**RIC3 variants are not associated with Parkinson’s Disease in large European, Latin American, or East Asian cohorts**
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Abstract

Parkinson's disease (PD) is a complex neurodegenerative disorder in which both rare and common genetic variants contribute to disease risk. Multiple genes have been reported to be linked to monogenic PD, but these only explain a fraction of the observed familial aggregation. Rare variants in RIC3 have been suggested to be associated with PD in the Indian population. However, replication studies yielded inconsistent results. We further investigate the role of RIC3 variants in PD in European cohorts using individual-level genotyping data from 14,671 PD patients and 17,667 controls, as well as whole-genome sequencing data from 1,615 patients and 961 controls. We also investigated RIC3 using summary statistics from a Latin American cohort of 1,481 individuals, and from a cohort of 31,575 individuals of East Asian ancestry. We did not identify any association between RIC3 and PD in any of the cohorts. However, more studies of rare variants in non-European ancestry populations, in particular South Asian populations, are necessary to further evaluate the world-wide role of RIC3 in PD etiology.

Introduction

Parkinson’s disease (PD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder, for which the genetic causes are largely still unknown. Variants in resistance to inhibitors of cholinesterase 3 (RIC3) were first identified in Indian PD patients (Sudhaman et al., 2016). A rare missense variant, p.P57T, was identified in a large Indian PD family with an autosomal-dominant pattern of inheritance, where it was present in all nine affected individuals and absent in five unaffected family members (Sudhaman et al., 2016). Another rare heterozygous missense RIC3 variant, p.V168L, was found in the same study through targeted screening of an independent cohort of 288 Indian PD patients and 186 controls (Sudhaman et al., 2016). However, no association was found between RIC3 variants and PD risk in later studies of French-Canadian and French cohorts (Ross et al., 2017) and a Chinese cohort (He et al., 2017). The RIC3 gene encodes for a chaperone for nicotinic acetylcholine receptors, specifically the alpha-7 subunit of homomeric nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (CHRNA7). These receptors are important for promoting the release of dopamine in the nigrostriatal pathway (Gotti and Clementi, 2004; Quik and Kulak, 2002) where the degeneration and loss of dopaminergic neurons occurs in PD. In addition, there is some suggestion that smoking and nicotine are protective against PD (Breckenridge et
al., 2016; Li et al., 2015). The original study showed that both variants in RIC3 reduced the level of these receptors in mutant cell lines (Sudhaman et al., 2016). The authors suggest this is potentially through a dominant-negative effect of the mutations, as the level of CHRNA7 in the mutant cell lines was lower than in untransfected cells (Sudhaman et al., 2016).

Here, we assessed the role of RIC3 variants in PD risk in several cohorts of different ethnicities: large European cohorts from the International Parkinson’s Disease Genomics Consortium (IPDGC) (Nalls et al., 2019) and the Accelerating Medicines Partnership in Parkinson’s Disease (AMP-PD) (https://amp-pd.org/). We further examined variants in RIC3 in summary statistics from 1,481 individuals from the Latin American Research consortium on the Genetics of PD (LARGE-PD) (Loesch et al., 2020), as well as from 31,575 individuals of East Asian ancestry (Foo et al., 2020).

**Methods**

We analyzed individual-level data from two large European datasets of PD patients and controls: IPDGC genome-wide genotyping data (14,671 PD patients and 17,667 controls), and AMP-PD whole-genome sequencing data (1,615 PD patients and 961 controls after quality control and removal of individuals of non-European ancestry [Supplementary Methods]). Analysis and quality control of the AMP-PD dataset (release 1) were performed on the cloud-native platform Terra (https://app.terra.bio/). In each dataset, GWAS analysis was performed using logistic regression in PLINK v1.9 (Chang et al., 2015). We adjusted for age at study entry, sex, and the first 10 genetic principal components (PCs). In both datasets, we also assessed the burden of rare variants in RIC3, using RVTESTS version 2.1.0 (Zhan et al., 2016) with standard settings. Variants were annotated using the latest available version (2019Oct24) of ANNOVAR (Wang et al., 2010). Only variants with minor allele frequency (MAF) less than 3% were included for burden analysis. All code for these analyses is available at: https://github.com/ipdgc/IPDGC-Trainees/blob/master/RIC3.ipynb. Subsequently, associations between variants in RIC3 and PD were investigated in GWAS summary statistics from two large additional cohorts, LARGE-PD with 1,481 individuals (798 cases and 683 controls) (Loesch et al., 2020), and an Asian PD case-control cohort (Foo et al., 2020). The Asian PD GWAS included 31,575 individuals (6,724 PD patients and 24,851 controls) from
East Asia, including Singapore/Malaysia, Hong Kong, Taiwan, mainland China, and South Korea (Foo et al., 2020). LocusZoom plots were generated using the LocalZoom tool available at https://my.locuszoom.org.

**Results**

Using IPDGC genotyping data, we identified 143 variants within the *RIC3* gene (base pair coordinates 11:8,127,603-8,190,602 in genome build hg19/GRCh37; 11:8,106,056-8,169,055 in build GRCh38), including 3 missense variants (Supplementary Table 1). Neither of the two variants from the original study, p.P57T and p.V168L, were observed in this dataset. None of the *RIC3* variants were associated with PD risk after Bonferroni correction (p-value threshold $3.5 \times 10^{-4}$, Figure 1A). We performed gene-based burden analysis of *RIC3* to assess the cumulative effect of rare variants (MAF < 3%). There was no significant association between *RIC3* and PD risk in burden analysis (N variants = 6, CMC p-value = 0.67, Fp p-value = 0.78, Madson-Browning p-value = 0.79, SKAT-O p-value = 0.79, Zeggini p-value = 0.76) (Supplementary Table 2). There were no missense variants in the genotyping data with MAF < 3%.

In the AMP-PD whole-genome sequencing data, we identified 773 variants in *RIC3*, including 14 missense variants and 5 synonymous variants (Supplementary Table 3). The two variants from the original study were not present in this dataset. No variants were associated with PD risk after Bonferroni correction (p-value threshold $5.1 \times 10^{-5}$, Figure 1B). There was no significant burden of rare variants in *RIC3* in association with PD risk using AMP-PD data (N variants = 571, all p-values > 0.05) (Supplementary Table 2). There was also no significant association between *RIC3* with PD risk when assessing rare coding variants only (N variants = 15, all p-values > 0.05).

In the LARGE-PD data, 293 variants with a MAF > 1% were identified in *RIC3* whereas in the Asian dataset, 126 variants were identified. None of the variants in *RIC3* in either of the datasets were associated with PD risk (Figure 1C and 1D).
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Figure 1. LocusZoom plots of the RIC3 region, showing the p-values and recombination rate of the SNPs analyzed in the A) IPDGC genotyping data (hg19/GRCh37), B) AMP-PD WGS data (GRCh38), C) LARGE-PD GWAS summary statistic data (GRCh38), and D) East Asian GWAS summary statistic data (hg19/GRCh37). The variant with the lowest p-value from the logistic regression analyses is annotated with a purple diamond in each plot.

Discussion

We did not find any association between either single variants or the burden of rare variants in RIC3 and PD risk in the European datasets. These are the largest publicly available European PD case-control cohorts that have been screened for RIC3. We also did not observe any associations between variants in RIC3 and PD in GWAS summary statistics in cohorts from...
Latin America and East Asia. Overall, we did not find evidence to support the hypothesis that

$RIC3$ is associated with PD risk in individuals of European, Latin American, or East Asian

ancestry.

However, we cannot rule out the possibility that rare $RIC3$ variants may be associated with PD in specific families or populations. We did not identify the two originally reported variants p.P57T and p.V168L in WGS data, while the other datasets only included common variants. In addition, it is possible that only a few select rare variants in $RIC3$ are pathogenic for PD, and this may not be detected by rare variant burden tests if the majority of other rare variants across the gene are not associated with PD.

It is also important to recognize that $RIC3$ variants were identified in the Indian population as described in the original report, whereas our analysis of rare variants has been conducted in European populations. Only variants with a MAF > 1% were available in the GWAS summary statistics for the datasets of Latin American and Asian ancestry. It is possible that rare variants in this gene have a population-specific effect on PD risk. GWASs of PD in East Asian populations have identified novel variants for PD risk not found in European GWASs (Foo et al., 2020). In the Genome Aggregation Database (gnomAD) (Karczewski et al., 2020), both of the variants reported in the original study have higher allele frequencies in the South Asian population. For the p.P57T mutation (rs778138358), a total of 14 alleles were identified in 30,596 total alleles (MAF 0.05%). For the p.V168L mutation (rs777471396), 25 alleles were identified in the South Asian population out of a total of 30,614 alleles (MAF 0.08%). One allele carrier for each variant was also identified in the category group ‘Other’ (population not assigned). In contrast, the variants were absent in all other populations on gnomAD, including European, Latino, and East Asian. This suggests that the reported variants in $RIC3$ are specific to South Asian populations, but does not answer the question of whether they are associated with PD risk, as individuals in gnomAD may not have been systematically screened for PD (Karczewski et al., 2020). Further studies or rare variants in South Asian populations are needed to clarify the role of $RIC3$ variants in PD etiology.

In summary, we did not find evidence that $RIC3$ variants are associated with PD risk in European cohorts. We also did not find evidence of more common variants (MAF > 1%) being associated with PD in cohorts from Latin America and East Asia. Based on the varying frequency of $RIC3$ rare variants across geographic populations, further studies are encouraged...
of rare variants in *RIC3* in non-European populations, in particular South Asian populations, in order to fully evaluate the suggested role for *RIC3* in PD etiology.
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