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Summary

Two general practitioners (GPs) with SARS-CoV-2 infection provided in-person patient care to patients of their joint medical practice before and after symptom onset, up until SARS-CoV-2 laboratory confirmation. Through active contact tracing, the local public health authorities recruited the cohort of patients that had contact with either GP in their putative infectious period. In this cohort of patient contacts, we assess the frequency and determinants of SARS-CoV-2 transmission from GPs to patients. We calculated incidence rate ratios (IRR) to explore the type of contact as explanatory variable for COVID-19 cases. Among the cohort of 83 patient contacts, we identified 22 (27%) COVID-19 cases; 17 (21%) possible, 3 (4%) probable, and 2 (2%) confirmed cases. All 22 cases had contact with a GP when they did not wear a mask, and/or when contact was ≥10 minutes. Importantly, patients who had contact <10 minutes with a GP wearing a facemask were at reduced risk (IRR 0.21; 95%CI 0.01-0.99) of COVID-19. This outbreak investigation adds to the body of evidence in supporting current guidelines on measures at preventing transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in an outpatient setting.

Abstract

Two general practitioners (GPs) with a SARS-CoV-2 infection provided in-person patient care to patients of their joint medical practice before and after symptom onset, up until SARS-CoV-2 laboratory confirmation. In a retrospective cohort study of patient contacts, we assessed the risk (frequency and determinants) of SARS-CoV-2 transmission from the GPs to their patients. Our findings support the use of facemasks for GPs, and short consultation time, to minimize the risk of transmission.
In Germany, the first case of COVID-19 was confirmed on 27 January 2020 (1). This was followed by several introductions and clusters of cases of COVID-19. On 27 February, Germany reported a total of 26 cases (2). By 3 March 2020, 196 cases were reported, of which the majority (n=101) belonged to a large cluster in North-Rhine Westphalia or were linked to importation from high risk areas (n=35, from Italy, China, Iran) (3). Three days later, on 6 March 2020, a total of 639 confirmed COVID-19 cases were reported in Germany (4). Extensive contact tracing by the responsible health authorities was ongoing.

In this field report, we report about one of the first of ten cases of COVID-19 that was notified to a local public health authority in Germany, early March 2020, in the context of a GP practice.

**Epidemiology of the incident**

Two GPs, working in their joint medical practice, had fallen ill with COVID-19 end of February / early March 2020. To ensure confidentiality, we report an anonymised timeline, counting days 1 to 22, starting two days before the first day of symptom onset of one of the GPs. Both GPs had been attending to patients shortly before and after onset of respiratory symptoms, until laboratory confirmation of SARS-CoV-2 infection on day nine (Figure 1). Of note, because of the limited number of cases of COVID-19 in the area at that time, exposure to SARS-CoV-2 outside of the GP practice was deemed unlikely.
Response & outbreak investigation

Through active contact tracing, the local public health authorities recruited the cohort of patients that had contact with either GP in their putative infectious period (starting two days before and ending 10 days after the date of symptom onset). The cohort of patients that was exposed to SARS-CoV-2 during their GP visit provided the unique opportunity to investigate the likelihood of transmission in a GP setting. Therefore, and in accordance with national contact tracing guidelines (5), we included patients in our cohort who were exposed to either GP two days before their respective date of symptom onset until laboratory confirmation of SARS-CoV-2 infection of the GP. Thus, the study period included all valid patient contacts from day one to day nine of the anonymised timeline. The GPs were self-isolating from day nine onwards. Both GPs made a full recovery. Close contacts of either GP were ordered a 14-day home quarantine. Quarantine included active daily monitoring of COVID-19 symptoms and testing for SARS-CoV-2 in the event of symptom onset and/or at the end of the quarantine period. The full referent period can therefore be defined as the day one (two days before the symptom onset of the first GP) up to and including day 22 (last day of quarantine). Work-related contacts included six practice assistants; all reported distant contact, tested negative for SARS-CoV-2 and were not considered part of the cohort.

In addition to routine contact tracing phone calls, we interviewed all patient contacts by phone at the end of their putative incubation period (14 days after exposure) and again four weeks later, using a standardised questionnaire. For each GP visit, the (medical) purpose, duration and type of contact with the GP was extracted, including which GP was seen, the distance to the GP, if the patient was physically examined, and whether or not the GP wore a
medical facemask. At the time, wearing a facemask by GPs was not yet recommended as a basic 
infection prevention and control (IPC) measure for all situations of direct contact to all patients 
(regardless of infection status) in Germany.

We collected information on potential symptom onset in the 14 days after risk contact 
with the GP, including the type and timing of general and COVID-19-associated symptoms (6). 
New onset was defined as a symptom that started the day after the risk contact up until 14 days 
after the GP visit. COVID-19-compatible symptoms were separated into respiratory and non-
respiratory symptoms. Respiratory symptoms were defined as cough, sore throat, common-cold 
(runny nose), shortness of breath and/or pneumoniae. Non-respiratory symptoms were defined as 
fever, anosmia (loss of the sense of smell), ageusia (loss of the sense of taste), dysgeusia 
(distortion of the sense of taste), headache, back-pain, muscle ache, joint-pain, loss of appetite 
and/or weight, nausea, vomiting, diarrhoea, conjunctivitis, skin rash, swollen lymph nodes and/or 
apathy.

Case definitions were based on self-reported symptoms and laboratory criteria. Possible 
cases reported onset of at least two COVID-19-compatible symptoms. Probable cases met the 
criteria for a possible case, but also had pneumonia, anosmia, ageusia or dysgeusia. Confirmed 
cases tested positive for SARS-COV-2, regardless of symptoms (i.e. national COVID-19 case 
definition).

We used negative binomial regression to calculate incidence rate ratios (IRR) to explore 
the risk (frequency and determinants) of SARS-CoV-2 transmission from GPs to patients using 
the MASS and fmsb packages in R Version 3.6.3.
We conducted this outbreak investigation as part of the official tasks of the local public health authorities of the respective district supported by the RKI upon official request in accordance to §4 of the German Protection against Infection Act. Therefore, this investigation was exempt from additional institutional review.

**Results & discussion**

We reached 91 (70%) of 131 patient contacts as provided by the GPs. Of these, four contacts did not meet our inclusion criteria --they were not exposed to or were exposed outside the infectious period of either GP--and four did not consent. Thus, 83 (91%) of 91 were included in the cohort study.

The median age was 45 [IQR 7-87] years, 56 (68%) were female. There were 25 (30%) current smokers (tobacco) and 54 (65%) reported underlying conditions. Some patients visited their GPs more than once during the infectious period of the GPs, we therefore recorded 89 contact-events in our study (GP\(_X\) n=43; GP\(_Y\) n=46). Patients spent a median of 10 minutes (IQR 10-20) with their GP. The GPs wore a medical facemask during 31 (35%) of 89 contact-events, more often during physical examination (25/56; 45%) compared to no physical examination (6/32; 19%; Fisher’s exact \(P=0.046\)).

In total, 28 participants (33.7%) reported onset of COVID-19 associated symptoms after exposure to either GP, 22 (26.5%) reported onset of respiratory symptoms including fever, 21 (25.3%) reported onset respiratory symptoms, irrespective of fever. Onset of sore throat (n=17; 61%), cough (n=10; 36%), common cold (n=10; 36%), headache (n=9; 32%) and apathy (n=7; 25%) were the most frequently reported symptoms (**Figure 1**). We identified 22 (27%) COVID-
19 cases, including 17 (21%) possible, 3 (4%) probable, and 2 (2%) confirmed cases (Figure 2 & Table 1).

No single type of contact was significantly associated with the risk of getting COVID-19 (Table 2); however, the trends indicated that contact with GP X, contact in the pre-symptomatic phase (data included for GP X only, as GP Y has not seen any patient in their pre-symptomatic phase), and contact ≥10 minutes increased the risk, while contact with a GP wearing a facemask decreased the risk (Table 2). All 22 identified COVID-19 cases had contact with a GP when they did not wear a mask, and/or when contact was ≥10 minutes. Importantly, contact <10 minutes with a GP wearing a facemask was significantly associated with a reduced risk (IRR 0.21; 95%CI 0.01-0.99) of COVID-19. When assessing the risk using a cumulative score (1 point for each: duration ≥10 minutes; distance <1 meter and/or physical examination; contact without a facemask or contact ≥10 minutes with facemask), trends indicated that increased exposure increased risk of COVID-19 (IRR per point 1.23; 95%CI 0.76-2.15).

Our study has a few limitations. First, and due to the observational nature of our study, case definitions rely on self-reported symptoms and the availability of PCR test results (64/83 were tested), and are therefore an estimation of the true number of cases. Also, not all potentially confounding factors could be considered in the statistical analysis, because of the limited sample size. Second, we cannot exclude that the patients were exposed to another source for SARS-CoV-2 infection, even though community transmission in Germany at the time was limited (3, 4). Last, case definition for possible cases included symptoms that could also have been caused by others such as seasonal influenza which was still circulating by the time the patients were exposed to the infectious GPs.
Conclusion

In conclusion, the use of facemasks for GPs and short consultation times helped limiting the spread of SARS-CoV-2 from GPs to their patients. Should a GP or other healthcare workers outside of a hospital setting with COVID-19 be shedding SARS-CoV-2 at the peak of infectiousness (i.e. shortly before or after symptom onset) then these types of IPC measures may prevent transmission to susceptible patients. Our investigation lends support to current guidelines from WHO and the ECDC, both recommending all healthcare workers to continuously wear a medical facemask at work especially in areas of community transmission (7-10).
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Figure 1. Percentage of self-reported onset of COVID-19-associated symptoms after contact with either GP, presented as a percentage of the full cohort, as recalled by the 28/83 study participants.
Figure 2. Date of symptom (following the anonymized timeline) onset of possible, probable and confirmed COVID-19 cases within the cohort of patient contacts of two SARS-CoV-2-positive GPs in a medical practice in Germany, February-March 2020. Symptom onset of either GP, the days they attended to patients, and closure of the GP medical practice are marked by blue (GP X), pink (GP Y) and black arrows, respectively.
Table 1. Summary of characteristics of the five confirmed and probable COVID-19 cases within the cohort of patient contacts of two SARS-CoV-2-positive GPs in a GP practice in Germany, 2020.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case classification</th>
<th>Case 1</th>
<th>Case 2</th>
<th>Case 3</th>
<th>Case 4</th>
<th>Case 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age group (years)</td>
<td>Between 20-25</td>
<td>Between 40-45</td>
<td>Between 20-25</td>
<td>Between 45-50</td>
<td>Between 80-85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incubation period (days)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underlying conditions</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP visited</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time with GP (minutes)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to GP (meter)</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Close contact&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Close</td>
<td>Close</td>
<td>Close</td>
<td>Close</td>
<td>Close</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical examination by GP</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP wore a face mask</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk contact score&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Combination of distance &lt;1m and/or physical examination/blood drawn/injection; <sup>b</sup>Risk contact score (scale 0-3), one point for each:
- duration (1 point if ≥10 minutes), distance (1 point for &lt;1 meter and/or physical examination), GP with facemask (1 point for contact without a facemask, or contact ≥10 minutes with a facemask)
Table 2. Incidence and incidence rate ratios (IRR) of COVID-19 cases (possible, probable and confirmed), by risk contact-event with SARS-CoV-2-positive general practitioners (GP).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of risk contact</th>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Total (n=89)</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>No case (n=67)</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Case (n=22)</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Incidence rate ratios</th>
<th>95%CI lower</th>
<th>95%CI upper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GP</td>
<td>GP Y</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>I (ref)</td>
<td>(ref)</td>
<td>(ref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GP X</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>3.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase of infection of GPs</td>
<td>Symptomatic</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
<td>(ref)</td>
<td>(ref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-symptomatic</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>76.1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>81.8</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>4.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>≥10 minutes</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>81.8</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
<td>(ref)</td>
<td>(ref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;10 minutes</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facemask worn by GP</td>
<td>No facemask</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
<td>(ref)</td>
<td>(ref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Facemask</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration and facemask</td>
<td>Exposed</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>84.3</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>79.1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
<td>(ref)</td>
<td>(ref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unexposed</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk contact score</td>
<td>Per point</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(continuous)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**a** IRR calculated using Haldane-Anscombe correction; **b** Risk contact score (scale 0-3), one point for each: duration (1 point if ≥10 minutes), distance (1 point for <1 meter and/or physical examination), GP with facemask (1 point for contact without a facemask, or contact ≥10 minutes with a facemask).