The kidney produces a non-polymerizing form of Uromodulin that associates with reduced risk of acute kidney injury
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Abstract

Uromodulin (Tamm-Horsfall protein, THP) is a glycoprotein uniquely produced in the kidney. It is released by cells of the thick ascending limbs (TAL) apically in the urine, and basolaterally in the renal interstitium and systemic circulation. Processing of mature urinary THP, which polymerizes into supra-molecular filaments, requires cleavage of an external hydrophobic patch (EHP) at the C terminus. However, THP in the circulation is not polymerized, and it remains unclear if non-aggregated forms of THP exist natively in the urine. We propose that an alternative processing path, which retains the EHP domain, can lead to a non-polymerizing form of THP. We have generated an antibody that specifically recognizes THP with retained EHP (THP+EHP) and established its presence in the urine in a non-polymerized native state. In the human kidney, THP+EHP predominantly co-localized with urinary THP in TAL cells, but it was also detected, at lower levels, in other tubular segments. We then developed a customized ELISA to measure THP+EHP and used it on urine and plasma samples from a small cohort of patients hospitalized with liver cirrhosis. On admission, urinary THP+EHP, but not total THP, was significantly lower in patients who subsequently developed acute kidney injury during hospitalization. THP+EHP was also detected in the plasma, albeit at very low concentrations. Our findings uncover novel insights into uromodulin biology by establishing the presence of an alternative path for cellular processing. Our proof-of-principle findings in patients warrant further investigations to establish the utility of THP+EHP as a sensitive biomarker of kidney health and susceptibility to injury.
Introduction

Uromodulin, (also known as Tamm-Horsfall protein, THP) is a glycoprotein uniquely produced by the epithelial cells lining the thick ascending limb (TAL) of the loop of Henle and early distal convoluted tubule (1-3). Its exclusive renal expression, great urinary abundance and phylogenetic conservation point to important physiological roles that are of interest to researchers and clinicians. THP is predominantly sorted to the apex of TAL cells and secreted into the urine as one of the most abundant proteins (4, 5). A lesser, but significant amount of THP is released basolaterally, towards the renal interstitium and systemic circulation (6, 7). The concentration of THP in the circulation is approximately a thousand times lower than the urine (8, 9).

The last two decades have uncovered important roles for urinary and serum THP in regulating renal homeostasis and modulating response and susceptibility to injury. The polymerization of urinary THP is thought to be a key factor in maintaining the impermeability of the TAL segment to water (10). Furthermore, THP filaments play a key role in host defense against ascending infections by binding to bacteria and aiding in pathogen clearance (11, 12). Within the cells where it is produced, THP facilitates the activation of the NKCC2, ROMK and NCC channels, which has key implications on distal handling of salt and hypertension (13-17). Furthermore, THP regulates calcium and magnesium handling by regulating TRPV5 and TRPM6 channels, respectively (18, 19).

THP is basolaterally released in the renal interstitium and subsequently in the bloodstream (7). In the renal interstitium, THP affects multiple cell types, such as S3 proximal tubules, where it inhibits pro-inflammatory signaling (20, 21), and immune cells, where it regulates the abundance and phagocytic activity of resident mononuclear phagocytes (MPCs) and protects against inflammation following kidney injury (22).
has also important systemic effects on other organs, such as regulation of granulopoiesis, vascular calcification and mitigation of oxidative stress through inhibition of the TRPM2 channel (23-25). Many studies have evaluated the role of both serum and urinary THP as biomarkers. A growing body of compelling evidence suggests that the presence of high levels of THP (in the urine or serum) is independently associated with reduced risk of incident acute and chronic kidney disease, kidney disease progression, cardiovascular disease and mortality outcomes (8, 26-34). In addition, THP serum levels are inversely correlated with markers of systemic inflammation (35, 36).

Important advancements have been made to delineate the biosynthesis, intracellular maturation along the secretory pathway, excretion and polymerization of mature urinary THP (37-41). In contrast, little is known about the synthesis, cellular sorting and secretion of circulating THP. We have previously demonstrated that in contrast to urinary THP, circulating THP is not aggregated (22). However, it remains unknown how the kidney produces a non-polymerizing form of THP. The THP precursor is comprised of 614 amino acids. The predicted structural domains of THP include four epidermal growth factor (EGF)–like domains, a cysteine rich domain of unknown function (D8C) and a bipartite C-terminal zona pellucida domain (ZP, comprised of ZP-N and ZP-C sub-domains), essential for THP assembly into extracellular urinary polymers of supramolecular structure (2). An extensive hydrophobic interface mediates the ZP-N domain homodimerization, while a structured interdomain linker between ZP-N and ZP-C directs that self-association (37). During intracellular trafficking, THP is kept in a polymerization-incompetent state by the hydrophobic interaction of two motifs, the internal (IHP, residues 430 – 436 and 456 – 462) and external (EHP, residues 598 – 607) hydrophobic patches (42). The IHP is located in the ZP linker region, while the EHP resides between the consensus proteolytic cleavage site (F587) and GPI
anchoring site (S614) at the apical membrane on the urinary lumen side. Proteolysis by the type II transmembrane serine protease hepsin at conserved F587 removes the EHP motif, thus permitting correct orientation of ZP-N domains for polymerization to occur in the urine (43, 44). Although monomeric forms of THP in the urine have been recovered, these only occurred after treatment with a chaotropic agent and/or truncation (22, 45).

Based on our knowledge of the structure of THP and the mechanisms of its polymerization, we aimed to 1) determine how the kidney could natively produce a non-polymerizing form of THP; 2) assess whether this knowledge will advance our understanding of the biology of its apical vs. basolateral release; 3) gage the potential clinical implications and advantages of measuring such form of THP. We hypothesized that a non-polymerizing form of THP could be present if a molecular event (such as cleavage at an alternative site) causes retention of the EHP and thereby releases a longer form of THP (very similar to the precursor sequence). Therefore, we designed and optimized tools to detect and measure THP with retained EHP (referred to as THP+EHP) and performed preliminary studies to assess the usefulness of measuring THP+EHP in a clinical setting.
Methods

Generation of anti-peptide antibody for detection of non-polymerizing THP: A rabbit polyclonal antibody recognizing the EHP region of human THP (anti-EHP pAb) was generated by Biomatic (Ontario, Canada). The synthetic peptide corresponding to human THP amino acids 591 – 612 (C-SVIDQSRVNLGPIRKGVQAT) was used in immunizations (conjugated to keyhole limpet hemocyanin protein) and for antigen-affinity purification of the antibody.

Western blot: Western blot was performed as described previously (22). The following primary antibodies were used: sheep anti-hTHP (R&D Biosystems AF5144) pAb and anti-EHP pAb; secondary antibodies used were rabbit anti-sheep IgG-HRP (AP147P; Millipore) and donkey anti-rabbit IgG-HRP (AP182P: Millipore). Bands were detected by enhanced chemiluminescence (Pierce Super Signal West Pico kit, #34087) on ChemiDoc MP imaging system (BioRad, CA).

Native PAGE and immunoblot: Native polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and immunoblot were performed using the Invitrogen 4-16 % NativePAGE Bis-Tris precast mini-gels and protocol (22).

Purification of human urinary polymerizing THP (pTHP): Purification of human urinary THP was carried out by salt precipitation of the aggregating THP from the urine, as described by Tamm and Horsfall (46, 47). THP precipitated from urine of normal human donors was subsequently treated with 8 M urea for >12 hours at 4°C with tumbling. It was then concentrated on an Amicon concentrator with 10 kD molecular weight cutoff
(Millipore) and transferred on a Superdex 200 gel filtration column equilibrated with 30 mM phosphate buffer, pH 6.8, in 2 M urea (22). The column was pre-calibrated using specific molecular weight markers (BioRad, CA). THP fractions were collected and the protein concentration in each fraction was determined using a spectrophotometer. Native gel electrophoresis was performed on the recovered fractions followed by denaturing and reducing SDS-PAGE. For these experiments, fractions of THP with evidence of polymerization on native gel electrophoresis were pooled to form polymerizing p(THP).

**ELISA for non-polymerizing (THP+EHP) THP detection:** Custom sandwich ELISA for non-polymerizing THP detection was developed using a mouse anti-THP monoclonal antibody (sc-271022; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, CA) as a capture antibody and rabbit anti-EHP polyclonal antibody as the detection antibody. The detection was performed using donkey anti-rabbit IgG–HRP (Millipore EMD AP182P) and 3,3′,5,5′-Tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) Liquid Substrate System as peroxidase substrate (ThermoFisher Scientific, #34028). The assay was performed using Nunc-Immuno™ MaxiSorp™ 96 well solid plates (Sigma-Aldrich, M9410), 5% BSA (ThermoFisher Scientific, #N502) as a blocking solution, PBS/Tween 0.05% /1% BSA as a washing solution, Stop Solution for TMB Substrates (ThermoFisher Scientific, N600) and standard sandwich ELISA protocol. The standard in the ELISA assay was a custom made 37 amino acid-long peptide comprised of mouse anti-hTHP mAb epitope (N-terminal) and EHP sequence (C-terminal). Minimum detectable level was between 50 – 100 pg.
Tissue sectioning, immunofluorescence staining and confocal microscopy:

Immunofluorescence staining was performed, as described previously (20, 48) on 50-µm sections of 4% paraformaldehyde–fixed kidneys from a human nephrectomy specimen and sectioned using a vibratome. The following antibodies were used to visualize THP: Anti-Human Uromodulin Antibody, antigen affinity-purified polyclonal sheep IgG (R&D Systems, AF5144) and custom made anti-EHP rabbit polyclonal antibody. DAPI was used for staining nuclei and Oregon Green Phalloidin (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) was used for staining F-actin. Confocal microscopy and image acquisition in 3 separate consecutive channels were performed using an Olympus Fluoview confocal microscope system (Japan). Images were collected under 4x and 20x magnification.

Quantitation of EHP fluorescence: Quantitation of EHP fluorescence was done on 3 separate fields from a human nephrectomy specimen (20x magnification) using ImageJ. The average intensity (after background subtraction) was measured separately in each tubule (marked as a region of interest) using a brush tool. The background average intensity was measured in negative controls without primary antibody.

Immunoprecipitation of serum THP: Normal human serum was purchased from EMD Millipore. Immunoprecipitation of THP from serum was performed in RIPA buffer using mouse anti-hTHP monoclonal antibody (B-2, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-271022) or normal mouse IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-2343), both conjugated to agarose beads, for >12 hours at 4°C. Binary antigen-antibody complexes were eluted with 4X SDS-PAGE sample buffer (1:2 ration of beads to buffer), and subjected to
electrophoresis followed by Western blotting. Typically, 1 or 10 ml of human serum was used in immunoprecipitations. The serum was initially depleted from IgGs by pre-incubation with high-capacity protein A/G agarose beads (ThermoFisher Scientific, #20423).

**Epitope mapping of mouse anti-THP mAb:** Mouse anti-hTHP monoclonal antibody B-2 (sc-271022) had a manufacturer’s defined epitope region between amino acids 291 and 425 of human THP. To identify its true epitope a PepScreen approach was used from Sigma Aldrich. In short, a peptide library of 25 peptides, of 15 amino acids in length each and with 10 overlapping amino acids, was screened in a direct ELISA using biotinylated mouse anti–hTHP mAb B-2. The epitope peptide was identified to be THP amino acids 371-385 and its sequence was used to generate a hybrid standard for non-polymerizing THP ELISA.

**ELISA for total THP detection:** Total THP was measured in samples of human serum and urine using Human UMOD ELISA kit form Sigma-Aldrich (RAB0751).

**Assay for total proteins in the urine:** Total urinary protein content was determined by modified Lowry protein assay using a detergent compatible micro-assay kit (BioRad #5000112).
Patient population and study protocol: The sample population was obtained from a cohort of hospitalized cirrhotic patients who were non-consecutively enrolled into a study evaluating urea metabolism. The study was approved by the Indiana University School of Medicine Institutional Review Board (approval number: 1011004299). The larger study from this cohort investigating the link between admission plasma THP and the risk of acute kidney injury had been previously reported (29). Inclusion criteria for the study included a known diagnosis of cirrhosis and age ≥ 18 years. The diagnosis of cirrhosis was made based on clinical parameters involving laboratory tests, endoscopic or radiologic evidence of cirrhosis, evidence of decompensation (hepatic encephalopathy, ascites, variceal bleeding, jaundice), and liver biopsy, if available. Patients were excluded if there was an unclear diagnosis of cirrhosis, had prior solid organ transplantation, were admitted electively, or if informed consent could not be obtained. We further excluded patients who had active cancer, acute kidney injury (AKI) on admission, hemodialysis at the time of admission, and confirmed pregnancy (29). For the purposes of this current pilot study, we identified patients who developed AKI during hospitalization (defined as a rise in creatinine of 0.3 mg/dL or 50% increase from baseline, as recommended by Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (49)) and had available blood and urine samples stored from the day of admission. 10 patients were identified, and they were matched for age, gender, baseline kidney function, and severity of cirrhosis (Model for End-stage Liver Disease) to another 10 patients who did not develop AKI. The case control matching was finalized a priori before any further testing was performed on the plasma or urine. Table 1 shows the baseline characteristic of this cohort. As expected, there were no significant differences between the two groups for demographics, co-morbid conditions, kidney function (baseline and admission), infections, and severity of cirrhosis.
**Statistical analysis:** All statistical tests were performed using GraphPad Prism software unless otherwise noted. A two tailed t-test or the Wilcoxon rank sum was used to examine the difference in means for continuous data. A paired t-test was used for samples from the same patient collected at different times. An F-test was performed to test if the variances of the samples were significantly different and a Welch’s t-test with correction was performed if the variances were found to be significantly different. For measurements with more than two groups, an ordinary one-way ANOVA was performed and significance between pairs of two groups was determined using Dunnett’s multiple comparison tests. Only quantitative measurements which fell within the range of the calibrator measurement curve were used for analysis. Outlier measurements were identified according to the Tukey fence method and removed. Chi-squared and Fisher’s exact tests was used to determine differences between categorical variables. Simple linear regressions were used to determine relationships between two continuous variables. Statistical significance was determined at p< 0.05.
Results

*Establishing the presence of THP+EHP in the urine and its impaired polymerization.*

The annotated amino acid sequence of THP and its structural domains are shown in Supplemental Figure 1. To test the presence of THP+EHP, we designed, generated and characterized an anti-peptide antibody that recognizes the EHP sequence (Figure 1A). We then investigated and established the presence of THP+EHP in the urine using Western blot (Figure 1B). As expected, THP+EHP had a higher molecular weight (>100 kDa) than urinary or purified polymerizing THP (the last two were detected using a sheep anti-THP polyclonal antibody raised against the mature urinary THP). The specificity of the anti-EHP antibody (Figure 1B, left panel) was established by the lack of EHP signal in the purified polymerizing THP. To determine the polymerization state of THP+EHP, we performed native immunoblots, and showed that THP+EHP is present as a dimer in its native state (discrete, single band of MW around 242 kDa marker), as compared to the multimeric native forms of urinary THP with characteristic ladder pattern (Figure 1B, right panel).

*Detection of THP+ EHP in the kidney and its localization.* We examined the presence of THP+EHP in the human kidney using confocal immunofluorescence and found that THP+EHP colocalizes with mature urinary THP in the thick ascending limbs, where it is maximally expressed at the apical domain (Figure 1C). This is not surprising and is consistent with release of THP+EHP in the urine and its detection described above. However, a less intense but significant THP+EHP signal was also detected in other tubular segments such as proximal tubules (Figure 1C, right lower panel).
Measuring THP+EHP in the urine and plasma of patients at risk for AKI. To facilitate the detection and quantitative measurements of THP+EHP in the plasma and urine, we designed a specific sandwich ELISA. Design and development details are provided in the methods and shown in Figure 2A. This effort required the generation of a synthetic hybrid THP+EHP calibrator peptide:

DRDNRDWVSVTPARSVIDQSRVLNLGPITRKGVQAT (Figure 2A, right panel).

THP+EHP and total THP (assayed using a commercially available ELISA) were then measured in the urine and plasma samples collected upon hospital admission from a cohort of 20 patients, half of whom subsequently developed hospital acquired AKI. These patients were part of a previously described study of hospitalized patients with liver cirrhosis (29), and they were included a priori, based on a case-control design, matching AKI cases with controls with similar age, gender, baseline kidney function, and severity of cirrhosis (Table 1). As expected, there were no significant differences between the two groups for demographics, co-morbid conditions, kidney function (baseline and admission), infections and severity of cirrhosis. Figures 2B and 2C show the measurements in the cohort (total and separated by AKI status), in urine and plasma, respectively.

THP+EHP is significantly decreased in patients who develop acute kidney injury.

Urinary THP+EHP was markedly lower and constituted on average only 2.03 ± 0.97% of total urinary THP. Interestingly, there was no significant correlation between THP+EHP and total urinary THP (Figure 2B, left panel), suggesting that their expression may be differentially regulated and arguing for an added value in their independent measurements. Specifically, only non-polymerizing THP+EHP (normalized to total urinary proteins) was significantly higher in patients who did not develop hospital
acquired AKI (Figure 2B, middle and right panels), suggesting that this form of THP may be a sensitive indicator of kidney health and its susceptibility to acute injury.

THP+EHP is present in the circulation but at very low concentration. Plasma levels of THP+EHP were very low, constituting only 1.03 ±1.79% of total plasma THP. There was no difference in THP+EHP on admission in the plasma of patients with or without subsequent AKI (Figure 2C), but there was a trend towards higher total plasma THP in those patients who did not develop AKI (p=0.1), which is consistent with our previous findings (29).
In this work, we demonstrate that the kidney produces THP with retained EHP and releases it in the urine and serum. As expected, THP+EHP does not polymerize in its native state, which is consistent with the findings of Schaeffer et al in MDCK cells (42). The presence of THP+EHP at a molecular weight higher than mature urinary THP (slightly higher than 100 kDa) proves that we are not detecting a peptide fragment of THP, but a full-length protein. The release of THP+EHP in the urine could be explained by an alternative cleavage of GPI-linked THP distal to the 587-consensus cleavage site, thereby allowing the retention of the EHP domain. For example, GPI linked THP at S614 could be released from the membrane by the action of phospholipases and putative substrate-specific GPI-AP-releasing enzymes, such as NOTUM, glycerophosphodiesterase 2, and angiotensin-converting enzyme, which have been characterized in mammals (50). Another possible explanation could be the presence of an alternative minor pathway, whereby the carboxy-terminal is proteolyzed intracellularly without addition of the GPI anchor. Further studies are needed to elucidate the cellular mechanism underlying the release of THP+EHP, and the analytical tools generated by our work will be useful in performing these studies. However, the release of non-polymerizing THP+EHP in the urine remains a minor pathway, as most of the THP present is in the form of mature THP without EHP destined for polymerization.

In its native form, THP+EHP forms a dimer. This finding is consistent with our previous work where we isolated a truncated form of THP lacking part of the ZP domain (absent ZP-C domain and ending around amino acid 434) and showed that it could dimerize. It is possible that dimerization could be dependent on the ZP-N domain, independently
from the ZP-C domain (51, 52). Dimerization could also be dependent on other factors, since it has been reported with other proteins harboring EGF-like domains (53). Further studies are needed to elucidate the mechanism of dimerization, and whether this is of biological relevance.

We demonstrated the colocalization of THP+EHP with mature THP in TAL cells within the human kidney using confocal microscopy. The predominant apical localization is consistent with its release and detection in the urine and suggests a cellular processing pathway similar to mature urinary THP, such as attachment to a GPI anchor at the apical membrane. The detection of lower levels of THP+EHP in other tubules suggest a possible basolateral release and uptake by other tubular segments within the kidney. It is possible that retaining the EHP could be an important mechanism by which THP is released into the kidney interstitium, parenchyma and circulation. If this proposition is true, we would then expect a significant detection of THP+EHP in the circulation. However, the low levels of THP+EHP in the circulation are intriguing and could be interpreted in various ways. We propose 2 non-exclusive explanations: 1) after its basolateral release, THP+EHP is significantly metabolized/processed within the kidney and scarcely released into the circulation and therefore, circulating THP may be independent of THP+EHP; 2) TAL cells use THP+EHP as an important process for basolateral release of THP, but it is further cleaved at the C terminus within the kidney or systemically, thereby preventing its detection by anti-EHP. The latter is supported by our previous findings that circulating THP is not aggregated (22) and by immunoblotting of immunoprecipitated serum vs. urinary THP, showing that the circulating form has a slightly higher molecular weight (Supplemental Figure 2) compared to mature urinary THP (close to 100 kDa, but still lower than THP+EHP which is > 100 kDa). We cannot
rule out that differences in glycosylation may be also be an important factor in this shift in molecular weight. Future studies are required to elucidate the mechanisms of basolateral release and the role of THP+EHP.

Our findings may be relevant to future clinical applications by measuring non-polymerizing THP as a biomarker for nephron function, reserve, and risk assessment. The data from the small clinical cohort suggest that THP+EHP may offer some advantages for risk assessment of kidney injury. Being non-polymerized, the levels of THP+EHP may be less susceptible to fluctuation that could be introduced by changes in the polymerization state. The ELISA developed in this study is less likely to detect proteolytic fragments, because of the requirement by this assay for an intact sequence between the epitopes used for capture and detection. Furthermore, the binding of antibodies may be more consistent in the setting of a non-aggregated protein, thereby causing less variability in the readout, and improving the accuracy and robustness of the measurements. Therefore, we propose that measurements of THP+EHP may offer improvements in representing functional nephron mass, reserve and the changes induced by disease, when added to total THP. Further studies are needed to test this hypothesis and establish the susceptibility of THP+EHP to degradation compared to mature urinary THP (54).

Our study is limited by the small sample size and requires independent validation in a larger study. The value of measuring THP+EHP as a sensitive and specific predictor for risk of AKI needs to be performed on a large scale, and it is premature to advocate its use outside of clinical research settings.
In conclusion, we provide novel evidence that the kidney produces a non-polymerizing form of Uromodulin (THP) by retaining the EHP domain. Our findings suggest that this form of THP may be independently regulated and provide new insights into the biology of its synthesis and release, which will spur further research. We also provide proof-of-concept data that measurements of this non-polymerizing form, using a *de novo* customized ELISA assay, could provide an independent value in assessing the risk of kidney injury, a finding that requires validation in larger studies.
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Table 1: Demographics and baseline characteristics of cohort of patients with cirrhosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No-AKI N=10</th>
<th>Development of AKI N=10</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (s.d)</td>
<td>56.00 (7.21)</td>
<td>57.00 (9.12)</td>
<td>0.790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, n (%) male</td>
<td>6 (60)</td>
<td>6 (60)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race, n (%) white</td>
<td>8 (80)</td>
<td>10 (100)</td>
<td>0.474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etiology of Cirrhosis, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis C</td>
<td>2 (20)</td>
<td>2 (20)</td>
<td>0.539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis C and Alcohol</td>
<td>2 (20)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>2 (20)</td>
<td>2 (20)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-alcoholic Steatohepatitis</td>
<td>4 (40)</td>
<td>5 (50)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of Diabetes, n (%)</td>
<td>4 (40)</td>
<td>4 (40)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of Hypertension, n (%)</td>
<td>5 (50)</td>
<td>4 (40)</td>
<td>0.470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of Ascites, n (%)</td>
<td>6 (60)</td>
<td>9 (90)</td>
<td>0.303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection on Admission, n (%)</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
<td>4 (40)*</td>
<td>0.303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline sCr, mg/dL (s.d)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.30)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.31)</td>
<td>0.648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline eGFR, ml/min/1.73m²* (s.d)</td>
<td>84.60 (26.73)</td>
<td>78.10 (22.27)</td>
<td>0.562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission Heart Rate (s.d)</td>
<td>85.70 (13.39)</td>
<td>92.10 (11.46)</td>
<td>0.266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission MAP, mmHg (s.d)</td>
<td>87.00 (15.50)</td>
<td>79.20 (10.08)</td>
<td>0.198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission WBC, 10⁹/L (s.d)</td>
<td>8.10 (4.90)</td>
<td>8.27 (3.88)</td>
<td>0.932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission Hgb g/dL (s.d)</td>
<td>10.85 (2.28)</td>
<td>9.90 (1.47)</td>
<td>0.284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission Platelet Count, k/cumm (s.d)</td>
<td>106.79 (67.06)</td>
<td>98.20 (32.46)</td>
<td>0.363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission Serum Sodium, mmol/L (s.d)</td>
<td>137.10 (3.81)</td>
<td>131.80 (7.03)</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission sCr, mg/dL (s.d)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.30)</td>
<td>1.14 (0.43)</td>
<td>0.364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission eGFR, ml/min/1.73m²* (s.d)</td>
<td>79.53 (26.08)</td>
<td>70.70 (27.40)</td>
<td>0.470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission Total Bilirubin, mg/dL (s.d)</td>
<td>3.95 (4.34)</td>
<td>3.13 (2.93)</td>
<td>0.627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission Albumin, g/dL</td>
<td>2.95 (0.69)</td>
<td>2.56 (0.57)</td>
<td>0.186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission INR (s.d)</td>
<td>1.61 (0.52)</td>
<td>1.70 (0.63)</td>
<td>0.729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission MELD score (s.d.)</td>
<td>15.50 (6.13)</td>
<td>17.20 (5.71)</td>
<td>0.506</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

sCr: serum creatinine; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; MAP: mean arterial pressure; MELD: Model for Endstage Liver Disease

*Based on Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration creatinine equation
Figure 1: Non-polymerizing THP (Uromodulin) with retained external hydrophobic patch (EHP) is produced in the kidney

(A) Schematic representation of THP (Uromodulin) precursor with annotation of its functional domains, focusing on the C terminus (enlarged). An antibody against the indicated sequence encompassing the external hydrophobic patch (EHP) was developed.

(B) Left panel shows a denaturing immunoblot where THP with retained EHP (THP+EHP) is detected by anti-EHP in the urine (U). THP+EHP has higher MW than mature urinary THP, the latter detected by re-probing with an antibody (anti-THP) that was raised against mature urinary THP that lacks EHP. No EHP signal is detected in mature urinary polymerizing THP that was purified based on its aggregative properties (pTHP). Native separate immunoblots revealed that THP+EHP is a dimer in its native form (arrowhead, panel 2), whereas mature urinary THP without EHP polymerizes into high molecular weight multimers (arrows, panel 2).

(C) Immunofluorescence confocal microscopy of a human kidney section stained to detect THP and THP+EHP (referred to as EHP in the figure). Representative images (high and low magnifications, left and right, respectively) are shown (scale bars = 100µm). Non-polymerizing, THP+EHP colocalizes with mature THP in the thick ascending limbs (TAL) tubules, where it is maximally expressed at the apical domain (white arrows). However, a significant, albeit less intense THP+EHP signal, can also be detected in other segments such as proximal tubules (blue arrowhead). Quantitation of THP+ EHP signal per tubule type from 3 separate fields is shown in the graph. Asterisks denotes P<0.0001.
Figure 2: Design of a Sandwich ELISA using a hybrid synthetic peptide for calibration and detection of THP+EHP in the urine and serum of patients at risk for AKI.

(A) Design of sandwich ELISA for measurement of THP+EHP. Epitope mapping was performed for a commercially available monoclonal antibody (Anti-THP (m)) and a synthetic hybrid peptide combining the recognizable sequence in mature THP along with EHP sequence was generated for calibration. A representative standard curve using the hybrid peptide is shown in the panel on the right.

(B) Levels of non-polymerizing (THP+EHP) and total THP in the urine of a cohort of 20 patients with cirrhosis was measured on admission. Total and non-polymerizing THP did not have a direct significant correlation (left panel). After adjustment to total urinary proteins, only THP+EHP, but not total THP, was higher in patients who subsequently developed AKI (middle and right panels).

(C) THP+EHP was successfully measured in the serum, but the levels were very low, and did not associate with kidney injury.