White matter disruption as a cause or consequence of schizophrenia: A Mendelian randomization study
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**Brief abstract**

Schizophrenia is hypothesized to be caused by impaired functional integration in the brain, and this could hypothetically be caused by white matter disruptions, synaptic dysfunction, or both. Neuroimaging studies consistently show reduced fractional anisotropy, a measure of white matter integrity, in patients with schizophrenia. Using Mendelian randomization, we show that these white matter changes are likely to be the consequence of a primary synaptopathy in schizophrenia.

**Main text**

Schizophrenia (SZ) is a severe mental illness affecting ~1% of the population. The etiology of SZ is unknown, but likely involves a complex interplay between genetic and environmental risk factors shaping brain development.\(^1\) Despite its elusive etiology, an overarching idea of schizophrenia as a disintegration of the mind has persisted from the very inception of schizophrenia as a diagnostic construct till present day. The disintegration-idea comes in two versions (Figure 1). One version implies that functional integration is impaired due to anatomical disruptions in white matter fiber tracts, causing disconnection between regions (“dis” = “apart”) and can be traced back to Wernicke’s “sejunction hypothesis”.\(^2\) The other version implies that functional integration is impaired due to changes at the level of synaptic efficacy and plasticity, causing dysconnection between brain regions (“dys” = “ill”), an idea more in line with Bleuler’s conception of schizophrenia.\(^3\) Recently, modern neuroimaging and connectivity analyses have provided novel empirical evidence for impaired functional integration in schizophrenia, however, whether these impairments are caused by anatomical disruptions or by synaptic dysfunction remains unresolved.

In favor of an anatomical disconnection hypothesis, diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) studies on patients with SZ have found reduced fractional anisotropy (FA) in cerebral white matter tracts, particularly in the corpus callosum.\(^4-6\) In white matter, FA is primarily affected by fiber orientation, myelination, and axonal density, and can cautiously be interpreted as a measure of white matter integrity.\(^7\) In favor of a synaptic dysconnection hypothesis, several lines of evidence point towards synaptic dysfunction as the primary cause of schizophrenia,\(^8,9\) e.g. studies in computational neuroscience,\(^10,11\) pharmacology,\(^12\), and genetics.\(^13\) Specifically, genome-wide association studies (GWAS) of schizophrenia have revealed strong enrichment for genes implicated in synaptic organization, differentiation, and transmission,\(^15\) pointing away from a primary anatomical cause of schizophrenia. In this view, the anatomical (white matter) changes are seen as secondary consequences to a primary synaptic dysfunction (Figure 1).\(^9\) Intriguingly, a prior study found a negative association between a polygenic risk score (PRS) for schizophrenia and white matter FA,\(^14\) but this correlational analysis does not allow for causal inference.
In summary, the dysconnection hypothesis attributes the primary pathophysiology to a synaptopathy (particularly involving the modulation of synaptic gain and efficacy) that leads to secondary anatomical disconnection (via experience-dependent plasticity, synaptic regression, and accompanying activity-dependent myelination). Conversely, the “sejunction hypothesis” considers white matter disruption (leukodystrophy) as the primary (e.g., neurodevelopmental, or epigenetic) etiology, leading to a secondary synaptopathy that underwrites schizophrenia.

In the present study, we aimed to infer the causal relationship between schizophrenia and FA using Mendelian randomization, a method from genetic epidemiology that uses genetic variants (single nucleotide polymorphisms, SNPs) as instrumental variables for heritable traits of interest. In brief, Mendelian randomization licenses causal inference by using instrumental variables (based upon SNPs) to relax the assumption of independence between exposure (e.g., schizophrenia) and unmodelled (e.g., random) effects on outcomes (e.g., FA reductions). Our analyses used GWAS summary statistics from the Oxford Brain Imaging Genetics (BIG40) web server and the Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium. The BIG40 web server contains summary statistics from ~4,000 image-derived phenotypes (IDPs) measured in almost ~40,000 individuals from the UK Biobank. We focused our investigation on FA in the corpus callosum for two reasons: First, because reduced FA in the corpus callosum is a consistent finding in schizophrenia across studies and second, because the corpus callosum has a strict left-right orientation, eliminating fiber orientation as a confound of FA.

Using Mendelian randomization (see Online methods), we found nominally significant evidence for a causal effect of (genetic liability to) schizophrenia on FA reductions in the genu (logOR=-0.028, SD=0.13, p=0.037) and splenium (logOR=-0.025, SD=0.13, p=0.047) of the corpus callosum, but not the body of the corpus callosum (logOR=-0.020, SD=0.013, p=0.106). As a sensitivity analysis, we performed Egger regression, and found no evidence of pleiotropy. We chose not to adjust for multiple comparisons due to the non-independence between comparisons (the genetic correlations between pairs of FA measures were between 0.65 and 0.88). However, if one does apply Bonferroni-correction for the three comparisons, none of the tests are statistically significant (α = .05), underscoring the weakness of the presented evidence.

Conversely, we found no evidence of a causal effect of reduced FA on schizophrenia in any part of the corpus callosum. This negative finding must, of course, be interpreted in light of the relatively weaker genetic instrument for FA in the corpus callosum (explaining 2.4-3% of the variance), compared to the slightly stronger genetic instrument for schizophrenia (explaining 6.4% of the variance). Importantly in this regard, a causal effect of genetic liability to schizophrenia on white matter disruption, does not preclude a contributory causal role of white matter disruption on schizophrenia.
In summary, our findings provide preliminary evidence that white matter disruptions may be a consequence of a primary synaptopathy in schizophrenia. We can, however, not draw firm conclusions on this question due to the small effect sizes, and consider them merely to represent putative evidence for the primacy of synaptic, over anatomical, disruptions in schizophrenia. We propose that this step be followed by replication studies and other lines of investigation, to further the understanding of the etiology of schizophrenia.
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**Figure 1**
Graphical representation of two hypotheses to explain the role of white matter disruption in schizophrenia.

---

**Disconnection ("sejunction") hypothesis**
- White matter disruption
  - Impaired anatomical connectivity
    - "Disconnectivity"
  - Impaired functional integration
    - Schizophrenia

**Dysconnection hypothesis**
- Synaptopathy
  - Abnormal connectivity
    - "Dysconnectivity"
  - Impaired functional integration
    - Schizophrenia
  - White matter changes

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>Estimate (SD)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FA in genu of CC</strong></td>
<td>Schizophrenia</td>
<td>IVW slope</td>
<td>-.028 (.013)</td>
<td>.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WM slope</td>
<td>-.027 (.016)</td>
<td>.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Egger slope</td>
<td>-.123 (.06)</td>
<td>.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Egger intercept</td>
<td>.006 (.004)</td>
<td>.104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FA in body of CC</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>IVW slope</td>
<td>-.020 (.013)</td>
<td>.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WM slope</td>
<td>-.017 (.016)</td>
<td>.268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Egger slope</td>
<td>-.088 (.058)</td>
<td>.128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Egger intercept</td>
<td>.004 (.003)</td>
<td>.228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FA in splenium of CC</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>IVW slope</td>
<td>-.025 (.013)</td>
<td>.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WM slope</td>
<td>-.038 (.016)</td>
<td>.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Egger slope</td>
<td>-.136 (.057)</td>
<td>.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Egger intercept</td>
<td>.007 (.003)</td>
<td>.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FA in genu of CC</strong></td>
<td>Schizophrenia</td>
<td>IVW slope</td>
<td>-.020 (.073)</td>
<td>.788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WM slope</td>
<td>-.038 (.052)</td>
<td>.468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Egger slope</td>
<td>.608 (.313)</td>
<td>.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Egger intercept</td>
<td>.032 (.016)</td>
<td>.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FA in body of CC</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>IVW slope</td>
<td>-.023 (.077)</td>
<td>.765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WM slope</td>
<td>-.080 (.062)</td>
<td>.196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Egger slope</td>
<td>.927 (.448)</td>
<td>.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Egger intercept</td>
<td>.043 (.021)</td>
<td>.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FA in splenium of CC</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>IVW slope</td>
<td>-.010 (.106)</td>
<td>.924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WM slope</td>
<td>-.108 (.064)</td>
<td>.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Egger slope</td>
<td>-.127 (.471)</td>
<td>.788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Egger intercept</td>
<td>.007 (.025)</td>
<td>.765</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CC = corpus callosum. FA = fractional anisotropy. IVW = inverse variance-weighted regression. SNPs = single nucleotide polymorphisms. SD = standard deviation. WM = weighted median regression.