Hepatitis B and D: a forecast on actions needed to reduce incidence and achieve elimination
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Abstract

**Background.** Viral hepatitis negatively affects the health of millions, with the worst health outcomes associated with the hepatitis D virus (HDV). Fortunately, HDV is rare and requires prior infection with the hepatitis B virus (HBV) before it can establish infection and transmit. As such, public health officials have opted to indirectly control HDV by reducing HBV incidence, primarily through hepatitis B vaccination, which has dramatically reduced HBV incidence since its rollout in the 1980s. However, investigations into the consequences of hepatitis B vaccination on both the control and evolution of HDV remain limited.

**Methods.** We developed a mathematical model of HBV and HDV transmission to investigate the effects of hepatitis B vaccination on both HBV and HDV. We calibrated our model to the HBV and HDV transmission scenarios occurring in Sub-Saharan Africa, and estimate HBV vaccination thresholds that cause HBV and HDV elimination, inhibit the spread of virulent HDV strains, and achieve the targets set by public health authorities for reducing all viral hepatitis incidence by 90%.

**Results.** Our findings illustrate hepatitis B vaccination rates above 0.0096 year$^{-1}$ and 0.018 year$^{-1}$ will likely achieve HDV and HBV elimination, respectively. Furthermore, in the majority of transmission settings, scaling-up vaccination rates to at least 0.009 year$^{-1}$ or 0.02136 year$^{-1}$ will achieve the 90% reduction in hepatitis D and B, respectively, called for by health authorities.
Conclusion. Our results suggest a scale-up of hepatitis B vaccination is required to achieve the targets set by public health officials for reducing all viral hepatitis by 90%. Furthermore, the scale-up required to achieve such targets would bring HBV and HDV to the brink of the vaccination threshold required for their elimination. Thus, with sufficient investments to scale up global hepatitis vaccination, prevention, testing, and treatment services, the eradication of both HBV and HDV are likely feasible endeavors, especially once the 90% reduction goal is reached.
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1. Introduction

Hepatitis viruses are estimated to infect 2.3 billion individuals worldwide [1]. Of these individuals, the 12.4 million [2,3] who are chronically co-infected with the hepatitis B (HBV) and hepatitis D (HDV) viruses likely face the worst prognosis, as the odds of liver cancer are more likely, and the progression towards liver-related death occurs much more rapidly [3]. Fortunately, two key facts offer hope against these negative outcomes. First, HDV infection is uncommon, as it requires prior infection with HBV to infect and transmit [3]. Second, the annual incidence of HBV continues to plummet in many regions due to the successful rollout of highly efficacious HBV vaccines. In fact, the HBV vaccine has been so successful that it has been adopted into 184 government immunization programs [2], which have been a driving force in its continued ability to reduce the global prevalence of HBV.

While the effect of the HBV vaccine on HBV incidence and prevalence are well-studied [4–6], far less is known about its impact on the transmission of HDV. In part, this lack of information is because some do not consider HDV to be a relevant medical problem [7]. It is this stance that once led to a decline in screening for HDV infection [8,9], and its subsequent rebound in parts of Europe [7]. Even with the rebound of HDV, some public health officials continue to only control HDV indirectly through sustained efforts to reduce HBV, such as HBV vaccination, the use of harm reduction services, and education on both blood and injection safety [3], instead of investing time and resources into developing HDV specific health interventions. Regardless of whether specific health interventions should be developed for HDV, there are still important questions that remain unanswered concerning HDV’s status in the world. For instance, it is unknown what level of HBV vaccination is required so the decline in current HDV incidence
reaches the reductions called for by the Global Health Sector Strategy on Viral Hepatitis 2016-2021 [10]. Similarly, it is also unknown whether the current HBV vaccination levels are sufficiently high to cause the elimination of HDV, as ongoing surveillance illustrates a marked decline of HDV in the Western world, among other regions [7].

To inform on the potential for HBV vaccination to aid in meeting the targets of the Global Health Sector Strategy on Viral Hepatitis 2016-2021 [10], its potential to cause HDV elimination, and its ability to inhibit the transmission of virulent HDV strains, we developed a mathematical model of HBV and HDV transmission. Our mathematical model considers the most common transmission routes for both HBV and HDV infection, namely person-to-person transmission, in addition to acute and chronic stages of infection. To calibrate our mathematical model, we used freely available data on HBV and HDV [7,11–13], as well as demographic data from regions that feature low, intermediate, and high HDV prevalence, along with vaccination coverages of 13.4%, 24.7%, and 62.1%, respectively [14]. We also estimated the vaccination levels required to reduce HBV and HDV incidence by 90% (one of the primary objectives of the Global Health Sector Strategy on Viral Hepatitis 2016-2021), the levels required to cause both HBV and HDV elimination, and the levels required to inhibit the spread of virulent HDV strains.

2. Materials and Methods

To evaluate the effect of the HBV vaccination on inhibiting the spread and virulence evolution of HDV, we created a mathematical model of HBV and HDV transmission (Webappendix). Through the mathematical model, we estimate the effect that HBV vaccination has on HDV
transmission by reducing the number of individuals who are susceptible to HDV infection. We consider scenarios where HBV vaccination achieves 13.4%, 24.7%, and 62.1% coverage [14], with vaccine efficacies of 80%, 90%, or 100% [15] to measure the associated decline in HDV incidence, identify the vaccination required to reduce hepatitis incidence by 90%, and determine the thresholds that cause hepatitis B and D elimination. In addition, to provide further credibility on the merit of HBV vaccination for controlling the spread and evolution of HDV, we also evaluate the potential spread of mutant strains of HDV, and the impact that this might have on the control and elimination of both HBV and HDV.

2.1 Mathematical model. For our mathematical model, we consider a population divided into classes based on disease status. For HBV, this amounts to individuals susceptible to infection (S), acute HBV infected individuals (A_B), chronic HBV infected individuals (C_B), individuals recovered from HBV infection (R_B), and HBV vaccinated individuals (V). For HDV, due to the requirement of HBV infection, we have acute HBV and HDV infected individuals ([A_B A_D]), chronic HBV and acute HDV infected individuals ([C_B A_D]), chronic HBV and HDV infected individuals ([C_B C_D]) and individuals with chronic HBV infection that have recovered from HDV ([C_B R_D]). Our model considers the rate that susceptible individuals become infected with HBV to be

$$\lambda_B = \beta_B \frac{A_B + C_B + [A_B A_D] + [C_B A_D] + [C_B C_D] + [C_B R_D]}{N},$$

where \( \beta_B \) is the transmission rate of HBV and \( N \) is the total population. In addition, the rate that HBV infected individuals become co-infected with HDV is
\[
\lambda_D = \beta_D \frac{[A_B A_D] + [C_B A_D] + [C_B C_D]}{N},
\]

where \(\beta_D\) is the transmission rate of HDV.

Also considered in our model, is the birth rate \((b)\), the proportion of newborns with hepatitis \((\sigma)\), the rate latent hepatitis infection clears or becomes active \((\gamma)\), the proportion of latent hepatitis infections that lead to active disease \((\rho)\), the recovery rate from hepatitis infection \((\delta)\), the hepatitis associated death rate \((\mu)\), and the vaccination rate for HBV \((\nu)\). Note, parameter subscripts of \(B\) and \(D\) correspond to the parameters associated with HBV infection and HDV infection, respectively. Further details of parameters, including values and sources, are available in Table 1.

2.2 The reproductive numbers of HBV and HDV. To provide insight on the potential for the HBV vaccine to eradicate both HBV and HDV we estimated the basic [16,17], control [18], and effective [18] reproductive numbers. The reproductive numbers of HBV are estimated using the next-generation method (Webappendix). Using this approach, we have that the effective reproductive number is calculated as

\[
\mathcal{R}_{eff}^B = \frac{\delta_B + \mu + \mu_B + \rho \gamma}{(\gamma_B + \mu) (\delta_B + \mu + \mu_B)} \left( \beta_B \frac{S}{N} + b \sigma_B \right).
\]

Evaluating the effective reproductive number at the disease-free equilibrium, we obtain the control reproductive number for HBV:

\[
\mathcal{R}_v^B = \frac{\delta_B + \mu + \mu_B + \rho \gamma}{(\gamma_B + \mu) (\delta_B + \mu + \mu_B)} \left( \beta_B \frac{b}{\mu + \nu} + b \sigma_B \right).
\]

Further imposing that \(\nu = 0\), we obtain the basic reproductive number for HBV:
\[
\mathcal{R}_0^B = \mathcal{R}_v^B |_{v=0}.
\]

From the control reproductive number, we determine the critical HBV vaccination level to cause elimination by solving \(\mathcal{R}_v^B \leq 1\) for \(v\).

With regards to HDV, we also use the next-generation method to estimate the reproductive numbers (Webappendix). Using this approach, we have that the control reproductive number for HDV is

\[
\mathcal{R}_v^D = \frac{1}{(\gamma_B + \mu)(\gamma_D + \mu + \mu_B + \delta_B)(\mu + \mu_D + \delta_D)} \left( (\mu^2 + (\mu_B + \mu_D + \rho \gamma_B + \gamma_D + \delta_B + \delta_D) \mu 
\right.
\]

\[
+ (\mu_B + \gamma_D + \delta_B + \rho \gamma_B)(\mu_D + \delta_D) + \rho \gamma_D \gamma_D \left( \beta_D \frac{A_B}{N} + b \sigma_D \right) + \beta_D (\gamma_B + \mu)(\rho_D \gamma_D + \mu + \mu_D + \delta_D) \frac{C_B}{N} \right)
\]

where \(\hat{A}_B\) and \(\hat{C}_B\) correspond to the HBV endemic and HDV free equilibrium values (Webappendix). It naturally follows that the basic reproductive number is

\[
\mathcal{R}_0^D = \mathcal{R}_v^D |_{v=0}.
\]

From \(\mathcal{R}_v^D\), the critical HBV vaccination level to cause the elimination of HDV is determined by solving \(\mathcal{R}_v^D \leq 1\) for \(v\).

**2.3 Invasion analysis.** To determine whether mutant HDV strains can usurp the currently circulating HDV strain, we consider an extension of our HBV and HDV transmission model to include an additional mutant HDV strain (Webappendix). Using this extended system, we
examine the potential for the mutant HDV strain to invade by the use of the mutant HDV strain’s basic reproductive number:

\[
\mathcal{R}_M^D = \frac{\beta_M}{(\gamma_B + \mu)(\mu + \mu_M + \delta_M)(\gamma_M + \delta_B + \mu + \mu_B)} \left( \mu^2 + (\delta_B + \delta_M + \mu_M + \mu_B + \rho \gamma + \gamma_M)\mu \right.
\]

\[
+ \rho (\mu_M + \delta_M + \rho_M \gamma_M) \gamma_B + (\mu_M + \delta_M)(\gamma_M + \mu_B + \delta_B) \right) \frac{\tilde{A}_B}{N}
\]

\[
+ (\gamma_B + \mu)(\mu + \mu_M + \delta_M + \rho_M \gamma_M) \frac{\tilde{C}_B}{N},
\]

where \(\tilde{A}_B\) and \(\tilde{C}_B\) are the values of the acute and chronically infected HBV individuals at the HBV and HDV co-endemic equilibrium (Webappendix).

By the competitive exclusion principle [19] a mutant disease strain is out-competed by a resident strain that features a higher basic reproductive number. So, we determine the HBV vaccination level so that \(\mathcal{R}_M^D < \mathcal{R}_D^D\) for mutant HDV strains that feature enhanced transmission rates \(\beta_M\) that are 0.75, 1.0, 1.25, 1.50, or 1.75-fold that of \(\beta_D\). We also evaluate the effect of enhanced incubation periods and average durations of chronic infection on the overall ability for mutant HDV strains to invade.

**2.4 Transmission scenarios.** To capture the effect of HBV vaccination on HBV and HDV incidence we consider scenarios of high, intermediate, and low HBV transmission intensity, and base HBV and HDV transmission intensity on estimates of their basic reproductive numbers [11,20]. In addition, we base model demographics on the population of Sub-Saharan Africa [21] due to the variety of HBV and HDV transmission settings that occur in the region.
**HBV transmission and vaccination.** We consider baseline scenarios to be when HBV vaccination rates achieve 13.4%, 24.7%, and 62.1% coverage [14] with vaccine efficacies of 80%, 90%, and 100% [15]. We classify these scenarios as high, intermediate, and low endemic HBV regions, respectively, and obtain two estimates of the required increase in HBV vaccination rates needed to achieve a 90% reduction in HBV incidence. The first estimate using the HBV endemic and HDV free equilibrium to determine the required increase in HBV vaccination rate, while the second uses the HBV and HDV co-endemic equilibrium. In addition, to calibrate HBV transmission rates, we use estimates of the basic reproductive number for HBV [11], and estimates of HBV recovery and mortality rates (Table 1, Webappendix).

**HDV transmission.** To determine the transmission intensity of HDV, we use estimates of its reproductive number from the literature [20]. Furthermore, we estimate the required increase in HBV vaccination rates to achieve a 90% reduction in HDV incidence under high, intermediate, and low HBV transmission intensities, using the HBV and HDV co-endemic equilibrium.

**2.5 Sensitivity analysis.** To quantify how each parameters’ uncertainty contributes to the variability in predictions of control reproductive numbers, we calculated variance-based first-order sensitivity indices [22]. Details of the probability distributions used in the calculation of indices are available in Table 1.

**3. Results**

To determine the effect of HBV vaccination on the control, elimination, and virulence evolution of HDV we simulated the transmission of HBV and HDV while calibrated to HBV vaccination rates that achieve 13.4%, 24.7%, and 62.1% vaccination coverage, respectively [14]. Using these
baseline values, we estimated the required increase in vaccination to reduce HBV and HDV incidence by 90%, the vaccination thresholds that eliminate HDV alone, in addition to both HBV and HDV, along with the vaccination levels required to inhibit the invasion of more virulent HDV strains under high, intermediate, and low endemic HBV settings. We gauged the risk for HDV evolution by considering mutant strains with transmission rates of 75%, 100%, 125%, 150%, and 175% the resident HDV strain transmission rate.

In the absence of HDV, we found that the vaccination rates required to achieve the vaccination coverages of 13.4%, 24.7%, and 62.1% are 0.0033 year\(^{-1}\), 0.0068 year\(^{-1}\), and 0.019 year\(^{-1}\) for high, intermediate, and low HBV transmission intensities, respectively, at the HBV endemic and HDV free equilibrium. Using these rates as baseline values and the HBV endemic and HDV free equilibrium, a 90% reduction in HBV incidence requires increasing the vaccination rates to 0.0166 year\(^{-1}\), and 0.0170 year\(^{-1}\) for the high and intermediate transmission intensities, respectively (Figure 1a). For the low transmission intensity, the vaccination rate of 0.019 year\(^{-1}\) causes \(R_v^B < 1\) (Figure 2), thereby rendering the endemic equilibrium infeasible.

When considering both HBV and HDV transmission at the co-endemic equilibrium, we found that the vaccination rates required to achieve HBV vaccination coverages were similar to those of the HBV endemic and HDV free equilibrium, namely 0.0033 year\(^{-1}\), 0.0068 year\(^{-1}\), and 0.023 year\(^{-1}\), respectively. However, achieving a 90% reduction in HBV incidence required further increasing the HBV vaccination rates to 0.021 year\(^{-1}\), and 0.0237 year\(^{-1}\) (Figure 1b), for the high and intermediate transmission intensities, respectively. Our results also show to achieve a 90% reduction in HDV incidence in regions with high or intermediate levels of HBV
transmission intensity requires a lower increase in the HBV vaccination rate, namely HBV vaccination rates of 0.0089 year\(^{-1}\), and 0.009 year\(^{-1}\).

Figure 1. Percent reduction in incidence for given vaccination rate. a) Reduction at HBV endemic and HDV free equilibrium for high (red dashed line) and intermediate (blue dash-dot line) transmission, and b) Reduction at HBV and HDV co-endemic equilibrium for high (red dashed line), and intermediate (blue dash-dot line) transmission.
Figure 2. Control reproductive number for HBV and HDV versus the annual vaccination rate. The critical value for disease elimination (red dash-dot line), $\mathcal{R}_V^B$ (black solid line) and $\mathcal{R}_V^D$ (blue dashed line).

Our model predicts increasing HBV vaccination rates to levels that cause a 90% reduction in HBV and HDV incidence would avert 2.64 (95% CI 2.54, 2.73), and 2.04 (95% CI 1.96, 2.12), and HBV incidence per 1000 people over 5 years, under high and intermediate HBV transmission intensity (Figure 3). These HBV vaccination rates would also prevent 0.151 (95% CI 0.138, 0.163), and 0.113 (95% CI 0.103, 0.123) HDV incidence per 1000 people over 5 years, under the same HBV vaccination scenarios. The HBV incidence averted increases to 9.66 (95% CI 9.31, 10.00), and 7.44 (95% CI 7.15, 7.74), and 0.679 (95% CI 0.629, 0.729) per 1000 people, and 0.510 (95% CI 0.469, 0.550) per 1000 people for HDV incidence if a 10-year period is considered (Figure 3).
Figure 3. HBV and HDV incidence averted. Incidence averted was calculated by subtracting mean HBV and mean HDV trajectories for high (green dashed line), and intermediate (blue solid line) settings under HBV vaccination rates that cause a 90% reduction in HBV incidence from incidence predicted with baseline HBV vaccination rates. Mean trajectories are calculated from model predictions using 1000 random parameter samples.

Our results indicate mutant strains of HDV are unlikely to usurp currently circulating HDV strains. Specifically, for an HBV vaccination rate of at least 0.0033 year\(^{-1}\), our results show that a mutant strain of HDV would need to feature a transmission rate over 125% that of the currently circulating HDV strain and at least a 1.8-fold longer incubation period, or at least a 1.2-fold longer average duration of chronic infection (Figure 4, Figure 5).
Figure 4. The ratio of control reproductive numbers versus incubation rate. The ratio features a mutant HDV strain with a) $\beta_M = 0.5\beta_D$, b) $\beta_M = 0.75\beta_D$, c) $\beta_M = 1.0\beta_D$, d) $\beta_M = 1.25\beta_D$, e) $\beta_M = 1.5\beta_D$, and f) $\beta_M = 1.75\beta_D$ along with vaccination rates $\nu$ of 0.0068 (grey dash-dot line), and 0.0033 (blue solid line) per year, respectively, with the critical value for invasion of 1 (red dashed line).
Figure 5. The ratio of control reproductive numbers versus the average duration of chronic infection for the mutant HDV strain. The ratio features a mutant HDV strain with a) $\beta_M = 0.5 \beta_D$, b) $\beta_M = 0.75 \beta_D$, c) $\beta_M = 1.0 \beta_D$, d) $\beta_M = 1.25 \beta_D$, e) $\beta_M = 1.5 \beta_D$, and f) $\beta_M = 1.75 \beta_D$ along with vaccination rates $\nu$ of 0.0068 (grey dash-dot line), and 0.0033 (blue solid line) per year, respectively, with the critical value for invasion of 1 (red dashed line).

The sensitivity analysis of model parameters shows that $\mathcal{R}^B_v$ and $\mathcal{R}^D_v$ were most sensitive to the natural death rate and the duration of chronic infection with HBV (Figure 5). Beyond these parameters, $\mathcal{R}^B_v$ was also sensitive to the HBV vaccination rate, although this had little effect $\mathcal{R}^D_v$ (Figure 5). In a similar fashion, $\mathcal{R}^D_v$ was sensitive to the duration of chronic infection with HDV, but this parameter had a negligible impact on $\mathcal{R}^B_v$ (Figure 6). Other model parameters had a minimal impact on any variability of both $\mathcal{R}^B_v$ and $\mathcal{R}^D_v$ (Figure 6).
Figure 6. Variance-based sensitivity indices. a) control reproductive number for HBV and b) control reproductive number for HDV. Index calculation is based on 10,000 random parameter samples.

4. Discussion

The analysis of our mathematical model of HBV and HDV coinfection predicts that HBV vaccination levels beyond 0.018 year$^{-1}$ will eliminate both HBV and HDV. For moderate HBV vaccination levels, our model shows that while there are risks associated with mutant HDV strains, a dramatic increase in disease transmissibility, incubation period, or duration of chronic infection would need to occur to offset the benefit of vaccination.

The findings of our work reaffirm theoretical studies that show HDV has an impact on the spread and control of HBV [20]. Specifically, our results indicate that the presence of HDV requires a higher HBV vaccination rate to cause the elimination of both diseases. A potential workaround of this issue is to first focus on HDV elimination, given the relatively low HBV vaccination rate required to accomplish this feat, and then focus on HBV elimination. While
such an endeavor would likely take a longer period to complete, it would entail less upscaling of HBV vaccination and therefore may be more feasible in regions where health resources are scarce.

As our work considers HBV and HDV transmission among a general population, an important direction for future work is to include demographic structure to reflect the role that at-risk communities, such as drug injectors and sex workers, play in enabling persistence of both HBV and HDV. Similarly, modifications to our model to reflect individuals’ knowledge of HBV and HDV infection status and stratification by age would also likely prove to be fruitful avenues for further investigation.

To obtain our results, we made several simplifying assumptions. To begin, our model only accounts for stages of acute and chronic infection for both HBV and HDV. Therefore, our model does not reflect other disease stages, such as superinfection, latent infection, or the difference between chronically infected individuals that receive or do not receive drug treatment, such as entecavir for HBV [23], or in-development drug treatments for HDV [24]. We also did not account for the impact of coinfection with other types of viral hepatitis that commonly occur, such as hepatitis C [25]. In addition, our model is calibrated to describe HBV and HDV transmission in Sub-Saharan Africa, although with modest modifications it could be used to evaluate the HBV and HDV interventions under different demographic settings.

**Conclusion**

In summary, our results indicate that HDV elimination is likely underway in the vast majority of low HBV transmission settings. Our results also suggest that modest increases in HBV
vaccination rates in moderate and high HBV transmission settings would lead to the elimination of HBV and HDV. As such, our results reinforce the idea that the eradication of HBV and HDV are feasible endeavors. Thus, with sufficient investments to scale up global hepatitis prevention, testing, and treatment services, both HBV and HDV could be eradicated in time for the WHO’s 2030 target date.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Distribution</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population size (billions)</td>
<td>( N )</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td></td>
<td>[21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth rate</td>
<td>( b )</td>
<td>0.024 year(^{-1})</td>
<td></td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death rates</td>
<td>( \mu )</td>
<td>1/78.8 year(^{-1})</td>
<td>( \text{Exp}(1/78.8) )</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \mu_B )</td>
<td>0.00086 year(^{-1})</td>
<td>( \text{Exp}(0.00086) )</td>
<td>Webappendix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \mu_D )</td>
<td>0.0030 year(^{-1})</td>
<td>( \text{Exp}(0.0030) )</td>
<td>Webappendix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of newborns (from infected parents) with chronic HBV</td>
<td>( \sigma_B )</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>( U[0.0,0.4] )</td>
<td>[30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with chronic HDV</td>
<td>( \sigma_D )</td>
<td>0.05 ( \cdot \sigma_B )</td>
<td></td>
<td>[31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmission rate</td>
<td>( \beta_B )</td>
<td>0.391 year(^{-1})</td>
<td></td>
<td>Webappendix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \beta_D )</td>
<td>16.45 year(^{-1})</td>
<td></td>
<td>Webappendix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incubation period</td>
<td>( 1/\gamma_B )</td>
<td>91.25 days</td>
<td>( \text{Tri}[45,68.75,160] )</td>
<td>[32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( 1/\gamma_D )</td>
<td>91.25 days</td>
<td>( \text{Tri}[45,68.75,160] )</td>
<td>[32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion that develop active disease</td>
<td>( \rho_B )</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Webappendix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \rho_D )</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Webappendix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average duration of chronic infection with HBV</td>
<td>( 1/\delta_B )</td>
<td>50 years</td>
<td>( \delta_B \sim \text{Exp}(1/50) )</td>
<td>[20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average duration of chronic infection with HDV</td>
<td>( 1/\delta_D )</td>
<td>50 years</td>
<td>( \delta_D \sim \text{Exp}(1/50) )</td>
<td>[20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccination rate for HBV</td>
<td>( \nu )</td>
<td></td>
<td>( U[0,0.026] )</td>
<td>Webappendix</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Parameter values and sources.