, glucose metabolism, and/or cortical atrophy in the WMH-cognition relationship.

2. Methods

2.1 Participants

The study included 120 subjects in total. Sixty subjects were recruited in a multicenter prospective observational study through seven participating sites as part of the C6 project in the
Medical Imaging Trials Network of Canada (MITNEC-C6). They were enrolled from stroke-prevention clinics (i.e., transient ischemic attacks or minor subcortical lacunar infarcts) and dementia clinics and presented with moderate-to-severe SVD as quantified by Fazekas-score $>2$ and high ($>10\, \text{cm}^3$[28]) confluent periventricular WMH volumes [median(IQR): 30.51(22.14)$\, \text{cm}^3$]. Detailed selection criteria are described in Supplementary Table 1 and in Zukotynski et al.[29]. In addition, the study included sixty cognitively normal and mild cognitive impairment (MCI) subjects from the baseline ADNI-2 database with low-to-moderate WMH [median(IQR): 5.82(9.29)$\, \text{cm}^3$]. Both cohorts were well matched for vascular risk factors including hypertension, pulse pressure, BMI, sex, and smoking status. Detailed demographics are reported in Supplementary Table 2. The institutional review boards at all participating institutions approved this study and all participants provided written informed consent.

2.2 Assessments

A battery of cognitive tests was administered including: processing speed (Trail Making Test part-A, n=120); executive function (Trail Making Test part-B, n=119); semantic fluency (animal naming, n=120); and language (Boston Naming Test or BNT, n=120). Exploratory analyses included global cognition (Montreal Cognitive Assessment or MoCA, n=120) and global function (Functional Assessment Questionnaire or FAQ, n=110). Individual assessments are described in Supplementary Table 3.
2.3 Neuroimaging

Each subject underwent a 3T MRI scan, including 3D T1 and fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) structural sequences. Acquisition parameters are described in Supplementary Table 4 and followed a common imaging protocol [30]. Each subject also underwent a $^{18}$F-florbetapir ($^{18}$F-AV45) PET scan to quantify brain Aβ (n=120) and a $^{18}$F-fluorodeoxyglucose ($^{18}$F-FDG) PET scan (n=117) to quantify brain glucose metabolism. Consistency of PET data between participating sites was maintained by use of the main ADNI-2 PET protocol [see http://adni.loni.usc.edu/wp-content/uploads/2008/07/adni2-proceduresmanual.pdf]. All imaging data was transferred to a central site for final quality check.

ADNI was launched in 2003 as a public-private partnership. The primary goal of ADNI was to test whether MRI, PET, other biological markers, and clinical data can be combined to measure the progression of MCI/AD [see www.adni-info.org].

2.4 MRI processing

Atrophy was quantified using cortical thickness, extracted based on T1-weighted images using FreeSurfer v6.0. We employed a modified FreeSurfer workflow for patients with WMH burden [31]. An ‘AD-signature’ thickness meta-ROI was employed based on surface-weighted thickness averages of the entorhinal, fusiform, parahippocampal, mid/inferior temporal, and inferior parietal gyri [32].

WMH were delineated based on our automated segmentation tool ‘HyperMapper’ that uses T1, FLAIR, and Bayesian 3D Convolutional Neuronal Networks (CNN) [33]. Subcortical lacunar
Infarcts were masked before WMH segmentation [34]. ICV was extracted using our CNN-based ‘iCVMapper’ tool, which was shown to be more robust compared to other state-of-the-art skull-stripping methods [35]. Finally, vascular burden was defined as WMH volume divided by ICV and log-transformed.

Lobar WMH volumes were determined by intersecting the total WMH mask and each individual lobar WM mask, as delineated in native T1 space based on the Desikan-Killiany-Tourville (DKT) atlas of FreeSurfer. Lobar WM masks included the frontal, parietal, temporal, occipital, insula, and cingulate lobes. **Figure 1** shows examples of our structural MRI scans, WMH and lobar delineations, as well as a heatmap of WMH volumes across the MITNEC-C6 cohort.

### 2.5 PET Image processing

PET images were processed using PetSurfer v6.0. This included motion-correction of the individual PET frames to the first frame and averaging to obtain one static frame, co-registration to T1-weighted image, smoothing to 8mm full-width-at-half-maximum to reach a common resolution across sites [36], and generating standardized uptake value ratios (SUVR) maps. The $^{18}$F-AV45 and $^{18}$F-FDG SUVR maps were referenced to the cerebellum and the pons, respectively [37]. Partial volume correction (PVC) was applied using the geometric-transfer-matrix method with a point-spread-function of 8mm. Regional values were extracted based on the DKT atlas in native T1 space. AD-signature meta-ROIs were created for $^{18}$F-AV45 and $^{18}$F-FDG SUVR based on Jack’s mask (volume-weighted average of the frontal, parietal, temporal, and cingulate regions) and the temporo-parietal lobe, respectively [37–39]. Based on our Aβ-PET pipeline, 22% and 48% of ADNI and MITNEC-C6 subjects, respectively, were considered Aβ-positive using a
quantitative $^{18}$F-AV45 SUVR cut-off of 1.1. This cut-off was derived from Gaussian mixture modelling with two components using non-PVC SUVR in the AD-signature meta-ROI. Similar results were found when using PVC data.

### 2.6 Statistical analyses

Regional statistics were performed in SPSS v24 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) and vertex-wise statistics were performed in FreeSurfer v6.0. All metrics were z-scored to allow for direct comparison between models across predictors and outcome measures. Values were reported as mean ± standard error (SE), unless otherwise stated.

#### 2.6.1 Group differences

A two-tailed t-test for continuous variables and chi-square for categorical variables were used to detect significant group differences in the demographics. A two-way ANOVA (lobe*group), corrected for age, sex, education, and Sidak’s multiple comparisons test, was used to detect group differences in lobar WMH volumes.

#### 2.6.2 Regressions

Linear regressions were employed to assess the associations between total/lobar WMH volume (independent variable) and each of the cognitive scores (dependent variable), adjusted for age, sex, and education. Bias-corrected bootstrapping with 5,000 replications was applied to
account for multiple comparisons and heteroscedasticity. Bias-corrected bootstrapping does not make any assumptions about normality in the sampling distribution and better controls type I errors.

2.6.3 Mediation analyses

For mediation analyses, the PROCESS macro v3.5 in SPSS was applied. Bias-corrected bootstrapping with 5,000 replications was performed for estimation of (in)direct and total effects. We hypothesized that a serial mediation runs from ‘total WMH \( \rightarrow \) A\( \beta \) [24,25] \( \rightarrow \) atrophy [22,23] \( \rightarrow \) cognition’. Importantly, this mediation model allows not only to investigate indirect effects of WMH volumes on cognition through the hypothesized serial path, but also through the predictor and each of the mediators separately while adjusting for the remaining variables in the model. Due to the previously reported association of \(^{18}\)F-FDG-PET with semantic fluency and executive function [40], glucose metabolism was tested as an additional mediator within their path analyses: total WMH \( \rightarrow \) A\( \beta \) \( \rightarrow \) metabolism [20,41] \( \rightarrow \) atrophy [42] \( \rightarrow \) fluency or executive function; the cited references indicate prior research establishing this sequence of biomarkers becoming abnormal in AD. Imaging mediators were evaluated within AD-signature regions (see section 2.5). Age, education, and sex were used as covariates regressed on the mediators and outcome simultaneously.

To test regional specificity of the mediation analyses, we performed additional path analysis whereby AD-signature meta-ROIs of the imaging markers were substituted by a more focal region. This region was selected through two separate whole-brain vertex-wise linear regressions of total WMH volume with (i) atrophy [cortical thickness] and (ii) A\( \beta \) SUVR, to
identify one ‘WMH-signature’ region in relation to both atrophy and Aβ. These vertex-wise regressions were adjusted for age, sex, education, and (i) additionally for global Aβ SUVR. Vertex-wise multiple comparisons correction was based on Monte-Carlo simulations with 5,000 iterations, which implemented a two-tailed cluster-forming $P$-value of 0.01.

3. Results

3.1 Associations between WMH and cognition

Table 1 represents the demographics within the low and high WMH groups. Greater total WMH volume was associated with poorer performance on the following assessments across all subjects in rank order of effect size: semantic fluency ($\beta$=−0.35±0.09, $P$=0.0004), executive function ($\beta$=+0.34±0.09, $P$=0.0004), global function ($\beta$=+0.30±0.10, $P$=0.005), processing speed ($\beta$=+0.22±0.08, $P$=0.013), and global cognition ($\beta$=−0.17±0.08, $P$=0.040) (Figure 2A). No significant associations were found with the language domain as assessed by BNT ($P$=0.13).

Volumes of lobar WMH were significantly higher in the high WMH group in the frontal, parietal, cingulate, and insula lobes (Figure 2B). Cognitive correlates of frontal WMH volumes were significant only in Aβ-negative subjects, whereas cognitive correlates of temporal and parietal/cingulate WMH volumes were more prominent in the Aβ-positive subjects (Supplementary Table 5). Only cingulate WMH volumes were significantly increased in the Aβ-positive compared to the Aβ-negative group (Figure 2B).

3.2 Aβ, metabolism, and cortical thickness mediate the effects of WMH on cognition
3.2.1 AD-signature meta-ROIs

In the path analysis for semantic fluency, we found significant indirect effects of total WMH volume through (i) ‘WMH→atrophy→fluency’, (ii) ‘WMH→Aβ→atrophy→fluency’, and (iii) ‘WMH→Aβ→hypometabolism→fluency’ (total of 68% mediation, β=-0.23±0.06, 95% CI[-0.36,-0.10]) (Figure 3A). Path (i) via atrophy explained most of the indirect effect (β=-0.20±0.05, 95% CI[-0.31,-0.11]). The direct effect of WMH volume on semantic fluency (i.e., after controlling for the mediators and covariates) became non-significant.

A similar path result was found for executive function (i.e., with cortical atrophy explaining most of the indirect effect), though 18F-FDG PET was not a significant mediator. The total indirect effects via atrophy and Aβ corresponded to 50% (β=+0.17±0.06, 95% CI[+0.07, +0.28]) (Figure 3B). Exploratory path analyses of global function and global cognition through atrophy and Aβ are reported in Supplementary Figure 1. The direct effect of WMH volume on these cognitive metrics similarly became non-significant due to mediation. No significant mediating effects were detected for processing speed (β=+0.10±0.06, 95% CI[-0.006,+0.23]).

3.2.2 Temporal meta-ROI

Vertex-wise regression analyses showed that total WMH volume was positively associated with Aβ load and inversely associated with atrophy, particularly in lateral temporal regions (Figure 4A). As such, we performed further path analysis on cognition using a temporal meta-ROI for all mediators. The temporal meta-ROI consisted of the inferior, superior, and middle
temporal lobe and the temporal pole. When using a temporal meta-ROI for each of the mediators, we found higher effect sizes of the mediating paths compared to the model based on AD-signature meta-ROIs (Figure 4B). The total indirect effects via atrophy, Aβ, and metabolism corresponded to 74% for semantic fluency (β = -0.26±0.07, 95% CI[-0.39,-0.13]), while the total indirect effects via atrophy and Aβ corresponded to 62% for executive function (β=+0.21±0.06, 95% CI[+0.10,+0.33]) (Figure 4B). Exploratory path analyses of global function, global cognition, and processing speed are reported in Supplementary Figure 2. Similar to the AD-signature regions results, the indirect path ‘WMH→atrophy→cognition’ explained most of the indirect effect.

### 3.4 Post-hoc mediation model

A post-hoc mediation model was investigated using Aβ as the predictor and WMH as the mediator: ‘Aβ→WMH→atrophy→cognition’. Rather than investigating the causal relationships between Aβ and WMH, this model was applied to support our previous findings that the indirect effects of Aβ and WMH through each other on atrophy and cognition have lower effect size compared to the effect of Aβ or WMH alone.

Similar significant paths (Supplementary Figure 3) were found as described above for each of the cognitive domains (see section 3.2). Importantly, the indirect path ‘Aβ→atrophy→cognition’ had larger effect size than its effect through WMH (‘Aβ→WMH→atrophy→cognition’). This paralleled the results described above (see section 3.2), where the indirect path ‘WMH→atrophy→cognition’ had larger effect size than its effect through Aβ (‘WMH→Aβ→atrophy→cognition’). Taken together, this may suggest that WMH and Aβ
exert more additive and less synergistic effects on atrophy (i.e., potentially driving further atrophy through different underlying mechanisms).

Furthermore, the Aβ-independent effect of WMH on atrophy was greater than the WMH-independent effect of Aβ on atrophy in both the initial and post-hoc models. Taken together, this may suggest that the effect of WMH on atrophy exceeds the effect of Aβ on atrophy.

4. Discussion

This study investigated the relationship between WMH burden and standardized neuropsychological assessments in a unique cohort of clinically normal elderly and “real-world patients” capturing the spectrum of low to extensive WM disease and Aβ pathology. We found that WMH volumes were associated with poorer semantic fluency, executive function, and global function. These relationships were mostly due to the association of WMH volumes with cortical atrophy, particularly in the temporal lobe, and to a lesser extent due to the association of WMH volumes with Aβ or glucose metabolism.

The contribution of WMH to cognitive deficits has been thus far poorly understood. Previous literature showed direct, indirect, and no effects of WMH on cognitive decline in various populations [26,43]. Insofar, most large AD/dementia cohort studies excluded patients with considerate amount of vascular co-pathology as mixed disease. As such, potential contributions of WMH to cognitive impairment are often not well addressed in these “clean” cases of probable AD [7]. While a considerate portion of both cognitively normal and AD dementia cases display WMH [8,10,28], the prevalence of WMH is substantially higher in stroke and dementia clinic patients [1,2]. This group of patients thus provides an enriched source for recruitment of more
extreme vascular endophenotypes. In our WMH-enriched cohort (totaling n=120), we found an association between WMH volumes and cognitive impairment but not after controlling for atrophy, particularly of the temporal lobe. This suggests an indirect (‘mediation’) effect of WMH on cognition through neurodegenerative processes. Similarly, studies have shown that WMH contribute to atrophy beyond age-related effects, particularly in the frontal and temporal lobes [14,16], and that the effect of WMH volumes on cognition is mediated by atrophy [15,18,21]. Our findings may imply that imaging-visible WM lesions exert secondary widespread and neurodegenerative effects on the grey matter by damaging specific WM tracts that subserve these cortical regions. The (anterior) temporal lobe may be especially vulnerable to atrophy in WMH-enriched dementia cohorts because of its susceptibility to both AD- and vascular-related damage [44] and the potential vulnerability of connecting tracts to WMH crossing, such as the uncinate fasciculus [45].

Unlike previous studies, we employed PET-based biomarkers of Aß and glucose metabolism in addition to atrophy as potential mediators in the model. This allowed us to investigate whether effects of WMH volumes on cognition were also indirectly promoted by Aß or glucose metabolism. Indeed, additional indirect paths via Aß followed by neurodegeneration (metabolism or atrophy) mediated the WMH-cognition relationship. These indirect paths through Aß, however, had lower effect size, suggesting that WMH and AD pathophysiology exert mostly additive effects on cognitive impairment. The more prominent additive effects were also underscored by our alternative path model, where Aß affected cognition mostly through cortical atrophy rather than through WMH. Our findings are in line with prior studies reporting additive effects of WMH and AD pathology on cognitive decline at baseline and longitudinally [46,47], as well as a lower degree of AD pathology in the presence of vascular pathology for the same level
of cognitive impairment [48] and a substantial increase in dementia risk post-stroke [49]. Importantly, apart from additive effects, we also show that the indirect path through Aβ (i.e., ‘WMH→Aβ→(..)→cognition’) was significant. As such, subjects with elevated WMH burden may represent an at-risk group not only for increased neurodegeneration but also for Aβ deposition [24]. Thus, subjects with vascular co-pathology may represent an important target group for dementia prevention when vascular risk factors can be treated alongside AD hallmarks.

In relation to cognition, we showed that higher volumes of WMH correlated with slower processing (psychomotor) speed and impaired executive function [11]. While processing speed was directly impacted by WMH burden, we found that executive function was impacted only indirectly mainly through cortical atrophy. Another strong correlate of WMH in our study was semantic fluency, one of the earliest domains to become impaired in AD [40] and sensitive to discriminate AD/vascular dementia from normal aging [50]. Interestingly, we found that neurodegeneration of the temporal/AD-signature region was a stronger mediator in the WMH-fluency relationship than in the WMH-executive relationship. Indeed, semantic fluency is sustained primarily by the left temporal lobe, with language processing being a critical component for this task [45], while executive function is thought to be predominantly frontal-mediated (not being a region-of-focus in the current study).

While numerous studies reported brain-behaviour relationships using total WMH volumes, only a few focused on the role of regional (lobar) WMH. Our exploratory analyses support the hypothesis that regional WMH burden may differentially affect cognition [43], and highlighted that this relationship may depend on Aβ status. Specifically, frontal WMH volumes were associated with poorer processing speed, semantic fluency, and executive function, particularly in the normal (Aβ-negative) subjects. Previous research likewise found an association of frontal
WMH volumes with the so-called dysexecutive syndrome in normal elderly subjects [43]. Conversely, *cingulate* and *temporal* WMH volumes played a more prominent role in the Aβ-positive subjects in our study. Indeed, emerging evidence has shown vulnerability of the cingulate and temporal areas in the relationship between AD and vascular pathology. For example, Van Westen and colleagues [9] reported an association between *temporal* WMH and elevated Aβ using both fluid and PET-based biomarkers in AD dementia. Second, McAleese et al. [51] reported that parietal/cingulate WMH are related to AD rather than to SVD. While the latter authors concluded that WMH is primarily a consequence of Wallerian degeneration triggered by cortical AD-type pathology itself, other studies have supported the idea that SVD exacerbates AD-related pathology by inducing neuroinflammatory responses and by reducing the clearance of toxic proteins (including Aβ) from the brain [26]. In fact, our group previously showed that collagenosis of the large deep medullary veins is strongly associated with periventricular WMH burden in patients with and without AD [52]. Longitudinal studies may help to establish potential causal relationships between WMH and AD pathology.

The present study had several limitations. First, our study had relatively small sample size (n=120), due in part to recruitment of a unique cohort of “real-world” patients who demonstrated moderate-to-severe WM disease. Therefore, we limited the number of mediation analyses by investigating 1) all subjects combined and 2) using total (rather than lobar) WMH volumes. Second, we did not include a marker of hyperphosphorylated tau, which is expected to be associated with atrophy. The relationship between tau pathology and vascular burden remains debated in literature, with most of the in-vivo biomarker studies not reporting an association [53]. Furthermore, we found a sustained association between WMH volumes and cognitive deficits in the Aβ-negative subjects who are unlikely to have substantial AD-related tau pathology (see...
supplementary Table 2), indicating that the impact of WMH on cognition may not strongly depend on AD-related tauopathy.

The strengths of this study lie in the use of a broad dynamic range of WMH burden and cognitive scores by the inclusion of mixed SVD and AD pathologies, the addition of PET-based biomarkers of Aβ and glucose metabolism, as well as the use of optimized segmentation tools to determine WMH volumes and cortical thickness. In conclusion, our study suggests that increased WMH volumes affect cognitive impairment mainly through cortical atrophy and to a lesser extent through alterations in Aβ deposition and glucose metabolism.
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Figure Captions

**Fig. 1. Volumetric delineations.** Example of brain segmentation for one subject (age range 60-70 years, male) and WMH heatmap across subjects (outer right column). Left to right: T1 with delineation of stroke region; FLAIR with the stroke region masked out; FLAIR with WMH delineations in yellow color; WM lobe segmentation [pink: frontal, brown: temporal, light green: parietal, purple: occipital; cyan: insula; dark green: cingulate]; WMH volume distribution ‘heatmap’ across the MITNEC-C6 subjects (% of subjects with WMH per voxel) overlayed on the ADNI template.
Fig 2. Total and lobar WMH volumes. A) Linear regressions between cognitive test scores and total WMH volumes across all subjects. Confidence intervals (95% CI) are bootstrapped with 5,000 replications and adjusted for age, sex, and education. B) Lobar WMH volumes in the high vs low total WMH group (left) and in the Aβ-positive vs negative group (right). P-values were based on a two-way ANOVA that investigated whether lobar location and group influenced WMH burden [54]. Values were adjusted for multiple comparisons and age, sex, and education (*P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, ****P<0.0001). Abbreviations: FAQ, functional assessment questionnaire; MoCA, Montreal cognitive assessment; WMH, white matter hyperintensity volumes.
Fig 3. Mediation analyses of Aβ, metabolism and atrophy on the WMH-cognition relationship. Mediation models showing significant paths mediating the association of total WMH volumes with semantic fluency (Panel A) and executive function (Panel B). Thick lines are part of a significant pathway, whereas dashed lines represent non-significant pathways. All mediators used an AD-signature meta-ROI [32,37,39]. Values are indicated as mean ± SE and 95% CI are
bootstrapped with 5,000 replications. Path c represents the total (direct + indirect) effect adjusted only for covariates, whereas c’ represents the direct effect adjusted for covariates and indirect effects.
Fig 4. Temporal lobe-focused mediation analyses based on vertex-wise associations of WMH burden with atrophy and Aβ. (Panel A) Vertex-wise regression analysis of total WMH volumes with cortical thickness (left) and 18F-AV45 Aβ PET (right) across all subjects. Blue and red represent negative and positive associations respectively. Both modalities showed the strongest association with total WMH volumes in the temporal lobe, which was then used as a meta-ROI in subsequent mediation analyses, see Panel B. Results are displayed at P<0.01 after cluster-wise correction for multiple comparisons. (Panel B) Mediation models showing which paths are significantly mediating the association of total WMH volumes with semantic fluency (left) and executive function (right). Thick lines are part of a significant pathway, whereas dashed lines represent non-significant pathways. All mediators used a temporal meta-ROI. Values are indicated...
as mean ± SE and 95% CI are bootstrapped with 5,000 replications. Path c represents the total (direct + indirect) effect adjusted only for covariates, whereas c’ represents the direct effect adjusted for covariates and indirect effects.
Table Captions

Table 1. Demographics. Demographics within the low and high WMH groups, determined based on standard binning. All values are indicated as mean ± standard deviation except for sex and Aβ-positivity (*P<0.01, **P<0.0001). Abbreviations: MMSE, mini-mental state examination; MoCA, Montreal cognitive assessment; SUVR, standardized uptake value ratio; WMH, white matter hyperintensity volumes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Low WMH group (n = 60)</th>
<th>High WMH group (n = 60)</th>
<th>Test-statistic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>72.85 ± 5.96</td>
<td>78.00 ± 7.01</td>
<td>t = -4.3 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex male, n (%)</td>
<td>29 (48%)</td>
<td>30 (50%)</td>
<td>χ² = 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (years)</td>
<td>15.67 ± 2.87</td>
<td>14.72 ± 2.76</td>
<td>t = 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite [¹⁸F]AV45 SUVR*</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.32</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.46*</td>
<td>t = -4.6 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite [¹⁸F]FDG SUVR*</td>
<td>2.32 ± 0.27</td>
<td>2.24 ± 0.36</td>
<td>t = 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortical thickness (global, mm)</td>
<td>2.34 ± 0.09</td>
<td>2.25 ± 0.09</td>
<td>t = 5.3 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total WMH (cm³)</td>
<td>7.54 ± 5.14</td>
<td>37.22 ± 18.09</td>
<td>t = -12.2 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE</td>
<td>28.75 ± 1.68</td>
<td>27.22 ± 2.39</td>
<td>t = 4.1 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoCA</td>
<td>25.53 ± 3.12</td>
<td>22.5 ± 4.04</td>
<td>t = 4.6 **</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* SUVR values were corrected for partial volume effects. Composite [¹⁸F]AV45 and [¹⁸F]FDG SUVR were based on volume-weighted averages of AD-signature regions [37,39].
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