A disproportionate epidemic: COVID-19 cases and deaths among essential workers in Toronto, Canada
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ABSTRACT
Shelter-in-place mandates and closure of non-essential businesses have been central to COVID-19 response strategies including in Toronto, Canada. Approximately half of the working population in Canada are employed in occupations that do not allow for remote work suggesting potentially limited impact of some of the strategies proposed to mitigate COVID-19 acquisition and onward transmission risks and associated morbidity and mortality. We compared per-capita rates of COVID-19 cases and deaths from January 23, 2020 to January 24, 2021, across neighborhoods in Toronto by proportion of the population working in essential services. We used person-level data on laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 community cases (N=74,477) and deaths (N=2319), and census data for neighborhood-level attributes. Cumulative per-capita rates of COVID-19 cases and deaths were 3-fold and 2.5-fold higher, respectively, in neighborhoods with the highest versus lowest concentration of essential workers. Findings suggest that the population who continued to serve the essential needs of society throughout COVID-19 shouldered a disproportionate burden of transmission and deaths. Taken together, results signal the need for active intervention strategies to complement restrictive measures to optimize both the equity and effectiveness of COVID-19 responses.

Background
The City of Toronto, Canada’s largest city, has reported 85,260 cases and 2,375 deaths from COVID as of January 30, 2021.(1) In addition to testing and isolation, shelter-in-place mandates and the closure of non-essential businesses aimed at reducing contacts have been central to Toronto’s COVID-19 response. However, many businesses and services have been deemed essential to support society. Public Safety Canada defines “essential workers” as working in one of ten critical infrastructure sectors, including health, food, transportation, and manufacturing.(2) As of April 2020, an estimated 40% of the working population in Canada were employed in occupations not amenable to remote work, with the lowest likelihood of remote work among lower income households.(3) Thus, it has been suggested that shelter-in-place mandates may be insufficient at protecting essential workers from COVID-19 and associated mortality.

Objective
We compared per-capita rates of COVID-19 cases and deaths across neighborhoods in Toronto, Canada by proportion of the population working in essential services.

Methods and Findings
We used Contact Management Solutions (CCM)+ person-level data on laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 cases and deaths, and the Statistics Canada 2016 Census data for neighborhood-level attributes. The study population comprised community cases and deaths (thus, excluding long-term care residents) reported between January 23, 2020 and January 24, 2021 in Toronto (population 2,731,571; of whom, 51.5% self-identify as visible minority). We stratified the city’s 3702 dissemination areas (DA; geographic area of approximately 400-700 individuals) into tertiles by ranking the proportion of population in each DA working in essential services (health, trades, transport, equipment, manufacturing, utilities, sales, services, agriculture). Strata 1, 2,
and 3 comprised DAs across which a median of 27.8% (inter-quartile range [IQR] 23.4-31.5%), 44.7% (IQR 40.0%-50.0%), and 62.9% (IQR 58.4%-68.0%) of the population, respectively, worked in essential services. We generated per-capita daily epidemic curves using 7-days rolling averages for cases and for deaths, and cumulative per-capita rates using census-reported population of each DA.

The epidemic was initially concentrated in stratum 1. By early April, per-capita cases were consistently higher in strata 2 and 3 (Figure 1a), and persisted during each period of closure of non-essential services (first lockdown March 17 to May 18, second major restriction November 23 to December 25, and a more stringent lockdown starting on December 26). By the end of the study period, cumulative rates of cases per 100,000 were 1332, 2495, and 4355 in strata 1, 2, and 3, respectively; representing a 1.9-fold and 3.3-fold higher rate than stratum 1 (Figure 2).

Per-capita COVID-19 deaths were similarly concentrated in strata 2 and 3. By the end of the study period (Figure 1b), cumulative rates of death per 100,000 in strata 1, 2, and 3 were 49, 81, and 123, respectively, representing a 1.9-fold and 2.5-fold higher rate than stratum 1 (Figure 2). Although the number of daily per capita cases among stratum 2 was consistently between strata 1 and 3, daily per-capita deaths fluctuated over the course of the outbreak, demonstrating similarities with stratum 3 during the first lockdown and with stratum 1 during the second major restriction.

Discussion

In the context of shelter-in-place mandates, there have been disproportionate risks and consequences of COVID-19 borne by those living in neighborhoods with higher proportions of essential workers. Results are consistent with early studies highlighting that these occupations would not be amenable to remote work, and thus people may experience sustained contact rates irrespective of restrictive measures.(3) Most of these occupations are lower income and often held by people hired as contractors with unclear labor rights and generally lacking traditional employment benefits, such as paid sick leave. Precarious financial conditions also limit bargaining power to demand adequate personal protective equipment and safe working conditions from employers.

Thus, the findings signal a prevention gap with current shelter-in-place mandates. Moving forward necessitates policies and programs that actively protect workers in occupations that remain active in the context of lockdowns. Public and occupational health strategies could include primordial prevention aimed at keeping SARS-CoV-2 virus out of the workplace including through paid leave facilitating people to stay home if they have symptoms or a known exposure; primary prevention designed to limit transmission within the workplace such as onsite rapid testing and improved access to symptom assessments, and improved ventilation; secondary prevention directed at limiting the size of the outbreak including isolation, mass testing, and cohorting; and tertiary prevention strategies targeted at limiting outbreak-related mortality including temporary housing support to prevent transmission to households of essential workers.(4)
In Toronto, Canada, the subset of the population who continued to serve the needs of society throughout the COVID-19 pandemic has shouldered a disproportionate burden of transmission and deaths. Findings highlight the need for active intervention strategies to complement restrictive measures to optimize both the equity and effectiveness of COVID-19 responses.
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Figure 1. Daily per-capita COVID-19 cases (A) and deaths (B) by neighbourhood-level proportion of essential workers in Toronto, Canada (January 23, 2020 to January 24, 2021). The daily per-capita rate is depicted as a 7-day rolling average. Stratum 1 represents neighbourhoods with the smallest proportion of the population working in essential services, while stratum 3 represents neighbourhoods with the highest proportion essential workers. Cases and deaths do not include residents of long-term care homes. Essential services include: health, trades, transport, equipment, manufacturing, utilities, sales, services, agriculture. Closure of non-essential workplaces are indicated by (a) at start of first lockdown on March 17, 2020 to the re-opening on May 18, 2020 (b), and (c) indicating the start of the 2nd-major restriction on November 23 to (d) the start of a more stringent lockdown on December 26, 2020.
Figure 2. Cumulative per-capita COVID-19 cases (A) and deaths (B) by neighbourhood-level proportion of essential workers in Toronto, Canada (January 23, 2020 to January 24, 2021). Stratum 1 represents neighbourhoods with the smallest proportion of the population working in essential services, while stratum 3 represents neighbourhoods with the highest proportion essential workers. By the end of the study period, cumulative rate of cases per 100,000 population was 1332, 2495, and 4355 in strata 1, 2, and 3, respectively; and cumulative rate of COVID-19 deaths per 100,000 population was 49, 81, and 123 in strata 1, 2, and 3, respectively.
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