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Abstract

African Americans are disproportionally affected by Alzheimer’s disease and related dementia (ADRD) and are two times more likely to develop ADRD compared to their White counterparts. Despite the higher prevalence of ADRD among older African Americans, less than 5% of African Americans participate in clinical research trials.

Purpose: The purpose of the study was to explore how a culturally tailored community-based recruitment method impacts 1) enrollment in ADRD research studies among older African Americans in the Midwest and 2) ADRD knowledge and perception of clinical trials.

Methods: Using a community-engaged research approach, we collaborated with two predominately African American serving organizations to support the content development and the delivery of Aging With Grace (AWG); a culturally tailored dementia educational curriculum.

Results: A total of five AWG presentations were presented to 66 attendees. Most attendees (67%) expressed interest in participating in clinical trials after attending AWG, 18.2% of attendees enrolled in an observational clinical research study and 4.5% enrolled in a lifestyle prevention clinical trial within 5 months of the AWG presentation. Attendees (32%) also perceived an increase in ADRD knowledge from attending AWG and 89.1% believed more African Americans should participate in research.

Conclusion: The study has demonstrated the effectiveness of a community-based recruitment method using AWG to enhance knowledge, clinical trial interest, and recruitment into observational and lifestyle ADRD clinical trials among older African Americans. Future studies
should assess long-term efficacy of this AWG community-based recruitment method among other underrepresented minority populations.
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Introduction

According to the Alzheimer’s Association, 5.8 million Americans had Alzheimer’s disease and related dementias (ADRD) in 2019 (Association 2019). African Americans are disproportionately affected by Alzheimer’s disease (AD) and are two times more likely to develop dementia compared to their White counterparts (Association, Thies, and Bleiler 2013, Rajan et al. 2019, Potter et al. 2009, Gurland et al. 1999). Additionally, minorities including African Americans are often diagnosed at later stages of ADRD which results in higher levels of chronic morbidity (Zuckerman et al. 2008). Previous research has indicated that variations of lifestyle (e.g. nutrition and exercise) and socioeconomic factors likely contribute to the disproportionate prevalence and risk of ADRD among African Americans (Yaffe et al. 2013). Specifically, health conditions related to lifestyle such as diabetes and cardiovascular disease, linked to ADRD risk, are more prevalent among African Americans (Lines 2014, Glymour and Manly 2008). Despite the higher prevalence of ADRD among older African Americans, clinical research participation in this population is low (Darnell, McGuire, and Danner 2011, Schnieders et al. 2013). Previous research has shown that less than 5% of African Americans participate in clinical research trials (Coakley et al. 2012). Moreover, lower participation rates among African American have been noted across various types of research such as intervention trials and treatment trials (Scharff et al. 2010) in addition to studies focused on specific diseases and conditions such as Alzheimer’s disease (Levkoff and Sanchez 2003), stroke (Gorelick et al. 1998), and cardiovascular disease (Braunstein et al. 2008).

Reasons noted for under-representation of African Americans include 1) the general belief that racial/ethnic minority populations are difficult to recruit and retain and 2) the belief that all minority populations are monolithic, therefore significant differences in findings are minimal.
(Taylor 2003). Mistrust of clinical research is a significant attitudinal barrier among African Americans (Scharff et al. 2010), which is rooted in historical unethical clinical research such as the Tuskegee Syphilis Study, the cloning of Henrietta Lacks’ cells (Khan 2011), and the discovery of vaginal speculum and surgical techniques to treat vesicovaginal fistula by J. Marion Sims who conducted medical experiments on enslaved African Americans and Natives (Vernon 2019). Today, mistrust in research among African Americans has evolved and sustained due to disparities, such as socioeconomic and health care system inequalities (i.e. reduced access to health care and limited health coverage) (Branson, Davis, and Butler 2007, Nelson 2002), which has contributed to the limited participation in clinical trials. Under-representation of older African Americans in ADRD clinical trials limits generalizability of research findings and advancements in treatments for minorities (Hill 2019).

To address this issue, the National Institutes of Health Revitalization Act of 1993 mandated the inclusion African Americans and other minorities in clinical research studies. The recent National Plan for ADRD Recruitment (Aging 2018) and the Alzheimer’s & Dementia Outreach, Recruitment & Engagement Resources (ADORE) (Aging 2020) outline strategies and compile materials to support and assist inclusion of minorities, including African Americans into ADRD clinical trials. Despite supporting resources recruitment and retention remains challenging.

Researchers have indicated that collaborating with community partners may significantly enhance the effectiveness and retention of minorities in clinical research. For example, in one systematic review, investigators examined the effectiveness of recruitment strategies used to enhance enrollment among minorities in clinical trials and concluded that active involvement of community partners was an imperative factor in the enrollment process (Yancey, Ortega, and Kumanyika 2006). Our own work has found that culturally tailored community education
programs, delivered in conjunction with trusted community organizations can increase research participation (Perales et al. 2018, Perales-Puchalt et al. 2019).

Given the need for diverse clinical trial enrollment, it is imperative to conduct representative research by developing recruitment strategies that incorporate community partners that are designed to both address barriers and facilitators among older African Americans to enhance participation into ADRD clinical research trials.

**Purpose**

The present study sought to collaborate with predominately African American serving organizations and community leaders to both enhance knowledge of dementia prevention and participation in Alzheimer’s disease and related dementia (ADRD) clinical trials using the culturally tailored education curriculum, “Aging With Grace” (AWG). The purpose of the study was to explore how a culturally tailored community-based recruitment method impacts 1) enrollment in ADRD research studies among older African Americans in the Midwest and 2) ADRD knowledge and perception of clinical trials.

**Design and Methods**

Using a community-engaged research approach, we collaborated with two predominately African American serving organizations to understand community needs and to support the content development for Aging With Grace (AWG); a culturally tailored dementia educational curriculum. We also collaborated with community leaders to develop questionnaires to capture knowledge, beliefs, and interest in clinical research. Additionally, researchers collaborated with community partner leaders to help set up AWG talks in an urban Midwestern area where two states adjoin.

*Aging With Grace (AWG)*
AWG is an ADRD knowledge didactic presentation designed in consultation with the African American community in our region. The content and materials were refined following the Cultural Accommodation Model (CAM), which applies culture-specific constructs to adequately address emerging needs for diverse communities (Perales et al. 2018). For the present study, CAM provided a foundation to the process in which the content was developed, organized, structured, and delivered AWG in a culturally appropriate manner. This process included 1) Literature Search: identification of factors related to AD knowledge among minorities by conducting a literature review, 2) Research Team Development: ongoing feedback from the research team, including community leaders, and ADRD experts, 3) Informed Expert Opinion: feedback from neurologists, dieticians, exercise specialists and ADRD researchers obtained after initial presentations and 4) Community Stakeholders: consultation with community members at two presentation series prior to the work presented in this report. As a result, we accommodated the delivery to two hours and included the following topics: (1) dementia risk reduction (social engagement, diet, and exercise), (2) various types of clinical research (e.g. observational, prevention, screening, diagnostic, treatment, and behavioral), (3) history of research within the African American community and current rights and protections as a research participant, (4) importance and need of clinical research in the African American community, and (5) research opportunities currently available at the academic institution.

After developing AWG we sought predominately African American community organizations, including independent faith communities and health promotion non-profit organizations (e.g. Black Health Care Coalition) to host the presentation and provide community engagement expertise. Collaboration with these groups assisted in participant recruitment and allowed the research team to learn and share in the collective knowledge of the community regarding
preferences and needs. We scheduled a total of five presentations in predominately African American local churches, recreational centers, and senior centers. Prior to the start of each AWG presentation, attendees completed a demographic questionnaire (e.g. age, gender, education, employment, health status), and the dementia knowledge dementia scale (DKAS) survey (Annear et al. 2015) to examine family history and awareness of ADRD resources available in the community. Upon completion of the AWG presentation attendees completed a post-survey of ADRD knowledge, interest in participating in clinical research, belief of the need of African Americans in research, and satisfaction of AWG presentation.

Analysis

All data was analyzed using SPSS Version 25 (IBM.Corp 2017). A frequency analyses was conducted assess participant demographics, clinical trials interest, and clinical trials participation. A one tailed t-test was conducted to assess perception of change in ADRD knowledge among African Americans.

Results

Participant Demographics

A total of five AWG presentations were held over a 6-month period with an average of 13.2 community members attending each session (66 total). Most attendees were female (90.5%), between the age of 66-75 (34.9%), retired (69.8%), with some college education (25.4%). Table 1 shows a brief summary of the demographic characteristics of AWG participants [Table 1 near here].

Primary Outcome

Most attendees (67%) expressed interest in participating in clinical trials after attending AWG (Figure 1 – Clinical Trials Research Interest). 18.2% of attendees enrolled in an observational
clinical research study and 4.5% enrolled in a lifestyle prevention clinical trial within 6 months of the AWG presentation. Alzheimer’s Disease clinical trials research interest graph is shown in Figure 1 [Figure 1 near here]. Enrollment in ADRD clinical trials graphic is show in Figure 2 [Figure 2 near here].

**Secondary Outcome**

Attendees (32%) also perceived an increase in ADRD knowledge from attending AWG (Z= -4.418, p < .001) and 89.1% believed more African Americans should participate in research (Figure 3 – Perceived knowledge of AD). Perceived knowledge of ADRD is shown in Figure 3 [Figure 3 near here]. Additionally, most AWG attendees reported that the presentation was high quality (87.9%), applicable to their daily life (74.3%), and were willing to share the presentation with their personal connections (74.2%).

**Discussion**

This study has demonstrated the effectiveness of a community-based recruitment method using AWG to enhance knowledge, clinical trial interest, and recruitment into observational and lifestyle ADRD clinical trials among older African Americans. This AWG community-based recruitment method is likely to have imperative implications in terms of recruitment for invasive and drug related ADRD clinical trials. Despite the common perception that it is particularly difficult to recruit members of racial/ethnic minority (e.g. hard-to-reach) populations into clinical trials, this study demonstrated that with careful planning and culturally appropriate strategies, it is possible to recruit African Americans in clinical trials. In fact, AWG facilitated the enrollment of 25 individuals within 12 months, which is 12.5 times higher enrollment compared to the previous fiscal year.
One of the key components of this successful recruitment was partnering with African American community serving organizations, whom were valued and trusted by the African American community. In addition, presenting the culturally tailored education curriculum AWG in local community settings (e.g. church, community center) was shown to be an effective strategy in reaching older African Americans, enhancing knowledge about clinical trials, and indirectly served as a bridge to build a relationship between the African American community and academia. Participants particularly appreciated the fact that they could receive dementia education outside of an academic institution to learn more about dementia prevention and research opportunities which was perceived by many as threatening (e.g. due to historical and unethical research practices among underrepresented minorities). Among AWG attendees who enrolled in an ADRD study, reminder calls, birthday/holiday cards, participant appreciation events, and newsletters focused on future studies aimed to address disparities of ADRD among African Americans were effective strategies in maintaining contacts and interests among participants.

These results are consistent with other studies in which effective recruitment methods for African Americans encompassed a field-based approach involving community outreach and developing partnerships with leaders associated with the African American community in an effort to establish trust with the community (Otado et al. 2015). Additionally, findings from studies indicated that engaging the community through education and discussing the nature of the research studies in person was most effective in recruiting African American participants into research studies (Otado et al. 2015), which is congruent with findings in this study.

Some retention strategies reported in previous studies included cultivating rapport, sending reminders for upcoming study visits, post-visit telephone follow-up calls, and holding annual
events, which align with strategies used in this study (Otado et al. 2015). Furthermore, Otado et al. (2015) reported additional effective retention strategies for African Americans included providing incentives for participants for follow-up visits and study staff being sensitive to the cultural needs of participants which is both encouraged and practiced at the PI’s academic research institution.

Given the scarcity of culturally tailored community-based recruitment methodology for ADRD among older African Americans, this study provides evidence to support the potential usefulness of future culturally tailored community-based recruitment methods for ADRD clinical trials. It is important to note that this study did not assess retention in studies, therefore the long-term efficacy of this recruitment method is unknown. Future studies should assess long-term efficacy of this AWG community-based recruitment method among other underrepresented minority populations. Also, participants recruited through AWG only enrolled in observational and lifestyle prevention clinical trials, therefore the efficacy of this recruitment method is not understood in terms of recruitment and enrollment into ADRD treatment trials. Additionally, individuals recruited using the AWG community-based recruitment method were from the Midwest, therefore results may not be generalizable to African Americans in other geographical locations.

**Conclusion**

In summary, the community-based recruitment method leveraging community partnerships and providing dementia prevention education can be effective in recruiting older African Americans in ADRD clinical trials. Moreover, authentic communication and collaborative community partnership in research was demonstrated as imperative to the process of community engagement and establishing trust within the African American community. It also suggests that this
recruitment method centering on cultural values may have high acceptability among older
African Americans. Future research with a larger representative sample size of older African
Americans in the United States and assessment of long-term retention is needed to further
validate these promising findings.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>6 (9.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>57 (90.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-55</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>(17.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-65</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>(25.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66-75</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>(34.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76 years and older</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>(22.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>(12.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational/Trade</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>(6.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some College</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>(25.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>(17.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>(20.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masters</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>(15.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctoral</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(1.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment Status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>(27%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>(69.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Conditions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>(31.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>(57.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Cholesterol</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>(39.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(1.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart Disease</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(9.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>(7.6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Alzheimer’s Disease Clinical Trials Research Interest

- Observational: 40% Yes, 60% No
- Prevention: 50% Yes, 50% No
- Treatment: 80% Yes, 20% No
Figure 2: Enrollment in ADRD Clinical Trials

- Inquiries:
  - 2018: 15
  - 2019: 29

- Enrollment:
  - 2018: 15
  - 2019: 2
Figure 3: Perceived Knowledge of Alzheimer’s Disease