Temporal Trends in Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Sleep Duration in the United States:
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KEY POINTS

**Question:** How have racial and ethnic differences in self-reported sleep duration among US adults changed between 2004 and 2018?

**Findings:** In this serial cross-sectional study that included 429,195 adults, the prevalence of unrecommended sleep duration was persistently higher among Black individuals over the 15-year study period. These disparities were highest for Black women, Black individuals with middle or high income, and young and middle-aged Black adults.

**Meaning:** There were marked racial and ethnic differences in sleep duration that persisted from 2004 to 2018, which may be contributing to health disparities.
ABSTRACT

Importance: Minoritized racial and ethnic groups are generally more likely to experience sleep deficiencies. It is unclear how these sleep duration disparities have changed over recent years.

Objective: To determine 15-year trends in the racial and ethnic differences in self-reported sleep duration among adults in the US.

Design: Serial cross-sectional study.


Participants: 429,195 non-institutionalized adults.

Exposures: Self-reported race, ethnicity, household income, and sex/gender.

Main Outcomes: Temporal trends and racial/ethnic differences in short- and long-sleep duration (≤6 and ≥10 hours in a 24-hour period, respectively) and racial/ethnic differences in the relationship between sleep duration and age.

Results: The study sample consisted of 429,195 individuals (mean age 46.5 [SE, 0.08] years; 51.7% female) of which 5.1% identified as Asian, 11.8% as Black, 14.7% as Latino/Hispanic, and 68.5% as White. In 2004, the adjusted estimated prevalence of short-sleep duration and long-sleep duration, respectively, were 31.3% and 2.5% among Asian individuals, 35.3% and 6.4% among Black individuals, 27.0% and 4.6% among Latino/Hispanic individuals, and 27.8% and 3.5% among White individuals. Over the study period, there was a significant increase in the short sleep prevalence among Black, Latino/Hispanic, and White individuals (P≤0.02 for each), whereas prevalence of long sleep changed significantly only among Latino/Hispanic individuals (-1.4 points, P=0.01). In 2018, compared with White individuals, short sleep prevalence among Black and Latino/Hispanic individuals was higher by 10.7 points and 2.6 points, respectively (P≤0.02 each), and long sleep prevalence among Black people was significantly higher by 1.4
points (P=0.01). These racial/ethnic disparities were the greatest among women and among those with middle/high household income. Over the study period, Black individuals younger than 60 years old had the highest prevalence of short sleep compared with those of the same age; and Black individuals had a higher long-sleep duration prevalence than White individuals across all age groups.

**Conclusions:** From 2004 to 2018, the prevalence of unrecommended sleep duration was persistently higher among Black individuals. The Black-White disparities were highest among women, individuals who had middle or high income, and among young or middle-aged adults.
BACKGROUND

In the US, minoritized racial and ethnic groups are generally more likely to report and experience sleep deficiencies that may be drivers of racial and ethnic disparities in physical health, mental health, and quality of life.\textsuperscript{1-9} Both short and long-sleep duration are more prevalent among Black and Latino/Hispanic people compared with White people.\textsuperscript{10-14} These disparities in sleep have been reported for decades,\textsuperscript{15} and there has not been any progress in reducing them. The proportion of people reporting short-sleep duration has increased across different racial and ethnic groups, widening the gap between Black and White individuals from 2004 to 2017.\textsuperscript{12} This occurred despite the Healthy People 2020 initiative that had as a national health objective to increase the proportion of people with sufficient sleep.\textsuperscript{16} Because such a goal was not achieved, it was reincluded in Healthy People 2030.\textsuperscript{17}

In 2020, a joint effort by the National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities, the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, and the Office of Behavioral and Social Sciences Research published a report addressing these sleep health disparities.\textsuperscript{8} They proposed a framework for sleep health disparities research that focused on the need for greater understanding of health consequences, and interventions that may eliminate them. This report underscores the need for a more detailed evaluation of the population-level trends in sleep health disparities.

Several key gaps in knowledge persist. First, though there is information on short sleep, our understanding on trends in long sleep, also a risk factor for adverse health outcomes, and overall suboptimal sleep that is not in the recommended range, remains poor. Moreover, there is little information on trends in the racial and ethnic disparities in sleep health stratified by age, sex/gender, or household income.\textsuperscript{15, 18, 19} For instance, an understanding of how racial and ethnic
differences in sleep duration vary with age may illuminate the periods of a lifetime in which these disparities emerge and peak. A deeper understanding of the interactions between race and ethnicity, sex/gender, and household income is important to identify at-risk groups and institute effective interventions. Finally, many studies have not included Asian people as a distinct, albeit heterogenous, race group.

Accordingly, we describe the temporal trends in racial and ethnic disparities in sleep duration over 15 years using nationally representative data from the National Health Interview Survey (NHIS). We estimated differences in the reported short, long, or overall unrecommended sleep duration between racial and ethnic groups, also stratifying by sex/gender, household income, and health status. In addition, we evaluated the racial and ethnic differences in the relationship between sleep duration and age. The purpose of this study is to illuminate trends in racial/ethnic disparities in sleep duration to inform policies and practices designed to address these disparities.

METHODS

Data Source
We used data from the annual NHIS from 2004 to 2018. The NHIS has a complex multistage area probability design that accounts for non-response and oversampling of underrepresented groups, which allows for nationally representative estimates (details in eMethods). We used data from the Sample Adult Core file (includes responses from one adult who is randomly selected from each family for a more in-depth questionnaire), which had a mean conditional and final response rate during the study period of 80.3% and 62.1%, respectively (eMethods). We obtained the harmonized data from the Integrated Public Use Microdata Series Health Surveys
The Institutional Review Board at Yale University exempted the study from review as NHIS data are publicly available. The code used to analyze these data is publicly available at https://doi.org/10.3886/E152741V1.

**Study Population**

We included individuals ≥18 years old from years 2004 to 2018 of the NHIS. We excluded respondents with missing sleep data. Due to small numbers, we also excluded those who did not identify as Latino/Hispanic and identified as Alaskan Native, American Indian, or did not select a primary race (details in Results section).

**Demographic Variables**

Participants were classified into 4 mutually exclusive subgroups: non-Hispanic Asian (Asian), non-Hispanic Black/African American (Black), Latino/Hispanic, and non-Hispanic White (White), based on their responses to the questions “What race do you consider yourself to be?” and “Do you consider yourself Latino/Hispanic?”. Other characteristics included were self-reported age, sex/gender (male or female), household income level, health status, and geographic region (Northeast, North Central/Midwest, South, West). Based on the family income level relative to the respective year’s federal poverty level from the US Census Bureau, income level was categorized as low income (<200%) or middle/high income (≥200%). In the NHIS, health status was assessed on a 5-point scale (excellent, very good, good, fair, or poor) based on an individual’s self-perceived general health. For this study, responses were dichotomized into poor or fair health status vs good to excellent health. Other clinical and sociodemographic characteristics were used only to describe the study population (eMethods).
Sleep Duration

In the NHIS, participants were asked “On average, how many hours of sleep do you get in a 24-hour period?” We defined recommended sleep duration as 7 to 9 hours of sleep in a 24-hour period, short sleep as reporting sleeping 6 or fewer hours, and long-sleep duration as reporting 10 or more hours, consistent with expert consensus recommendations. Additionally, we defined unrecommended sleep duration as a composite measure of either short or long-sleep duration (i.e., ≤6 or ≥10 hours).

Statistical Analysis

We first described the general characteristics of the study population. For each year, we estimated the short, long, and unrecommended sleep duration prevalence for each racial/ethnic group using separate multivariable logistic regression models, adjusting for age and region (details in eMethods). To measure the racial and ethnic differences in short, long, and unrecommended sleep duration, we subtracted the annual prevalence among White people from the annual prevalence among Asian, Black, and Latino/Hispanic people for that year. Using these annual estimates and differences between estimates, we determined trends over the study period by fitting weighted linear regression models. In a separate analysis, we tested for an absolute difference in each sleep duration outcome (short, long, or unrecommended) prevalence within each race and ethnic group, and the differences between groups, between 2004 and 2018 using a z-test.

To evaluate the association between race and ethnicity and each of these sleep duration outcomes by age, we used logistic regression models with each sleep duration outcome as the dependent variable and age group as the independent variable (eMethods).
We then stratified the main analysis described above by sex/gender and household income, separately. Due to the high amount of missing income information from non-response, our income-stratified analysis was performed based on the National Center for Health Statistics recommendations for multiply imputed data analysis (eMethods).\(^\text{26}\) Finally, as a supplementary analysis, we stratified the main temporal trends analysis by health status to explore the extent to which the sleep disparities were explained by racial and ethnic differences in self-perceived health.

For all analyses, a 2-sided P-value <0.05 was used to determine statistical significance. All analyses were performed using Stata SE version 17.0 (StataCorp) and incorporated strata and weights to produce nationally representative estimates using the -svy- family of commands for structured survey data. All results are reported with 95% CIs. All person weights were pooled and divided by the number of years studied, following guidance from the NHIS.\(^\text{27}\)

RESULTS

Population Characteristics

From 444,743 adults interviewed from 2004 to 2018, we excluded 10,203 (2.3%) who had missing information on sleep duration. Because of small numbers, we also excluded 3440 individuals who identified as Alaskan Native or American Indian and 1905 individuals who did not select a primary race (eFigure 1). Thus, the study sample consisted of 429,195 individuals (mean age 46.5 [SE, 0.08] years; 51.7% [95% CI: 51.5, 51.9] female) of which 5.1% (95% CI: 4.9, 5.2) identified as Asian, 11.8% (95% CI: 11.5, 12.2) identified as Black, 14.7% (95% CI: 14.2, 15.1) identified as Latino/Hispanic, and 68.5% (95% CI: 67.9, 69.0) identified as White.
Study population characteristics are shown in Table 1, and the unadjusted sleep duration
distribution by race and ethnicity is shown in eFigure 2.

Temporal Trends over the Study Period from 2004 to 2018

Short-Sleep Duration

In 2004, the age- and region-adjusted estimated prevalence of short sleep was 31.3% among Asian individuals, 35.3% among Black individuals, 27.0% among Latino/Hispanic individuals, and 27.8% among White individuals (Figure 1). From 2004 to 2018, the prevalence of short sleep significantly increased among Black, Latino/Hispanic, and White people regardless of sex/gender or household income stratum (P≤0.02 for each), but not among Asian people (Table 2). The annualized rate of change is shown in eTable 1. In the same period, the difference between White and Latino/Hispanic individuals increased significantly (P=0.02) but did not change significantly between the other subgroups. In 2018, compared with the estimated prevalence among White individuals (31.0%), short-sleep duration among Black and Latino/Hispanic individuals was higher by 10.7 points (P<0.001) and 2.6 points (P=0.02), respectively (Table 2).

During the study period, the prevalence difference between Black women and White women persisted and was +12.1 points (P<0.001) in 2018; among men in 2018, the Black-White gap was +9.1 points (P<0.001). The prevalence difference between Latino/Hispanic men and White men increased by 6.1 points (P=0.004) reaching a difference of +3.8 points (P=0.03) in 2018 (Figure 1 and Table 2).

When stratified by income, Black individuals consistently had the highest prevalence of short sleep over the study period (Figure 1). In 2018, the difference between Black individuals
and White individuals was greater among those with middle/high income than among those with low income; and low-income Latino/Hispanic individuals had a lower short-sleep prevalence than low-income White individuals (Table 2). The differences in 2018 between White and Asian individuals were not significant, regardless of income level.

**Long-Sleep Duration**

In 2004, the adjusted estimated prevalence of long sleep was 2.5% among Asian individuals, 6.4% among Black individuals, 4.6% among Latino/Hispanic individuals, and 3.5% among White individuals (Figure 2). From 2004 to 2018, the prevalence of long sleep decreased among Latino/Hispanic people by 1.4 percent points (P=0.01), with no significant change in the prevalence among other subgroups (Table 2). In 2018, compared with the estimated long-sleep prevalence among White individuals (3.7%), prevalence among Black people was higher by 1.4 points (P=0.01). Compared with White women, Black women had higher prevalence of long sleep during the study period (Figure 2). When stratified by income, the 2018 difference between White and Black individuals was no longer significant (Figure 2).

**Unrecommended Sleep Duration: Composite of Short- and Long-Sleep Duration**

In 2004, the adjusted estimated prevalence of unrecommended sleep duration (short or long sleep) was 34.2% among Asian individuals, 41.9% among Black individuals, 31.6% among Latino/Hispanic individuals, and 31.7% among White individuals (eFigure 3). From 2004 to 2018, the prevalence of unrecommended sleep duration increased for all groups except Asian individuals (eTable 2). In 2018, unrecommended sleep duration was 12.0 percent points more prevalent among Black individuals compared with White individuals (P<0.001). Such a
difference remained significant regardless of sex/gender or household income stratum (eFigure 3), although it was the highest for Black women (+13.8 points, P<0.001) and for Black individuals with middle/high income (+11.6 points, P<0.001). In addition, among those with middle/high income, unrecommended sleep duration prevalence was 4.1 points higher (P=0.01) among Latino/Hispanic individuals than among White individuals in 2018.

Supplementary Analysis: Stratification by Health Status

When stratified by health status, the prevalences of both short- and long-sleep duration were generally higher among individuals with poor or fair health, and the observed racial and ethnic disparities in each measure persisted within each health status stratum over the study period (eTables 3 and 4 and eFigures 4 and 5).

Differences in the Relationship Between Sleep Duration and Age

Short-Sleep Duration

Over the study period, Black people younger than 60 years had the highest prevalence of short sleep compared with those of the same age (Figure 3), with a difference with White individuals of +6.9 points among those 18–24 years and +10.7 points among those aged 50–59 years. Compared with White individuals, prevalence among Asian and Latino/Hispanic individuals was lower among those older than 50 years, eventually surpassing White individuals among those older than 60 years. Among those older than 65 years, the prevalence of short-sleep duration decreased for all subgroups as age increased. Similar patterns were observed by sex/gender (eFigure 6). When stratified by household income, the prevalence among Black and White
individuals with low income were consistently similar among those younger than 55 years, widening among those who were older (eFigure 6).

**Long-Sleep Duration**

Across all race/ethnicity groups, prevalence of long sleep was lower among those between 30 to 60 years old. With the exception of individuals aged 18–24 years, Black individuals had a higher prevalence than White individuals across all age groups, ranging from +1.7 points among those aged 30–35 years to +3.8 points among those aged ≥80 years (Figure 3). The Black-White gap across age groups was slightly higher among women compared with men (eFigure 7). When stratified by income, prevalence was higher among Black compared with White individuals (eFigure 7).

**Unrecommended Sleep Duration**

Overall, Black individuals had the highest prevalence of unrecommended sleep duration across all age groups (eFigure 8), although overlapping with estimates among Asian and Latino/Hispanic individuals aged 65 years and older. Compared with White individuals, the prevalence of unrecommended sleep duration among Black individuals was higher by 7.7 points among those aged 18–24 years, increasing with age up to its maximum of +13.3 points among those aged 60–64 years. These differences were greater among women and among individuals with middle/high income (eFigure 8).

**DISCUSSION**
In this nationally representative sample from 2004 to 2018, there was increasing prevalence of unrecommended sleep duration with persistence of racial and ethnic differences. Black individuals consistently had the highest prevalence of unrecommended sleep duration, reaching a difference of 12 percent points compared with White individuals in 2018. The disparities were largest for Black women and Black individuals with middle/high income. In addition, the proportion of Latino/Hispanic individuals who reported short sleep increased among men, widening their gap with White men to nearly 4 percent points in 2018. Prevalence among Asian individuals did not change significantly in the 15-year period and was not significantly different from that of White individuals. Notably, when analyzed by age, the racial and ethnic disparities were greatest among young and middle-aged Black adults and slightly narrowed among the elderly.

This study expands the literature in several ways. First, we used data from 2004 to 2018 to describe trends in racial and ethnic disparities in sleep duration. Our findings regarding short sleep are consistent with a recent study,\textsuperscript{12} expanding them by analyzing disparities in long and unrecommended sleep duration as well as by quantifying the magnitude and significance of change in these racial and ethnic differences over the past 15 years. Second, we assessed the racial and ethnic differences in the association between each of these sleep duration outcomes and age. To the best of our knowledge, this has not been described before. Third, we stratified our findings by sex/gender and income, providing further insight in the characterization of these disparities. Fourth, we stratified by health status and found persistence in the disparities. Finally, we included Asian people in our analyses, finding that their estimates remained stable, without substantial differences with White individuals in 2018.
To understand why unrecommended sleep duration may be more common among Black people, it is important to discuss the influence of psychosocial stressors, such as race-based discrimination, on sleep health. The stress from perceived race-based discrimination (and its anticipation or vigilance) contributes to poor sleep duration and quality,\textsuperscript{28} and Black people in the US are more likely to experience this than other racial or ethnic groups. Furthermore, the effect of perceived discrimination on sleep duration is greater among Black women than among Black men,\textsuperscript{29} which could partially explain our findings in which the racial gaps were the widest among Black women.

The fact that the disparities were the widest among young and middle-aged adults may inform that factors related to working or employment conditions might be disproportionally preventing Black individuals from having adequate sleep.\textsuperscript{30} Notably, when analyzed by age, the gap between Black and White individuals with low income was substantially narrower compared with the gap among those with middle or high income. This suggests that a higher income may prevent White people from experiencing unrecommended sleep but does not have such a protective association among Black people. This differential effect of income on sleep health is consistent with observations that higher educational attainment and professional responsibility is associated with lower odds of short sleep among White adults, and with greater odds among Black and Latino/Hispanic adults.\textsuperscript{31-33} It is possible that income in our study is an indicator of educational and professional attainment, and that Black individuals with higher income are more commonly exposed to stressors preventing adequate sleep, including higher racial discrimination.\textsuperscript{34}

This study has limitations. We relied on self-reported duration of sleep, which may be subject to recall and social desirability bias. Of note, across racial and ethnic groups, self-
reported sleep has shown a low-to-moderate agreement with objective sleep duration measurement. However, self-reported sleep duration has important health implications, including consistent association with mortality across different populations. Additionally, for the entire study period, we lacked other information that may have provided a more in-depth understanding of these disparities, such as quality of sleep, other sleep duration alterations, and medications that may alter sleep pattern and duration.

Our findings have important public health implications. These persistent disparities may contribute to other persistent racial and ethnic disparities in health. A study indicated that from 1999 to 2018, Black people had the highest prevalence of poor or fair health. Although the cross-sectional nature of these two studies prevent us from assessing causality, there is ample evidence that unrecommended sleep can cause detriments in health. People with short or long sleep are at increased risk of depression, reduced quality of life, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and death, among other conditions. Such a persistent gap in sleep duration may thus be a cause and exacerbator of other health disparities, and may serve as an imperfect indicator of overall disparities in health and well-being. For the national objective of achieving health equity, understood as the assurance of the condition of optimal health for all people, it is thus instrumental to also strive for the elimination of socioeconomic and health conditions that prevent racial and ethnic minorities from achieving adequate sleep.

Our findings also have important implications for the design of public health interventions, suggesting that targeted efforts should be made to improve sleep health among Black individuals. The observed persistent—and growing—disparities in sleep duration serve as an additional indicator of the consequences of the artificial racial and ethnic hierarchy in which people of color encounter higher barriers to maintaining a healthy life, including income.
distribution inequality, racial segregation, restricted access to medical care, and exposure to social and environmental conditions that affect health and sleep such as light, noise, and air pollution. Thus, and as with other disparities, public policies may be ineffective in eliminating these racial and ethnic disparities in sleep without accounting for systemic racism as a fundamental cause.

In conclusion, from 2004 to 2018, there were significant differences in sleep duration by race and ethnicity, and the prevalence of unrecommended sleep duration was persistently higher among Black individuals. The disparities were highest for Black women, Black individuals who had middle or high income, and young and middle-aged Black adults. Given the importance of sleep to health, the prevalence of unrecommended sleep duration could be a contributor to health disparities.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1.

**Title:** Annual Estimated Prevalence of Short-Sleep Duration by Race and Ethnicity Among US Adults.

**Legend:** Data source is the National Health Interview Survey from years 2004 to 2018. Panel A displays overall estimates. Panel B displays estimates stratified by sex/gender. Panel C displays estimates stratified by household income level. All estimates are adjusted by age and US region. Brackets represent 95% confidence intervals. Short-sleep duration was defined as self-reported sleep duration of \( \leq 6 \) hours in a 24-hour period.

Figure 2.

**Title:** Annual Estimated Prevalence of Long-Sleep Duration by Race and Ethnicity Among US Adults.

**Legend:** Data source is the National Health Interview Survey from years 2004 to 2018. Panel A displays overall estimates. Panel B displays estimates stratified by sex/gender. Panel C displays estimates stratified by household income level. All estimates are adjusted by age and US region. Brackets represent 95% confidence intervals. Long-sleep duration was defined as self-reported sleep duration of \( \geq 10 \) hours in a 24-hour period.

Figure 3.

**Title:** Relationship Between Age and Short- and Long-Sleep Duration by Race/Ethnicity Among US Adults.
Legend: Data source is the National Health Interview Survey from years 2004 to 2018. Brackets represent 95% confidence intervals. Short-sleep duration was defined as self-reported sleep duration of \( \leq 6 \) hours in a 24-hour period. Long-sleep duration was defined as self-reported sleep duration of \( \geq 10 \) hours in a 24-hour period. Panels A and C show the estimated prevalence of each measure by race and ethnicity. Panels B and D show the estimated prevalence difference of each measure between Asian, Black, and Latino/Hispanic individuals with White individuals.
# TABLES

## Table 1. Study Population Characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Asian Individuals</th>
<th>Black Individuals</th>
<th>Latino/Hispanic Individuals</th>
<th>White Individuals</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), median (IQR)</td>
<td>42 (31–55)</td>
<td>42 (29–56)</td>
<td>38 (28–51)</td>
<td>48 (33–62)</td>
<td>46 (31–60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age category, % (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–39 years</td>
<td>44.6 (43.5, 45.6)</td>
<td>44.8 (44.1, 45.5)</td>
<td>53.7 (53.0, 54.3)</td>
<td>34.5 (34.0, 34.9)</td>
<td>39.0 (38.6, 39.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–64 years</td>
<td>42.1 (41.2, 43.0)</td>
<td>42.2 (41.7, 42.8)</td>
<td>37.2 (36.7, 37.7)</td>
<td>44.7 (44.4, 45.1)</td>
<td>43.2 (42.9,43.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥65 years</td>
<td>13.4 (12.7, 14.0)</td>
<td>12.9 (12.5, 13.3)</td>
<td>9.1 (8.8, 9.5)</td>
<td>20.8 (20.5, 21.1)</td>
<td>17.8 (17.5, 18.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women, % (95% CI)</td>
<td>52.8 (52.0, 53.6)</td>
<td>55.0 (54.5, 55.6)</td>
<td>49.3 (48.8, 49.8)</td>
<td>51.6 (51.4, 51.8)</td>
<td>51.7 (51.5, 51.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Citizenship, % (95% CI) [n=428,343]</td>
<td>69.7 (68.5, 70.8)</td>
<td>95.3 (94.9, 95.6)</td>
<td>65.2 (64.3, 66.1)</td>
<td>98.5 (98.4, 98.5)</td>
<td>91.8 (91.5, 92.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level, % (95% CI) [n=426,934]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than high school</td>
<td>9.4 (8.8, 10.1)</td>
<td>16.8 (16.3, 17.3)</td>
<td>35.3 (34.5, 36.0)</td>
<td>9.5 (9.3, 9.7)</td>
<td>14.1 (13.8, 14.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school diploma /GED</td>
<td>26.8 (26.5, 27.1)</td>
<td>30.3 (29.7, 30.9)</td>
<td>26.5 (26.0, 27.0)</td>
<td>26.8 (26.5, 27.1)</td>
<td>26.6 (26.4, 26.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college</td>
<td>22.3 (21.4, 23.1)</td>
<td>33.3 (32.7, 34.0)</td>
<td>25.0 (24.4, 25.5)</td>
<td>31.4 (31.1, 31.7)</td>
<td>30.2 (30.0, 60.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥Bachelor’s degree</td>
<td>32.3 (31.8, 32.8)</td>
<td>19.6 (19.0, 20.2)</td>
<td>13.3 (12.8, 13.7)</td>
<td>32.3 (31.8, 32.8)</td>
<td>29.1 (28.6, 29.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual income &lt;200% federal poverty level, % (95% CI)</td>
<td>28.0 (24.9, 31.3)</td>
<td>46.4 (44.1, 48.7)</td>
<td>51.4 (49.4, 48.7)</td>
<td>24.0 (23.0, 25.0)</td>
<td>30.8 (29.9, 31.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uninsured at the time of interview, % (95% CI) [n=427,762]</td>
<td>12.0 (11.4, 12.6)</td>
<td>18.1 (17.6, 18.6)</td>
<td>33.4 (32.6, 34.2)</td>
<td>10.3 (10.1, 10.6)</td>
<td>14.7 (14.5, 15.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US region, % (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>19.7 (18.4, 21.2)</td>
<td>15.9 (15.1, 16.8)</td>
<td>13.7 (12.8, 14.6)</td>
<td>18.9 (18.4, 19.5)</td>
<td>17.8 (17.4, 18.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midwest</td>
<td>13.1 (12.0, 14.2)</td>
<td>17.5 (16.6, 18.5)</td>
<td>9.2 (8.3, 10.0)</td>
<td>28.0 (27.3, 28.7)</td>
<td>23.2 (22.7, 23.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>22.0 (20.6, 23.5)</td>
<td>58.2 (56.8, 59.6)</td>
<td>36.6 (35.1, 38.1)</td>
<td>33.8 (33.0, 34.5)</td>
<td>36.5 (35.8, 37.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>45.2 (43.3, 47.1)</td>
<td>8.4 (7.9, 8.9)</td>
<td>40.6 (39.0, 42.2)</td>
<td>19.4 (18.8, 20.0)</td>
<td>22.5 (22.0, 23.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married or living with partner, % (95% CI) [n=427,923]</td>
<td>64.5 (63.5, 65.5)</td>
<td>34.5 (33.9, 35.1)</td>
<td>53.0 (52.4, 53.6)</td>
<td>57.8 (57.3, 58.2)</td>
<td>54.7 (54.3, 55.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment status, % (95% CI) [n=428,865]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asian Individuals</td>
<td>Black Individuals</td>
<td>Latino/Hispanic Individuals</td>
<td>White Individuals</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With a job/Working</td>
<td>65.1 (64.2, 66.0)</td>
<td>59.9 (59.2, 60.5)</td>
<td>65.3 (64.7, 65.9)</td>
<td>62.3 (61.9, 62.7)</td>
<td>62.6 (62.3, 62.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not in labor force</td>
<td>30.8 (29.9, 31.7)</td>
<td>31.9 (31.2, 32.5)</td>
<td>29.1 (28.5, 29.7)</td>
<td>34.3 (33.9, 34.6)</td>
<td>33.0 (32.7, 33.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>4.1 (3.8, 4.4)</td>
<td>8.3 (8.0, 8.6)</td>
<td>5.7 (5.4, 5.9)</td>
<td>3.5 (3.4, 3.6)</td>
<td>4.4 (4.3, 4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor or fair health, % (95% CI)</td>
<td>8.9 (8.4, 9.4)</td>
<td>17.7 (17.3, 18.2)</td>
<td>13.8 (13.4, 14.2)</td>
<td>11.7 (11.5, 12.0)</td>
<td>12.6 (12.4, 12.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities, % (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>22.2 (21.4, 23.0)</td>
<td>36.2 (35.6, 36.9)</td>
<td>20.7 (20.3, 21.2)</td>
<td>30.4 (30.1, 30.7)</td>
<td>29.3 (29.0, 29.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>7.7 (7.3, 8.2)</td>
<td>11.6 (11.2, 11.9)</td>
<td>9.1 (8.8, 9.4)</td>
<td>8.2 (8.1, 8.4)</td>
<td>8.7 (8.6, 8.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior stroke/MI</td>
<td>2.7 (2.4, 3.3)</td>
<td>5.4 (5.2, 5.7)</td>
<td>3.1 (2.9, 3.3)</td>
<td>6.0 (5.9, 6.1)</td>
<td>5.3 (5.2, 5.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>3.1 (2.9, 3.4)</td>
<td>4.2 (4.0, 4.4)</td>
<td>2.9 (2.8, 3.1)</td>
<td>10.6 (10.4, 10.7)</td>
<td>8.3 (8.2, 8.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emphysema/Chronic bronchitis</td>
<td>1.8 (1.6, 2.0)</td>
<td>4.6 (4.4, 4.8)</td>
<td>2.8 (2.6, 2.9)</td>
<td>5.8 (5.6, 5.9)</td>
<td>5.0 (4.9, 5.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker, % (95% CI)</td>
<td>9.6 (9.1, 10.1)</td>
<td>18.8 (18.3, 19.3)</td>
<td>12.5 (12.2, 12.9)</td>
<td>19.6 (19.3, 19.9)</td>
<td>18.0 (17.8, 18.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flu vaccine in past 12 months, % (95% CI)</td>
<td>39.1 (38.2, 40.0)</td>
<td>28.7 (28.2, 29.2)</td>
<td>26.2 (25.6, 26.7)</td>
<td>39.3 (39.0, 39.7)</td>
<td>36.1 (35.9, 36.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese (BMI ≥30 kg/m2), % (95% CI)</td>
<td>9.7 (9.2, 10.3)</td>
<td>37.5 (36.9, 38.1)</td>
<td>30.9 (30.3, 31.4)</td>
<td>27.0 (26.8, 27.3)</td>
<td>28.0 (27.7, 28.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; GED, general equivalency diploma; IQR, interquartile range; MI, myocardial infarction.
Legend: All percentages presented here are weighted percentages.
Footnotes:
1 Annual household income was categorized relative to the respective year’s federal poverty level from the US Census Bureau into middle/high income (≥200%) and low income (<200%). The weighted proportion of individuals with annual income <200% of the federal poverty level was estimated using multiple imputation.
2 Based on where the housing unit of the survey participant was located. The 4 regions correspond to the regions recognized by the Census Bureau.
Table 2. Change in Short-Sleep Duration and Long-Sleep Duration Prevalence by Race and Ethnicity, 2004 to 2018.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Asian individuals</th>
<th>Black individuals</th>
<th>Latino/Hispanic individuals</th>
<th>White individuals</th>
<th>Asian individuals</th>
<th>Black individuals</th>
<th>Latino/Hispanic individuals</th>
<th>White individuals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage points (95% CI), p value</td>
<td>Percentage points (95% CI), p value</td>
<td>Percentage points (95% CI), p value</td>
<td>Percentage points (95% CI), p value</td>
<td>Percentage points (95% CI), p value</td>
<td>Percentage points (95% CI), p value</td>
<td>Percentage points (95% CI), p value</td>
<td>Percentage points (95% CI), p value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Absolute change in prevalence, 2004–2018</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>+1.55 (-2.98, +6.07), 0.50</td>
<td>+6.40 (+3.33, +9.46), 0.001</td>
<td>+6.60 (+4.04, +9.15), 0.001</td>
<td>+3.20 (+2.04, +4.36), 0.001</td>
<td>-0.05 (-1.76, +1.65), 0.95</td>
<td>-1.23 (-2.68, +0.21), 0.10</td>
<td>-1.42 (-2.51, -0.32), 0.01</td>
<td>+0.22 (-0.24, +0.68), 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>+3.98 (-2.32, +10.27), 0.22</td>
<td>+7.08 (+3.11, +11.05), 0.001</td>
<td>+4.43 (+1.12, +7.74), 0.01</td>
<td>+3.68 (+2.11, +5.25), 0.001</td>
<td>-0.74 (-3.38, +1.89), 0.58</td>
<td>-0.50 (-2.32, +1.31), 0.587</td>
<td>-2.03 (-3.42, +0.64), 0.004</td>
<td>+0.16 (-0.43, +0.74), 0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>-0.88 (-5.70, +10.13), 0.79</td>
<td>+5.66 (+1.18, +12.57), 0.001</td>
<td>+8.74 (+4.90, +10.72), 0.001</td>
<td>+2.68 (+1.07, +4.29), 0.001</td>
<td>+0.54 (-1.52, +2.60), 0.61</td>
<td>+0.22 (-1.42, +1.84), 0.05</td>
<td>+0.17 (-1.04, +0.99), 0.48</td>
<td>+0.67 (-0.41, +1.78), 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low income</td>
<td>+3.09 (-7.09, +13.28), 0.55</td>
<td>+8.16 (+3.62, +12.69), 0.001</td>
<td>+6.57 (+2.80, +10.34), 0.001</td>
<td>+5.00 (+2.61, +7.38), 0.001</td>
<td>-2.14 (-6.74, +2.47), 0.36</td>
<td>-2.09 (-4.61, +0.42), 0.10</td>
<td>-1.37 (-2.98, +0.23), 0.09</td>
<td>+0.71 (-0.47, +2.88), 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid/high income</td>
<td>+0.96 (+4.25, +6.17), 0.72</td>
<td>+4.97 (+0.76, +9.19), 0.02</td>
<td>+6.03 (+2.33, +9.74), 0.001</td>
<td>+2.93 (+1.59, +4.26), 0.001</td>
<td>+0.83 (-0.74, +2.40), 0.30</td>
<td>-0.50 (-2.16, +1.15), 0.55</td>
<td>-1.28 (-2.86, +0.30), 0.11</td>
<td>+0.18 (-0.34, +0.69), 0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Difference with White, 2004</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>+3.56 (+0.13, +7.00), 0.04</td>
<td>+7.49 (+5.46, +9.53), 0.001</td>
<td>-0.87 (-2.64, +0.89), 0.33</td>
<td>-1.05 (-2.52, +0.42), 0.16</td>
<td>+2.88 (+1.78, +3.98), 0.001</td>
<td>+1.06 (+0.30, +1.82), 0.01</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>+2.70 (-2.09, +7.50), 0.27</td>
<td>+8.70 (+5.99, +11.42), 0.001</td>
<td>+0.46 (-1.78, +2.70), 0.69</td>
<td>-0.27 (-2.53, +1.99), 0.81</td>
<td>+2.26 (+1.14, +3.78), 0.001</td>
<td>+1.48 (+0.41, +2.55), 0.01</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>+4.27 (+0.74, +9.27), 0.10</td>
<td>+6.14 (+3.13, +9.15), 0.001</td>
<td>-2.29 (-4.79, +0.22), 0.07</td>
<td>-1.89 (-3.66, +0.12), 0.04</td>
<td>+3.38 (+1.68, +5.08), 0.001</td>
<td>+0.64 (+0.46, +1.74), 0.26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low income</td>
<td>+1.49 (+6.31, +9.30), 0.71</td>
<td>+1.51 (-1.55, +4.57), 0.001</td>
<td>-5.95 (-8.57, -3.34), 0.001</td>
<td>-0.38 (-4.62, +3.86), 0.001</td>
<td>+3.44 (+1.47, +5.40), 0.001</td>
<td>-0.40 (-1.62, +0.82), 0.52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid/high income</td>
<td>+4.15 (+0.25, +8.05), 0.04</td>
<td>+10.24 (+7.33, +13.15), 0.001</td>
<td>+1.62 (-1.10, +4.34), 0.24</td>
<td>-1.51 (-2.70, -0.32), 0.01</td>
<td>+1.44 (+0.24, +2.64), 0.02</td>
<td>+0.98 (+0.17, +2.14), 0.10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Difference with White, 2018</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>+1.91 (-1.26, +5.07), 0.24</td>
<td>+10.69 (+8.12, +13.26), 0.001</td>
<td>+2.52 (+0.34, +4.71), 0.02</td>
<td>-1.32 (-2.30, +0.34), 0.01</td>
<td>+1.42 (+0.37, +2.47), 0.01</td>
<td>-0.58 (-1.49, +0.34), 0.22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>+3.00 (-1.38, +7.38), 0.18</td>
<td>+12.10 (+8.81, +15.40), 0.001</td>
<td>+1.21 (-1.69, +4.11), 0.41</td>
<td>-1.17 (-2.65, +0.30), 0.12</td>
<td>+1.80 (+0.42, +3.18), 0.01</td>
<td>-0.70 (-1.77, +0.36), 0.197</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asian individuals</td>
<td>Black individuals</td>
<td>Latino/Hispanic individuals</td>
<td>White individuals</td>
<td>Asian individuals</td>
<td>Black individuals</td>
<td>Latino/Hispanic individuals</td>
<td>White individuals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage points</td>
<td>Percentage points</td>
<td>Percentage points</td>
<td>Percentage points</td>
<td>Percentage points</td>
<td>Percentage points</td>
<td>Percentage points</td>
<td>Percentage points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(95% CI), p</td>
<td>(95% CI), p</td>
<td>(95% CI), p</td>
<td>(95% CI), p</td>
<td>(95% CI), p</td>
<td>(95% CI), p</td>
<td>(95% CI), p</td>
<td>(95% CI), p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Men</strong></td>
<td>+0.70 (-3.87, +5.28), 0.76</td>
<td>+9.12 (+5.44, +12.79), &lt;0.001</td>
<td>+3.77 (+0.45, +7.09), 0.03</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.63 (-2.88, -0.37), 0.01</td>
<td>+0.98 (-0.42, +2.37), 0.17</td>
<td>-0.44 (-1.85, +0.96), 0.54</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Low income</strong></td>
<td>-0.41 (-7.38, +6.56), 0.91</td>
<td>+4.67 (+0.56, +8.78), 0.03</td>
<td>-4.38 (-8.00, -0.76), 0.02</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-3.23 (-5.38, -1.08), 0.003</td>
<td>+0.63 (-1.34, +2.60), 0.53</td>
<td>-2.48 (-4.07, -0.89), 0.002</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mid/high income</strong></td>
<td>+2.18 (-1.52, +5.89), 0.25</td>
<td>+12.29 (+8.97, +15.61), &lt;0.001</td>
<td>+4.73 (+1.88, +7.57), 0.001</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.85 (-2.00, +0.30), 0.15</td>
<td>+0.76 (-0.49, +2.01), 0.23</td>
<td>-0.47 (-1.66, +0.72), 0.44</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Absolute change in difference with White, 2004–2018**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Low income</th>
<th>Mid/high income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-1.65 (-6.32, +3.02), 0.50</td>
<td>+0.30 (-6.19, +6.79), 0.93</td>
<td>-3.56 (-10.34, +3.22), 0.30</td>
<td>-1.90 (-12.36, +8.56), 0.72</td>
<td>-1.97 (-7.35, +3.41), 0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+3.20 (-0.08, +6.47), 0.06</td>
<td>+3.40 (-0.87, +7.67), 0.12</td>
<td>+2.98 (-1.78, +7.73), 0.22</td>
<td>+3.16 (-1.97, +8.28), 0.23</td>
<td>+2.05 (-2.37, +6.47), 0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+3.40 (+0.59, +6.20), 0.02</td>
<td>+3.40 (+0.59, +6.20), 0.02</td>
<td>+2.98 (+1.90, +10.22), 0.004</td>
<td>+2.98 (+1.90, +10.22), 0.004</td>
<td>+2.05 (+0.59, +6.20), 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.27 (-2.04, +1.49), 0.76</td>
<td>-0.90 (-3.60, +1.80), 0.51</td>
<td>-0.90 (-3.60, +1.80), 0.51</td>
<td>-0.27 (-2.04, +1.49), 0.76</td>
<td>-0.66 (-2.57, +1.25), 0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-1.46 (-2.98, +0.06), 0.06</td>
<td>-0.66 (-2.57, +1.25), 0.50</td>
<td>-2.19 (-7.30, -0.67), 0.005</td>
<td>-1.46 (-2.98, +0.06), 0.06</td>
<td>-2.85 (-5.08, -0.20), 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-1.64 (-2.83, +0.45), 0.007</td>
<td>-1.08 (-2.87, +0.70), 0.24</td>
<td>-2.19 (-7.30, -0.67), 0.005</td>
<td>-1.64 (-2.83, +0.45), 0.007</td>
<td>-2.85 (-5.08, -0.20), 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-1.46 (-2.98, +0.06), 0.06</td>
<td>-1.08 (-2.87, +0.70), 0.24</td>
<td>-2.19 (-7.30, -0.67), 0.005</td>
<td>-1.46 (-2.98, +0.06), 0.06</td>
<td>-2.85 (-5.08, -0.20), 0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data source is the National Health Interview Survey from years 2004 to 2018. Short-sleep duration was defined as 6 or fewer hours of sleep in a 24-hour period. Long-sleep duration was defined as 10 or more hours of sleep in a 24-hour period. For change in prevalence and change in difference: a positive sign (+) means the prevalence (or its difference with White individuals) increased and a negative sign (-) means it decreased. Prevalence estimates were adjusted by age and region.

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval
FIGURES

Figure 1.

A.
Figure 2.

A.

- Green line: Asian
- Red line: Black
- Yellow line: Latino/Hispanic
- Blue line: White

Percent reporting 10 or more hours of sleep

Year of interview
Figure 3.