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Abstract
The effect of the COVID-19 vaccination as an individual-based preventive measure on mental health is largely unclear in the literature. The authors report a preliminary finding on whether vaccination effectively improves mental health among employees in Japan based on a prospective study (E-COCO-J). Of the total sample (N=948), 105 (11.1%) were vaccinated at least once at the follow-up survey (June 2021). There was no significant effect of vaccination on the change of psychological distress at baseline (February 2021) and follow-up (June 2021), after adjusting for gender, age, marital status, education, chronic disease, company size, industry, and occupation (healthcare workers or non-HCWs). Providing continuous mental health care for employees is important in an early vaccination phase.
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Deteriorated mental health is a major public health concern during the COVID-19 pandemic among working populations, including healthcare workers (HCWs) and non-HCWs, and the community overall. Vaccination is considered an effective individual-based preventive measure to control the COVID-19 epidemic. People adopted individual-based preventive measures, such as hand-washing, wearing masks, and others, to cope with fear and worry about COVID-19. Using a COVID-19 contact tracking app was associated with better mental health. However, the effect of the COVID-19 vaccination as an individual-based preventive measure on mental health is largely unclear in the literature. We thus report a preliminary finding on whether vaccination effectively improves mental health among employees in Japan based on a prospective study.

The data were retrieved from the Employee Cohort Study conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic in Japan (E-COCO-J). The Research Ethics Committee of The University of Tokyo approved this study (No. 10856-(2)(3)(4)(5)). We measured psychological distress using an 18-item scale of the Brief Job Stress Questionnaire (BJSQ) [possible range: 18 - 72] at T1 (4 - 10 February 2021) and T2 (22 - 29 June 2021). We limited the analytic sample to individuals employed at both T1 and T2 without missing covariates. In Japan, priority vaccination for HCWs began on February 17, followed by people over 65 and people with other conditions.
chronic diseases. Vaccination programs started on June 21 in a few workplaces.

Of the total sample (N=948), 105 (11.1%) were vaccinated at least once at T2.

Participants vaccinated at least once were more likely to be females (p<0.001), employed in a company with 300-999 employees (p=0.007), work in the medical and welfare industry (p<0.001), and attain college/vocational education (p<0.001). Proportions of vaccinated participants were higher for healthcare workers (HCWs) (n=56/103: 54.4%) than for non-HCWs (n=47/817: 5.8%) and participants with unknown occupation (n=2/28: 7.1%) (df=2, p<0.001).

Differences between vaccinated and non-vaccinated participants in age, marital status, and chronic physical condition were non-significant.

The crude mean scores (standard deviations, SDs) of psychological distress at T1 and T2 were 41.8 (10.9) and 42.0 (11.9) for vaccinated participants and 41.2 (11.4) and 41.2 (11.6) for non-vaccinated participants, respectively, with no significant effect of having been vaccinated (Cohen’s d= 0.02, p=0.833 for the time x group interaction by repeated ANOVA).

After adjusting for gender, age, marital status, education, chronic disease, company size, industry, and occupation (HCWs or non-HCWs), the estimated mean scores (standard errors, SEs) of psychological distress at T1 and T2 were 41.4 (1.7) and 42.4 (1.8) for vaccinated participants and 43.3 (1.2) and 43.4 (1.2) for non-vaccinated participants, respectively, with no significant effect (p=0.446).
Our study did not show improved psychological distress among participants vaccinated at least once compared to non-vaccinated individuals immediately after vaccination started in Japan. The findings suggest that vaccination for COVID-19 may not improve workers’ mental health, especially not worsened and sustained psychological distress during COVID-19 outbreaks. An effort to continuously monitor and improve workers’ mental health during the COVID-19 should continue despite vaccination.

A previous study reported that people who had higher stress are more likely to use individual-based preventive behaviors (e.g., washing hands). Therefore, vaccinated participants would be expected to have higher stress. However, since we adjusted for psychological distress before the vaccination in our study, this expectation does not apply to our findings. Another possible reason is that the study was conducted in an early phase of vaccination in this country. People may not be fully convinced that the vaccination effectively reduces the risk of COVID-19 infection. In addition, employees’ mental health may be affected not only by a perceived risk of COVID-19 infection but also by social and economic problems due to the epidemic.

Several limitations should be addressed. The sample was small, comprising full-time employees recruited via an online platform in Japan. HCWs included employees working in non-clinical settings. Only a few participants were vaccinated, and some were vaccinated only
once. Analyzing samples of fully vaccinated individuals in other countries and another phase of a pandemic may lead to different conclusions. In conclusion, providing mental health care for employees is important in an early vaccination phase.
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