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Abstract:

Objective: The objective of this review is to synthesise evidence regarding the different types of behaviour change interventions used by community health workers and the predictors of success/failure of these interventions.

Introduction: Behaviour Change Communication (BCC) serves as a key pathway for delivering messages for modifying risky behaviours such as unsafe sex, malnutrition, tobacco use and drinking, consuming unhealthy diet and leading a sedentary lifestyle. Behaviour Change Communication has been successfully applied in various settings, conditions, participants and providers. In Low- and Middle-Income Countries (LMICs), the delivery of BCC is achieved through Community Health Workers (CHWs) due to limitation of resources. There are evidences which indicate success and potential for upscaling of delivering BCCs via CHWs. However, summarized evidence regarding the healthcare domains where CHW delivered BCC is effective, the means of delivering these interventions, and success or failure of these interventions in adults is unavailable.

Inclusion Criteria: Literature freely available, in English language, without any limits on time of publication will be included for reviewing. This review will consider all randomized controlled trials, field trials, community-based studies and implementation researches using BCC as mode of intervention, carried out in all healthcare domains, aimed at adults.

Methods: MEDLINE, ScienceDirect, ERIC, JSTOR, DOAJ will be searched for identifying relevant studies. OATD will be searched for identifying appropriate theses and dissertations. After initial screening of the titles and subsequently abstracts, data will be extracted and tabulated in a custom-made template. All the reviewers will analyse the extracted data and present the results as a statistical description and inductively coded analysed content.
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Introduction:

Health behaviour, as described by Cockerham (1) is the activity individuals undertake to maintain or enhance their health, prevent health problems, or achieve a positive body image. Certain behaviours have been linked to human diseases and are rightly named behavioural risk factors. Insufficient dietary nutritional intake among children and mothers, tobacco use, alcohol and other substance use, excess intake of certain unhealthy dietary constituents, sexual abuse and violence, unsafe sex and inadequate physical activity contributes to highest loss of Disability Adjusted Life Years (DALYs) globally. (2) Some of these behavioural risk factors interact with physiological and genetic factors of an individual and lead to the development of several non-communicable diseases (NCDs), and now have major share in the “premature” mortalities, occurring between the ages of 30 to 69 years. Therefore, increasing the burden of Disability Adjusted Life Years (DALYs). (3–6)

Several theories have been postulated to understand health behaviours, describe the process of developing a particular health behaviour and devise strategies to modify the risky behaviours. (7,8) For example, Health Belief Model (HBM) (9) has been used by researchers to design facility-based osteoporosis prevention programs in Iran (10), and community-based educational intervention for skin cancer prevention behaviour adoption among farmers (11). Transtheoretical Model (TTM) which was developed nearly four decades earlier (12) has been used to understand the smoking behaviour of adolescents in educational setting (13), explain physical activity self-efficacy among African-American adolescents (14), and even to devise interventions to manage depression in hospitalized patients of coronary artery disease in China. (15) Similarly, Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA) (16) has been used to identify perceptions on teenage pregnancies among American Indians (17), devise school-based interventions to promote breakfast consumption among Iranian children (18) and design self-care facility-based intervention for diabetic Iranian women. (19) Similarly, Theory of
Planned Behaviour (TPB) and Social Cognitive Theory (SCT) have been applied to various domains of behaviour change including physical activity promotion. (20,21) Furthermore, researchers have combined more than one theory to identify and help individuals seeking care. (22) There have been opponents of use of the theories out of their original study population. (23) Recently, interventions can be tried by using some of these theories in community- or peer-based settings. (24,25)

The term ‘behaviour change interventions’ (BCI), has been coined for such theory-based attempts for behaviour change. If applied in community-settings, BCIs require public health communication. Public health communication is defined as a transdisciplinary, audience-centred, change-oriented, multi-level communication strategy. Public health communication targets a variety of audience and conglomerations. (26) BCIs have been designed as mass media campaigns (27), media advocacies (28), social marketing (29), targeted messages (30) and tailored messages. (31) Many researcher use the term behaviour change communication (BCC) for these interventions. Studies on BCIs have reported sustained behaviour change in various areas of healthcare, such as maternal-child health, nutrition, vector-borne diseases, sexually transmitted diseases and others. (32–35)

Applications of BCC are realized globally across a variety of factors, such as telephonic surveys in improving women’s pre-conceptional health in Pennsylvania (36), female condom use behaviour promotion in three American cities (37), telephonic intervention by physical therapists in post-surgical spinal rehabilitation (38), or internet-delivered personalized nutrition intervention across seven countries in Europe. (39) In Low- and Middle-Income Countries (LMICs), where resources and availability of trained medical personnel is a limiting factor, community health workers (CHWs; identified by other names such as lay health workers, volunteer health workers and others) are the first line of contact between public and healthcare and play a major role in healthcare delivery. Studies have also
underlined the fact that trust in personnel delivering the intervention also plays a role in the success of the intervention. (40,41) In such countries, the onus of delivering successful BCC lies on CHWs. Evidence synthesis has shown potential success in employing CHWs for delivering primary prevention interventions. (42) Health researcher have shown interest in using data syntheses methods such as systematic review and scoping reviews of BCIs to generate evidence. (43,44) Scoping reviews have been published to identify successful BCIs for specific disease conditions such as cardiovascular diseases (45) or particular target populations like cancer survivors of particular age group. (46)

Although a number of studies underlining the efficiency of different BCCs can be encountered in the literature, a comprehensive evidence pertaining to various attributes of behaviour change interventions such as settings, conditions or periodicity is scarce or limited. Therefore, we are undertaking this scoping review to synthesise a collective evidence regarding various characteristics of BCCs regarding settings, participants, providers, intervention and feedback mechanism. With this review we aim at elaborating the knowledge about the scope and limitations of different BCC approaches and assessment of their appropriateness in a specific setting and design.

**Methods:**

We will structure the review on the methodological background laid down by Arksey and O’Malley (47) and the recommendations JBI methodology of scoping reviews. (48) For reporting the results, we will make use of Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) guidelines and checklist. (49)

1. Identification of research question:
The first step of any study is to identify the research question. We have used the Population/Participant (P), Concept (C), and Context (C) (PCC) criterion for identification of research question.

Population:

Adults (above the age of 18 years) irrespective of their ethnicity, origin, gender and socioeconomic status.

Concept:

Studies from any domain of healthcare such as maternal-child health, hygiene practices, family planning among others.

Context:

Type of behaviour change intervention, setting of intervention delivery, duration and periodicity of intervention, cadre and other characteristics of provider, individual vs group mode of intervention delivery, characteristics of the communication module, provision of complementary incentives.

The following research questions have been identified for the purpose of this scoping review:

a. What methods of behaviour change interventions have been used by community health workers at the community level?

b. Which community-based interventions through community health workers have been most successful in achieving the desired behaviour change?

2. Identifying relevant studies-Search strategy:

We have chosen the following freely available databases for identification of relevant literature: MEDLINE through PubMed, ERIC, DOAJ, JSTOR and ScienceDirect. To ensure
maximum coverage and optimal retrieval of studies during the ultimate search, we conducted pilot searches using pre-identified keywords such as “community health workers” and “behaviour change communication”. We iterated and modified the search strategy after identifying more keywords from the initially retrieved search results and including them in the search string. We customized the search using appropriate combinations of database specific Boolean (AND, OR) and other operators (+, -) and arrived at the final search strategy. A pilot search using the final search strategy was performed in the first week of September, 2020. The search strategy for MEDLINE is as follows:

("behavior change communication"[all fields] OR "behavior change counseling"[all fields] OR "behavior change intervention"[all fields] OR behavior[MeSH terms] OR counseling[MeSH Terms]) AND (("health worker"[all fields] AND (community[all fields] OR lay[all fields] OR voluntary[all fields] OR volunteer*[all fields])) OR "Allied Health Personnel"[MeSH]) Filters: Clinical Trial Protocol, Comparative Study, Pragmatic Clinical Trial, Randomized Controlled Trial, English

The above search string retrieved 702 results on September 7th, 2020.

We will also use citation tracking at later stages to identify and include more studies in the review.

3. Study Selection:

We have laid down the following eligibility criteria for screening:

This review will include both qualitative and quantitative studies. Field and community-based trials and implementation researches published in English language will be included in the review. Facility-based randomized controlled trials, and mass media studies will not be included in the review. Editorials, opinions, commentaries, perspectives, guideline and recommendations, viewpoints, book chapters will not be included in the review. This review
will include studies published from the date of inception of selected databases till September 30th, 2020.

We will follow two-stage selection criteria (Figure 1). Articles retrieved after the final search will be entered in a reference management software (Endnote or Mendeley) and duplicate articles will be identified and removed. Two independent reviewers will apply the eligibility criteria to first screen titles, followed by abstracts of the studies retrieved in the previous stage. We will exclude studies based on the exclusion criterion first during titular screening and then during abstract screening.

In the later stage, two of the reviewers will independently retrieve the full-length articles of the remaining studies and screen them in accordance with the eligibility criterion. After each stage, the reviewers would share their results to check for consistency in the study identification process. Any disagreement at any stage will be resolved with the help of the third independent reviewer. After identification of studies to be included in the review, one of the reviewers will then conduct citation tracking from their reference lists for identification and screening of additional studies for inclusion. Full texts identified from second stage and citation tracking will be pooled for data extraction.

The whole process of retrieval of studies from databases, screening and citation tracking will be illustrated in the form of a PRISMA flow diagram, proforma of which has been provided in Figure 1.

4. Charting the data:

We have designed a data extraction template for the study (appendix 1). One reviewer will extract the data from all the studies and enter into this template. Another reviewer will extract and enter data for 20% of the studies randomly drawn from the final list of full-length studies.

Data extraction template has been prepared to capture the data in domains of:
a. bibliography

b. study methods such as settings and design

c. intervention, its type, duration, provision of incentives

d. participant characteristics

e. provider characteristics.

We will use Kappa statistics to assess inter-reviewer agreement, and will aim to achieve kappa value of 0.8 and above. Furthermore, we will use lot quality assurance sampling (LQAS) technique as quality control of the review process. For the LQAS, if disagreement between the two reviewers during data extraction is more than 20%, the whole data extraction exercise will be repeated from beginning.

5. Collation and summarization of data and reporting the results:

All the reviewers will assess the data and discuss the findings. We will chart the extracted data as tables, graphs or figures for various attributed of study, interventions, participants and providers.

Discussion:

Behaviour change communication interventions have emerged as successful strategies to promote healthy behaviours and reduce unhealthy behaviours. Due to time constrains and resource limitation in healthcare facilities, the responsibility of delivery of such behaviour change interventions relies on community health workers.

Ethical consideration and dissemination of knowledge:

This review has been approved as a part of a PhD dissertation by the Institutional Ethics Committee at All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Bhopal, India.
We will disseminate the results of the study in the form of peer-reviewed publications and conference proceedings.
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Figure 01: Flow Diagram of Database Search and Inclusion of Studies.