Maternal prenatal anxiety and depression and trajectories of cardiometabolic risk factors across childhood and adolescence: a prospective cohort study

Karen Matvienko-Sikar¹, Kate N O’Neill¹, Abigail Fraser², Catherine Hayes³, Laura D Howe², Anja C Huizink⁴, Patricia M Kearney¹, Ali Khashan¹, Ali Khashan¹, Sarah Redsell⁶, Linda M O’Keeffe¹,²,⁷.

1. School of Public Health, University College Cork, Ireland
2. Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Oakfield House, Oakfield Grove, Bristol, UK, BS82BN
3. School of Medicine, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland
4. Department of Clinical, Neuro- and Developmental Psychology, VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
5. Irish Centre for Maternal and Child Health Research (INFANT) Centre, Cork University Maternity Hospital, Cork, T12 YE02, Ireland
6. University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, UK, NG7 2RD
7. MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit at the University of Bristol, Oakfield House, Oakfield Grove, Bristol, UK, BS82BN

Corresponding author: Dr Karen Matvienko-Sikar, School of Public Health, 4th Floor Western Gateway Building, University College Cork, Ireland. karen.msikar@ucc.ie, 00353214205530

Abstract

Background: Quantifying long-term offspring cardiometabolic health risks associated with maternal prenatal anxiety and depression can guide cardiometabolic risk prevention. This study examines associations between maternal prenatal anxiety and depression, and offspring cardiometabolic risk from birth to 18 years.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.
Methods. Participants were 526-8,606 mother-offspring pairs from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC). Exposures were anxiety (Crown-Crisp Inventory score) and depression (Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale score) measured at 18 and 32 weeks gestation. Outcomes were trajectories of offspring body mass index; fat mass; lean mass; pulse rate; glucose, diastolic and systolic blood pressure; triglycerides, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and insulin from birth/early childhood to 18 years. Exposures were analysed categorically using clinically relevant, cut-offs and continuously to examine associations across the distribution of prenatal anxiety and depression.

Results. We found no strong evidence of associations between maternal anxiety and depression, and offspring trajectories of any cardiometabolic risk factors, except for small, inconsistent associations with fat mass trajectories that attenuated upon confounder adjustment. For instance, in unadjusted analyses, anxiety at both 18 and 32 weeks was associated with a 1.8% (95% Confidence Interval (CI), 0.29,3.33) higher mean BMI, which spanned the null (difference (95% CI): 0.7% (-0.76,2.13) after adjustment for confounders.

Conclusions. This is the first examination of maternal prenatal anxiety and depression and trajectories of offspring cardiometabolic risk. Our findings suggest that prevention of maternal prenatal anxiety and depression may have limited impact on offspring cardiometabolic health across the first two decades of life.
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Introduction

Maternal prenatal anxiety and depression are estimated to affect up to 25% of women[1]. Maternal prenatal anxiety and depression can result from a range of general and pregnancy-specific factors[2]. Maternal prenatal anxiety and depression are associated with adverse offspring outcomes such as low birth weight, short gestational age[3], obstetric complications[4], and poor offspring developmental and health outcomes[5,6]. Adverse offspring outcomes may result from intrauterine programming involving endocrine, inflammatory and immunological processes[6–8]. Epigenetic changes[8] and dysregulation of the maternal hypothalamic pituitary adrenal (HPA) axis are suggested to result from prenatal distress[9]; dysregulated prenatal HPA axis activity may programme foetal HPA axis activity, reactivity and later health outcomes[6,9]. It is recognised that there may be ‘critical windows’ of vulnerability during which exposure to prenatal anxiety and depression are particularly deleterious to offspring health[10,11]. However, chronic anxiety and/or depression (experienced over a prolonged period) during pregnancy, may also lead to adverse offspring outcomes[7]. It is further argued that such pathways, as well as behavioural and environmental mechanisms, increase risk of unfavourable offspring cardiometabolic health outcomes, including increased adiposity and poor cardiovascular function[6,12–14].

To date, inconsistent associations have been observed between maternal prenatal anxiety and depression, and child and adolescent cardiometabolic risk factors including high blood pressure[12,15], increased insulin resistance[12,14] and overweight[13,16–19]. Little is known about effects of timing of prenatal maternal anxiety and depression exposures on offspring cardiometabolic outcomes, though there is some evidence that later exposures may confer increased risk[13]. There is some limited evidence for differential associations by ‘type’ of exposure, such as type of psychological distress exposure (e.g. stress, anxiety and
depression), particularly for anthropometric outcomes\textsuperscript{14}, though this is not well understood.

Studies examining associations of prenatal anxiety and depression with cardiometabolic health outcomes have predominantly examined associations with outcomes at a single time point \textsuperscript{12,14}. Examining early-life trajectories of cardiometabolic health outcomes provides insights into if and when associations emerge during childhood and adolescence, and whether associations persist over time. This is particularly important as cardiovascular risk originates early in life and can track to adulthood\textsuperscript{20}. Such examinations are needed to highlight potential mechanisms, and inform the nature and timing of prevention efforts for both maternal prenatal anxiety and depression, and offspring cardiometabolic risk.

The objective of this study is to examine associations of maternal anxiety and depression with offspring cardiometabolic health outcome trajectories from birth to 18 years using data from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC).
Methods

Study participants

ALSPAC is a prospective birth cohort study in Southwest England[20,21]. Pregnant women resident in one of the three Bristol-based health districts with an expected delivery date between April 1, 1991 and December 31, 1992 were invited to participate. The study has been described elsewhere in detail[20,21]. ALSPAC initially enrolled a cohort of 14,451 pregnancies, from which 13,761 women had 13,867 live births. Research clinics were held when the offspring were approximately 7, 9, 10, 11, 13, 15, and 18 years old. Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the ALSPAC Ethics and Law Committee and the Local Research Ethics Committees. The study website contains details of all the data that are available through a fully searchable data dictionary http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/our-data/.

Study exposures

We derived and separately analysed associations between different indices of maternal prenatal anxiety and depression, and trajectories of cardiometabolic health outcomes.

Maternal self-report prenatal anxiety

Maternal prenatal anxiety was measured at 18 and 32 weeks gestation using the eight items from the anxiety subscale of Crown Crisp Experiential Index (CCEI[22]); further details in Supplementary methods S1. For our primary analysis, a score $\geq$85th percentile ($\geq$85th percentile = 8) was used to define anxiety. We then categorised prenatal anxiety as ‘anxiety at 18 weeks’, ‘anxiety at 32 weeks’, ‘anxiety at both time points’, and ‘anxiety at neither time point’ (reference group). In secondary analyses, anxiety was analysed as a continuous measure at 18 and 32 weeks separately and taking the mean of anxiety scores at 18 and 32
weeks to explore associations with offspring cardiometabolic health across the entire distribution (to examine associations at preclinical and clinical levels).

**Maternal self-report depression**

Maternal prenatal depression was measured at 18 and 32 weeks gestation using the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS[23]); further details in Supplementary methods S1. For our primary analysis, a score of $\geq 13$ was used to define clinical depression[20]. We categorised maternal prenatal depression as ‘depression at 18 weeks’, ‘depression at 32 weeks’, ‘depression at both time points’, and ‘depression at neither time point’ (reference group). The continuous measure of depression using the EPDS was also examined. In secondary analyses, depression was analysed as a continuous measure at 18 and 32 weeks separately and taking the mean of depression scores at 18 and 32 weeks to explore associations with offspring cardiometabolic health across the entire distribution (to examine associations at preclinical and clinical levels).

**Study outcomes**

**Anthropometry**

Body Mass Index (BMI: weight (kg) divided by height squared (m$^2$)) was calculated from 1 to 18 years using data from research clinics, routine offspring health clinics, health visitor records and parent-reported questionnaires. Whole body less head, and central fat and lean mass were derived from whole body dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) scans assessed 5 times at ages 9, 11, 13, 15, and 18 using a Lunar prodigy narrow fan beam densitometer.
Systolic Blood Pressure (SBP), Diastolic Blood Pressure (DBP) and pulse rate

At each clinic (ages 7, 9, 10, 11, 13, 15 and 18) offspring SBP, DBP and pulse rate were measured at least twice. Measures were taken when the offspring was sitting and at rest with the arm supported, using a validated device and a cuff size appropriate for upper arm circumference. The mean of the two final measures was used here.

Blood based biomarkers

Insulin was measured from cord blood at birth and from research clinic samples at age 9, 15 and 18 years. Non-fasting glucose was available at age 7; fasting glucose was available at age 9, 15 and 18 years.

Triglycerides, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-c) and total cholesterol were measured in cord blood at birth and from venous blood subsequently. Samples were non-fasted at 7 and 9 years; fasting measures were available from clinics at 15 and 18 years. Non-HDL-c was calculated by subtracting HDL-c from total cholesterol at each measurement occasion. Trajectories of blood-based biomarkers are thus a combination of measures from cord blood, fasting bloods and non-fasting bloods, with most measures obtained through standard clinical chemistry assays.

Further details on measurement sources for outcomes are available in Supplementary methods S2.

Covariates

We considered the following as potential confounders: household social class, parity, and maternal age, education, smoking during pregnancy, pre-pregnancy BMI, all measured by mother- or mother’s partner-completed questionnaires; details in Supplementary methods S3.
Offspring birth weight is a potential mediator of associations of prenatal anxiety and depression with later child cardiometabolic outcomes[3,6] and was not adjusted for in our analyses.

**Statistical analysis**

Sex-specific patterns of change in each risk factor have been modelled previously using multilevel model[24,25] and were used here as outcome trajectories. Multilevel models estimate mean trajectories of the outcome while accounting for the non-independence (i.e. clustering) of repeated measurements within individuals, change in scale and variance of measures over time, and differences in the number and timing of measurements between individuals. Models include all available data from all eligible participants under a Missing at Random (MAR) assumption.

Trajectories of BMI were modelled using fractional polynomials[26]; all other risk factors were estimated using linear splines (two levels for all models: measurement occasion and individual). Fractional polynomial terms and linear spline periods were selected based on model fit statistics and examination of observed data. Lean mass and fat mass included three periods of change; from 9 to 13, 13 to 15, 15 to 18; SBP, DBP and pulse rate included three periods of change from 7 to 12, 12 to 16, 16 to 18; HDL-c included two periods of change from 0 to 7, 7 to 18; non-HDL-c and triglycerides included two periods of change from 0 to 9, 9 to 18; insulin included three periods of change, from 0 to 9, 9 to 15, 15 to 18; glucose included two periods of change from 7-15 and 15 to 18. Further information on the modelling of these trajectories is described in Supplementary methods S4 and Supplementary table Ss 1 to 7.
Association between maternal prenatal anxiety and depression and trajectories of offspring cardiometabolic health outcomes

Associations between maternal prenatal anxiety and depression, and trajectories of cardiometabolic risk factors were examined by including an interaction between the categories of each exposure (primary analyses) or mean scores for continuous analyses (secondary analyses) and fractional polynomial age terms or linear spline periods. Based on previous modelling of outcomes, the mean outcome trajectory for each risk factor was allowed to vary by sex. We also explored whether associations between each prenatal maternal anxiety and depression exposure differed between females and males by including an interaction term between each exposure and sex. These analyses demonstrated no strong evidence of a sex interaction in the association of prenatal maternal anxiety and depression and cardiometabolic health outcome trajectories; thus all analyses were subsequently performed and presented sex-combined. We performed unadjusted and confounder adjusted analyses for all models.

Values of cardiometabolic risk factors that had a skewed distribution (BMI, fat mass, insulin and triglyceride) were (natural) log transformed prior to analysis; differences between each exposure category and the reference group from these models are calculated on the log scale. These values were then back transformed and are interpreted as the ratio of geometric means. Fat mass and lean mass were adjusted for height using the time-and sex-varying power of height that best resulted in a height-invariant measure[20]. All trajectories were modelled in MLwiN version 3.04, using the runmlwin command in Stata version 16.
Participants and measures included in analyses

Participants with measures of maternal prenatal anxiety and depression and at least one measure of a risk factor, and complete data on all confounders were included in analyses. Offspring that reported being pregnant at the 18-year clinic (n=6) were excluded from analyses at that time point only. Supplementary figure S 1 shows a flow diagram for the study.
Results

Supplementary table S8 shows the number of offspring with available measures of cardiometabolic risk factors at each age. The number of mother–offspring pairs included in analyses ranged from 526 participants (1,464 repeated measures) for analyses of insulin to 8,606 (80,796 repeated measures) for analyses of BMI. Prevalence of anxiety was 7.5% (n=651) at 18 weeks only, 9% (n=792) at 32 weeks only, and 12% (n=1026) at both 18 and 32 weeks. Prevalence of probable clinical depression was 5.5% (n=474) at 18 weeks only; 7.1% (n=610) at 32 weeks only; 6.3% (n=542) at both 18 and 32 weeks. Table 1 shows characteristics of participants by maternal prenatal anxiety levels (note, patterns of participant characteristics were similar for categories of prenatal maternal depression and are not shown here). Women with anxiety at any point during pregnancy (n=2469, 29%) were more likely to have lower education, and to smoke during pregnancy. Mother-offspring pairs included in analyses of BMI (n=8,606 and maximum available sample size of all analyses) tended to have higher education and lower levels of smoking during pregnancy compared to those excluded due to missing exposure, outcome or confounder data (n=5,261 to 13,341) (Supplementary table S9).

[TABLE 1]

Anxiety during pregnancy

In unadjusted analyses, anxiety at both 18 and 32 weeks was associated with a 1.8% (95% Confidence Interval (CI), 0.29,3.33) higher mean BMI (Supplementary table S10) and 6.33% (95% CI, 0.86, 11.79) higher fat mass at age 18 years (Supplementary table S11) compared with no anxiety at either time point. In confounder-adjusted analyses, associations with BMI
and fat mass attenuated (Figure 1, Supplementary table S10 and S11). For instance, the difference in fat mass at 18 years between offspring of women who experienced anxiety at 18 and 32 weeks and those who did not was 4.77% (95% CI, -0.51, 10.05).

In unadjusted analyses, anxiety at 18 weeks only was associated with higher SBP and pulse rate at 18 years (Supplementary table S12) compared with no anxiety at either time point. Anxiety at 18 weeks only was also associated with higher DBP at 7 years; this difference persisted at age 18 years (difference at 18 years; 0.97 mmHg (95% CI, 0.13, 1.81). Anxiety at 32 weeks only was associated with 0.84 mmHg (95% CI, 0.05, 1.64) higher DBP at age 18. Findings were similar in confounder-adjusted analyses (Figure 2 and Supplementary table S12).

In unadjusted and confounder-adjusted analyses, we found no strong evidence that prenatal anxiety was associated with trajectories of lean mass from 9 to 18 years (Figure 1 and Supplementary table S13), glucose from 7 to 18 years (Figure 3 and Supplementary table S14) and insulin (Figure 3 and Supplementary table S15), triglyceride (Figure 4 and Supplementary table S15), HDL-c and non-HDL-c (Figure 4 and Supplementary table S16), all from birth to 18 years.

**Continuous analyses**

Results for all analyses were similar when prenatal maternal anxiety was examined as a continuous exposure at each time point separately or when the mean of the two measures was examined (see Supplementary figure S2-12).
Depression during pregnancy

In unadjusted analyses, depression at both 18 and 32 weeks gestation was associated with 2.7% (95% CI, 0.71,4.78) higher BMI and 6.61% (-0.74,13.96) higher fat mass at 18 years (Supplementary table S17 and S18) compared with no depression at either time point. These associations attenuated in adjusted analyses (Supplementary figure S13, Supplementary table S17 and S18); for instance, the difference in fat mass at 18 years for offspring of women who experienced depression at 18 and 32 weeks was 2.32% (95% CI, -4.58, 9.22). Depression at 18 weeks only was associated with higher SBP at age 18 in both unadjusted and confounder adjusted analyses (Supplementary figure S14 and Supplementary table S19). In unadjusted and confounder-adjusted analyses, we found no strong evidence that depression during pregnancy was associated with trajectories of DBP and pulse rate from 7 to 18 (Supplementary figure S14 and Supplementary table S19), lean mass from 9 to 18 years (Supplementary figure S13 and Supplementary table S20), glucose from 7 to 18 years (Supplementary figure S15 and Supplementary table S21), insulin (Supplementary figure S15 and Supplementary table S22), triglyceride (Supplementary figure S16 and Supplementary table S24), HDL-c and non-HDL-c (Supplementary figure S16 and Supplementary table S23), all from birth to 18 years.

Continuous analyses

Results for all analyses were similar when prenatal maternal depression was examined as a continuous exposure at each time point separately or when the mean of the two measures was examined (see Supplementary figures S17-27).
Discussion

In this large, contemporary prospective birth cohort study with repeated assessment of exposures and outcomes, we found no strong evidence that maternal prenatal anxiety and depression during pregnancy were associated with offspring cardiometabolic risk factors from birth to 18 years, regardless of whether exposure occurred at 18 weeks or 32 weeks gestation or both.

Though unadjusted analyses indicated associations between prenatal maternal anxiety and depression and offspring anthropometric outcomes, these were attenuated when confounders were adjusted for. Our findings differ from previous research suggesting maternal prenatal anxiety and depression adversely impact offspring health and developmental outcomes[12,13,27]. A recent examination of the impact of mid-pregnancy maternal prenatal depression and anxiety identified associations with higher triglycerides in females and higher pulse rate in males at 10 years[14]. However, similar to our study, this study did not find associations between maternal prenatal depression and anxiety and offspring blood pressure, glucose, insulin, or serum lipids[14]. Similarly, a number of prospective cohort studies have reported a lack of evidence to support associations between anxiety and depression and blood pressure[15], adiposity[17–19] and glucose and insulin resistance[12]; such studies examined cardiometabolic risk factors at static time points only however.

Lack of observed associations in the current study may be because in-utero maternal anxiety and depression exposure may be too early to impact on offspring cardiometabolic trajectories over the long term[13]. A recent review of associations between maternal stress and child weight outcomes found that later childhood exposure was more strongly associated with
offspring weight than exposure in infancy[28]. Thus we may not have observed associations here because maternal prenatal anxiety and depression confers little impact on offspring cardiometabolic health across the early life course, irrespective of gestational timing of exposure. However, given our identification of some associations with offspring fat mass in this study, future work is needed to further examine effects of maternal prenatal anxiety and depression on offspring cardiometabolic health in the first two decades of life.

**Strengths and Limitations**

Strengths of this study include: prospective measurement of anxiety and depression twice during pregnancy; analysis of repeated measures of 11 key cardiometabolic risk factors from birth to 18 years; use of multilevel models accounting for clustering of repeated measures within individuals, and correlation between measures over time. Examination of anxiety and depression as both categorical and continuous exposures is a further strength, which enabled examination of exposures in terms of clinical cut-offs, and incremental increases in exposure levels across the entire distribution of anxiety and depression; this is important because exposure to subclinical levels my still result in adverse outcomes that would be missed if data were examined categorically only. Limitations include generalisability of findings, as included participants tend to be more advantaged than those excluded due to missing exposure, confounder or outcome data. The range in participant numbers between analyses due to participant follow-up may represent selection bias and further impact generalisability of findings. In addition, ALSPAC includes a high proportion (~98%) white women, limiting representativeness of the findings for non-White ethnicities and ability to perform subgroup analyses for different ethnicity groups. Similarly, ALSPAC included live births only; women experiencing acute and/or chronic distress may have experienced spontaneous abortion, leading to live birth bias[29]. Self-reporting of prenatal anxiety and depression is a further
limitation because self-reports do not tend to correlate well with psychophysiological indicators that could program risk[30].

**Conclusion**

Our findings suggest that maternal prenatal anxiety and depression do not impact offspring cardiometabolic health outcomes in the first two decades of life; these findings may provide reassurance to women experiencing prenatal anxiety and/or depression that any impacts on offspring cardiometabolic health from birth to the end of adolescence are likely to be small. Approaches and strategies to prevent and/or reduce maternal prenatal anxiety and depression may have limited impact on offspring cardiometabolic health in the first two decades of life.
Declarations

Funding
The UK Medical Research Council and Wellcome (Grant ref: 217065/Z/19/Z) and the University of Bristol provide core support for ALSPAC. This publication is the work of the authors and KMS and LMOK will serve as guarantors for the contents of this paper. KMS is supported by a Health Research Board of Ireland (HRB) Applying Research to Policy and Practice award (HBR-ARPP- A011). LMOK and KON are supported by a HRB Emerging Investigator Award (EIA-2019-007). KON is also supported by a HRB Research Leader Award (RL/2013/7) and a HRB Interdisciplinary Capacity Enhancement Award (HRB ICE 2015-1026). LDH and AF are supported by Career Development Awards from the UK Medical Research Council (grants MR/M020894/1 and MR/M009351/1, respectively). LDH and AF work in a unit that receives funds from the UK Medical Research Council (grant MC_UU_00011/3, MC_UU_00011/6). The research funders had no role in the study design; data collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; writing of the manuscript; or the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Conflict of interests /Competing interests
None of the authors have any conflicts of interest to declare.

Data availability
Data used in this study was from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC), requests for access to this data can be made to the ALSPAC executive committee.

Author’s contributions
KMS conceptualised the study, conducted analyses, drafted and revised the manuscript. KON conducted analyses, and critically reviewed and revised the manuscript. AF critically reviewed and revised the manuscript. CH contributed to interpretation of findings and critically reviewed and revised the manuscript. LDH critically reviewed and revised the manuscript. ACH contributed to interpretation of findings and critically reviewed and revised the manuscript. PMK contributed to interpretation of findings and critically reviewed and revised the manuscript. AK contributed to interpretation of findings and critically reviewed and revised the manuscript. SR contributed to interpretation of findings and critically reviewed and revised the manuscript. LOK conceptualised the study, conducted analyses, drafted and revised the manuscript. All authors approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We are extremely grateful to all the families who took part in this study, the midwives for their help in recruiting them, and the whole ALSPAC team, which includes interviewers, computer and laboratory technicians, clerical workers, research scientists, volunteers, managers, receptionists and nurses. We are also grateful to Dr Darren Dahly in the Health Research Board Clinical Research Facility Cork for feedback on an earlier draft of this manuscript.
References


### Table 1: Characteristics of ALSPAC participants included in analysis, by maternal anxiety levels during pregnancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No anxiety during pregnancy</th>
<th>Anxiety at 18 weeks gestation only</th>
<th>Anxiety at 32 weeks gestation only</th>
<th>Anxiety at 18 &amp; 32 weeks gestation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n=6,137</td>
<td>n=651</td>
<td>n=792</td>
<td>n=1026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>n (%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>n (%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>n (%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>n (%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Household social class †</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>936(15.3)</td>
<td>74(11.4)</td>
<td>87(11.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managerial &amp; Technical</td>
<td>2673(43.6)</td>
<td>282(43.3)</td>
<td>310(39.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Manual</td>
<td>1574(25.6)</td>
<td>174(26.7)</td>
<td>222(28.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual</td>
<td>677(11.0)</td>
<td>86(13.2)</td>
<td>107(13.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part Skilled &amp; Unskilled</td>
<td>277(4.5)</td>
<td>35(5.4)</td>
<td>66(8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maternal education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than O level</td>
<td>1410(23.0)</td>
<td>152(23.3)</td>
<td>249(31.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O level*</td>
<td>2228(36.3)</td>
<td>257(39.5)</td>
<td>273(34.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A level</td>
<td>1549(25.2)</td>
<td>167(25.7)</td>
<td>170(21.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree or above</td>
<td>950(15.5)</td>
<td>75(11.5)</td>
<td>100(12.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mother’s Partner’s highest educational qualification</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than O level</td>
<td>1675(28.0)</td>
<td>189(30.0)</td>
<td>272(35.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O level*</td>
<td>1320(22.1)</td>
<td>151(24.0)</td>
<td>176(23.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A level</td>
<td>1711(28.6)</td>
<td>167(26.6)</td>
<td>196(25.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree or Above</td>
<td>1271(21.3)</td>
<td>122(19.4)</td>
<td>114(15.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maternal smoking during pregnancy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>5040(82.1)</td>
<td>480(73.7)</td>
<td>587(74.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1097(17.9)</td>
<td>171(26.3)</td>
<td>205(25.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2795(45.5)</td>
<td>319(49.0)</td>
<td>352(44.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2286(37.2)</td>
<td>220(33.8)</td>
<td>289(36.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1056(17.2)</td>
<td>112(17.2)</td>
<td>151(19.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean (SD)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mean (SD)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mean (SD)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mean (SD)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age (weeks)</td>
<td>39.5(1.8)</td>
<td>39(1.7)</td>
<td>39(1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight (g)</td>
<td>3431(535)</td>
<td>3395(527)</td>
<td>3417(500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-pregnancy BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>22.9(3.7)</td>
<td>23(4.2)</td>
<td>23(3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age (years)</td>
<td>29.0(4.5)</td>
<td>28(4.9)</td>
<td>28(5.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of participants available for analyses of BMI (n=8,606) used as the denominator in this table given the varying sample sizes included in analyses. † Household social class was measured as the highest of the mother’s or her partner’s occupational social class using data on job title and details of occupation collected about the mother and her partner from the mother’s questionnaire at 32 weeks gestation. Social class was derived using the standard occupational classification (SOC) codes developed by the United Kingdom Office of Population Census and Surveys and classified as I professional, II managerial and technical, IIINM non-manual, IIIM manual, and IV&V part skilled occupations and unskilled occupations.

* O levels equate to current GCSEs demonstrating education level at aged 16.
Figure 1 Mean predicted confounder adjusted trajectories of lean mass (9 to 18 years), log fat mass (9 to 18 years) and log BMI (1 to 18 years), by maternal anxiety levels during pregnancy

Legend: Trajectories are adjusted for maternal age at birth of offspring, parental household socioeconomic position, parity, maternal pre-pregnancy BMI and maternal smoking during pregnancy. Anxiety at 18 and 32 weeks gestation is defined as \( \geq 85^{\text{th}} \) percentile of the anxiety subscale of the Crown-Crisp Index (CCEI) for the whole cohort at each time point separately (\( \geq 85^{\text{th}} \) percentile = 8 at both 18 and 32 weeks gestation).
Figure 2 Mean predicted confounder adjusted trajectories of pulse rate, SBP and DBP from 7 to 18 years, by maternal anxiety levels during pregnancy

Legend: DBP, diastolic blood pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure. Trajectories are adjusted for maternal age at birth of offspring, parental household socioeconomic position, parity, maternal pre-pregnancy BMI and maternal smoking during pregnancy. Anxiety at 18 and 32 weeks gestation is defined as ≥85th percentile of the anxiety subscale of the Crown-Crisp Index (CCEI) for the whole cohort at each time point separately (≥85th percentile = 8 at both 18 and 32 weeks gestation).
Figure 3 Mean predicted confounder adjusted trajectories of HDL-c, log triglyceride and non-HDL-c from birth to 18 years, by maternal anxiety levels during pregnancy

Legend: HDL-c, high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol; non-HDL-c. Trajectories are adjusted for maternal age at birth of offspring, parental household socioeconomic position, parity, maternal pre-pregnancy BMI and maternal smoking during pregnancy. Anxiety at 18 and 32 weeks gestation is defined as ≥85th percentile of the anxiety subscale of the Crown-Crisp Index CCEI for the whole cohort at each time point separately (≥85th percentile = 8 at both 18 and 32 weeks gestation).
Figure 4 Mean predicted confounder adjusted trajectories of log insulin (birth to 18 years) and glucose (7 to 18 years), by maternal anxiety levels during pregnancy

Legend: Trajectories are adjusted for maternal age at birth of offspring, parental household socioeconomic position, parity, maternal pre-pregnancy BMI and maternal smoking during pregnancy. Anxiety at 18 and 32 weeks gestation is defined as ≥85th percentile of the anxiety subscale of the Crown-Crisp Index (CCEI) for the whole cohort at each time point separately (≥85th percentile = 8 at both 18 and 32 weeks gestation).