Heterogeneity in response to serological exposure markers of recent *Plasmodium vivax* infections
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Abstract

Background

Antibody responses to serological markers of *Plasmodium vivax* infection have been shown to correlate with exposure, but little is known about the other factors which affect antibody responses in naturally infected people from endemic settings. To address this question, we studied IgG responses to novel serological exposure markers (SEMs) of *P. vivax* in three settings with different transmission intensity.

Methodology

We validated a panel of 34 SEMs in a Peruvian cohort with up to three years’ longitudinal follow-up using the Luminex® platform and compared results to data from cohorts in Thailand and Brazil. Linear regression models were used to characterize the association between antibody responses and age, the number of detected blood-stage infections during follow-up, and time since the last infection. Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) analysis was used to test the performance of SEMs to identify *P. vivax* infections in the last 9 months.

Principal findings

Antibody titers were associated with age, the number of blood-stage infections, and time since last *P. vivax* infection in all three study sites. The association between antibody titers and time since last *P. vivax* infection was stronger in the low transmission settings of Thailand and Brazil compared to the high transmission setting in Peru. Of the SEMs tested, antibody responses to RBP2b had the highest performance of classifying recent exposure in all sites, with area under the ROC curve (AUC) = 0.83 in Thailand, AUC = 0.79 in Brazil, and AUC = 0.68 in Peru.

Conclusions

In low transmission settings, *P. vivax* SEMs can accurately identify individuals with recent blood-stage infections. In high transmission settings, the accuracy of this approach diminishes substantially. We recommend the application of *P. vivax* SEMs for use in low transmission settings pursuing malaria elimination, but they appear less useful in high transmission settings focused on malaria control.
Author Summary

*Plasmodium vivax* still poses a threat in many countries due to its ability to cause recurrent infections. Key to achieving the goal of malaria elimination is the ability to quickly detect and treat carriers of relapsing parasites. Failing to identify this transmission reservoir will hinder progress towards malaria elimination. Recently, novel serological markers of recent exposure to *P. vivax* (SEM) have been developed and validated in low transmission settings. It is still poorly understood what factors affect the antibody response to these markers when evaluated in contrasting endemic contexts. To determine the factors that influence the antibody response to SEM, we compare the antibody levels in three sites with different transmission intensity: Thailand (low), Brazil (moderate) and Peru (high). In this study, we found that transmission intensity plays a key role in the acquisition of the antibody repertoire to *P. vivax*. In highly endemic sites, the immunological memory resulting from a constant and sustained exposure will impact the performance of SEMs to detect individuals with recent exposure to *P. vivax*. In summary, SEMs that perform well in low transmission sites do not perform as well in high transmission regions.
Introduction

*Plasmodium vivax* is the most geographically widespread malaria species and the second largest contributor of clinical malaria worldwide. Although the global burden has decreased from 24.5 million cases in 2000 to 7.5 million cases in 2018, *P. vivax* remains a challenging parasite to control and eliminate due to its biology, notably its ability to relapse from dormant liver-stage hypnozoites (1), and its high transmission potential caused by rapid production of gametocytes and short development time in the mosquito vector (2).

In tropical regions, the majority of *P. vivax* relapses occur within 9 months of the initial mosquito bite, with longer intervals observed in temperate regions and parts of the sub-tropics (1). Relapses can account for greater than 80% of all *P. vivax* blood-stage infections (3). Moreover, in cross-sectional surveys 90-100% of infections are asymptomatic (4) and up to 67% of infections are not detected by conventional screening tools such as light microscopy (5) because of low parasite density (6, 7). Although the role of asymptomatic infections is not well understood, all *P. vivax* blood-stage infections produce gametocytes and may contribute to maintaining transmission (8).

As the number of malaria cases in a region decreases and transmission becomes more heterogeneous, monitoring and mapping of residual transmission pockets becomes increasingly important. Many countries have declared the ambitious goal of eliminating malaria by 2030, and thus, a tool able to identify residual transmission or document the absence of recent transmission is urgently needed. This tool would ideally detect the entire *P. vivax* infectious reservoir composed of individuals with asymptomatic blood-stage infections as well as silent hypnozoite carriers.

Light microscopy and qPCR, although informative, are imperfect tools due to the poor sensitivity for detecting low density blood-stage infections and the inability to detect hypnozoite carriers, respectively. The antibody responses mounted to *P. vivax* can last weeks to several years after exposure (9, 10) making them an ideal tool for assessing transmission history. Thus, by exploiting antibody longevity to assess recent cumulative exposure, we can use antibody titers to estimate the time since the last exposure and potentially detect hypnozoite carriers (11).

Serology has been classically used to estimate malaria risk and endemicity at the population level, detecting temporal and spatial variation in malaria exposure (12), and evaluating malaria control efforts in areas where transmission has
decreased to low levels (13). Therefore, serological markers are an appealing tool in the context of malaria elimination because population serology signatures can give us insights of both current and recent exposure to malaria when parasite prevalence surveys are no longer (cost-)efficient because of the small proportion of individuals with detectable blood-stage infection.

The lack of standardized methods and antigens has hindered the implementation of serology as a tool for malaria surveillance. Recently, novel Serological Exposure Markers (SEM) to \textit{P. vivax} have been developed and validated in low transmission settings showing a promising application in detecting likely hypnozoite carriers (11). It is however important to understand what factors cause variation in SEMs before their application at population level. Here, using a sero-epidemiological approach, we assess the performance of SEMs to detect recent exposure to \textit{P. vivax} in three different transmission settings. Epidemiological factors such as age, number of detected blood-stage infections and time since the last infection were evaluated to understand how they affect SEM performance.

**Methods**

**a. Ethics**

The Peruvian cohort was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee from the Universidad Peruana Cayetano Heredia (UPCH) (SIDISI 57395/2013) and from the University of California San Diego Human Subjects Protection Program (Project # 100765). UPCH also approved the use of the Peruvian serum samples in the Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research (WEHI) (SIDISI 100873/2017). The Thai cohort was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Tropical Medicine, Mahidol University, Thailand (MUTM 2013-027-01). The Brazilian study was approved by the FMT-HVD (51536/2012), by the Brazilian National Committee of Ethics (CONEP) (349.211/2013) and by the Ethics Committee of the Hospital Clínic, Barcelona, Spain (2012/7306). The Human Research Ethics Committee (HREC) at WEHI approved samples for use in Melbourne (#14/02).

**b. Field Studies**
The Peruvian cohort was conducted in two Amazonian villages in the Loreto Region: San José de Lupuna, and Cahuide (14). Lupuna is located 10 km from Iquitos district (03°44.591′S, 73°19.615′W), a forested area only accessible by river. Cahuide (04°13.785′ S, 73°276′ W) is located 60 km from Iquitos city on the Iquitos-Nauta road. Villagers work mainly in agriculture, fishing and occasional hunting. In 2016, Malaria cases in Peru represented 14.3% of all cases in South America, from which 96% were reported from Loreto Region (15, 16). Malaria cases have been steadily increasing since 2010-2011, after the cessation of the international financial support program “PAMAFRO”, and worsening due to the Loreto flood between 2012-2013 that inundated and damaged many riverine communities (17). Transmission is stable in both Lupuna and Cahuide, with a peak season from November to May (14).

A three year-long observational cohort study was conducted over December 2012 – December 2015 in Loreto, Peru. Using home-to-home and community-based screening, all-age asymptomatic volunteers without a recent history of fever or antimalarial drug use were invited to participate in this cohort. A total of 456 volunteers were enrolled and sampled every month over the three year-long cohort with 37 active case detection visits in total. Additionally, 244 participants were enrolled and followed up in the last year of the cohort with 13 case detection visits. A total of 700 volunteers attended the final visit, and 590 serum samples were collected. The PCR prevalence at the beginning of the cohort was 16% for \textit{P. vivax} and 2% for \textit{P. falciparum} (18).

The Thai cohort was conducted in Kanchanaburi/Ratchaburi provinces (19), a region with low prevalence and with reduced transmission of \textit{P. vivax} in the last 10 years. The Brazilian cohort enrolled participants from Brasileirinho, Ipiranga and Puraquequara, three peri-urban communities in Manaus province, western Amazonas State (20).

Year-long observational cohort studies were conducted over 2013-2014 in Kanchanaburi/Ratchaburi provinces, Thailand (19), and 2014 in Brasileirinho, Ipiranga and Puraquequara, three peri-urban communities in Manaus province, western Amazonas State (20). 999 volunteers were enrolled from Thailand and sampled every month over the year-long cohort, with 14 active case detection visits performed in total. 829 volunteers attended the final visit. A total of 1274 residents of all age groups were enrolled from Brazil and sampled every month over the year-long period, with 13 active case detection visits performed in total. 928 volunteers attended the final visit with plasma from 925 available (Table S3).
c. **Molecular diagnosis**

In the Peruvian cohort, blood samples were collected by finger prick in filter paper and left to dry at room temperature. Dried blood samples (DBS) were stored at -20 °C prior to molecular diagnosis. In the last visit, whole blood samples were collected and serum was separated by centrifugation and kept at -80°C until processing. DNA was isolated from DBS using the E.Z.N.A.® Blood DNA Mini Kit (Omega Bio-tek, Inc., Norcross, GA, US), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Subsequent amplification was performed by a real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) method using PerfeCTa® SYBR® Green FastMix 1250 (Quanta bio, Beverly, MA, US) as previously described (18). In the Thai and Brazil cohorts, malaria parasites were detected by qPCR as previously described (8, 21).

d. **Multiplex serological evaluation**

Serum samples from the Peruvian cohort were evaluated following the protocol reported by Longley et al (22). This protocol was previously validated in other cohorts as well as in this cohort by testing a small group of samples in duplicate. Therefore, serum samples were evaluated in singlicate format (one well per sample).

34 expressed full-length proteins were tested (See table S4 for details). They were down-selected from a larger panel as previously reported (11). 30 of the expressed proteins corresponded to erythrocytic stages, one to pre-erythrocytic stages, one to sexual stages, and one to a putative protein.

Serum samples from healthy donors from non-endemic regions were used as negative control (n = 274) (11). A standard curve made of pooled plasma from hyper-immune Papua New Guinea adults (serial dilutions ranging from 1:50 to 1:51200) was used in each run for quality control and normalization purposes. The antibody measurements were performed in a Milliplex® platform based on Luminex® technology. Antibody levels were converted from median fluorescence intensity to relative antibody unit (RAU) using a 5-parameter logistic model written in R. Published serological data from the Thai and Brazilian cohorts were compared to Peruvian antibody profiles (11).

e. **Statistical analysis**
All epidemiological data was obtained from the questionnaires or laboratory tests recorded in the databases from the cohorts in Peru, Thailand and Brazil. A retrospective analysis was performed taking into account the last day of follow up as a point of reference. This was defined as the day of the serum or plasma collection (D0 = day 0).

To characterize antibody responses in the Peruvian cohort, multivariate linear regression models were fitted adjusted by confounders. A Bayesian Regression Criterion (BIC) was used to select the model with the best explanatory variables. To test the performance of the SEMs to identify recent \textit{P. vivax} exposure, individuals in the cohorts were categorized according to their history of blood-stage infection. Individuals with at least one qPCR positive \textit{P. vivax} sample in the last 9 months were classified as “recently exposed”, whereas those with old infections (> 9 months) or no infections in the time of follow up (13 months for Peru and Brazil, 14 months for Thailand), were classified as “not recently exposed”. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were used to assess the trade-off between sensitivity and specificity of using single SEMs to identify recent infections. Sensitivity was defined as the proportion of people with recent infections with antibody titers higher than a given cut-off value. Specificity was defined as the proportion of subjects without recent infections with antibody titers lowers than the same cut-off value. We used the area under the ROC curve (AUC) value for comparing the test performance given by an antibody response between the three study sites.

Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) was performed to identify the best combinations of antibody responses for classifying recent infections. A logistic regression model was used to validate the best combination, with cross-validation on disjoint training (2/3 of data) and testing (1/3 of data) data sets, repeated 200 times. The AUC was calculated for each combination.

To determine the factors which drive variation in antibody responses, multivariate linear regression models were fitted using: (i) age (a proxy of lifetime exposure); (ii) number of detected blood-stage infections during 13 months (Peru, Brazil) or 14 months (Thailand) of follow up; (iii) time since last blood-stage infection; and (iv) an error term accounting for additional unexplained variation. Data on individuals with at least one blood-stage detection during 13 months was utilized for this purpose. The total variance of each marker was normalized to 1 and was the sum of variance given by both explained and unexplained variance, respectively. The contribution of each factor to the total variance was estimated using the “relaimpo” (https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=relaimpo) and “car” (https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=car) R packages.
Statistical analysis was done using R 3.43 (https://www.r-project.org/), and R packages (MASS, ROCR, rpart, randomForest) and Stata packages 12 (StataCorp. 2011. Stata Statistical Software: Release 12. College Station, TX: StataCorp LP.)

Results

a. Epidemiological characteristics from the Peruvian longitudinal cohort

Epidemiological characteristics of the Peruvian study population are summarized in table 1. A total of 590 individuals were enrolled. Of these individuals, 289 lived in Cahuide (CAH, 48.9%) and 301 lived in Lupuna (LUP, 51.1%). The average age of participants was 29.7 years (range: 3 – 85 years old). Individuals from Cahuide were younger than those from Lupuna (p < 0.01). The overall female/male rate was 1.39, with similar proportions in both sites (CAH: 1.44, LUP: 1.35). The duration of residency in the community had previously been identified as a risk factor for P. vivax infection in these communities (18). Almost 15% (n = 89) of participants had recently settled in the communities (<2 years).

At the last day of follow up (D0), the prevalence of P. vivax (Pv) infections detected by qPCR was 21.2% (LUP: 23.6%, CAH: 18.7%), of which only 7% were detected by LM (9 patent infections). There were no significant differences in prevalence among the three age groups (p > 0.05). The median parasite density by qPCR was 1.55 parasites/µL (IQR: 0.74 – 8.38). In the preceding year, December 2014 to December 2015, a total of 7,612 blood samples were collected during follow-up. Of the collected blood samples, 14.2% (1083/7612) were positive for P. vivax by qPCR. Of these qPCR positive samples 11.8% (128/1083) were positive by microscopy, and 2.8% (30/1083) exhibited symptoms. In 13 months of follow up, 75.3% of individuals experienced at least one blood-stage P. vivax infection. There was a significant difference in cumulative PCR prevalence between Cahuide (66.1%) and Lupuna (84.1%) (p < 0.0001, χ² test) (Figure 1).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Cahuide n = 289</th>
<th>Lupuna n = 301</th>
<th>Total n = 590</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (median, IQR)**</td>
<td>21.9 (11.3 – 41.0)</td>
<td>29.1 (12.2 – 46.7)</td>
<td>26.0 (11.7 – 45.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;15 years old (%)</td>
<td>40.48</td>
<td>31.56</td>
<td>35.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-39 years old (%)</td>
<td>33.56</td>
<td>31.56</td>
<td>32.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥40 years old (%)</td>
<td>25.95</td>
<td>36.88</td>
<td>31.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex (%)</td>
<td>59.16</td>
<td>57.47</td>
<td>58.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time in community, (%)****</td>
<td>26.29</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>14.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest-related occupation (&gt;18 years old) (%)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fishing</td>
<td>6.83</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>5.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodcutter</td>
<td>25.46</td>
<td>35.29</td>
<td>30.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (%)</td>
<td>21.45</td>
<td>14.95</td>
<td>18.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None*</td>
<td>57.10</td>
<td>52.16</td>
<td>54.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary school**</td>
<td>21.45</td>
<td>32.89</td>
<td>27.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection by LM at D0 P. vivax (%)</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. falciparum (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection by qPCR at D0 a P. vivax (%)</td>
<td>18.68</td>
<td>23.58</td>
<td>21.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. falciparum (%)</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>2.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Months of following, n (%)</td>
<td>164 (56.74)</td>
<td>76 (25.24)</td>
<td>240 (40.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 months****</td>
<td>125 (43.25)</td>
<td>225 (74.75)</td>
<td>350 (59.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 months****</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. vivax density by qPCR at D0 (median, IQR)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.65 – 2.61)</td>
<td>1.60 (0.74 – 8.38)</td>
<td>1.39 (0.65 – 4.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total clinical P. vivax infections, n (%)</td>
<td>279 (96.54)</td>
<td>283 (94.01)</td>
<td>562 (95.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>10 (3.46)</td>
<td>16 (5.31)</td>
<td>26 (4.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥2</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (0.66)</td>
<td>2 (0.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total P. vivax infections by qPCR in 13 months, n (%)</td>
<td>98 (33.91)</td>
<td>48 (15.94)</td>
<td>146 (24.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0****</td>
<td>102 (35.29)</td>
<td>68 (22.59)</td>
<td>170 (28.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1****</td>
<td>55 (19.03)</td>
<td>62 (20.60)</td>
<td>117 (19.83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3*</td>
<td>23 (7.96)</td>
<td>42 (13.95)</td>
<td>65 (11.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥4****</td>
<td>11 (3.80)</td>
<td>81 (26.91)</td>
<td>92 (15.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days since last past P. vivax infection, n (%)</td>
<td>65 (22.49)</td>
<td>95 (31.56)</td>
<td>160 (27.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1 month*</td>
<td>97 (33.56)</td>
<td>131 (43.52)</td>
<td>228 (38.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-9 months*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Sample 1</td>
<td>Sample 2</td>
<td>Sample 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-13 months</td>
<td>29 (10.03)</td>
<td>27 (8.97)</td>
<td>56 (9.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No infection in 13 months****</td>
<td>98 (33.91)</td>
<td>48 (15.94)</td>
<td>146 (24.74)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Prevalence includes single – and mixed-species infections. D0 = Day zero, day of blood and serum sampling for qPCR diagnosis and Luminex.*

| Prevalence of P. vivax infection in the Peruvian cohort. (A) Longitudinal prevalence of P. vivax infection by light microscopy or PCR in Cahuide and Lupuna. (B) Kaplan-Meier plots showing the time to first P. vivax infection by qPCR in individuals from Cahuide and Lupuna. Shaded areas represent the respective 95% confidence intervals. Retrospective analyses were performed using infection history over the last year of follow-up, which is indicated by the orange shadow. Day 0 is the last time point of follow-up where antibody measures were done; it is indicated in panel A by a purple arrow.

**b. Profiles of antibody P. vivax responses in Peru**

In Lupuna geometric mean antibody response was 1.93 times higher than in Cahuide, although the distribution of antibody responses overlapped between both communities (0.0014 vs. 0.0007; p < 0.001, t-test, Figure S2). Henceforth, data from both communities were combined for subsequent analysis. Antibody responses to 26 out of 34 antigens were positively associated with concurrent P. vivax infections (Odds ratio range: 1.38 – 1.99, p < 0.01, table S1). Most of the responses to the tested markers showed a positive correlation with the individual’s age (spearman correlation factor range ρ = 0.143 – 0.482; p <0.001, Figure 2), indicating a broader antibody repertoire in individuals older than 40 years old.
Figure 2: Heatmap of antibody responses to *P. vivax* serological exposure markers.

Antibody responses were strongly correlated to antibodies whose antigens were located in the same parasite location, showing a similar degree of immunogenicity and pattern of reactivity (Figure S3). For instance, antibody responses to invasion-related proteins (microneme proteins: (PVX_110810A (PvDBPSacI), AAY34130.1 (PvDBPIIAH), PVX_095055 (PvRiPR), PVX_090240 (PvCyRPA) and KMZ83376.1 (PvEBPII) and rhoptry proteins: (PVX_094255 (PvRBP2b), PVX_098585 (PvRBP1a)) showed 50 to 98% correlation to each other, whereas, lower correlation were found with antigens of surface proteins (29 – 66%).

In order to identify epidemiological factors that influence the response to SEMs, linear regression models were fitted using demographic and epidemiologic data. The best explanatory variables were selected by Bayesian Information Criterion (Table S2). Age was the strongest explanatory factor for 32 out of 34 models of antibody responses (coefficient range: 0.146 – 1.127, p < 0.01). For instance, a doubling in age will give a 2.18 (or $2^{1.127}$) increase in antibody levels to...
PVX_082650 (PvMSP7). Living in Lupuna and being male were associated with high antibody titers to 20 SEMs (coefficient range: 0.096 – 0.538, p < 0.01) and 15 SEMs (coefficient range: 0.105 – 0.202, p < 0.01), respectively, indicating the high exposure in this community. The number of qPCR infections detected in the last 6 months was an important predictor for 28 antibody responses (coefficient range: 0.042 – 0.194 / infection, p < 0.01), indicating that the level of antibody response to these antigens depends upon in the intensity of recent exposure. The antibody responses to PVX_099980 (PvMSP1<sub>19</sub>), PVX_096995 (Pv-fam-a), PVX_094255 (PvRBP2b<sub>161-1454</sub> and PvRBP2b<sub>1986-2653</sub>), PVX_095055 (PvRiPR) and PVX_087885 were positively associated with the incidence of clinical episodes during the last 6 months of follow up (coefficient: 0.384 – 0.505, p < 0.01).

c. Transmission intensity and average antibody titers to SEM were higher in Peru than Brazil and Thailand

At the final time point of follow-up, Peru had the highest prevalence of *P. vivax* by qPCR (21.2%, p < 0.001), followed by Brazil (4.2%) and Thailand (3.0%) (Table S3). During the preceding year of follow up, 75.3% of Peruvian individuals experienced at least one infection of *P. vivax* (Pv), followed by Brazil and Thailand where 25.4% and 11.7% of participants had at least one infection. The average antibody titer in the Peruvian cohort was 1.64 times higher than Brazil (p < 0.001, t-test) and 2.43 times higher than Thailand (p < 0.001, t-test), confirming the existent high exposure burden in the communities from the Peruvian amazon. The higher titers of the Peruvian children (< 10 years old) compared with the same age group from Brazil and Thailand is also consistent with higher *P. vivax* transmission and a more rapid acquisition of antibodies in this population.

Antibody levels to 34 Pv antigens positively correlated to the time since last infection (p < 0.001, Kruskal-Wallis test, table S8) and the number of blood-stage detections during follow up (p < 0.001, Kruskal-Wallis test, table S9). For a selection of three antigens, Figure 3 shows the association between the antibody response measured at the final time point and (i) time since last infection; (ii) number of qPCR positive blood-stage infections detected; and (iii) age. Similar patterns are seen across antibodies to all antigens in all regions. Antibody responses decrease with time since last infection; increase with the number of blood-stage infections detected during one year of follow-up; and increase with age. Anti-CyRPA antibody responses in Brazil provide a notable exception, not exhibiting any significant association with the studied factors.
Figure 3: Association of antibody responses to \textit{PvMSP1\textsubscript{19}}, \textit{PvRBP2b} and \textit{PvCyRPA} with epidemiological features. (A) Antibody titers and time since last infection during follow up. (B) Antibody titers and number of blood stage infections detected by qPCR. (C) Distribution of antibody titers in function of age groups. Mo: number of months since last infection.

d. Marker selection to detect exposure in the last 9 months

Antibody responses were assessed by their classification performance for detecting blood-stage infection within the last 9 months for each study site. The sensitivity and specificity trade-off of each antigen was tested through the area under the ROC curve (AUC). The antibody response to \textit{PVX_094255} (\textit{PvRBP2b\textsubscript{161-1454}} and \textit{PvRBP2b\textsubscript{1986-2653}}) was the top marker for the three study sites and for the combined data set (table S4, table S5). At multi-population level, the markers that ranked in the top 5 were the antibody responses to \textit{PVX_094255} (\textit{PvRBP2b}), \textit{PVX_121920} (\textit{PvRBP2a}), \textit{PVX_087885} (\textit{PvRAMA}), \textit{PVX_099980} (\textit{PvMSP1\textsubscript{19}}) and \textit{PVX_097715} (hypothetical \textit{PvMSP3}) (Table S4).
Table 2. Geometric mean titer to the top serological markers across the study sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody response</th>
<th>Negative controls</th>
<th>Thailand</th>
<th>Brazil</th>
<th>Peru</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GMT* 95% CI*</td>
<td>GMT 95% CI</td>
<td>GMT 95% CI</td>
<td>GMT 95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVX_094255 (PvRBP2b)</td>
<td>0.08 (0.02, 6.65)</td>
<td>0.28 (0.02, 12.20)</td>
<td>0.40 (0.02, 18.80)</td>
<td>1.96 (0.06, 20.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVX_121920 (PvRBP2a)</td>
<td>0.49 (0.09, 20.00)</td>
<td>0.53 (0.09, 18.90)</td>
<td>1.12 (0.16, 20.00)</td>
<td>3.64 (0.46, 20.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVX_087885 (PvRAMA)</td>
<td>0.07 (0.02, 1.76)</td>
<td>0.59 (0.07, 5.96)</td>
<td>2.15 (0.36, 20.00)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.11, 17.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVX_099980 (PvMSP1_19)</td>
<td>0.33 (0.04, 17.20)</td>
<td>0.59 (0.04, 20.00)</td>
<td>1.80 (0.06, 20.00)</td>
<td>4.02 (0.14, 20.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVX_097715 (PvMSP3)*</td>
<td>0.88 (0.13, 20.00)</td>
<td>0.38 (0.06, 5.27)</td>
<td>0.24 (0.04, 4.49)</td>
<td>0.39 (0.06, 7.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVX_090240 (PvCyRPA)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.2, 20.00)</td>
<td>2.12 (0.49, 20.00)</td>
<td>1.38 (0.09, 20.00)</td>
<td>1.30 (0.06, 20.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: GMT = Geometric mean titer; 95% CI = 95% confidence interval. * Data of relative antibody titers interpolated from standard curves were geometric mean with 95% confidence interval (CI). IgG levels were multiplied by 1000. AUC values represent the estimates in the combined data set. * Hypothetical PvMSP3.

The antibody levels to the two constructs of PvRBP2b were highly correlated, so we excluded the PvRBP2b construct with the lower level of accuracy (PvRBP2b_1986-2653). At a population level, the performance of antibody responses to PVX_094255 (PvRBP2b_161-1454) for classifying recent infections was better in Thailand (AUC = 0.83) and Brazil (AUC = 0.79), than in Peru (AUC = 0.68) (Figure 4A). Moreover, there were markers, such as PVX_099980 (PvMPS1_19) that showed good performance only in Thailand and Brazil, and others such as PVX_090240 (PvCyRPA) that performed well only in Peru. The performance of the antibody responses to PVX_094255B (PvRBP2b), PVX_090240 (PvCyRPA) and PVX_099980 (PvMSP1_19) for detecting exposure to *P. vivax* in different timeframes remained stable within the year of follow up (Fig S4).

The discriminative performance of the 34 markers in the combined data set showed an inverse relationship with their immunogenicity, i.e. those markers with reported moderate immunogenicity like PvRBP proteins ranked first, followed by those with high immunogenicity like PvRAMA and PvMSP proteins (23, 24).

e. Performance to detect recent exposure using combinations of antibody responses

While antibody responses to single antigens are informative for detecting recent exposure, a combination of antibody responses may lead to improved sensitivity and specificity. Using a Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) classification algorithm, models including up to five antibody responses were tested for maximizing information of exposure in the last 9 months. The combinations of antibody responses that were most informative of recent exposure were cross-validated, and performance plotted using ROC curves. The top combinations of antibody responses per country were the following: Thailand: PvRBP2b, PvRBP2a, Pvs16, histone-lysine N-methyltransferase SET10 and PvMSP1_19 (AUC = 0.87); Brazil: PvRBP2b, hypothetical PvMSP3, PvRAMA, PVX_091710 and PVX_094830 (AUC = 0.85); Peru: PvCyRPA, PvRBP1a,
PVX_090970, and the members from the *P. vivax* Tryptophan Rich Antigens (PvTRAG or Pv-fam-a) family PVX_096995 and PVX_092995 (AUC = 0.72). The top combinations of antibody responses for the combined data was PvRBP2b, Pvs16, PVX_091710, PVX_084720 (PTEX150) and PVX_094830 (AUC = 0.86). As expected, the multi-antibody response models performed better than the single antibody ones; although to a less extent for Peru (Figure 4B).

**Figure 4:** Diagnostic performance to classify recent exposure using information of a single – or multi - antibody response. (A) Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve displays the diagnostic performance of 5 top antibody responses: PVX_094255 (PvRBP2b), PVX_121920 (PvRBP2a), PVX_087885 (PvRAMA), PVX_099980 (PvMSP119) and PVX_097715 (hypothetical PvMSP3) in the study sites. (B) ROC curves displaying the diagnostic performance to detect recent infections given by the 1- to 5 top- antibody response combinations per study site.

**f.** Determinants of variation of antibody responses

The proportion of explained variance of antibody responses was different among sites and markers. We focused on PVX_094255B (PvRBP2b), PVX_090240 (PvCyRPA) and PVX_099980 (PvMSP119) as antigen examples due to their documented differential immunogenicity (22, 25, 26). For antibody responses to PvRBP2b the proportion of the variance explained was 37% in Thailand, 29% in Peru, and 21% in Brazil (Figure 5). Age (a proxy for lifetime exposure) accounted for the largest proportion of explained variance: 19% in Thailand, 9% in Brazil, and 16% in Peru. The time since last infection explained 7% of the variance in Thailand, 7% of the variance in Brazil, and only 3% in Peru. The intensity of exposure in the last year accounted for 12% of the explained variance in Thailand, 5% in Brazil, and 10% in Peru. The studied factors...
explained substantially less of the variance in anti-PvMSP$_{19}$ antibody responses. This is most likely due to the high immunogenicity of PvMSP$_{19}$ causing the generation of strong antibody responses after few infections. The explained variance of the antibody response to PvCyRPA represented 35% of the total variance in Peru, 22% in Thailand and only 1.5% in Brazil. Age was the most important driver of the antibody response to PvCyRPA in Peru (0.35) followed by Thailand (0.13), and Brazil (0.01).

**Figure 5: Contribution of recent and lifetime exposure to the variance of antibody responses to PvMSP$_{19}$, PvRBP2b and PvCyRPA across the study sites.** Panels represent the variance estimated for each marker. The area of each colored bar is proportional to the variance explained by known and unknown factors. Red bars: variance explained by individual’s age. Blue bars: variance explained by number of blood stage detections by qPCR during 13 months of follow up. Green bars: variance explained by time since last infection (days). Grey bars: unexplained variance.

We assessed the suitability of serological markers for identifying recent infection across the 3 transmission settings (differentiated by cumulative PCR prevalence), by evaluating the geometric mean antibody levels to PvMSP$_{19}$, PvRBP2b and PvCyRPA, and their performance at classifying recent exposure (Figure 6). The geometric mean antibody titer to PvMSP$_{19}$ and PvRBP2b increased with the cumulative PCR prevalence but did not for PvCyRPA. The performance to detect recent exposure (AUC value) of PvMSP$_{19}$ and PvRBP2b was inversely correlated to cumulative PCR prevalence, but not for PvCyRPA. The variance explained by the time since last infection decreased with the cumulative PCR prevalence.

With each cohort contributing a single data point, it is challenging to assess the significance of these relationships. Nonetheless, these findings lead us to conclude that these *P. vivax* SEMs are most suitable in low transmission settings where population level antibody titers are low, and a high proportion of the total variation in measured antibody titre is explained by the time since last *P. vivax* infection.
Figure 6: Association of cumulative PCR prevalence and antibody responses to PvMSP119, PvRBP2b and PvCyRPA. (A) Association of cumulative PCR prevalence and the geometric mean titer of antibody responses. (B) Association of cumulative PCR prevalence and the area under the ROC curve. (C) Association of cumulative PCR prevalence and the variance explained by the time since last infection.
Discussion

The use of serology in malaria research has been limited due to the lack of standardized techniques, well-developed antigenic markers, and validation in various transmission scenarios. With the potential for malaria eradication in many endemic countries, a tool able to both identify pockets of residual transmission and certify the malaria free status (in elimination settings) is urgently needed. Here, we evaluated the antibody responses to 34 novel *Plasmodium vivax* antigens in participants from a longitudinal cohort implemented in a high transmission setting in Peru, and made comparisons to data from low to moderate transmission settings in Thailand and Brazil (11).

In the three cohorts, individuals with blood-stage infections detected in the last 9 months had significantly higher antibody titers than those with older infections, however, the performance of SEM to classify recent exposure was lower in Peru than in Thailand and in Brazil. The effect of malaria transmission on antibody responses is still poorly understood (12), our data showed that antibody responses to serological markers of recent exposure can be influenced by the transmission intensity of the studied population. Whilst the geometric mean titer of most antibody responses were positively correlated with the cumulative PCR prevalence of each study site, the differences of antibody titers between positive PCR and negative PCR groups were highly significant in Thailand and Brazil but less pronounced in Peru, suggesting a slow decay of antibody responses in currently non-infected people in high transmission settings. This is also evidenced by the comparatively high antibody responses in Peruvians with an absence of blood-stage infections during one year of follow-up. Furthermore, our results supported the fact that the acquisition rate of antibody responses is faster in high transmission intensity sites, a phenomenon previously described. For example, King et al showed that the antibody responses repertoire to *P. vivax* and *P. falciparum* antigens was broader with corresponding higher antibody levels in subjects residing in high vs low transmission conditions (27). Anti-malaria antibodies are mostly short-lived (28), but their longevity is antigen specific (29) and may vary according to the background immunity and transmission intensity of the studied population (30). In the cases of Merozoite Surface Protein-1 (PvMSP1) of *P. vivax* antibody responses can last up-to 30 years in sites where there have been sufficient past level of exposure (31). The antibody longevity is maintained by memory B cells that upon re-exposure rapidly proliferate and differentiate into antibody secretory cells, which greatly boosting antibody levels (32). In settings of low transmission, *P. vivax* infections appear to induce long-lasting B memory
but with corresponding relatively short-lived antibody responses (9, 10). In high transmission settings both memory and antibody responses appear to be long-lived. A plausible explanation of the high antibody levels in the Peruvian cohort is that frequent new infections and relapses would boost the antibody response baseline in the Peruvian population and that would result in a slow decay of these responses (33). In light of these broad associations between transmission intensity and natural acquisition of immunity, we thus hypothesize that the differential performance of SEMs in the three study sites are likely due to the differences in malaria transmission intensity. In Thailand and Brazil, where the prevalence is low, it is likely that the immune repertoire is smaller and antibody responses are more short-lived. In Peru, the high antibody titers in the cohort individuals suggests a consistently high exposure to *P. vivax*, which is expected to elicit a broad repertoire of long-life antibodies.

In our study, antibody titers increased with individuals’ age. This could have two possible explanations. On one hand, this antibody levels – age relationship would reflect the cumulative nature of the immune response to *P. vivax* and highlight age as a surrogate marker of life-time exposure. This relationship has been exploited to assess malaria transmission intensity in endemic settings through modelling seroconversion rates which are closely correlated with entomological inoculation rate (EIR) (12, 34). On the other hand, the association of antibody responses and age may also reflect the epidemiological characteristics of each population. The transmission of *P. vivax* in Thailand is mostly related to forest jobs in adults (19), whereas in Brazil and Peru, the transmission is both peri-domestic and work-related (35) (Narimane paper).

Our linear regression model showed that the recency and the intensity of exposure in the last year were important drivers of the antibody response to the top markers, PvRBP2b and PvMSP119, in Thailand and Brazil, whereas the cumulative exposure, as indicated by individuals’ age, drove most of the antibody response in Peru. Thus, the previous life-time exposure resulting of sustained transmission would influence SEMs performance in high-transmission settings.

Besides previous life-time exposure, the immunogenicity of markers and their interplay with transmission intensity were factors that affected the SEM performance. For example, the levels of antibody responses to PvRBP2b were positively correlated with the number of blood-stage detections and recency of exposure in the three cohorts, indicating that multiple antigen exposure is needed in order to boost an antibody response, and thus showcasing the moderate immunogenicity of this antigen. PvRBP2b belongs to PvRBP family, membrane proteins involved in the irreversible
adhesion to reticulocytes (36). Reports on naturally acquired immunity (NAI) against PvRBP2b have shown that antibody responses correlated with age, infection status and clinical protection (25, 26, 37). Previous reports have shown that antibodies responses against other members of the PvRBP family (i.e. PvRBP1a, PvRBP2a, PvRBP2c and PvRBP2-P2) also correlated with intensity of exposure and increased at a slower rate that antibodies against highly immunogenic antigens such as anti-PvDBPII (38) or PvCSP (22). Recently, longitudinal studies have proportioned insightful information on the longevity of antibody response against PvRBP2b, estimating a mean half-life of ~ 3.8 months in cohorts from Thailand and Brazil (11), indicating the moderate immunogenicity of this antigen and persistence of antibodies even in low transmission settings. These characteristics make anti-PvRBP2b antibodies the best performing SEMs for classifying recent exposure in all three cohorts.

Differently to PvRBP2b, antibody responses to PvMSP1-19 and PvCyRPA showed no correlation with the recency and intensity of exposure in the last year in Peru and Brazil, respectively. Previous reports showed that the P. falciparum orthologs of MSP1 (19 kDa C-terminal region of MSP1) elicit more robust IgG responses than CyRPA in natural exposed individuals (39), indicating that CyRPA is not a major target of naturally acquired immune responses. The difference in immunogenicity of these antigens could be linked to their cellular localization. Whereas MSP1 is located on the merozoite surface and constantly exposed to the immune system, CyRPA localizes the intracellular micronemes (40), giving accessibility to the humoral surveillance only during the short period of invasion. Long-lived antibody responses to PvMSP1-19 have been reported in natural exposed people from Thailand (10), Brazil (41) and Peru (42). In contrast, there is no report on the longevity of anti- PvCyRPA antibodies. In our study, the lack of a recent exposure trend for PvCyRPA in Brazil may be related to the short-live nature of the response caused by the low immunogenicity of this antigen and the lack of sufficient exposure to boost a humoral response in inhabitants from this setting. On the other hand, the lack of association of PvMSP-1-19 with recent infections in Peru could be related to the long-life antibodies caused by the high immunogenicity of this antigen and the long-term exposure in this setting.

The use of highly immunogenic antigens such as PvMSP1-19 in serological tools has been advised in areas of low transmission, where the long-term persistence of antibody levels may be suitable for estimating changes in transmission intensity (12). Lowly immunogenic markers with shorter half-lives like PvCyRPA would be suitable for estimating the time
of the last infection in high endemicity scenarios. Our antigen panel consists of constructs whose antibody responses longevity spanned up to 6 months in the absence of detectable recurrent infections in longitudinal cohorts in two low transmission sites (43) and whose performance has been validated to detect recent exposure in the context of low transmission intensity (11). Our new findings suggest that the reactivity to these markers may not necessarily reflect recent exposure in high-transmission scenarios like Peru, and that the constant, long-term exposure to *P. vivax* may result in the long-lived IgG profiles in the absence of ongoing *P. vivax* infection in that cohort.

Finally, our study highlights the importance of an in-depth immunological dissection of the antibody signatures in diverse transmission contexts of *P. vivax*. Such an exploration will allow to understand the antibody kinetics in a context of ongoing transmission and to select suitable markers of recent exposure in high transmission settings. Field studies have shown that IgG subclass profiles to *P. falciparum* (44) and *P. vivax* (45-47) differed among malaria antigens and that the subclass predominance is influenced by age and increasing exposure to infection. High IgG1 and IgG3 levels have been associated with long-term exposure to *P. vivax* (37, 47). To improve performance of SEM, further information could be gained by testing IgG subclasses responses and their ability to discriminate recent from distant exposure to *P. vivax* in areas with history of high past transmission.

In summary, we have shown that the antibody responses to SEM will mostly reflect exposure in the last 9 months in areas of low transmission, whereas the responses will mirror a combination of both recent and cumulative exposure in areas of high transmission. By combining both highly and less immunogenic antigens, our panel would be able to detect recent exposure in low transmission or pre-elimination settings, and if complemented with antibody acquisition models (48), would be a suitable tool for population surveillance and detection of transmission changes in high and heterogeneous endemicity settings.
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