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Abstract

**Objective:** Overlap of brain changes across mental disorders has reinforced transdiagnostic models. However, the developmental basis for this overlap is unclear as are neural differences among internalizing, externalizing and thought disorders. These issues are critical to inform the theoretical framework for hierarchical transdiagnostic psychiatric taxonomy.

**Methods:** This study involved 11,878 preadolescents (9-10 years) with baseline and 2-year follow-up data (n=6571) from the Adolescent Brain and Cognitive Development Study release 3.0. Linear mixed models were implemented in comparative and association analyses. Genome-wide association analysis, gene set enrichment analysis and cell type specificity analysis were performed on regional cortical thickness (CT) across 4,716 unrelated European youth.

**Results:** Youth with externalizing or internalizing disorders, but not thought disorders, exhibited significantly thicker cortex than controls. Externalizing and internalizing disorders shared thicker CT in left pars opercularis and caudal middle frontal gyrus, which related to lower cognitive performance. Somatosensory and primary auditory cortex were uniquely affected in externalizing disorders; primary motor cortex and higher-order visual association areas (fusiform and inferior temporal gyrus) were uniquely affected in internalizing disorders. Baseline CT in one externalizing-specific region (left isthmus of cingulate cortex) related to externalizing behaviors at both baseline and 2-year follow-up. Genes associated with CT in common and disorders-specific regions were also implicated in related diagnostic families. Microglia were the cell-type associated with CT for both externalizing/internalizing while dopaminergic/glutamatergic/GABAergic cells related only to externalizing-specific regions.

**Conclusions:** Distinct anatomical trajectories relevant to internalizing/externalizing phenotypes may result from unique genetic and cell-type changes, but these occur in the background of significantly shared morphological variance.
During the past two decades, conventional diagnostic categories of mental disorders have been increasingly challenged on the basis of unclear boundaries, overlapping symptoms (1, 2) as well as high comorbidity (3, 4). Further, there is strong evidence of shared genetic risk (5-7) among various disorders, implying that the pathophysiology underlying these disorders may not be unique to each. While a number of shared features of neural dysfunction across mental disorders have been reported (8-10), any evidence for unique developmental pathways underlying these pathological endpoints has not been conclusively demonstrated.

Emerging transdiagnostic or dimensional frameworks, such as Research Domain Criteria (RDoC) (11) and The Hierarchical Taxonomy of Psychopathology (HiTOP) (12), have been proposed to transcend the limitations of conventional diagnostic categories of mental disorders. Based on accumulating evidence the HiTOP proposes that single mental disorders could be classified into three broad diagnostic families: externalizing disorders (inattention, aggressive and disruptive behavior), internalizing disorders (depression, anxiety and fear) and thought disorders (delusions, hallucinations and obsessions). Accordingly, a growing literature (13-16) has focused on mapping neural correlates for general psychopathology (‘p factor’), reflecting an overarching susceptibility to any mental disorder (17, 18), and several specific low-order broad diagnostic families (e.g. externalizing, internalizing and thought disorders). This hierarchical framework of mental disorders removes unclear boundaries between single mental disorders by grouping disorders with related symptoms into broad diagnostic families, which may further contribute to determining the underlying pathological dimensions on the neural, genetic and phenotype level (19, 20).

During childhood and adolescence, the brain undergoes major developmental changes in cortical morphology. One of the most fundamental neurodevelopmental changes involves cortical thickness (CT) which undergoes accelerated thinning during early adolescence compared with early childhood and adulthood (21). This process is presumably driven by increased intracortical myelination (22) as well as synaptic pruning (23). The disruption of CT has been linked to various psychopathology (24, 25) and impaired cognitive performance (26). Most mental disorders originate in this developmental period (3, 27), highlighting the importance of examining CT as a marker of preadolescent mental health. Prior studies with limited samples recruited on the basis of traditional diagnostic categories have so far provided conflicting results with respect to the CT changes in this age group (24, 28).

In the current study, we combined case-control analyses with a hierarchical framework of mental disorders to examine CT alterations among three broad diagnostic families (externalizing, internalizing and thought disorders). We hypothesized that some of the topography of anatomical distribution of CT aberrations will be shared among the three diagnostic families, reflecting a shared mechanistic basis, while each diagnostic family will also be related to its own unique pattern of CT changes both at baseline and over time. To eliminate confounding effects introduced by high comorbidity among three diagnostic families (29) and facilitate the determination of disorders-specific alterations, our case-control analyses only used non-comorbid (i.e., “pure”) patients. Given that the neurodevelopmental patterns of CT during childhood
and adolescence are genetically regulated (30, 31), we also undertook Genome-wide association study (GWAS) to locate the genetic variants associated with regional CT changes in all unrelated European youth.

METHODS

Participants

Participants are preadolescents aged 9-10 years (n=11,878) recruited from 22 research sites across the USA from the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) Study® (Release3.0, November 2020). This longitudinal multisite population-representative cohort provides comprehensive clinical, behavioral, cognitive, and multimodal neuroimaging data from the baseline, 1-year and 2-year follow-up assessment. Inclusion/exclusion criteria for samples in all analyses were illustrated in Figure S1-4.

Measures

Definitions of diagnostic families

Single mental disorder diagnoses were determined using parent or guardian ratings in the computerized Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia (KSADS) based on DSM-5 criteria (32). For the present analyses life-time (past or present) diagnoses of the 18 single mental disorders (Figure 1A and Supplemental Table S1) used in our analyses were determined. Based on the definitions of broad diagnostic families in recent studies (13, 16, 29), three broad diagnostic families in our analyses (Figure 1A) were determined as externalizing disorders (Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder, Oppositional Defiant Disorder, Conduct Disorder), internalizing disorders (Dysthymia, Major Depressive Disorder, Disruptive Mood Dysregulation Disorder, Agoraphobia, Panic Disorder, Specific Phobia, Separation Anxiety Disorder, Social Anxiety Disorder, Generalized Anxiety Disorder, Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder), thought disorders (Hallucinations, Delusions, Associated Psychotic Symptoms, Bipolar Disorder, Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder). As expected there was a high comorbidity among the three broad diagnostic families (Figure 1B and Table 1). To eliminate confounding effects introduced by comorbidities and facilitate the determination of disorders-specific neurobiological dysregulations, participants with comorbidities outside their primary diagnostic families were excluded from the following analyses. Healthy controls (Table 1) were those who did not meet any mental disorders diagnosis criteria of KSADS (including those disorders that are not included in three broad diagnostic families, such as Eating Disorders, Alcohol Use Disorder,
Substance Related Disorder, Sleep Problems, Suicidal ideation or behavior and Homicidal ideation or behavior, where both unspecified or other specified disorders were covered). Demographics for patients of each single mental disorder are shown in Supplemental Table S1.

**Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL)**

The Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL), completed by the child’s parent or caregiver, is widely used to assess emotional and behavioral problems in the children. The resulting scores used in ABCD include eight syndrome scale scores (Anxious/Depressed, Withdrawn/Depressed, Somatic Complaints, Social Problems, Thought Problems, Thought Problems, Rule-Breaking Behavior, Aggressive Behavior), three summary scores (Internalizing Problems, Externalizing Problems and Total Problems), six DSM-oriented scale scores (Depressive Problems, Anxiety Problems, Somatic Problems, Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Problems, Oppositional Defiant Problems and Conduct Problems) and three 2007 Scale Scores (Sluggish Cognitive Tempo, Obsessive-Compulsive Problems and Stress Problems). In the current analyses, we used raw scores of 20 CBCL scales from the baseline (n=11,870) and from the 2-year follow-up (n=6,571).

**NIH Toolbox® cognition measures**

NIH Toolbox® consists of seven tasks, measuring executive function, episodic memory, working memory, information processing and language abilities and three summary scores including crystal intelligence, fluid intelligence and total intelligence. Baseline data included 11,878 individuals while 2-year follow-up data included 6,571 individuals with only 6 cognition scores. Details of cognitive measures are listed in Supplemental Table S3.

**Structural image acquisition and quality control**

Participants completed a high-resolution T1-weighted structural MRI scan (1-mm isotropic voxels) on 3T scanners (Siemens Prisma, General Electric MR 750, Philips). Structural MRI data processing were completed using FreeSurfer version 5.3.0 according to standardized processing pipelines (33). All scans underwent radiological review to identify incidental findings. Participants who did not pass visual inspection of T1 images and FreeSurfer quality control (34) (imgincl_t1w_include==1) were excluded from the neuroimaging analyses (n=11,231). The current study used post-processed cortical thickness data mapped to 34 cortical parcellations per hemisphere (68 total regions of interest) based on the Desikan-Killiany Atlas (35).

**Genotyping and imputation**
Saliva and whole blood samples of participants were collected at the baseline visit and sent from the collection site to Rutgers University Cell and DNA Repository for storage and DNA isolation. Genotyping was performed using the Smokescreen array (36) containing 733,293 genetic variants (single nucleotide polymorphism, SNP). Quality control for genotyped data were performed, resulting in 11,099 individuals and 516,598 SNPs. Genotype imputation was performed on high-quality variations using the IMPUTE2 software and the imputation reference set was obtained from the Phase 3 of 1000 Genomes Project. After imputation, the individuals with >10% missing rate and SNPs with imputation info score<0.7, >10% missing rate, Minor Allele Frequency (MAF) below 0.5%, or out of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium violation (p>10^{-6}) were excluded. We then derived genetic relatedness (kinship coefficient) using plink v2.0. Only European ancestry (genetic ancestry factor of European>0.9) and genetically unrelated (kinship coefficient<0.125) participants were included in the following genetic analyses. The final European genotyped data included 4,933 unrelated individuals and 8,498,283 SNPs. We performed genetic Principal Component Analysis (PCA) to derive first 10 genetic principal components (PC) to correct for population stratification.

**Statistical Analyses**

**Case-control analysis and ANOVA**

We implemented linear mixed models (LMM) using the R lme4 (37) package to examine difference in CT among the 3 “pure” diagnostic families (internalizing/externalizing/thought disorders) in contrast to the healthy preadolescents. All LMM included random effects for family nested within acquisition site and fixed-effects covariates for age, sex, race (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, Others/Mixed), parental marital status, pubertal level, parental education, body mass index (BMI) and total intracranial volume. False Discovery Rate (FDR) were used in all analyses for multiple comparisons. We also examined the CT alterations in three diagnostic families encompassing comorbid cases (See Supplemental materials, Figure S5A-C). Then we calculated the Pearson correlation among the whole brain T-maps of three diagnostic families to examine the similarity of CT alterations between diagnostic families. To examine the difference in CT among four groups (externalizing, internalizing and thought disorders and healthy preadolescents), we also undertook an Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) after regressing out the same covariates using LMM. Post hoc analysis using Tukey HSD Test was also performed to compare the four groups.

A common alteration in three diagnostic families was defined as a significant difference ($P_{FDR}$<0.05) in CT between any patient group and healthy control (HC) group and shared by at least 2 patient groups. A disorders-specific alteration (e.g. externalizing-specific) is defined by 1) a significant difference between one patient group and HC group, and 2) a nonsignificant difference ($P_{FDR}$>0.05) between each of the other two patient groups and HC group. This definition was in line with our prior
work (38). Notably, this definition of disorder specificity does not indicate exclusivity (i.e., absence of a corresponding change in another patient group), but only indicates that a change with an effect size sufficient for detection at group level is specific to a group.

Correlation with symptoms and longitudinal analysis

We performed association analyses between CT in common and disorders-specific regions, and total CBCL scores as well as cognition scores in the whole sample (n=11878) using the same LMM. To examine whether baseline regional cortical thickness could predict the development of adolescent emotional and behavioral problems, and cognition during adolescence, we used the baseline CT of common and disorders-specific regions to predict 2-year follow-up CBCL scores and NIH cognition scores. All reported comparisons were FDR corrected for the number of regions of interest (ROIs). We also restricted the above analyses to externalizing and internalizing disorders (See supplemental materials) to examine whether patient groups exhibit distinct associations.

Genome-wide association study (GWAS)

We performed GWAS to examine the genetic variants underlying regional CT using plink v2.0. On the premise of additive genetic effects, general linear regression models were fitted to determine the association between common and disorders-specific CT alterations and allele dosages of SNPs in genetically unrelated European-ancestry preadolescents who passed structural image quality control (n=4,716). Sex, age, mean cortical thickness, 10 PCs and study sites were included as covariates.

SNP annotation and mapping

Genomic risk loci were defined using the FUMA (39) online platform (version 1.3.6a), which is an integrative tool for functional mapping and annotation of genetic associations. Independent significant SNPs (IndSigSNPs) were defined as variants with a P-value<5×10^{-8} and independent of other significant SNPs at r^2<0.6. Lead SNPs were also identified as those independent from each other (r^2<0.1). LD (Linkage Disequilibrium) blocks for IndSigSNPs were then constructed by tagging all SNPs with MAF (Minimum Allele Frequency) ≥0.0005 and in LD (r^2≥0.6) with at least one of the IndSigSNPs. The reference panel population was European of the 1000 Genomes Project Phase 3.

To further link these associated SNPs to genes, three strategies implemented by FUMA were employed: positional mapping, eQTL (expression quantitative trait loci) mapping and 3D Chromatin Interaction mapping. In addition, to combine cumulative effects of SNPs assigned to a gene, gene-based association analysis was performed.
using MAGMA (40) implemented in FUMA. SNPs were mapped to protein-coding genes if they are located within the genes. The gene-based P-value for each gene was calculated by combining SNP P-values into a gene test-statistic, indicating the association between the gene and the GWAS phenotype. Genes significantly associated with CT at each ROI were identified as exceeding the FDR corrected threshold.

**Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA)**

Genes identified by the four strategies were merged separately across externalizing-specific, internalizing-specific and common regions. To gain insight into biological functions and pathways of externalizing-specific, internalizing-specific and common genes, GSEA was performed to test if these genes are overrepresented in pre-defined gene sets obtained from the Molecular Signatures Database (41) and GWAS catalog (42). All genes were set as background genes. FDR correction is performed per gene set by FUMA. Other parameters in these analyses were set as default.

**Cell type specificity analysis (CTSA)**

To test whether genetic risk variants for regional CT converge on a specific cell type, we performed CTSA (43) using 7 single-cell RNA sequencing datasets from human brain tissue (Supplemental Table S4) and pre-computed MAGMA results, which builds the relationships between cell type-specific gene expression and trait–gene associations. We used FDR correction for multiple testing in per dataset to identify significantly associated cell types.

**RESULTS**

**Common and unique cortical thickness alterations**

Of a total of 68 regions, CT was significantly higher in internalizing (13 regions) and externalizing (9 regions) disorders compared with healthy youth. No regions were significantly altered in either direction in thought disorders (after FDR correction). CT increases converged across externalizing and internalizing disorders in 2 left lateral frontal regions (Figure 2A-B): left pars opercularis and left caudal middle frontal gyrus (MFG). Externalizing-specific changes were also left-lateralized, being localized to the left transverse temporal gyrus, left superior temporal gyrus (STG), left postcentral gyrus, left superior frontal gyrus (SFG) and left isthmus of the cingulate cortex. Internalizing-specific changes were localized to bilateral precentral gyrus, right inferior temporal gyrus (ITG) and left fusiform gyrus.

The whole brain T-map (Figure 2C) of externalizing disorders vs. HC contrast had a
moderate level of spatial correlation with that of internalizing disorders vs. HC (r=0.294, p=0.015). Interestingly, the T map of thought disorders contrast did not correlate with the other two disorders.

ANOVA contrasting the four groups (externalizing, internalizing and thought disorders and HC) identified five significant (P_{FDR}<0.05) regions, including left pars opercularis, bilateral precentral gyrus, left caudal MFG and left transverse temporal gyrus (Supplemental Table S5). Post hoc analyses of a direct contrast revealed that CT of the left pars opercularis and caudal MFG (common regions) was thicker in both externalizing and internalizing disorders compared with HC, which was consistent with independent contrasts results. CT in left transverse temporal gyrus (externalizing-specific region) was also thicker in externalizing disorders than in HC. Notably, CT of bilateral precentral gyrus (internalizing-specific regions) was thicker in internalizing disorders than in both healthy youth and externalizing disorders, suggesting that CT bilaterally in the precentral gyrus may be a distinguishing feature between externalizing and internalizing disorders.

Association between altered cortical thickness and behavioral symptoms and cognition

For associations between CBCL scores and CT in regions with a significant diagnostic effect (Figure 3), only CT in the left isthmus of cingulate cortex positively correlated with CBCL Externalizing Problems (p= 0.002, t=3.032). No associations with internalizing-specific or common regions survived FDR correction. Regarding cognitive performance (Figure 3), List Sorting Working Memory score had notable associations with transdiagnostically affected, externalizing-specific and internalizing-specific regions. For regions affected transdiagnostically, CT in the left pars opercularis was higher in subjects with lower List Sorting Working Memory score (p=4.6×10^{-5}, t=-4.0775), lower Oral Reading Recognition score (p=2.7×10^{-4}, t=-3.649), lower crystal intelligence (p=0.002, t=-3.059) and lower total intelligence (p=0.001, t=-3.269). CT in the left caudal middle frontal gyrus was also higher in subjects with lower List Sorting Working Memory score (p=8.6×10^{-4}, t=-3.333).

Other cognitive scores also related to CT in regions specifically affected in externalizing and internalizing disorders. In general all relationships were negative correlations, suggesting higher CT in these regions related to poor cognitive performance in the affected domains. Further, these associations cut across diagnostic families and extend to healthy controls, indicating a generalized and continuous relationship between CT and cognitive measures, akin to the p factor.

Prediction of longitudinal CBCL and cognitive scores

With respect to the 2-year follow-up CBCL scores (Figure 4), only externalizing-specific regions showed significant associations. Thicker CT of the right lingual gyrus predicted higher Sluggish Cognitive Tempo symptom. Thicker CT of the left isthmus of the cingulate cortex predicted more CBCL Anxious/Depressed, Rule-Breaking...
Behavior, Aggressive Behavior, Externalizing Problems, Total Problems, Anxiety disorders, Oppositional Problems and Conduct Problems. No associations with internalizing-specific regions survived FDR correction. For 2-year follow-up cognition scores, thicker left lingual gyrus predicted higher Oral Reading Recognition (p= 0.002, t=-3.121).

**GWAS, GSEA and CTSA**

We performed GWAS of CT of common and disorders-specific regions (all together 20 significant ROIs) using 4,716 European-ancestry unrelated individuals whose structural image passed quality control. Under the classic genome-wide threshold of \( P < 5 \times 10^{-8} \), there were 8 regions with significant associations (Supplemental Table S6-13), including one common region (left pars opercularis), two externalizing-specific regions (left postcentral gyrus and right lingual gyrus) and five internalizing-specific regions (right banks of superior temporal sulcus, right inferior parietal lobule, left paracentral gyrus and bilateral precentral gyrus). The strongest association with regional CT were observed for rs76289836 at 11q13.4 in the right banks of superior temporal sulcus (STS, \( p=6.4 \times 10^{-20} \)). Although this locus has not been previously reported, its nearest gene IGF2 (insulin growth factor 2), has been linked to anxiety (44) and PTSD (45). rs2033939 at 15q14, which was associated with CT in postcentral gyrus (\( p=2.7 \times 10^{-15} \)), has also been associated with CT in postcentral gyrus in three previous GWAS (46-48). Another variant related to CT in postcentral gyrus, rs1080066 at 15q14 (\( p=5.6 \times 10^{-15} \)), has been highlighted in two recent GWAS (48, 49), where it was associated with cortical area (CA) in precentral gyrus.

The combination of positional, eQTL and 3D Chromatin Interaction mappings assigned SNPs to 1332 genes across the above 8 regions. Additionally, gene-based association analysis also identified 12 significantly associated genes (\( P_{FDR}<0.05 \)) across right precentral, left fusiform and right banks of STS. Then we merged all these identified genes into three categories: those related to regions with shared CT changes, externalizing-specific and internalizing-specific regions. These three categories had 112, 131 and 1172 associated genes (Supplemental Table S14-16), respectively.

We found that genes associated with CT of common, externalizing-specific and internalizing-specific regions have also been linked to the pathology of the related diagnostic families. For example, for common regions like left pars opercularis, the identified genes were implicated in either externalizing or internalizing disorders: ADGRL3 (formerly LPHN3, latrophilin subfamily of G-Protein-Coupled Receptor), near rs58251292 (\( p=2.61 \times 10^{-9} \)), is strongly linked with ADHD (50, 51) in a large body of literature while Slit3, including rs142753275 (\( p=2.75 \times 10^{-9} \)), has been associated with MDD (52). GSEA also showed that genes related to CT of left pars opercularis were significantly associated with internalizing symptoms like facial emotion recognition of sad faces (\( p=5.28 \times 10^{-22} \)), response to cognitive-behavioral therapy in anxiety and MDD (\( p=1.01 \times 10^{-8} \)), and externalizing symptoms like impulsivity (\( p=2.54 \times 10^{-4} \)), see Supplemental Table S17-19.
Moreover, in externalizing-specific regions like left postcentral, rs551123675 and rs529206008, which were in LD with rs114949000 \((p=7.85 \times 10^{-9})\), were identified, located in VDR (Vitamin D Receptor) that have been reported to be related to ADHD (53). In internalizing-specific regions like the right banks of STS, rs531970391, which is in LD with rs9996981 \((p=9.94 \times 10^{-20})\), located in FGF2 (fibroblast growth factor-2), has been implicated in anxiety and depression (54, 55). Other genes linked to CT in this region like HTR1B and GRM8, have also been implicated in internalizing disorders (56, 57). GSEA showed that genes associated with internalizing-specific regions were involved in neurogenesis, neuron death and differentiation.

CTSA identified shared cell types across externalizing and internalizing disorders and also disorders-specific cell types (Supplemental Table S20). Three internalizing-specific regions (right precentral, left pars triangularis and right ITG) and one externalizing-specific region (left postcentral) showed significant associations with microglia. CT in left postcentral was also associated with Ex6a (excitatory neurons), Glut3 and Glut4 (glutamatergic neurons). For other externalizing-specific regions, genetic variants for CT in the left SFG aggregated on exPFC1, exPFC2 (excitatory glutamatergic neurons from the prefrontal cortex), exCA1 and exCA3 (excitatory pyramidal neurons in the hippocampal Cornu Ammonis) while those for CT in the left isthmus of cingulate cortex aggregated on DA1 (dopaminergic neurons) and NbGaba (GABAergic neuroblasts). For common regions, CT in left pars opercularis is related to Nprog (neuronal progenitors) and ProgM (medial progenitors).

**DISCUSSION**

The current study identified regions of increased CT shared by externalizing and internalizing disorders and regions unique to each of them in a large preadolescent sample consisting of 11,878 subjects. Previous findings from smaller samples, limited by comorbidities among single mental disorders and broad diagnostic families, have been inconsistent to date. Some (13, 24) have found reduced CT in internalizing/externalizing disorders or associated with internalizing/externalizing symptoms while others had opposite findings (24, 28, 58). Our current results demonstrated increased CT characterizes in preadolescents with externalizing disorders as well as internalizing disorders. Our study provides an interesting insight when seen in conjunction with the adult sample (median age 45) of Romer et al (13) who reported notable cortical thinning in relation to the general psychopathology (‘p’ factor) as well as highly overlapping patterns across the three diagnostic families examined here. CT is one of the structural indices that is highly sensitive to age-related changes (59). The developmental trajectory of CT in relation to ‘p’ factor is likely to be one of a leftward developmental shift, characterized by increased thickness due to deficient age-appropriate reduction in preadolescence, but accelerated thinning in adulthood due to excessive age-related loss (60, 61). Alternatively, different mechanisms may be at play at different age groups; with lack of intracortical myelination (22) contributing to p
factor in preadolescence; with dendritic spine reduction/synaptic pruning or increased myelination (60, 62) contributing to dysregulations in adulthood. It is also possible that changes during later adulthood are largely compensatory in nature, secondary to the early developmental deficits, though a convincing test of this structural compensation hypothesis is still lacking (63). We noted no significant difference between thought disorders and HC, likely due to the smaller sample size, or larger shared variance between thought disorders and the HC, compared to the other 2 diagnostic families.

CT aberrations in the pars opercularis (BA44) of the left IFG and left caudal MFG were common to both externalizing and internalizing disorders. The pars opercularis, often seen as the site of Broca’s area, is not only involved in inhibitory control (64), but also plays a critical role in empathy (65), impairments of which are related to externalizing disorders like ADHD (66). Pars opercularis also located in the ventrolateral prefrontal cortex (VLPFC) that is involved in emotional regulation through direct projections to the ventral medial prefrontal cortex-amygdala pathway (67). Thicker pars opercularis may result in impairment in emotional regulation, which is related with internalizing disorders like trait anxiety (68). The caudal MFG corresponds to the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC), which plays an important role in a range of executive functions such as cognitive flexibility (69) and working memory (70). Abnormalities of DLPFC may undermine the top-down cognitive control, which are implicated in both externalizing (71, 72) and internalizing disorders (73).

Externalizing and internalizing disorders also exhibited distinct patterns of CT increase. Interestingly, externalizing-specific regions included left postcentral gyrus responsible for somatosensory processing, while internalizing-specific regions included bilateral precentral gyrus which is primary motor cortex. ANOVA results also showed that CT in bilateral precentral gyrus was thicker in internalizing disorders than in externalizing disorders. Abnormalities of postcentral gyrus have been linked to externalizing disorders or symptoms (25, 74), which are likely to result in dysfunctions of somatosensory. Previous literatures have associated defects of somatosensory regions with ADHD (75). Internalizing symptoms like anxiety could affect perceptual-motor performance (76). Children with depression or anxiety disorders often exhibit poor motor skills and performance (77). What’s more, psychomotor retardation is one of core symptoms of major depressive disorder (MDD). Therefore, alterations of cortical morphology in the precentral gyrus found in internalizing disorders like anxiety disorders (24) and MDD (78), may lead to impaired motor abilities and psychomotor retardation. Furthermore, a burgeoning body of literature (79-81) has focused on the role of somatosensory-motor network in psychopathology.

For visual and auditory cortices, we also found distinct alterations in externalizing and internalizing disorders. Externalizing patients demonstrated alterations more in primary auditory areas such as the STG and Heschl’s gyrus, while internalizing patients demonstrated alterations more in higher order visual association areas like the fusiform gyrus and ITG, which is involved in the higher order visual processing. Hypersensitivity or hyposensitivity to auditory stimulus are also common symptoms in ADHD. Functional alterations of STG and Heschl’s gyrus have been linked with ADHD (82) and conduct disorder (83). The fusiform gyrus and ITG plays an important
role in facial recognition and perception (84), abnormalities of which have been associated with internalizing disorders like depression (85). Adolescents with recurrent depression also showed decreased surface area of the left fusiform gyrus (85). ITG is also a key part of the ventral visual pathway (86), linked with internalizing disorders (87, 88). Finally, associations of the T map (Figure 2C) among three diagnostic families showed that the alteration patterns in externalizing and internalizing disorders were only slightly correlated, indicating different neural mechanism underlying externalizing and internalizing diagnostic family.

CT of the left isthmus of cingulate cortex correlated positively with CBCL scales of externalizing disorders at baseline and after two years, suggesting it is a stable predictor of externalizing behaviors or symptoms. The isthmus of cingulate cortex, connecting the posterior cingulate cortex to the parahippocampal gyrus, has not gained much attention in previous studies on externalizing disorders.

GWAS, GSEA, and CTSA identified common and unique genetic factors (genetic variants, genes, biological functions and cell types) underlying externalizing and internalizing disorders, providing genetic support for common and disorder-specific CT changes. Through GWAS, we detected the genes associated with CT in common (ADGRL3 and Slit3), externalizing-specific (VDR) and internalizing-specific (IGF2, FGF2, HTR1B and GRM8) regions, which are also implicated in the related diagnostic families. Genes associated with CT of one common region (left pars opercularis) were found to be enriched in both externalizing symptoms (“impulsivity”) and internalizing symptoms (“facial emotion recognition of sad faces” and “response to cognitive-behavioral therapy in anxiety and MDD”), further highlighting CT of left pars opercularis as a common biomarker of externalizing and internalizing disorders.

Moreover, CTSA identified both shared (microglia) and unique (glutamatergic neurons, dopaminergic neurons and GABAergic neuroblasts) cell types for different diagnostic families. Microglia were associated with CT in both externalizing-specific and internalizing-specific regions, suggesting that microglia may be a promising target for treatment of mental disorders. Microglia are the major immune cells of brain and also plays a role in synaptic plasticity, neurogenesis and memory (89, 90). Previous studies have suggested that microglial dysfunction is associated with both externalizing disorders like ADHD (91) and internalizing disorders like depression (92, 93). We found glutamatergic neurons, dopaminergic neurons and GABAergic neuroblasts were exclusively related with CT in externalizing-specific regions, and changes in glutamate/glutamine, dopamine neurotransmission pathway and GABA have been associated with ADHD (94-96). In summary, our genetic results revealed that there are both common and unique genetic factors underlying externalizing and internalizing disorders just as there are common and unique neural factors.

The current study has several strengths. Firstly, ABCD is a multisite large-scale population-representative adolescent cohort with comprehensive psychopathology assessments. Diagnoses of over twenty adolescent disorders allowed us to explore neural mechanisms underlying broad diagnostic families. Secondly, our work is the first to combine a case-control study with HiTOP in a preadolescents cohort, thus also helping to more clearly demarcate boundaries between mental disorders.
we only used non-comorbid patients, thus eliminating the interference from comorbidity among diagnostic families. Thirdly, we used longitudinal behavioral and cognition data to further validate the predictive value of biomarkers found from cross-sectional analyses. Finally, genetic data were also integrated into our study to further delineate the genetic factors influencing CT alterations. To our knowledge, it is the largest GWAS of preadolescent brain imaging phenotypes.

We also need to consider some limitations. No significant CT alterations between thought disorders and HC may be due to small sample size of “pure” thought disorders. Since the sample size in our GWAS is relatively smaller than those in traditional large-scale GWAS, our GWAS results should be taken with caution and need further validations in larger samples. Besides, the causal relationships between cognition/behavioral changes and regional CT alterations are still lacking in the current analyses. With more longitudinal data, we could further examine how these causal relationships affect the pathology of externalizing and internalizing disorders.

CONCLUSIONS

The current study identified common and unique regional CT alterations in externalizing and internalizing disorders. CT in left isthmus of cingulate cortex is a stable indicator for preadolescent externalizing disorders or symptoms. We also performed GWAS, GSEA and CTSA to investigate shared and unique genetic factors underlying externalizing and internalizing disorders. Microglia were the cell-type associated with CT for both externalizing and internalizing disorders while dopaminergic/glutamatergic/GABAergic cells related only to externalizing-specific regions. More importantly, our findings underscore the importance of searching for specificity of neural and genetic mechanisms underlying broad diagnostic families of mental disorders.

Data availability

GWAS summary statistics of regional CT of 20 ROIs could be downloaded on (https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1a64gvTM5AQAyLDuw7GQxTGQ1msXw3H?usp=sharing).
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Tables and Figures

TABLE 1. Demographics of three subsets of broad diagnostic families without comorbidity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Externalizing disorders without comorbidity</th>
<th>Internalizing disorders without comorbidity</th>
<th>Thought disorders without comorbidity</th>
<th>Healthy controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>1182</td>
<td>1959</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>4041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (months)</td>
<td>119.18(7.39)</td>
<td>119.24(7.54)</td>
<td>118.79(7.42)</td>
<td>119(7.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male)</td>
<td>804(68.02%)</td>
<td>896(45.74%)</td>
<td>182(52.45%)</td>
<td>1885(46.65%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>661(55.92%)</td>
<td>1069(54.57%)</td>
<td>150(43.23%)</td>
<td>2029(50.21%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>179(15.14%)</td>
<td>258(13.17%)</td>
<td>79(22.77%)</td>
<td>604(14.95%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>204(17.26%)</td>
<td>400(20.42%)</td>
<td>72(20.75%)</td>
<td>890(22.02%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>12(1.02%)</td>
<td>36(1.84%)</td>
<td>6(1.73%)</td>
<td>112(2.77%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others/Mixed</td>
<td>126(10.66%)</td>
<td>196(10.01%)</td>
<td>40(11.53%)</td>
<td>406(10.05%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 1. Components and comorbidity of externalizing, internalizing and thought disorders*

A

B

* In panel A, 18 mental disorders (outer circle) were classified into three broad diagnostic families (inner circle), i.e., externalizing, internalizing and thought disorders. In panel B, Venn diagram depicts the large overlap among three diagnostic families. Pure subsets of three diagnostic families: externalizing disorders, orange; internalizing disorders, blue; thought disorders, green. Abbreviations: ADHD= Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity disorder, CD=Conduct Disorder,
ODD=Oppositional Defiant Disorder, MDD=Major Depressive Disorder, GAD=Generalized Anxiety disorder, SOC=Social Anxiety Disorder, SEP=Separation Anxiety Disorder, PTSD=Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder, AGP=Agoraphobia, SPH=Specific Phobia, PAN=Panic Disorder, DYS=Dysthymia, DMDD=Disruptive Mood Dysregulation Disorder, BIP=Bipolar Disorder, OCD=Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder, DEL=Delusions, HAL=Hallucinations, APS=Associated Psychotic Symptoms.

FIGURE 2. Regions showed significant (P_{FDR}<0.05) thickness alterations in externalizing disorders group and internalizing disorders group and correlations among T-maps of three diagnostic families*

*The colorbars in panel A and panel B represent the t value of the regression coefficient from LMM. The colorbar in panel C represents Pearson correlation coefficient between T-maps of three diagnostic families. Abbreviations: Externalizing=externalizing disorders, Internalizing=internalizing disorders, Thought=thought disorders, HC=healthy control.
FIGURE 3. Associations between baseline CT in the Common (CO), Externalizing-specific and Internalizing-specific regions, baseline CBCL subscales, and NIH cognition scores

The colorbar represents the t value of the regression coefficient from LMM. Two asterisks (**) indicates $P_{FDR} < 0.05$. Abbreviations: parsopclh=left pars opercularis, cdmddfrlh=left caudal middle frontal, trvtmlh=left transverse temporal, lingualrh=right lingual, sutmlh=left superior temporal, postcnlh=left postcentral, parsobislh=left pars orbitalis, sufirlh=left superior frontal, ichatelnlh=left isthmus of cingulate cortex, precnlh=left precentral, ifmrh=right inferior temporal, precnrh=right precentral, fusiformlh=left fusiform, lobfrlh=left lateral orbitofrontal, iflprlh=right inferior parietal lobule, banksstsrh=right banks of superior temporal sulcus, linguallh=left lingual, paraclhn=left paracentral, parstgrislh=left pars triangularis, smlh=left supramarginal, AnxDemP=Anxious/Depressed, WithDemP=Withdrawn/Depressed, Somatic=Somatic Complaints, Social=Social Problems, Thought=Thought Problems, Attention=Attention Problems, Rulebreak=Rule-Breaking Behavior, Aggressive=Aggressive Behavior, Internal/Internalizing Problems, External/Externalizing Problems, TotProb=Total Problems, Anxdisord=Anxiety disorders, Somaticp=Somatic Problems, SCT=Sluggish Cognitive Tempo, Opposite=Oppositional Defiant Problems, Conduct=Conduct Problems, OCD=Obsessive-Compulsive Problems, Stress=Stress Problems, ADHD=Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Problems, picvocab=Picture Vocabulary, flanker=Flanker Inhibitory Control and Attention, list=List Sorting Working Memory, cardsort=Dimensional Change Card Sort, pattern=Pattern Comparison Processing Speed, Picture=Picture Sequence Memory, reading=Oral Reading Recognition, fluidcomp=fluid composite, cryst=crystallized composite, totalcomp=total composite.
FIGURE 4. Associations between baseline CT in the Common (CO), Externalizing-specific and Internalizing-specific regions, 2-year follow-up CBCL scores, and 2-year follow-up cognition scores

*The colorbar represents the t value of the regression coefficient from LMM. Two asterisks (**) indicates $P_{FDR}<0.05$. Abbreviations: parsopclh=left pars opercularis, cdmdfrlh=left caudal middle frontal, trvtmlh=left transverse temporal, lingualrh=right lingual, sutmlh=left superior temporal, postcnlh=left postcentral, parsobislh=left pars orbitalis, sufrlh=left superior frontal, ihcatelh=left isthmus of cingulate cortex, precnlh=left precentral, ifmnrh=right inferior temporal, precnrh=right precentral, fusiformlh=left fusiform, lobfrlh=left lateral orbitofrontal, ifplrh=right inferior parietal lobule, banksstrlh=right banks of superior temporal sulcus, linguallh=left lingual, paracnlh=left paracentral, parstgrislh=left pars triangularis, smlh=left supramarginal, AnxDep=Anxious/Depressed, WithDep=Withdrawn/Depressed, Somatic=Somatic Complaints, Social=Social Problems, Thought=Thought Problems, Attention=Attention Problems, Rulebreak=Rule-Breaking Behavior, Aggressive=Aggressive Behavior, Internal=Internalizing Problems, External=Externalizing Problems, TotProb=Total Problems, Anxdisord=Anxiety disorders, Somaticpr=Somatic Problems, SCT=Sluggish Cognitive Tempo, Opposite=Oppositional Defiant Problems, Conduct=Conduct Problems, OCD=Obsessive-Compulsive Problems, Stress=Stress Problems, ADHD=Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Problems, picvocab=Picture Vocabulary, flanker=Flanker Inhibitory Control and Attention, list=List Sorting Working Memory, cardsort=Dimensional Change Card Sort, pattern=Pattern Comparison Processing Speed, Picture=Picture Sequence Memory, reading=Oral Reading Recognition, fluidcomp=fluid composite, cryst=crystallized composite, totalcomp=total composite.