Improved performance of saliva for the detection of the B.1.351 variant in South Africa

Abstract:
Assessment of the unknown performance of saliva for the detection of the novel SARS-CoV-2 variant of concern (VOC) B.1.351 (501Y.V2) lineage is essential as saliva has been shown to be an equivalent, less invasive and a less costly alternative to nasopharyngeal swabs for the molecular detection of SARS-CoV-2 infection in pre-variant studies. Between 1st August 2020 and 16th January 2021, we enrolled 410 eligible ambulatory participants who presented to Groote Schuur Hospital (GSH) in Cape Town, South Africa for SARS-CoV-2 testing. Of these, 300 were enrolled prior to, and 110 after, the initial detection and replacement of wild-type by the B.1.351 variant. All participants provided a supervised self-collected mid-turbinate (MT) and saliva (SA) swab, in addition to the standard HCW collected NP swab which were all tested by RT-PCR in an accredited diagnostic laboratory. Positive percent agreement to NP swab for SA swabs pre- and post-variant were 51.5% and 72.5% respectively while these values for MT swabs were 75.8% and 77.5%. The negative percent agreement for all swab types during all periods was >98%. The basis for this marked improvement of SA swabs as a diagnostic sample for B.1.351 virus is still being investigated.

Body:
In December 2020, South Africa (SA) faced a surge in COVID-19 cases, which was associated with the replacement of previous circulating lineages with a novel SARS-CoV-2 variant of concern (VOC) B.1.351 (501Y.V2) lineage¹. Preliminary analyses
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suggest that this variant, defined by 3 mutations at key sites in the receptor-binding
domain, may have functional significance including increased transmissibility\textsuperscript{1}. In the
assessment of multiple diagnostic sample types to reduce the need for directed
healthcare worker (HCW) performed sampling, saliva has been shown to be
equivalent, safer and a less costly alternative to nasopharyngeal (NP) swabs for
molecular confirmation of SARS-CoV-2 infection\textsuperscript{2,3}. Its performance in the detection
of VOC virus is, however, unknown.

Between 1\textsuperscript{st} August 2020 and 16\textsuperscript{th} January 2021, we enrolled 410 eligible ambulatory
participants who presented to Groote Schuur Hospital (GSH) in Cape Town, South
Africa for SARS-CoV-2 testing. Of these, 300 were enrolled prior to, and 110 after,
the initial detection and replacement of the B.1.351 variant. All participants provided
a supervised self-collected mid-turbinate (MT) and saliva (SA) swab, in addition to
the standard HCW collected NP swab which were tested by RT-PCR\textsuperscript{4} in an
accredited diagnostic laboratory. Assessment of the diagnostic validity of MT and SA
relative to NP swabs (Fig. 1A) was assessed by comparing the mean cycle threshold
(Ct) differences (Fig. 1B and C), pre-test probability (Fig 1D). Whole genome
sequencing of suitable specimens (Fig. 1E), was performed.

Notably, a noted improvement in the positive percent agreement (PPA) for SA but
not MT relative to NP swabs, for the two cohorts was documented. The reasons for
the significant change in SA PPA are currently unknown. Possibilities include
changes in tissue tropism\textsuperscript{5} associated with the VOC B.1.351, and while increased
viral replication in salivary glands would be expected to decrease the mean Ct value
to a greater extent than for NP swabs post B.1.351 lineage emergence, this was not
evident. However, a potential explanation would be the presence of greater RNA quantities of VOC B.1.351 reflected by decreased mean Ct values for all sample types, which may support the preliminary modelling-based finding of increased transmissibility¹. Whilst altered test-seeking behavior in the study population cannot be excluded the inclusion criteria remained the same throughout the study.

Whilst further WGS studies are ongoing to determine compartmentalized replication and to inform diagnostic preferences concurrent to public health interventions, knowledge of distinct oral and oropharyngeal shedding dynamics and viral burden through the continuum of SARS-CoV-2 infections is warranted.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PPA</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>NPA</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>PPV</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>NPV</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Cohen’s kappa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SA pre-B.1.351</strong></td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>35.22-67.50</td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td>96.21-99.42</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>60.00-92.33</td>
<td>94.3</td>
<td>90.89-96.44</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SA post-B.1.351</strong></td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>57.17-83.89</td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td>92.02-99.92</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>83.33-99.83</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>76.20-91.83</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MT pre-B.1.351</strong></td>
<td>75.8</td>
<td>58.98-87.17</td>
<td>99.3</td>
<td>97.31-99.87</td>
<td>92.6</td>
<td>76.63-98.68</td>
<td>97.1</td>
<td>94.33-98.51</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MT post-B.1.351</strong></td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>62.50-87.68</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>94.58-100.0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>88.97-100.0</td>
<td>88.2</td>
<td>79.00-93.64</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SA: saliva; MT: mid-turbinate; NP: nasal pharyngeal; PPA: positive percent agreement; NPA: negative percent agreement; PPV: positive predictive value; NPV: negative predictive value; 95% CI: 95% confidence interval. Cohen’s kappa: The following numerical scores were used to describe the relative strength of agreement as described with kappa statistics: 0 = poor; 0.00–0.20 = slight; 0.21–0.40 = fair; 0.41–0.60 = moderate; 0.61–0.80 = substantial; and 0.81–1 = almost perfect.

**Legend for Graphs**

- **A**: Table showing percent agreement and confidence intervals for different samples and detection methods.
- **B**: Scatter plot showing Ct Value against Number of tests done.
- **C**: Scatter plot showing Ct Value against Number of tests done, with different markers for different samples.
- **D**: Bar graph showing the number of SARS-CoV-2 positive samples over time.
- **E**: Bar graph showing the number of SARS-CoV-2 positive samples over time, with different colors for different sequences.
Figure 1. A: Positive and negative percent agreement to nasopharyngeal (NP) swab as well as positive and negative predictive value of saliva (SA) and mid-turbinate (MT) self-administered swabs as a diagnostic sample for SARS-CoV-2 PCR prior to (n=33/300) and after (n=40/107) the emergence of the B.1.351 lineage. Post-emergence, 3 proven non-B.1.351 lineage virus infected participants were excluded. The likelihood ratio of having a positive SA swab result pre- and post-emergence of the B.1.351 lineage for participants with a positive NP swab result was 34 and 48 respectively. Confidence intervals were calculated using the Wilson-Brown method.

B and C: Mean cycle threshold (Ct) values for SA, MT and NP swabs are shown with 1 standard deviation error bars.

B. Pre-B.1.351 emergence [34.35 (95% CI: 32.67-36.02), 30.31 (95% CI: 28.34-32.27) and 30.44 (95%: 28.65-32.22) respectively]

C. Post-emergence [30.96 (95% CI: 29.36-32.56), 27.84 (95% CI: 25.79-29.88), 27.16 (95% CI: 25.50-28.83) respectively]. The mean Ct SA values decreased by 3.39 and a comparable 2.47 and 3.28 for MT and NP, respectively. Paired t-tests were used to compare swab Ct values. *: P-value < 0.05, **: P-value <0.01, ***: P-value <0.001, ****: P-value <0.0001.

D: Longitudinal number of all tests performed and positive percentage of SARS-CoV-2 tests over the study period at the GSH testing centre. Participants in this study are included.
E: Whole genome sequencing. Longitudinal number of isolates from each clade including the number of viral isolates not deemed suitable for sequencing (Ct values ≥30).
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