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One sentence summary: We describe an approach to estimating typhoidal \textit{Salmonella} infection incidence from cross-sectional serologic data, using longitudinal antibody responses to HlyE and LPS.
Abstract

The incidence of enteric fever, an invasive bacterial infection caused by typhoidal *Salmonellae*, is largely unknown in regions lacking blood culture surveillance. New serologic markers have proven accurate in diagnosing enteric fever, but whether they could be used to reliably estimate population-level incidence is unknown. We collected longitudinal blood samples from blood culture-confirmed enteric fever cases enrolled from surveillance studies in Bangladesh, Nepal, Pakistan and Ghana and conducted cross-sectional sero-surveys in the catchment areas of each surveillance site. We used ELISAs to measure quantitative IgA and IgG antibody responses to Hemolysin E (HlyE) and *S. Typhi* lipopolysaccharide (LPS). We used Bayesian hierarchical models to fit two-phase power-function decay models to the longitudinal sero-responses among enteric fever cases and used the joint distributions of the peak antibody titers and decay rate to estimate population-level incidence rates from cross-sectional serosurveys. The longitudinal antibody kinetics for all antigen-isotypes were similar across countries and did not vary by clinical severity. The incidence of typhoidal *Salmonella* infection ranged between 41.2 per 100 person years (95% CI: 34.0-50.1) in Dhaka, Bangladesh to 5.8 (95% CI: 4.8-7.1) in Kavrepalanchok, Nepal and followed the same rank order as clinical incidence estimates. The approach described here has the potential to expand the geographic scope of typhoidal *Salmonella* surveillance and generate incidence estimates that are comparable across geographic regions and time.
INTRODUCTION

Enteric fever, an invasive infection caused by *Salmonella enterica* subspecies *enterica* serovars Typhi and Paratyphi A, B, or C, is a major cause of preventable morbidity and mortality in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) (1). The incidence of enteric fever is typically ascertained using clinical surveillance, where blood culture-positive enteric fever cases are tallied and reported relative to a catchment area population. Blood culture requires considerable microbiological diagnostic infrastructure that is not widely accessible in many LMICs where the vast majority of enteric fever cases occur. Another limitation of blood culture surveillance is that only individuals seeking care at a surveillance site are captured, whereas many patients receive treatment from pharmacies, private practitioners, or other healthcare providers outside the reach of traditional surveillance systems. Even when available, the estimated sensitivity of blood culture is only 60% (2) and may vary substantially depending on prior antibiotic use and symptom duration. For these reasons, blood culture surveillance covers only a small proportion of the at-risk populations around the world, underestimates the true burden of disease and yields incidence estimates that are not easily comparable across geographic regions and time. There remains a critical need for more accurate, efficient, and cost-effective approaches to estimate and monitor enteric fever incidence in LMICs. This need is particularly acute in the context of the recent World Health Organization (WHO) recommendation and Gavi funding for typhoid conjugate vaccine (TCV) introduction in settings with a high enteric fever burden. Many at-risk countries lack the requisite data on typhoid incidence needed to inform decisions about TCV introduction and to monitor the impact of vaccine introduction.

Population-based serologic surveillance (sero-surveillance) can be used to estimate pathogen transmission in settings where robust facility-based surveillance is not available. Additionally, it may overcome sources of uncertainty or bias in facility-based surveillance caused by healthcare seeking and prior antibiotic use. Cross-sectional sero-surveillance has been useful for rapidly generating data on the transmission of COVID-19, pertussis, dengue, and other diseases (3–7). However, sero-surveillance for typhoidal *Salmonella* has been limited by the lack of sensitive and specific serologic markers. Serologic responses to the most widely used antigen to-date,
virulence (Vi) capsular polysaccharide, have poor diagnostic performance during acute infection and cannot distinguish between natural infection and immune responses to Vi-based vaccine (8). Furthermore, Vi is not present in S. Paratyphi A. Immune responses to Hemolysin E (HlyE) and S. Typhi lipopolysaccharide (LPS) have demonstrated promising accuracy in the diagnosis of acute enteric fever (9–11) but have not yet been evaluated as tools to understand population-level infection incidence. HlyE, a pore-forming toxin, causes cytotoxicity and invades epithelial cells (12–14). It is present in S. Typhi and S. Paratyphi A but is rarely found in other Salmonella serovars (15). LPS is a major component of the outer membrane of Gram-negative organisms and is a potent inducer of the innate immune system. LPS is made of three parts: 1) lipid A; 2) the core oligosaccharide which is common across the Salmonella serovars; and 3) the O antigen polysaccharide (or O antigen), the outermost portion of LPS which is the most variable and consists of oligosaccharide repeats. The O antigen is one factor used to determine the serologic specificity of Salmonella; S. Typhi LPS has O antigens 9 and 12 (16).

Here, we model the longitudinal immune responses to HlyE and LPS among blood culture-confirmed enteric fever cases enrolled from two multi-year, hospital-based, enteric fever surveillance studies: The Surveillance for Enteric Fever in Asia Project (SEAP) in Bangladesh, Nepal, and Pakistan, (17) and the Severe Typhoid in Africa (SETA) surveillance study in Ghana (18). We then used the longitudinal antibody dynamics to generate typhoidal Salmonella incidence estimates from cross-sectional population sero-survey data. We compare the serologic incidence estimates to clinical incidence estimates from the same catchment areas (17, 18).
RESULTS

In prospective surveillance for acute febrile illness between 2017 and 2020, we enrolled 1420 blood-culture confirmed enteric fever cases (407 from Bangladesh, 543 from Nepal, 399 from Pakistan and 71 from Ghana) from the SEAP and SETA studies (Table 1). We followed cases for a median of 382 days after fever onset (interquartile range 94 – 696 days) and collected and analyzed 4126 longitudinal blood samples (Figure S1).

In parallel to clinical surveillance, we conducted cross-sectional serosurveys in the catchment communities around each health facility study site, collecting and analyzing capillary blood samples from 1740 children and young adults: 401 in Dhaka, Bangladesh; 353 in Kathmandu and 481 in Kavrepalanchok Kavre, Nepal, 294 from the catchment area surrounding Aga Khan University Hospital (AKU) and 200 from the catchment area surrounding Kharadar General Hospital’s (KGH) in Karachi, Pakistan, and 79 in Agogo, Ghana (Figure S2; Table 2).

In the population-based serosurveys, median antibody responses for all antigen-isotypes increased with age and were highest in Dhaka, Bangladesh and lowest in Kavre, Nepal and Agogo, Ghana (Figure 1). Among culture-confirmed enteric fever cases, median responses at 1 month and 6 months after fever onset were comparable across sites and higher than the median values for the serosurvey participants at each site. (Figure S3). Among enteric fever cases, correlation in responses across antigen-isotypes was higher among younger ages and at earlier time points (closer to infection) (Figure S4).

**Longitudinal antibody dynamics in enteric fever cases**

We used models with two-phase kinetics, including a power-function decay rate, to describe the longitudinal change in antibody levels among culture-confirmed cases, estimating baseline (y0) and peak antibody responses (y1), time to peak (t1), and decay rate (α) and decay shape (r). All four antigen-isotypes reached peak levels within three weeks of fever onset (Figure 2-Panel A). Peak HlyE antibody responses increased with age. Antibody decay rate decreased with age across all antigen and isotype combinations (Figure 2-Panel B; Table S1). The overall decay rate
for HlyE IgA was slightly faster than for HlyE IgG. The shape parameters for all antigen-isotypes deviate from 1, indicating non-exponential decay (Table S1). Median responses remained elevated above baseline levels for 28.8 months for HlyE IgG, 13.6 months for LPS IgG, 11.4 months for HlyE IgA and 2.9 months for LPS IgA. The model fitted antibody trajectories were similar across all four study sites (Figure 3). The distributions for peak antibody responses were nearly identical across countries and the differences between distributions all centered near 0 for all antigen-isotype combinations. There was some inter-country variation in the decay rate with Bangladesh having the slowest decay rate, followed by Pakistan, Nepal then Ghana (Figure 3). The differences in incidence estimates using both the overall and country-specific longitudinal parameters (peak, decay rate and decay shape) are presented in supplemental Figure S5.

*S.* Paratyphi A was responsible for 10.8% (153/1136) of enteric fever cases overall: 13.8% (56/407) in Bangladesh, 15.8% (86/543) in Nepal, 2.8% (11/399) in Pakistan and 0% (0/71) in Ghana (Table 1). Compared with *S.* Typhi cases, *S.* Paratyphi A cases had similar HlyE IgG and IgA antibody trajectories; however, peak responses for both LPS IgA and IgG were lower (Figure 2-Panel C). We also compared sero-responses among enteric fever cases who were hospitalized (32.8% (458/1420) and not hospitalized, and found comparable antibody trajectories for all antigen-isotype combinations (Figure S6).

We evaluated Vi-IgG responses among enteric fever cases and the population sample in Nepal. After a modest rise, Vi responses plateaued with no subsequent decay (rate = 0) (Figure 4, Table S1). Median Vi IgG levels remained elevated above baseline for at least 32 months and did not vary significantly by age (Figure 4B, p > 0.05). For the Nepal data, we calculated the ratio of 28-day responses among culture-confirmed Typhi cases compared with the population-level mean for each antigen-isotype and found that the ratio was highest for HlyE IgG (median 30.9, IQR 16.1-54.6) and lowest for Vi IgG (median 1.5, IQR 1.0-2.1) (Figure 4C).
Suspected re-infections among enteric fever cases

We defined suspected re-infections as cases with a 3-fold or higher increase for 2 or more antigen-isotypes occurring at least 3 months after their initial infection episode. Thirty-seven participants met this definition, yielding a re-infection incidence rate per 100-person years of 5.2 (95% Confidence Interval [CI]: 1.8 - 8.5) in Bangladesh, 4.9 (95% CI: 4.0 - 6.8) in Pakistan, 0.7 (96% CI: 0.0 - 1.6) in Nepal, and 5.6 (95% CI: 0 - 13.3) in Ghana. The median time-to-detection of suspected reinfection was 13.8 months following fever onset (IQR: 10.3-18.4 months). Observations including and after the suspected re-infection event were excluded from the longitudinal decay parameter estimation.

Estimated incidence of Salmonella Typhi and Paratyphi A in cross-sectional sero-surveys

We used the joint distributions of the peak antibody titers, decay rate and decay shape estimated from enteric fever cases to estimate population-level incidence rates from cross-sectional data, extending previously published methods (10). Because of the differences in the LPS sero-responses among S. Paratyphi A cases (Figure 2-Pancel C) and anti-LPS antibody responses in invasive non-typhoidal Salmonellosis (iNTS) patients (20, 21) (Figure S7) compared with S. Typhi patients, our primary estimates for incidence were derived from measurements of HlyE IgG and IgA. The incidence rate of enteric fever per 100 person-years was highest in Dhaka, Bangladesh (41.2, 95% CI: 34.0-50.1), followed by Karachi, Pakistan (17.6, 95% CI: 13.7-22.6 in KHG; 10.5, 95% CI: 8.5-13.0 in AKU), Nepal (6.6, 95% CI: 5.4-8.1 in Kathmandu; 5.8, 95% CI: 4.8-7.1 in Kavre) and Agogo, Ghana (5.5, 95% CI: 4.1-7.35) (Figure 5). In Dhaka, Agogo and the KGH and AKU catchment areas, the incidence was higher in the youngest age group (<5 years); whereas in Kathmandu, incidence was highest among individuals 16 years and older. Incidence estimates using both HlyE and LPS IgA and IgG are presented in the supplemental material (Table S2).

Comparison of serologic incidence with clinical incidence estimates.

We compared our population-based sero-incidence estimates to clinical blood culture-based incidence estimates derived from the same catchment area populations. We included both crude incidence (culture-confirmed cases divided by the catchment population and observation time) and adjusted incidence estimates (accounting for blood culture sensitivity and the proportion of
patients with typhoid-like illness who had blood culture at a surveillance site), following previously described methods (17, 22). We focused comparisons among children under 5 years, as the sero-incidence will reflect recent infections, during the same time period as the clinical incidence study. The sero-incidence estimates followed the same rank order as the incidence estimates for each catchment area, with Dhaka, Bangladesh having the highest incidence, followed by KGH in Karachi, Pakistan; AKU in Karachi; Kathmandu, Nepal; Kavre, Nepal; Agogo, Ghana (Figure 6). Sero-incidence estimates were between 9 and 24 times higher than care-seeking adjusted clinical incidence rates (incidence ratio: 24.2 in Bangladesh; 23.5 in KGH Pakistan; 16.2 in AKU, 16.0 in Kavre, Nepal; 9.4 in Kathmandu, Nepal; Pakistan; and 11.3 in Agogo, Ghana).

Sample size needed to estimate incidence

To estimate the sample size needed to consistently estimate incidence, we re-sampled each catchment area population at various sample sizes ranging from 50 to 600. The percent of re-sampled incidence estimates falling within the 95% CI of the full estimate (ie coverage) was 88% in Dhaka and 72% in Kavre with a sample size of 100; 100% in Dhaka nd 87% in Kavre with a sample size of 300 and 100% in Dhaka and 95% in Kavre with a sample size of 600 (Figure S8, panel A). The average half-width of the confidence interval relative to the incidence estimate fell below 25% at a sample size of 250 for Dhaka and 450 for Kavre (Figure S8, panel B).

DISCUSSION

We describe an approach to generate enteric fever incidence estimates from cross-sectional sero-surveys. Leveraging longitudinal cohorts of enteric fever cases in four countries, we found IgA and IgG responses to HlyE and LPS were markedly increased for many months following infection, and these elevations were consistent across populations with varying infection pressures. We estimate the incidence of typhoidal *Salmonella* infection in the catchment areas of six study sites across four countries in which prospective blood culture-based surveillance was
undertaken, finding that the rank order of sero-incidence estimates tracked with clinical enteric fever incidence estimates.

We used estimates incorporating heterogeneity in the decay of antibody responses among enteric fever cases to calculate the incidence of infection. Rather than traditional sero-epidemiological methods that use cut-offs to determine whether a person has been recently infected, we leveraged the quantitative, longitudinal measurements of the antibody responses, integrating IgA and IgG isotype data, to generate population-level incidence estimates. A strength of this approach is that we can incorporate several types of uncertainty: measurement error, biologic noise and inter-individual variation in sero-responses. While seroresponse curves showed large individual variation among patients, we found the median fitted peak antibody responses were consistent across the four different countries. There was some variation in the decay rates across countries, with Bangladesh having the slowest decay rate and Ghana having the fastest decay rate. We identified two potential explanations for this difference. The first is that reinfections are more common in settings with a higher force of infection. While we attempted to capture reinfections in the longitudinal case data, some reinfections may have not been identified. Second, in settings with higher incidence, individuals with typhoid are more likely to have been previously exposed, and secondary antibody responses may wane more slowly.

Previous typhoid seroepidemiological studies have used IgG responses to the Vi polysaccharide capsule, which is the antigen used in typhoid conjugate vaccines. We found that the ratio of elevated antibody responses among clinical cases at 28 days compared to the population serosurvey participants was lowest for Vi IgG and highest for HlyE IgG. Whereas HlyE IgG responses were a median of 30fold greater at 28 days following fever onset in culture-confirmed typhoid cases compared with the population mean, Vi IgG responses were only a median of 1.5 times greater, with a quarter of typhoid cases having 28-day titers that fell below the population mean. The minimal difference between titers in acute cases relative to the population for Vi IgG implies that this marker has limited utility in distinguishing acute cases from prior exposures. Furthermore, we found no increase in serologic responses to Vi by age, echoing an earlier study from Kathmandu (23). A study from Fiji found anti-Vi IgG responses increase with age but identified no differences in age-response patterns between high and low incidence communities.
Further, the use of Vi in WHO-recommended vaccines will preclude distinguishing natural infections from vaccine-derived immunity in settings where vaccines are utilized. Additionally, Vi is not present in S. Paratyphi A, limiting its utility for sero-surveillance in contexts where paratyphoid fever is prevalent. Together, these circumstances underscore the importance of using alternative antigens in assessing enteric fever seroincidence.

The seroincidence estimates for all catchment areas were substantially higher than population-based clinical incidence estimates, even after adjusting for care-seeking and sensitivity of blood culture. A “high” incidence of clinical enteric fever has been previously defined as >100 cases per 100,000 person-years (24), whereas we estimated sero-incidence of > 4,000 per 100,000 person-years in all study areas, with rate ratios of seroincidence to clinical incidence ranging from 9 to 24. This likely implies a substantial incidence of asymptomatic or paucisymptomatic infections that remain subclinical, like those observed with other infectious diseases (6, 25–28). One benefit of sero-incidence estimates is the lack of sensitivity to care-seeking behavior, healthcare access, and cultural differences in reporting illness; this may enable less biased estimates of the force of infection in a community. Given that incidence thresholds for vaccine introduction have been based on clinical incidence (29, 30), further data relating seroincidence to clinical incidence and case fatality ratio across diverse settings will be useful to translate seroincidence estimates into metrics that can inform policy decisions.

Geostatistical models predict the highest incidence of typhoid occurs in LMICs, where clean water and sanitation infrastructures are poor (1, 31, 32). However, many of these countries with predicted high incidence lack blood culture-based data on enteric fever. For those in which population-based incidence estimates are available, data are typically geographically and temporally sparse, due to the resources needed to initiate and sustain surveillance. Serosurveys represent a potential scalable complement to blood-culture based surveillance, in that they can be conducted in a shorter time with fewer resources, generating estimates for rural areas where culture-based data are typically lacking. We found that sample sizes of 200 to 400 individuals per age strata were sufficient to consistently estimate incidence depending on the burden of typhoid in the population, with higher burden settings requiring smaller sample sizes. Our studies
in South Asia were performed using finger stick dried blood spots, which is more easily implementable than venous phlebotomy in field settings. Alternatively, banked serum and existing sero-surveys, such as Demographic and Health Surveys (33) could be leveraged to estimate the incidence of typhoidal *Salmonellosis*. Multipathogen sero-surveillance approaches are increasingly emerging as efficient means for population-based surveillance of vaccination coverage and infectious diseases of public health importance.

In South Asia, 10-20\% of enteric fever cases are caused by *S. Paratyphi* A, for which vaccines are not currently available. We found sero-responses for *S. Typhi*-LPS (containing O antigens 9 and 12) were slightly lower among *S. Paratyphi* A cases than among *S. Typhi* cases, which we expected given the *S. Paratyphi* A only shares O12 with *S. Typhi* A. Additionally, we observed elevated anti-LPS antibody responses among patients with invasive non-typhoidal *Salmonella* (iNTS) from Ghana. These cross-reactive responses are likely due to the shared O12 antigen, a trisaccharide repeat backbone that is identical among *Salmonella* groups A, B, and D (16, 34). As such, we used HlyE sero-responses, which did not differ between *S. Typhi* and *S. Paratyphi* A, for our primary incidence estimates. Our earlier studies have demonstrated high specificity of anti-HlyE antibody responses for typhoid or paratyphoid diagnosis, compared with other bacterial infections(11, 35). We are working to identify *S. Typhi*- or *S. Paratyphi*-specific immune responses to enable serovar-specific seroincidence estimation.

The results of this study should be interpreted within the context of several limitations. We incorporated age-dependence in antibody responses among enteric fever cases by fitting separate longitudinal age curves to each age strata. Methods to formally account for age-dependence in the longitudinal decay curves require further development. We assumed that the antibody kinetics were similar for all cases, but it is possible that asymptomatic individuals have different antibody kinetics. If the peak antibody responses are lower and the decay more rapid for asymptomatic cases, our method would underestimate the true incidence rate. In regions with high transmission intensity, individuals may be frequently re-exposed to *Salmonella Typhi/Paratyphi*, and the shape and parameters of antibody kinetics from secondary and tertiary exposures will likely be different from primary infections (36). With our age-stratified approach,
we accounted for some of the differences between primary and secondary exposures, in that our parameter estimates for seroresponse kinetics among older children likely reflect multiple exposures. Longitudinal studies with serial blood culture are needed to accurately describe the kinetics of true re-infections. Long-term carriage of typhoidal *Salmonella* may alter the longitudinal serologic responses and is an area of future exploration. We found antibody kinetics did not significantly differ between hospitalized and non-hospitalized enteric fever cases, implying that, among culture-confirmed cases who sought care at study facilities, sero-responses were not dependent on clinical disease severity. Whether individuals who have asymptomatic infections or who receive care at lower acuity facilities (e.g. pharmacies) have similar antibody responses could not be determined from this study. While we know typhoid transmission to be seasonal in some environments, our modeling approach assumes a constant force of infection throughout the year and therefore averages the incidence rate across the year. Finally, while serosurveys may provide an efficient means for incidence estimation in resource-constrained settings, they do not obviate the need for blood culture surveillance, which is the only method for monitoring antimicrobial resistance—a serious and growing threat to the effective treatment of typhoid.

The WHO recommends that countries with high typhoid incidence introduce TCVs in national programs, resulting in an urgent need for typhoidal *Salmonella* incidence data to make informed decisions regarding vaccine introduction. Clinical surveillance for enteric fever is limited to settings with facilities equipped to perform blood cultures; even when available, incidence estimates may be highly sensitive to biases in care-seeking behaviors, antibiotic use and variable diagnostic sensitivity (22). We describe a sero-surveillance tool that can efficiently generate population-level typhoidal *Salmonella* incidence estimates. This approach has the potential to expand the geographic scope of typhoid surveillance, generate much-needed subnational data on its burden and yield incidence estimates that are comparable across geographic regions and time.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Overview

The Sero-epidemiology and Environmental Surveillance (SEES) Study enrolled cohorts of blood culture-confirmed enteric fever cases from healthcare facilities and a population-based sample of participants from household surveys. We enrolled blood culture confirmed enteric fever cases from the Surveillance for Enteric Fever in Asia Project (SEAP) (17) and collected longitudinal blood samples over two years of follow-up. Concurrently, we conducted population-based sero-surveys in the catchment areas of each of the clinical surveillance sites. We also obtained blood samples from the Surveillance for Enteric Fever in Africa (SETA) - Ghana Study (18), including longitudinal blood samples from enteric fever patients and cross-sectional samples from neighborhood controls of enteric fever cases. We characterized the dynamics of antibody responses to HlyE and LPS among cases across countries and age groups, and then applied a model using these kinetics to cross-sectional data to estimate the sero-incidence of infection in the population.

Study populations and enrollment

Enteric fever cases: All blood culture-positive enteric fever cases enrolled through SEAP were eligible to participate in the ancillary serologic study. Cases were enrolled from five SEAP surveillance hospitals: Dhaka Shishu Hospital in Dhaka, Bangladesh; Kathmandu Medical College and Teaching Hospital (KMC) in Kathmandu and Dhulikhel Hospital, Kathmandu University Hospital (DH) in Kavre District, Nepal; and Aga Khan University Hospital (AKU) and Kharadar General Hospital (KGH) in Karachi, Pakistan; and a network of laboratory facilities in all three countries. The SEAP enrollment criteria and blood culture methods are detailed elsewhere (17). For prospective cases, we collected plasma at the time of enrollment into SEAP and capillary blood collected on filter paper (i.e., dried blood spot; DBS) at 28 days, 3 months, 6 months, 12 months and 18 months post-enrollment. In Bangladesh, the majority of cases were followed up to 6 months post-infection and a subset of 170 cases were followed up for longer. We also enrolled cases retrospectively using stored baseline plasma, when available, and collected DBS at the time of enrollment and then for additional scheduled visits following...
the above timeline. Baseline plasma was available for all enteric fever cases retrospectively enrolled from hospitals in Nepal and Bangladesh but not from Pakistan. For SETA-Ghana, blood culture confirmed enteric fever cases were enrolled from the Agogo Presbyterian Hospital and the Komfo Anokye Teaching Hospital in Agogo. Details of the SETA study design and methodology have been published elsewhere (18). Plasma samples collected at day 3-7, day 28-30, day 90, day 180, day 270, and day 360 were included in our analysis. Baseline plasma samples were also collected from 17 iNTS cases (day 3-7).

Population-based samples: We enrolled a geographically random, population-based sample of individuals aged 0 to 25 years from the catchment areas of each of the SEAP study hospitals. Within catchment areas, we randomly selected sampling grid clusters and enumerated all households residing in each cluster. From this census we randomly selected an age-stratified sample of individuals aged 0 to 25 years (0-4, 5-9, 10-15 and 16-25) and collected DBS. For the SETA-Ghana site, we collected plasma from four neighborhood controls, matched by age, sex, and enrollment site for each blood culture positive enteric fever case 3-7 days after blood was drawn for culture.

North American control population: We used stored serum samples from children enrolled in two studies on celiac disease: 1) 48 children aged 1-5 years who had first degree relatives with celiac disease, enrolled nationally and 2) 31 healthy controls, children and young adults aged 2-18 years, enrolled at Massachusetts General Hospital (Boston, Massachusetts, USA)(37).

Laboratory Methods

Capillary blood samples were collected on TropBioTM filter papers (Cellabs Pty Ltd., Brookvale, New South Wales, Australia), air-dried for at least two hours at room temperature, then stored with desiccant in individual plastic bags at -20°C until processing. All plasma was stored at ≤ -70°C until processing. SEAP samples were analyzed at the SEAP study laboratories in each country; SETA and North American samples were analyzed at Massachusetts General Hospital. We used kinetic enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) to quantify antibody
levels present in plasma and eluted DBS samples. For the DBS samples, we cut two filled, filter paper protrusions and submerged them in 133 μL of 1XPBS 0.05% Tween buffer overnight at 4 °C and eluates were recovered after centrifugation. The eluate was used immediately or stored at 4 °C for up to 2 weeks. We coated plates with S. Typhi lipopolysaccharide (LPS, containing serogroup antigens O9 and O12) (2.5 μg/mL), purified HlyE (1 μg/mL) or Vi antigen (Sanofi Pasteur; 2 μg/mL) as previously described (11, 38). Plasma was added to the plate in duplicate at the following dilutions for each antigen and antibody isotype (IgA, IgG, respectively): LPS (1:1000; 1:5000), HlyE (1:500, 1:5000), Vi (1:100 IgG only, Nepal and Ghana only). DBS eluate was assumed to be 1:10 dilution of plasma, which accounts for the dilution of plasma during the DBS elution. Bound antibodies were detected with goat anti-human IgG and IgA conjugated with horseradish peroxidase (Jackson ImmunoResearch), and peroxidase activity was measured at 450 nm using the chromogenic substrate, o-phenylenediamine. To compare across ELISA plates and sites, the blank-adjusted sample readings were averaged, divided by the readings of a standard included on each plate (plasma pool for LPS and Vi; human chimeric monoclonal antibody for HlyE), multiplied by 100 and reported as ELISA units.

Statistical Methods

We fit a two-phase model of the sero-response to each antigen and isotype to describe kinetics of the antibody response to typhoidal Salmonella infection (39–41). Briefly, we model an exponential rise in antibody level followed by a non-exponential (power function) decay episode. The power function decay allows for rapid initial decrease followed by a period of sustained low antibody concentration. Additional details of the model have been published previously (39, 40). We used a Bayesian hierarchical framework to fit the sero-response model to individual data, defining joint parameter distributions for each antigen-isotype combination and obtaining predictive posterior samples using Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampling (40) for baseline (y0) and peak antibody responses (y1), time to peak (t1), and decay rate (α) and decay shape (r). The models were run in JAGS (42), using the rjags package (43). We estimated the time course of antibody response according to three age strata at time of infection: <5 years, 5-15 years, >15 years. The age strata were chosen to compare the sero-incidence estimates to published clinical incidence estimates from the same catchment areas. We report the median and
95% credible interval (CrI) from each posterior distribution. To formally compare differences in the distribution of modeled parameters across countries and age groups we subtracted the mcmc distributions and calculated the proportion of the distribution of differences that was greater or less than zero.

When following individuals over time, re-infections are possible and increase in likelihood with longer duration follow-up. We defined suspected re-infections as the occurrence of a 3-fold or higher increase in quantitative antibody response in individuals between visits at least 3 months from fever onset for 2 or more antigen-isotype combinations, unless the absolute value of the difference between measurements was less than one. The 3-fold threshold was derived by calculating the median fold change from baseline to 28 days among blood culture confirmed cases. For antibody decay estimates, we excluded longitudinal observations from individuals who met the definition of a re-infection from the time of the identified re-infection and all remaining follow-up observations. We calculated the incidence of suspected re-infection by dividing the total number of possible re-infections identified by the sum of the observed person time in each country; we used bootstrap resampling to calculate 95% confidence intervals.

To estimate incidence, we used the method outlined previously (19). Briefly, we assumed that incident infections in the study sample occurred at random as a Poisson process with rate $\lambda$ and created a likelihood function for the observed cross-sectional population sample values based on the longitudinal kinetics following infection. We used this model to generate maximum likelihood profiles for $\lambda$. We estimated $\lambda$ with each antigen (HlyE, LPS) and isotype (IgA, IgG) separately and also jointly estimated $\lambda$ by combining their likelihood functions (44). We estimated age-stratified incidence rates in the population using the seroresponse parameter distributions for each age strata.

We accounted for two sources of noise in the serologic responses: measurement noise of the assay (described by the coefficient of variation [CV] across replicates) and biologic noise (measured as background response to the antigen-isotype among never-exposed, negative
controls) as detailed in (19). We calculated CVs from a panel of 100 negative and positive controls that were run in triplicate at each site. We estimated biologic noise using the North American control population described above. To calculate lower detection limits we conducted serial dilutions of each antigen isotype and chose a threshold at which the CV was greater than or equal to 30% and added two standard deviations (45) then normalized to the positive control. Lower detection limits were estimated for each laboratory running the assays. Measurement and biological noise parameters and the limits of detection used for each site are detailed in Table S3. We used mixed effect models to adjust the standard errors to clustering by sampling unit for the population-based geographic samples (Bangladesh, Nepal, and Pakistan).

To determine a sufficient sample size to consistently characterize incidence, we resampled the catchment area population data and estimated the incidence using various sample sizes ranging from 50 to 600. We used bootstrapping to select 100 samples with replacement for each sample size and estimated the incidence in each sample. We then calculated the percent of resampled estimates falling within the 95% confidence intervals for the full-sample estimate and the half-width of the confidence intervals relative to the incidence estimates.

**Ethical considerations**

For SEAP/SEES, we obtained written informed consent from all eligible participants and the parents/guardians of participants aged <18 years prior to collection of blood samples and completion of the questionnaire. We also obtained written assent from children aged 15 to 17 years. Institutional Review Boards (IRBs) in the United States (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; Stanford University Institutional Review Board), Bangladesh (Bangladesh Institute of Child Health Ethical Review Committee), Nepal (Nepal Health Research Council Ethical Review Board) and Pakistan (AKU Ethic Review Committee and Pakistan National Bioethics Committee) approved the study forms and protocols.
For SETA, written informed consent was obtained from eligible participants aged 18 years and older and from parents or legally authorized representatives for children less than 18 years of age prior to blood sample collection. Written informed assent was obtained for adolescents between 12 and 17 years of age. The following IRBs approved the study: International Vaccine Institute IRB, No. 2015-006; Institute of Tropical Medicine Antwerp Institutional Review Board, Belgium; Universiteit Antwerpen, Comite voor medische Ethiek, Belgium; Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, School of Medical Sciences; and Komfo Anokye Teaching Hospital, Committee on Human Research, Publication and Ethics, Ghana.

Disclaimer

The findings and conclusions of this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position, policies, or views of the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention/the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry.
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Fig. 1. Age trends in serologic responses to HlyE and LPS in cross-sectional surveys from six communities. IgA and IgG responses to HlyE and LPS are compared across age strata and catchment area populations. Boxes indicate the median and interquartile range. Antibody responses were measured using kinetic enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs). Asterisks denote levels of statistical significance for differences across age strata using the Kruskal-Wallis test.
Fig. 2. **Longitudinal antibody dynamics among blood culture-confirmed enteric fever cases.**
Longitudinal antibody dynamics were fit to ELISA-measured antibody responses using Bayesian hierarchical models. (A) The light-colored lines are the observed individual antibody concentrations; each line indicates one patient. The dark solid and dotted lines indicate the median and 95% credible intervals for the model-fitted antibody decay concentrations. The median model-fitted antibody trajectories are shown for each age strata (B) and comparing *Salmonella* Typhi to *Salmonella* Paratyphi A cases (C). Panel (C) restricts to cases 5-15 years old because *S.* Paratyphi A cases were older than *S.* Typhi cases.
Fig. 3. Antibody kinetics, peak response and decay rate among blood culture-confirmed enteric fever cases by study country. (A) Median longitudinal antibody decay profiles fit to ELISA-measured antibody responses in each study country. (B) The distribution of model-predicted peak antibody responses in each study country. (C) Boxplots and distributions of model-predicted antibody decay rates across study countries. All comparisons are among children 5 to 15 years to account for the different age-distribution of cases across countries.
Fig. 4. Kinetics and age-dependent anti-Vi IgG antibody responses for Vi IgG. Data are from the two study communities in Nepal. (A) Anti-Vi IgG responses following fever onset among culture-confirmed S. Typhi cases. (B) Anti-Vi IgG responses by age from the cross-sectional population-based sample in two communities. (C) Ratio of antibody responses among culture-confirmed typhoid cases at 1 month following fever onset, compared with the mean of the population-based serosurvey participants.
Fig. 5. Estimated sero-incidence of typhoidal Salmonella by study community and age group. Age groups are denoted by point shapes for the median, with lines indicating the 95% credible interval. Boxes reflect the height of the median estimate for the overall population-based serosurvey.
Fig. 6. Comparison of estimates for crude and adjusted clinical enteric fever incidence with typhoidal *Salmonella* seroincidence. Crude incidence reflects culture-confirmed *S.* Typhi and *S.* Paratyphi A cases divided by the catchment population and time, while adjusted incidence accounts for imperfect sensitivity of blood culture and the proportion of acute febrile illnesses captured by the surveillance system. The horizontal axis indicates incidence, and scale differs for type of estimate. Estimates are shown for children under 5 years of age, for the serological estimates to coincide with the period of clinical surveillance.
### Table 1. Demographic, clinical and sampling characteristics of culture-confirmed enteric fever cases included in the longitudinal kinetic analysis, by country.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bangladesh (N=407)</th>
<th>Nepal (N=543)</th>
<th>Pakistan (N=399)</th>
<th>Ghana (N=71)</th>
<th>Total (N=1420)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age in years</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1, Q3</td>
<td>3.2, 8.0</td>
<td>15.7, 26.4</td>
<td>3.0, 12.0</td>
<td>6.0, 12.0</td>
<td>4.5, 19.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age strata</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>179 (44.0%)</td>
<td>12 (2.2%)</td>
<td>181 (45.4%)</td>
<td>11 (15.5%)</td>
<td>383 (27.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-15</td>
<td>227 (55.8%)</td>
<td>127 (23.4%)</td>
<td>152 (38.1%)</td>
<td>52 (73.2%)</td>
<td>558 (39.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16+</td>
<td>1 (0.2%)</td>
<td>404 (74.4%)</td>
<td>66 (16.5%)</td>
<td>8 (11.3%)</td>
<td>479 (33.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>213 (52.3%)</td>
<td>302 (55.6%)</td>
<td>228 (57.1%)</td>
<td>41 (58.6%)</td>
<td>784 (55.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>194 (47.7%)</td>
<td>241 (44.4%)</td>
<td>171 (42.9%)</td>
<td>29 (41.4%)</td>
<td>635 (44.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of blood samples collected per participant</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1, Q3</td>
<td>2.0, 3.0</td>
<td>2.0, 4.0</td>
<td>2.0, 5.0</td>
<td>1.5, 5.0</td>
<td>2.0, 4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Study enrollment time, in days</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>184.0</td>
<td>366.0</td>
<td>699.0</td>
<td>270.0</td>
<td>382.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1, Q3</td>
<td>3.0, 6.0</td>
<td>3.0, 6.0</td>
<td>4.0, 9.0</td>
<td>3.0, 7.0</td>
<td>3.0, 7.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hospitalized**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>326 (80.1%)</td>
<td>81 (19.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(70.5%)</td>
<td>(29.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>210 (52.8%)</td>
<td>156 (29.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(48.4%)</td>
<td>(51.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31 (67.2%)</td>
<td>188 (47.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(47.2%)</td>
<td>(51.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>939 (89.2%)</td>
<td>458 (32.8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Serovar**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. Paratyphi A</th>
<th>56 (13.8%)</th>
<th>86 (15.8%)</th>
<th>11 (2.8%)</th>
<th>0 (0.0%)</th>
<th>153 (10.8%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S. Typhi</td>
<td>351 (86.2%)</td>
<td>457 (84.2%)</td>
<td>388 (97.2%)</td>
<td>71 (100.0%)</td>
<td>1267 (89.2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Vaccine status**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TCV</th>
<th>1 (0.2%)</th>
<th>1 (0.2%)</th>
<th>4 (1.0%)</th>
<th>0 (0.0%)</th>
<th>6 (0.4%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other Typhoid Vaccine</td>
<td>1 (0.2%)</td>
<td>1 (0.2%)</td>
<td>8 (2.1%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>10 (0.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Vaccinated</td>
<td>405 (99.5%)</td>
<td>541 (99.6%)</td>
<td>378 (96.9%)</td>
<td>71 (100.0%)</td>
<td>1395 (98.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1, Q3</td>
<td>4.9, 14.0</td>
<td>5.8, 17.9</td>
<td>5.1, 15.9</td>
<td>4.9, 16.0</td>
<td>4.9, 13.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>101 (25.2%)</td>
<td>73 (20.6%)</td>
<td>113 (23.4%)</td>
<td>75 (25.5%)</td>
<td>51 (25.5%)</td>
<td>18 (22.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-15</td>
<td>256 (63.8%)</td>
<td>169 (47.7%)</td>
<td>249 (51.7%)</td>
<td>143 (48.6%)</td>
<td>118 (59.0%)</td>
<td>59 (74.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-25</td>
<td>44 (11.0%)</td>
<td>112 (31.6%)</td>
<td>120 (24.9%)</td>
<td>76 (25.9%)</td>
<td>31 (15.5%)</td>
<td>2 (2.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>183 (45.6%)</td>
<td>185 (52.3%)</td>
<td>248 (51.5%)</td>
<td>135 (45.9%)</td>
<td>97 (48.5%)</td>
<td>47 (59.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>218 (54.4%)</td>
<td>169 (47.7%)</td>
<td>234 (48.5%)</td>
<td>159 (54.1%)</td>
<td>103 (51.5%)</td>
<td>32 (40.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TCV</td>
<td>13 (3.2%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>127 (43.2%)</td>
<td>85 (42.5%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Typhoid Vaccine</td>
<td>17 (4.2%)</td>
<td>3 (0.9%)</td>
<td>1 (0.2%)</td>
<td>3 (1.0%)</td>
<td>1 (0.5%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Vaccinated</td>
<td>371 (92.5%)</td>
<td>349 (99.1%)</td>
<td>481 (99.8%)</td>
<td>164 (55.8%)</td>
<td>114 (57.0%)</td>
<td>79 (100.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>