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Abstract

Importance: Individuals with schizophrenia have a reduced life-expectancy compared to the general population, largely due to an increased risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD). Clinical and epidemiological studies have been unable to fully unravel the nature of this relationship. Objective: Investigate genetic correlations and potential bi-directional effects between liability to schizophrenia and CVD. Design, setting, and participants: We obtained summary-data of genome-wide-association studies of schizophrenia (N=130,644), heart failure (N=977,323), coronary artery disease (N=332,477), systolic and diastolic blood pressure (N=757,601), heart rate variability (N=46,952), QT interval (N=103,331), early repolarization and dilated cardiomyopathy ECG patterns (N=63,700). We computed genetic correlations with linkage disequilibrium score regression and conducted bi-directional Mendelian randomization (MR). With multivariable MR, we investigated whether associations were mediated by smoking, body mass index, physical activity, lipid levels, or type 2 diabetes. To ensure robustness, we applied a range of sensitivity methods. Main outcomes and measures: Schizophrenia, heart failure, coronary artery disease, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, heart rate variability, QT interval, early repolarization, dilated cardiomyopathy. Results: Genetic correlations between liability to schizophrenia and CVD were close to zero (-0.02 to 0.04). With MR, we found robust evidence that liability to schizophrenia increases heart failure risk. This effect remained consistent with multivariable MR. There was also evidence that liability to schizophrenia increases early repolarization risk, largely mediated by BMI and lipid levels. Finally, there was evidence that liability to schizophrenia increases heart rate variability, a direction of effect contrasting previous studies. In the other direction, there was weak evidence that higher systolic, but not diastolic, blood pressure increases schizophrenia risk. Conclusions and relevance: Our findings indicate that liability to schizophrenia increases the risk of heart failure, and that this is not mediated by key health behaviours. This is consistent with the notion that schizophrenia is characterised by a systemic dysregulation of the body (including inflammation and oxidative stress).
with detrimental effects on the heart. To decrease cardiovascular mortality among schizophrenia patients, priority should lie with optimal treatment and interventions in early stages of psychoses. We also identified early repolarization, currently understudied, as a potential CVD marker among patients with schizophrenia.
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Introduction

Schizophrenia is a serious mental disorder affecting up to 1% of the population.\textsuperscript{1} The life-expectancy of individuals diagnosed with schizophrenia is approximately 15–20 years shorter than that of the general population\textsuperscript{2} – a major reason being cardiovascular mortality.\textsuperscript{3} This is reflected by the increased prevalence of cardiovascular diseases (CVD), including coronary artery disease and heart failure, and risk factors, including high blood pressure and abnormal electro-cardiogram (ECG) patterns, amongst individuals with schizophrenia.\textsuperscript{4–6}

There are broadly two potential, not mutually exclusive, explanations for this co-morbidity. First, there may be a shared aetiology. Low birth weight, pre-term birth and maternal malnutrition during pregnancy are associated with an increased risk of both schizophrenia and CVD in offspring.\textsuperscript{9,10} There is also evidence for shared genetic influences, but only for a limited number of cardio-metabolic traits and using considerably smaller samples than currently available.\textsuperscript{11} Second, there may be causal effects. The predominant hypothesis is that schizophrenia increases the risk of CVD. Schizophrenia is characterised by elevated cortisol levels, dysfunction of the autonomic nervous system, inflammation, lipid abnormalities, oxidative stress and increased platelet reactivity\textsuperscript{4,9,10}, all of which contribute to the development and progression of CVD.\textsuperscript{12} Reverse causal effects have also been proposed, with markers of CVD preceding and potentially inducing psychosis.\textsuperscript{10,13}

While systemic characteristics of schizophrenia may lead to CVD, there are also potential mediators. Anti-psychotic medication use, which can cause central obesity, hypertension, and abnormal lipid patterns\textsuperscript{14}, may in turn increase CVD risk.\textsuperscript{15} This doesn’t explain all excess cardiovascular mortality, as patients who do not use anti-psychotics are also at increased risk of CVD.\textsuperscript{5,14} Other potential mediators are smoking, poor diet and lack of physical activity, all common in individuals with schizophrenia and risk factors for CVD.\textsuperscript{16,17} While conducting a randomized controlled trial (RCT) is not feasible, a powerful alternative is Mendelian Randomization (MR).\textsuperscript{18} MR mimics an RCT by using genetic variants as proxies, or ‘instrumental variables’, for the proposed risk factors.
factor. Because genetic variants are randomly passed on from parents to offspring, bias from confounders can be circumvented (provided core assumptions are met).

We capitalize on the availability of large genetic samples and sophisticated methods to elucidate the nature of the relationship between schizophrenia and CVD. Using summary-level data of genome-wide association studies (GWAS), we: (1) compute genetic correlations to determine genome-wide overlap between schizophrenia and CVD risk, (2) perform univariable MR to test if liability to schizophrenia increases CVD risk, (3) perform univariable MR to test if liability to CVD increases schizophrenia risk, and (4) perform multivariable MR to test if key health behaviours mediate associations of liability to schizophrenia on CVD risk.

Methods

The analysis plan was pre-registered at https://osf.io/fprew.

Data

For schizophrenia, we obtained European-based summary statistics from the largest available GWAS. For CVD, we selected eight phenotypes often linked to schizophrenia, for which sufficiently large GWAS were available (extensive justification in supplement). These entailed two clinical endpoints; coronary artery disease and heart failure, and six markers of CVD risk; systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, heart rate variability (HRV), QT interval, early repolarization ECG pattern, and dilated cardiomyopathy ECG pattern (see Table 1 for phenotype definitions).

For multivariable MR, we selected five potential mediators of effects of liability to schizophrenia on CVD. These capture health behaviours, or their downstream consequences, which are particularly prevalent among individuals with schizophrenia: smoking (initiation and lifetime smoking), body mass index (BMI), physical activity, lipid levels (total cholesterol and triglycerides—may be increased as a result of anti-psychotic medication), and type-2-diabetes.
For MR, sample overlap between some of the exposure and outcome variables was prevented by excluding overlapping UK-Biobank participants. For further explanation of the samples see Supplement.

**Genetic correlations**

Genetic correlations were computed using linkage disequilibrium score (LDSC) regression. The genetic correlation is based on the estimated slope from the regression of the product of z-scores from two GWAS on the LD score and represents the covariation between two traits based on all polygenic effects captured by the included SNPs. We filtered GWAS summary statistics to only include the 1,290,028 million SNPs from the HapMap 3 European reference panel, used to provide the genome-wide LD information.

**Mendelian randomization**

We conducted bi-directional MR to assess evidence for effects of (genetic) liability to schizophrenia on CVD risk, and vice versa, of (genetic) liability to CVD on schizophrenia risk. MR relies on three assumptions: (1) the genetic variants robustly associate with the exposure; (2) the genetic variants are independent of confounders; (3) the genetic variants do not affect the outcome, except through their effect on the exposure (Figure 1A). ‘Horizontal pleiotropy’, a variant associating with multiple traits, may violate assumptions 2 and 3, if the variant associates with the outcome directly or via a confounding factor.

The main method was inverse-variance weighted (IVW) regression. Independent SNPs that reached genome-wide significance (p<5E-08) in the exposure (e.g., schizophrenia) GWAS were extracted to form instrumental variables. SNP-outcome effects were obtained from the outcome (e.g., heart failure) GWAS. A ratio estimate was obtained by dividing the effect a SNP has on the outcome by the effect it has on the exposure. Individual SNP-effects were weighted by the inverse of their variance and estimates of all SNPs combined into one estimate. IVW provides the first
indication of whether the exposure impacts the outcome, assuming all assumptions are met. To verify its validity, we applied a range of sensitivity methods less vulnerable to bias: weighted median regression\textsuperscript{35}, weighted mode regression\textsuperscript{36}, MR-Egger regression\textsuperscript{37}, MR-PRESSO (Mendelian Randomization Pleiotropy RESidual Sum and Outlier)\textsuperscript{38}, GSMR (Generalised Summary-data-based Mendelian Randomisation)\textsuperscript{39}, and Steiger filtering\textsuperscript{40}. We also performed leave-one-out analyses, repeating IVW analyses after removing each SNP one at a time, and computed Cochran’s Q to assess heterogeneity between the SNP-estimates in each instrument. For further details see \textit{Supplement}.

Using multivariable MR, health behaviours were added to see whether associations between liability to schizophrenia as the exposure and CVD as the outcome diminished, which could indicate mediation (\textit{Figure 1B})\textsuperscript{41}. For each univariable analysis we added each health behaviour separately (we did not combine them to prevent violation of the linearity and homogeneity assumptions\textsuperscript{42}). We applied multivariable MR-Egger to evaluate robustness (\textit{Supplement}).

A finding was considered robust when results were consistent across methods. Because sensitivity methods rely on stricter assumptions than IVW, they are less powerful. Their statistical evidence, but not effect size, will therefore be weaker, even for a true effect. We describe findings as showing no clear evidence, weak evidence, evidence or strong evidence, taking into account IVW and the sensitivity methods, adhering to the broad interpretation of $p$-values described by Sterne and Davey Smith (2001)\textsuperscript{43}.

Analyses were performed in R version 3.6.3, using packages: ‘TwoSampleMR’, ‘GSMR’, ‘psych’, and ‘MR-PRESSO’.

\textbf{Results}

\textit{Genetic correlations}

Genetic correlations, reflecting overlap between genome-wide liability to schizophrenia and CVD, were all close to zero (\textit{Table 1}).
Univariable Mendelian randomization

Instruments for schizophrenia and CVD were sufficiently strong (F-statistic>10; Table S1). Bidirectional univariable MR results are depicted in Table 2.

Liability to schizophrenia on CVD

There was evidence that liability to schizophrenia increases heart failure risk (beta_IVW=0.027, 95% Confidence intervals=0.003 to 0.051, p=0.027). Weighted median and weighted mode regression confirmed this with consistent effect sizes, but weaker statistical evidence. GSMR showed much stronger evidence for a positive effect, of greater magnitude (beta=0.266, Cls=0.25 to 0.281, p=3.3E-251). While the MR-Egger intercept showed strong evidence for horizontal pleiotropy (-0.013, Cls=-0.019 to -0.007, p=9.4E-05; Table S2), its slope also indicated strong evidence for a positive effect (beta=0.220, Cls=0.129 to 0.312, p=4.5E-06). There was strong evidence for heterogeneity across SNPs (Cochran’s Q p=2.3E-04; Table S3). MR-PRESSO detected one outlier, but elimination of this SNP did not have a large impact (Table S4). Steiger filtering did not find SNPs that explained more variance in the outcome than the exposure, suggesting no reverse causality (Table S5). While leave-one-out analysis showed SNP rs13107325 to have a relatively large impact, the effect size and statistical evidence remained considerable after removal (Figure S1).

There was evidence that liability to schizophrenia increases early repolarization pattern (beta_IVW=0.020, Cls=0.001 to 0.038, p=0.040). While weighted median and GSMR confirmed this, weighted mode and MR-Egger regression did not (beta=0.005, Cls=-0.050 to 0.061, p=0.850, and beta=-0.001, Cls=-0.066 to 0.067, p=0.982, respectively). There was strong evidence for heterogeneity (p=6.8E-09), but the MR-Egger intercept indicated that this was not due to horizontal pleiotropy (p=0.580). MR-PRESSO detected one outlier, which did not impact the results. Steiger filtering excluded one SNP resulting in a slightly weaker effect.
There was evidence that liability to schizophrenia increases HRV ($\beta_{\text{HRV}}=0.019$, CI $=2.5\times10^{-3}$ to 0.036, $p=0.024$). Weighted median, weighted mode and GSMR were consistent, while MR-Egger was not. There was evidence for heterogeneity ($p=0.009$), but this was likely not due to horizontal pleiotropy (MR-Egger intercept $p=0.499$). Excluding a single outlier with MR-PRESSO did not impact the results, while excluding six SNPs with Steiger filtering slightly attenuated the effect.

No other analyses showed clear evidence for association (Table 2).

**Liability to CVD on schizophrenia risk**

There was weak evidence that increased systolic blood pressure increases schizophrenia risk ($\beta_{\text{SBP}}=0.008$, CI $=-2.1\times10^{-4}$ to 0.017, $p=0.056$). All sensitivity methods confirmed this, with the strongest evidence from GSMR ($\beta=0.006$, CI $=0.002$ to 0.011, $p=0.009$). There was strong evidence for heterogeneity ($p=4.6\times10^{-68}$), but the MR-Egger intercept indicated that this was not due to horizontal pleiotropy ($p=0.491$). MR-PRESSO detected 14 outliers, yet elimination of these SNPs did not change the results. Steiger filtering removed 16 SNPs, resulting in even stronger evidence ($\beta_{\text{SBP}}=0.010$, CI $=0.003$ to 0.016, $p=0.006$). Leave-one-out analysis showed that removing SNP rs11191548 considerably decreased the effect size and weakened statistical evidence (Figure S2). There was very weak evidence that liability to increased diastolic blood pressure decreases schizophrenia risk ($\beta_{\text{DBP}}=0.008$, CI $=-0.018$ to 0.001, $p=0.088$), but this was not corroborated by the sensitivity analyses.

No other analyses showed clear evidence for association (Table 2).

**Multivariable Mendelian randomization**

For relationships that showed evidence of association, multivariable results are shown in Figure 2. All other multivariable results are in Tables S6-S22. The conditional F statistic indicated sufficient instrument strength, except for physical activity which ranged between ~8 and ~11 (Table S15).
Most of the health behaviours had a larger impact on heart failure than did liability to schizophrenia. When added in multivariable MR, only BMI had a noteworthy impact on the direct effect of liability to schizophrenia on heart failure. BMI increased the direct effect of liability to schizophrenia by ~70% (beta<sub>IVW</sub>=0.046, CI=-0.01 to 0.083, p=0.013). MR-Egger confirmed the effect size and direction (beta=0.030, CI=-0.033 to 0.093, p=0.354). The MR-Egger intercept indicated no horizontal pleiotropy (p=0.537; Table S16). BMI itself showed a positive association with heart failure (beta<sub>IVW</sub>=0.447, CI=0.34 to 0.555, p=3.4E-16), suggesting it is negatively associated with schizophrenia. We conducted post-hoc analyses with underweight (versus normal weight) and overweight (versus normal weight) as potential mediators (Supplement). Adding underweight did not change the main effect, while overweight increased it by ~30% (conditional F-statistic for underweight was low (2.28)).

The effect of liability to schizophrenia on HRV remained consistent after adding health behaviours.

The effect of liability to schizophrenia on early repolarization pattern decreased when adding BMI, total cholesterol and triglycerides, with 70% (beta<sub>IVW</sub>=0.006, CI=-0.017 to 0.028, p=0.617), 65% (beta<sub>IVW</sub>=0.007, CI=-0.017 to 0.031, p=0.549), and 60% (beta<sub>IVW</sub>=0.008, CI=-0.016 to 0.032, p=0.538), respectively. MR-Egger results were consistent and the intercepts did not indicate horizontal pleiotropy.

**Discussion**

We computed genome-wide genetic correlations between schizophrenia and eight important CVD phenotypes, all of which were nearly zero. Using MR, there was evidence that liability to schizophrenia increases heart failure risk. This effect was not mediated by health behaviours in multivariable MR. There was evidence that liability to schizophrenia increases early repolarization pattern, largely mediated by BMI and lipid levels. There was also evidence that liability to
schizophrenia increases HRV, a direction of effect contrasting clinical observations. Finally, there was weak evidence that increased systolic blood pressure increases schizophrenia risk, which was not confirmed with diastolic blood pressure.

The lack of evidence for genetic correlation between schizophrenia and CVD is striking, given previously reported phenotypic correlations. In contrast, considerable positive genetic correlations between schizophrenia and immune-mediated diseases mirrored earlier epidemiological evidence. Our findings indicate that there is minimal shared genetic aetiology, implying that phenotypic associations between schizophrenia and cardiovascular disease are due to causal effects or confounding. It should be noted that our analyses test the effects of liability to schizophrenia, and not of a schizophrenia diagnosis per se. It may be that an actual diagnosis of schizophrenia, and all detrimental factors associated with it, drive associations with cardiovascular disease, and we were not able to capture that.

With MR, we found evidence that liability to schizophrenia increases CVD risk. MR employs a selection of genetic variants, as opposed to the genome-wide approach for genetic correlations. MR might therefore find an association that was ‘cancelled out’ by opposing effects in a genome-wide correlation. There was robust evidence that liability to schizophrenia increases heart failure risk, confirming the high prevalence of heart failure among individuals with schizophrenia. MR’s powerful premise and the robustness across sensitivity analyses, allows us to say with more certainty that this is due to causal effects. Important to note is that the MR-Egger intercept indicated horizontal pleiotropy, such that genetic variants for schizophrenia also exerted some effect on heart failure, independent of schizophrenia. The effect on heart failure was not mediated by health behaviours, which is particularly noteworthy for smoking. Smoking rates among individuals with schizophrenia are remarkably high and smoking increases cardiovascular mortality. Our findings are in line with the notion that schizophrenia is characterized by a systemic dysregulation of the body, including inflammation and oxidative stress, which promotes cardiac alterations and ultimately heart failure. This implies that changing health behaviours – while useful to improve
overall health – is not sufficient to reduce cardiovascular mortality among patients with schizophrenia. To prevent heart failure, priority should lie with optimally treating and interventions in early stages of psychosis, thereby resolving detrimental systemic effects.

There was evidence that liability to schizophrenia increases early repolarization pattern, corroborating reports that early repolarization disproportionately affects patients with schizophrenia.49,50 Historically, this pattern was considered a normal phenotype51, but recent evidence linked it to an increased risk of sudden cardiac death.52 Sudden cardiac death is particularly prevalent in patients with schizophrenia, making early repolarization a potentially important risk marker. The association with early repolarization pattern declined when correcting for BMI, total cholesterol, and triglycerides. This suggests that among individuals with schizophrenia this pattern can be improved by lowering BMI and lipid levels. This provides new leads to elucidate the, currently poorly understood, aetiology of early repolarization.52

Liability to schizophrenia was associated with higher HRV, while patients with schizophrenia present with lower HRV in the clinic – partly due to antipsychotic medication use.53,54 This discrepancy may be explained by the fact that we made use of two separate samples (one GWAS for schizophrenia, a separate GWAS for HRV). The number of individuals with a schizophrenia diagnosis – and thus antipsychotic use – was likely low in the HRV GWAS. Another important consideration is that the HRV GWAS data were not corrected for heart rate, which correlates strongly with HRV and is usually higher in individuals with schizophrenia.8 Finally, lower HRV may result from the systemic presentation of schizophrenia, which our measure of liability did not capture. Future studies tracking HRV before and after schizophrenia is diagnosed would help clarify these contradicting findings.

Finally, there was evidence that higher systolic, but not diastolic, blood pressure increases schizophrenia risk. This corroborates a large cohort study reporting that, in men, higher blood pressure in adolescence predicts schizophrenia later in life.55 Higher blood pressure has also been reported in individuals who were at risk of, but had not yet developed, psychosis.56 Combined, this suggests dysfunction in the autonomic nervous system precedes the onset of schizophrenia. A
potentially important confounder is smoking, as it affects both blood pressure\textsuperscript{57} and schizophrenia\textsuperscript{58}.

However, in a post-hoc multivariable MR analysis, we found no change in effect when adding smoking (Table S17).

\textit{Strengths and limitations}

Our study has some important strengths. We were able to conduct powerful analyses, investigating disorders with a low prevalence on the population level (especially schizophrenia). We applied a wide range of rigorous MR sensitivity methods, which increases the robustness of our inferences, including multivariable MR which allowed us to investigate important mediators. Combined, this has kept the risk of bias from horizontal pleiotropy and reverse causality to a minimum.

There are also limitations to consider. Schizophrenia is a severe illness and those who suffer most may not have been able or willing to participate in research, causing selection bias.\textsuperscript{59,60} For early repolarization and dilated cardiomyopathy, it should be taken into consideration that these do not reflect the whole pattern, but rather a particular amplitude of the ECG that corresponds with the beginning of the respective patterns. For some relationships, there may have been temporality issues. Schizophrenia often arises in early adulthood, whereas heart failure and coronary artery disease develop later in life. Testing heart failure and coronary artery disease as exposures for schizophrenia is therefore imperfect. However, genetic risk to CVD can already have an impact early in life and therefore causal relationships are plausible.\textsuperscript{61} Finally, assortative mating, dynastic effects (‘genetic nurture’) and residual population stratification could not be accounted for. Future within-family Mendelian randomization analyses may be able to reduce such bias.\textsuperscript{62}

\textit{Clinical implications}

We showed that a shared genetic aetiology is not the most likely mechanism underlying associations between schizophrenia and CVD. There was, however, evidence that liability to schizophrenia increases the risk of heart failure and early repolarization pattern. While the association with early
repolarization pattern was largely mediated by BMI and lipid levels, the association with heart failure remained stable after adding key health behaviours. This implies that effective treatment and intervention in early psychosis is important to decrease excess cardiovascular mortality. Tracking of physical health and screening for CVD is currently done less frequently than advised in clinical guidelines, and start of treatment is often delayed. More thorough screening throughout psychiatric treatment must become a priority, in order to decrease the stark mortality gap between schizophrenia patients and individuals from the general population.
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Table 1. Overview of the main phenotypes, details on their reference and how they were measured, the number of SNPs included in the MR instruments and the genetic correlations between schizophrenia and the cardiovascular disease phenotypes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>GWAS reference</th>
<th>How the phenotype was measured</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>n SNPs in MR instrument</th>
<th>Genetic correlation with schizophrenia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schizophrenia</td>
<td>Ripke et al, 2020</td>
<td>Cases were individuals diagnosed with a schizophrenia spectrum disorder, based on DSM-IV criteria</td>
<td>53,386 cases, 77,258 controls</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery disease</td>
<td>Nelson et al, 2017</td>
<td>Cases were individuals with a fatal or nonfatal myocardial infarction, percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty (PTCA), coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG), chronic ischemic heart disease, or angina</td>
<td>71,602 cases, 260,875 controls</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>$r_g = -0.02$, SE = 0.03, p = 0.439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart failure</td>
<td>Shah et al, 2020</td>
<td>Cases were individuals with a clinical diagnosis of heart failure of any aetiology (no inclusion criteria based on left ventricle ejection fraction)</td>
<td>47,309 cases, 930,014 controls</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$r_g = -0.01$, SE = 0.04, p = 0.696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure</td>
<td>Evangelou et al, 2018</td>
<td>Mean of two systolic blood pressure measurements (if available), manual or automatic (or both). Measured in millimetres of mercury (mmHg)</td>
<td>757,601</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>$r_g = -0.01$, SE = 0.02, p = 0.699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure</td>
<td>Evangelou et al, 2018</td>
<td>Mean of two diastolic blood pressure measurements (if available), manual or automatic (or both). Measured in millimetres of mercury (mmHg)</td>
<td>757,601</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>$r_g = -0.001$, SE = 0.02, p = 0.965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate variability (HRV)</td>
<td>Nolte et al, 2017</td>
<td>The root mean square of the successive differences of inter beat intervals (RMSSD), which reflects heart rate variability</td>
<td>46,952 MR exposure sample, 26,523 MR outcome sample + $r_g$ analysis</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>$r_g = -0.01$, SE = 0.05, p = 0.850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QT interval</td>
<td>Arking et al, 2014</td>
<td>The time from the start of the Q wave to the end of the T wave as read from an ECG. Individual were excluded if there was atrial fibrillation, atrial flutter, presence of QRS duration &gt;120 msec or presence of left/right bundle branch block</td>
<td>130,331</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>$r_g = -0.04$, SE = 0.03, p = 0.142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early repolarization ECG-pattern</td>
<td>Verweij et al, 2020</td>
<td>The amplitude at +44 msec (after the R wave) of the electrocardiogram (ECG), which coincided with the early repolarization criteria. The genome-wide significant SNPs were followed up in an independent cohort (Lifelines) to confirm their association with early repolarization diagnosis (1,253 cases, 11,463 controls)</td>
<td>63,700</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$r_g = -0.02$, SE = 0.04, p = 0.600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilated cardiomyopathy ECG-pattern</td>
<td>Verweij et al, 2020</td>
<td>The amplitude at -48 msec (before the R wave) of the electrocardiogram (ECG), which showed strong overlap with dilated cardiomyopathy risk. The genome-wide significant SNPs were followed up in an independent cohort (UK biobank) to confirm their association with dilated cardiomyopathy diagnosis (1,375 cases, 241,325 controls)</td>
<td>63,700</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>$r_g = -0.004$, SE = 0.04, p = 0.892</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2. Results of univariable, bi-directional Mendelian randomization analyses between liability to schizophrenia (SCZ) and coronary artery disease (CAD), systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), heart failure (HF), heart rate variability (HRV), QT interval (QT), early repolarization ECG pattern (ERP), and dilated cardiomyopathy ECG pattern (DCM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>SNPs</th>
<th>Inverse variance weighted</th>
<th>Weighted median</th>
<th>Weighted mode</th>
<th>MR-Egger</th>
<th>SNPs</th>
<th>GS MR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>beta (95%CI) p</td>
<td>beta (95%CI) p</td>
<td>beta (95%CI) p</td>
<td>beta (95%CI) p</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>beta (95%CI) p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical endpoints</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCZ</td>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>0.002 (-0.026 to 0.030)</td>
<td>0.886 0.012 (-0.020 to 0.045)</td>
<td>0.464 0.052 (-0.031 to 0.136)</td>
<td>0.222 0.113 (0.018 to 0.243)</td>
<td>0.025 169 -0.004 (0.026 to 0.018)</td>
<td>0.712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCZ</td>
<td>HF</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>0.027 (0.003 to 0.051)</td>
<td>0.107 0.024 (-0.071 to 0.119)</td>
<td>0.626 0.220 (0.129 to 0.312)</td>
<td>4.5E-06 171 0.266 (0.25 to 0.281)</td>
<td>3.3E-25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk markers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCZ</td>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>-0.012 (-0.294 to 0.270)</td>
<td>0.933 0.053 (-0.108 to 0.313)</td>
<td>0.520 0.163 (-0.243 to 0.570)</td>
<td>0.432 0.878 (-0.460 to 2.217)</td>
<td>0.200 137 0.178 (0.088 to 0.267)</td>
<td>1.0E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCZ</td>
<td>DBP</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>0.054 (-0.101 to 0.206)</td>
<td>0.495 0.037 (-0.052 to 0.126)</td>
<td>0.414 -0.155 (-0.524 to 0.215)</td>
<td>0.413 0.563 (-0.063 to 1.189)</td>
<td>0.080 148 0.054 (0.004 to 0.104)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCZ</td>
<td>HRV</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>0.019 (0.003 to 0.036)</td>
<td>0.024 0.020 (-0.003 to 0.043)</td>
<td>0.083 0.036 (-0.021 to 0.092)</td>
<td>0.214 -0.004 (-0.075 to 0.056)</td>
<td>0.902 147 0.016 (0.007 to 0.035)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCZ</td>
<td>QT</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>0.152 (-0.154 to 0.459)</td>
<td>0.329 0.217 (-0.230 to 0.664)</td>
<td>0.342 0.410 (0.406 to 1.225)</td>
<td>0.326 -0.253 (-1.481 to 0.975)</td>
<td>0.687 160 0.150 (-0.161 to 0.452)</td>
<td>0.352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCZ</td>
<td>ERP</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>0.020 (0.001 to 0.038)</td>
<td>0.040 0.016 (-0.007 to 0.039)</td>
<td>0.176 0.005 (-0.050 to 0.061)</td>
<td>0.850 0.001 (0.066 to 0.067)</td>
<td>0.982 171 0.001 (0.002 to 0.030)</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCZ</td>
<td>DCM</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>0.004 (-0.014 to 0.021)</td>
<td>0.694 0.008 (-0.015 to 0.030)</td>
<td>0.511 -1.4E-04 (-0.053 to 0.053)</td>
<td>0.966 0.093 (0.20 to 0.166)</td>
<td>0.014 170 -0.001 (-0.015 to 0.014)</td>
<td>0.917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical endpoints</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>SCZ</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>-0.018 (-0.092 to 0.056)</td>
<td>0.642 -0.006 (-0.063 to 0.051)</td>
<td>0.827 -0.004 (-0.073 to 0.065)</td>
<td>0.902 0.009 (-0.171 to 0.189)</td>
<td>0.921 45 -0.032 (-0.069 to 0.005)</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td>SCZ</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-0.109 (-0.238 to 0.020)</td>
<td>0.100 -0.041 (-0.184 to 0.102)</td>
<td>0.575 -0.032 (-0.208 to 0.144)</td>
<td>0.727 0.063 (-0.317 to 0.443)</td>
<td>0.753 9 -0.120 (-0.232 to -0.008)</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk markers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>SCZ</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>0.008 (-2.1E-04 to 0.17)</td>
<td>0.056 0.009 (0.001 to 0.017)</td>
<td>0.030 0.009 (-0.005 to 0.024)</td>
<td>0.309 0.016 (-0.007 to 0.038)</td>
<td>0.176 199 0.006 (0.002 to 0.011)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP</td>
<td>SCZ</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>-0.008 (-0.018 to 0.001)</td>
<td>0.088 -0.005 (-0.015 to 0.004)</td>
<td>0.251 -0.004 (-0.017 to 0.009)</td>
<td>0.551 -0.026 (-0.049 to -0.004)</td>
<td>0.030 138 -0.002 (-0.007 to 0.004)</td>
<td>0.498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRV</td>
<td>SCZ</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-0.034 (-0.217 to 0.149)</td>
<td>0.715 -0.13 (-0.33 to 0.07)</td>
<td>0.203 -0.103 (-0.333 to 0.092)</td>
<td>0.404 -0.010 (-0.531 to 0.317)</td>
<td>0.637 9 -0.037 (-0.192 to 0.118)</td>
<td>0.639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QT</td>
<td>SCZ</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>-4.0E-04 (-0.004 to 0.003)</td>
<td>0.806 2.9E-05 (-0.003 to 0.003)</td>
<td>0.986 2.9E-04 (-0.003 to 0.004)</td>
<td>0.879 1.5E-04 (-0.005 to 0.006)</td>
<td>0.957 60 -0.002 (-0.004 to -0.005)</td>
<td>0.174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERP</td>
<td>SCZ</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.022 (-0.152 to 0.196)</td>
<td>0.802 0.013 (-0.14 to 0.366)</td>
<td>0.871 -2.6E-04 (-0.184 to 0.184)</td>
<td>0.998 0.083 (0.348 to 0.514)</td>
<td>0.717 9 0.021 (0.093 to 0.135)</td>
<td>0.716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCM</td>
<td>SCZ</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.068 (-0.028 to 0.164)</td>
<td>0.171 0.040 (-0.080 to 0.160)</td>
<td>0.512 0.028 (-0.133 to 0.189)</td>
<td>0.736 0.043 (0.226 to 0.312)</td>
<td>0.759 22 0.068 (-0.010 to 0.146)</td>
<td>0.090</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We reported SIMEX-corrected values for MR-Egger when $I^2$ statistic was $<0.9$ (see Table S2). SNPs, n = total number of SNPs included in the analysis. SE = standard error. GS MR = Generalized Summary Data-Based Mendelian randomization.
Figure 1. The Mendelian randomization (MR) principle. A) The validity of MR relies on three assumptions, the genetic variants used in the instrument must (1) associate robustly with the exposure variable (e.g. schizophrenia), (2) be independent of confounders, and (3) not directly affect the outcome variable (e.g. heart failure), except through their effect on the exposure. B) Multivariable MR allows the inclusion of an additional variable, besides the main exposure variable. In the current study we aimed to test whether key health behaviours (e.g. smoking) mediate part of the effect of schizophrenia on cardiovascular disease (risk). In this example, if the inclusion of smoking results in a (considerable) decrease in the direct effect of schizophrenia on heart failure, that implies that smoking mediates some of that relationship.
**Figure 2.** Forest plots of multivariable Mendelian randomization (MR) analyses from liability to schizophrenia on heart failure (A), liability to schizophrenia on heart rate variability (B), and liability to schizophrenia on early repolarization (C), showing the direct effect of liability to schizophrenia on the respective outcomes. Each of the health behaviours were added in separate multivariable MR analyses. Note that lifetime smoking and physical activity could not be added for the analyses with early repolarization as the outcome (C), because there was considerable sample overlap between the GWAS these were based on and the GWAS for early repolarization (overlap in UK-Biobank participants).