Evaluation Of The \textit{Rims2} Locus As A Risk Locus For Parkinson’s Disease Dementia
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**Abstract**

In their recent letter entitled “Genome-wide survival study identifies a novel synaptic locus and polygenic score for cognitive progression in parkinson’s disease”, Liu and colleagues report that in a genome-wide analysis of progression to dementia in parkinson’s disease (PD) the \textit{RIMS2} locus is a determinant of dementia in PD (1). In this study we have evaluated the nominated loci in a well-powered longitudinal clinical-genetic study of 2536 individuals in the tracking parkinson’s and oxford discovery cohorts. We have not identified any association between the \textit{RIMS2} locus or other loci from the discovery phase and the development of Parkinson’s dementia. Further work is needed to understand the biological determinants of this important aspect of parkinson’s and to guide the search for new treatments.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.
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Brief paragraph/Abstract

In their recent letter entitled “Genome-wide survival study identifies a novel synaptic locus and polygenic score for cognitive progression in Parkinson’s disease”, Liu and colleagues report that in a genome-wide analysis of progression to dementia in Parkinson’s disease (PD) the RIMS2 locus is a determinant of dementia in PD (1). In this study we have evaluated the nominated loci in a well-powered longitudinal clinical-genetic study of 2536 individuals in the Tracking Parkinson’s and Oxford Discovery cohorts. We have not identified any association between the RIMS2 locus or other loci from the discovery phase and the development of Parkinson’s dementia. Further work is needed to understand the biological determinants of this important aspect of Parkinson’s and to guide the search for new treatments.

Main text

This work is important since genetic determinants of dementia in PD may be important for understanding underlying disease biology, stratifying patient groups and developing new treatments. Liu and colleagues studied 4,872 patients from 15 cohorts, with varying definitions of dementia. They used 11.2 million imputed single nucleotide variants (SNVs), derived from the 1.8 million variants genotyped on the high density Illumina Infinium Multi-Ethnic Global Array. Imputed variants with a minor allele frequency of $\geq 0.1\%$ and an $R^2$ of $\geq 0.3$ were included. Using a single pooled genome-wide Cox proportional hazards approach with individual studies as a covariate, they identified nine SNVs which passed genome-wide significance in the discovery phase ($P$-value $< 5 \times 10^{-8}$), with an intronic SNV within the RIMS2 gene achieving nominal significance in the replication phase ($p=0.004$) to provide a combined hazard ratio (HR) of 4.74 and $P$-value of $2.78 \times 10^{-11}$. The RIMS2 SNV has a rare allele frequency of 1.3% lies around 6 kb from exon 2 of RIMS2 (NM_001282882.2, transcript variant 4) and has not been reported to be in linkage disequilibrium with a coding variant, nor to act as an expression or splicing QTL for transcripts in this region. The significant signal at the RIMS2 locus relates to $\sim 90/3735$ SNV variant carriers. Interestingly, GBA and ApoE did not achieve genome-wide significance for time-to-dementia in PD but were nominally significant in a candidate gene analysis of eight genes.

Two previous large genome-wide studies of the genetic determinants of dementia in PD have not identified the RIMS2 locus as relevant to PD dementia (2,3), although one of these studies used a minor allele frequency filter of $> 5\%$ and may have missed the effect of rare alleles(2,3).

We have evaluated serial cognitive data in 2,536 PD patients from the Tracking Parkinson’s and Oxford Discovery cohorts (4,5), two large United Kingdom based studies of the genetic determinants of dementia in PD using almost identical study protocols. In both studies, dementia was defined as a Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) score of $\leq 21$ or study withdrawal due to a diagnosis of dementia. Genotyping was carried out on the Illumina HumanCoreExome-12 v1.1 and Illumina Infinium HumanCoreExome-24 v1.1 array (Oxford Discovery) and Illumina HumanCoreExome array with custom content (Tracking Parkinson’s). Imputation was carried out using the Michigan Imputation server against the 1000 Genomes Project reference panel.

Twenty eight percent (710/2,536) of the combined UK cohorts met the endpoint of dementia. Cox proportional hazards analysis was carried out evaluating each of the nine SNVs nominated by Liu and
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and an informative proxy SNP, for time from motor onset to dementia, adjusting for age at onset, sex, and the first ten principal components (Protocol: Protocols.io). Analyses were conducted in each cohort separately and then meta-analysed using random-effects meta-analysis. A conservative random effects meta-analysis approach was chosen because of differences in the baseline symptom severity. Power calculations were carried out for time-to-event analysis using the R package survSNP, using an alpha of $5 \times 10^{-8}$ and the event time of median time to dementia of 4.0 years (4.2 years in Tracking Parkinson’s and 3.9 years in Oxford Discovery). With a HR ratio of 4.74 we have 99.7% power in the combined analysis of Tracking Parkinson’s and Oxford Discovery to detect an effect of the risk allele on the risk of developing dementia.

We did not identify a significant effect of any of the nominated discovery SNVs on the risk of developing dementia (Table), including the RIMS2 locus, either in the discovery SNV or in high quality (INFO score $> 0.3$, $r^2 > 0.35$) proxy SNVs. Two SNVs in RP11-705O24.1 were nominally significant following a heavily reduced Bonferroni correction for multiple independent tests (rs118029233 and rs118004610) in the Oxford Discovery cohort evaluating 18 SNPs. However, this was not replicated in the Liu study, in the Tracking Parkinson’s cohort, or in our meta-analysis, meaning these variants are unlikely to be a risk factor for PD dementia.

There are a number of possible reasons which may explain why we have failed to detect an effect of the RIMS2 locus on PD dementia in our study, using a very similar methodology to Liu and colleagues study in two large well-powered UK cohorts.

Genotyping and imputation accuracy may be important, particularly when dealing with rare alleles. In our replication study the RIMS2 variant and its’ proxy are imputed to a high quality. Although the study of Liu and colleagues is large it has limited power to detect an effect of rarer alleles on dementia. If 30% of their 4,600 cases develop dementia then 80% power will be reached with an effect estimate (beta) of 0.6, at a similar effect allele frequency under an additive model. If their finding is correct then it is likely to be subject to a major “winner’s curse” effect, that is that the case/control or allele related survival differences have been identified at the upper limits of the underlying population distribution and so large follow-on studies will be needed to replicate these findings and to verify the true, likely smaller effects.

It is possible, particularly in analyses of rare alleles, that there may be population specific effects so that a true effect may be detectable only in specific studies / populations, related to variation in background allele frequency. In addition, confounding by population stratification may occur particularly as the frequency of rare variants in GBA, associated with dementia, vary between Northern European populations and are most common in Ashkenazi Jewish PD patients. However, the RIMS2 risk allele appears to have a similar allele frequency in Finnish, Ashkenazi Jewish and non-Finnish European populations (GnomAD) so currently there is no support for major population stratification confounding effects.

The individual study statistics are not reported by Liu and colleagues, so study heterogeneity is difficult to evaluate, but their paper involves 15 different cohorts from Europe and North America. Given a risk allele frequency of 1.3% and the individual cohort sizes, the number of risk allele carriers per study can be predicted to lie between 2 and 8 suggesting that there are likely to be wide individual study confidence intervals in some studies. It would be interesting to know if the effect is
driven by specific cohorts and to assess their relative contribution to the RIMS2 discovery. Potentially, varying definitions of dementia superimposed on underlying variation in the RIMS2 risk allele frequency between cohorts may confound their analysis. Liu and colleagues report both a lambda and linkage disequilibrium score intercept of >1.05 implying that there is potential inflation of summary statistics and underlying study heterogeneity. We would recommend the use of genomic control to help reduce the impact of these factors both on the cohort and meta-analytic level. Given the large number of small contributing cohorts, we would have liked to have seen a forest plot showing the effect estimates for each individual cohort as well as their weights and measures of heterogeneity ($I^2$) to demonstrate the relative contribution of the individual studies to their pooled analysis.

Finally, misdiagnosis is a potential source of error and the Tracking and Oxford discovery cohorts have been screened longitudinally for the emergence of atypical parkinsonism and alternative diagnoses at serial study visits. However, RIMS2 is not a known risk locus for DLB, PSP, CBD or MSA (albeit in common variant studies for PSP, CBD and MSA) so confounding by misdiagnosis seems less likely (6–9).

In conclusion, the definition of modifying factors in PD progression is likely to be vitally important in understanding disease biology and developing new disease modifying treatments. Liu and colleagues have developed and analysed an important large collaborative dataset which advances the field, in conjunction with the previous work on PD progression. However, we are not able to replicate the RIMS2 locus in large well powered UK PD progression studies and currently RIMS2 should be considered as a possible rather than a definite risk locus for PD dementia.
Table  Survival analysis of nine candidate variants on the risk of progression from PD to PDD in UK cohorts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SNP type</th>
<th>SNP</th>
<th>Chr:BP</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>RAF</th>
<th>HR (CI95%)</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>INFO</th>
<th>HR (CI95%)</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>INFO</th>
<th>HR (CI95%)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>rs151059677</td>
<td>8:63203771</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>NKA1N3</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>1.03 × 10⁸</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proxy</td>
<td>rs12541388</td>
<td>8:63181463</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>NKA1N3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>rs150468541</td>
<td>3:158917322</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>IQCJ</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>5.78 × 10⁸</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proxy</td>
<td>rs59817655</td>
<td>3:158631410</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>IQCJ</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.17 × 10⁸</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>rs182987047</td>
<td>8:105249272</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>RIMS2</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>1.16 × 10⁸</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proxy</td>
<td>rs78153505</td>
<td>8:10582014</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>RIMS2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>rs1180029233</td>
<td>8:62732936</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>RP11-70502</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0.17 × 10⁸</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proxy</td>
<td>rs118004610</td>
<td>8:62812871</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>RP11-70502</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.65 × 3.00</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>rs117248307</td>
<td>17:7396344</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>POLR2A</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>1.87 × 10⁸</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proxy</td>
<td>rs8075482</td>
<td>17:7390451</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>POLR2A</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>rs118004610</td>
<td>8:62812871</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>RP11-70502</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.3 × 10⁸</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proxy</td>
<td>rs1180029233</td>
<td>8:62732936</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>RP11-70502</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.24 × 3.02</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>rs142789964</td>
<td>16:78520406</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>WWOX</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>2.72 × 10⁸</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proxy</td>
<td>rs139187704</td>
<td>16:78466203</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>WWOX</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>rs148485629</td>
<td>15:33829443</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>RYR3</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>3.38 × 10⁸</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proxy</td>
<td>rs142839796</td>
<td>15:33832171</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>RYR3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>rs142839796</td>
<td>15:33832171</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>RYR3</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>4.53 × 10⁸</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proxy</td>
<td>rs148485629</td>
<td>15:33829443</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>RYR3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend to table:
CI95%, 95% confidence interval; Chr:BP, genomic positions in GRCh37 build; HR, hazard ratio; INFO, imputation INFO score; R², linkage disequilibrium correlation coefficient; RAF, risk allele frequency. Analysis performed using Cox proportional hazards model. * rs150468541 excluded from Tracking Parkinson's due to MAF<0.01.
Combined analysis: random effects meta-analysis of Oxford Discovery and Tracking Parkinson’s.
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