Recovery-associated resting-state activity and connectivity alterations in Anorexia nervosa
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Abstract

Background

Previous studies provided controversial insight on the impact of starvation, disease status as well as of underlying grey matter volume (GMV) changes on resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging (rsfMRI) alterations in anorexia nervosa (AN). Here we adapt a combined longitudinal and cross-sectional approach to disentangle the effects of these factors on resting-state alterations in AN.

Methods

Overall, 87 subjects were included in the study: adolescent patients with acute AN scanned at inpatient admission (N = 22) and at discharge (N = 21), 21 patients recovered from AN and two groups of healthy age-matched controls (both N = 22). Whole-brain measures of resting-state activity and functional connectivity were computed (Network Based Statistics, Global Correlation, Integrated Local Correlation, fractional Amplitude of Low Frequency Fluctuations) to assess rsfMRI alterations over the course of AN treatment before and after controlling for underlying GMV.

Results

Patients with acute AN displayed strong and widespread prefrontal, sensorimotor, parietal, temporal, precuneal and insular reductions of resting-state connectivity and activity. All alterations were independent of GMV and were largely normalized in short- and absent in long-term recovered AN.
Conclusions

Resting-state fMRI alterations in AN constitute acute and GMV independent presumably starvation-related phenomena. All alterations found here normalized over the course of recovery without evidence for possible preexisting trait- or remaining “scar”-effects.
Introduction

Anorexia nervosa (AN) is a serious eating disorder, typically occurring in females during puberty. It is characterized by a self-induced restriction of food intake and an intense fear of gaining weight, accompanied by a disturbed perception of one's own physical state (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Whilst the current literature agrees on an extensive biological foundation underlying the disorder, its nature has not yet been fully understood (Zipfel et al., 2015).

Resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging (rsfMRI) (Damoiseaux et al., 2006) provides important insights into organization and alteration of functional brain networks (Bassett & Sporns, 2017). Previous rsfMRI research in AN led to a variety of findings, encompassing alterations of inter- as well as intraregional functional connectivity (FC) and activity in executive control, default mode, visual and sensorimotor networks as well as prefrontal and insular cortices (Gaudio et al., 2016; Steward et al., 2018; Seidel et al., 2019; Kullmann et al., 2014; Ehrlich et al., 2015; Gaudio et al., 2018). These alterations may underlie AN-related phenomena such as excessive cognitive control, disintegration of sensory and interoceptive information and persistent rumination about topics related to bodyweight and -shape (Gaudio et al., 2016).

The often severe starvation which patients experience in the acute state of AN can cause various somatic and psychiatric symptoms, that are not necessarily a specific product of the disorder but of starvation in general (Zipfel et al., 2015). As was shown for grey matter volume (GMV) during different weight-recovery states of AN (Seitz et al., 2014, 2016; King et al., 2018), causes and consequences of undernutrition can influence resting-state brain functioning (Al-Zubaidi et al., 2018, 2020). Longitudinal studies are crucial to better understand the development of rsfMRI alterations during the clinical
course of AN and to disentangle the contribution of starvation and weight restoration from potential specific AN traits. Only two studies to date adapted such a longitudinal design providing controversial results on FC alterations (Cha et al., 2016; Uniacke et al., 2019). Whilst Cha et al. reported FC differences to disappear after short-term weight restoration, Uniacke et al. found some evidence for persistent FC alterations. In line with the latter, alterations in executive control (Boehm et al., 2016), default mode (Cowdrey et al., 2014) and visual networks (Favaro et al., 2012; Scaife et al., 2017) were reported in long-term recovered patients. However, these findings were not consistently replicated (Gaudio et al., 2016; Steward et al., 2018) and may additionally have been confounded by differences in underlying grey matter (Dukart et al., 2017). To date, due to widespread and inconsistent findings obtained by a variety of data analytic methods with mostly cross-sectional approaches, the question on nature, confounds and state- or trait-dependency of rsfMRI alterations in AN remains open.

To address these questions, we examine rsfMRI data in patients in the underweight, short-term weight-restored and long-term recovered state of AN. Given the widespread nature of previous findings, we follow a data-driven approach testing for alterations of whole-brain functional connectivity (Network Based Statistics, Global Correlation, Integrated Local Correlation) and activity (fractional Amplitude of Low Frequency Fluctuations). We further evaluate if rsfMRI alterations persist when controlling for underlying GMV. Using this integrative approach, we aim to provide valuable insights into the development of resting-state alterations over the clinical course of AN.
Methods and Materials

[Table 1]

Participants

In total, 87 women underwent rsfMRI scanning in two separate cohorts (Table 1; tables S1-3). The first cohort comprised 22 adolescent inpatients with AN who were scanned at admission (T1acu) and after discharge from treatment (T2acu, N = 21) aside with 22 age-matched healthy controls (HCacu; scanned once). The second cohort consisted of 21 (young adult) patients recovered from adolescent-onset AN for at least 12 months (T3rec) and 22 age-matched HC (HCrec). AN was diagnosed according to DSM-5 criteria (American Psychiatric Association, 2013) and all patients received inpatient treatment at the eating disorders (ED) unit of the Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Hospital Aachen, Germany. For detailed inclusion and exclusion criteria, see Supplementary methods.

Both studies were approved by the local ethic committee (cohort 1: EK081/10, cohort 2: EK213/15) and were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants and their legal guardians (if underage) gave written informed consent.

Clinical Assessments

Current eating disorder diagnosis and severity were examined using the Eating Disorder Examination (EDE) (Hilbert et al., 2004) and the Eating Disorder Inventory Revised (EDI-2) (Paul & Thiel, 2005). Undernutrition was quantified by age- and sex-adjusted BMI standard deviation scores (BMI-SDS) (Hemmelmann et al., 2010; Neuhauser et al., 2013) and plasma leptin. Depressive symptoms were assessed with the Beck Depression Inventory (Hautzinger et al., 2009). Recovered patients and controls were screened for
comorbidities using the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (Ackenheil et al., 1999). The Multiple-choice Vocabulary Test (Lehrl, 2005) was utilized to approximate verbal intelligence quotient (IQ). Further information on psychopathology and past inpatient treatments were obtained from clinical records.

**MRI Data Acquisition**

All participants were scanned at the same 3T MRI scanner (Magnetom Prisma; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). Structural T1-weighted images were acquired using a Rapid Acquisition Gradient Echo (MP-RAGE) sequence with following parameters: cohort 1: TR = 1880 ms, TE = 3.03 ms, Field of View (FoV) = 256 x 256 mm; cohort 2: TR = 2300 ms, TE = 2.98 ms, FoV = 256 x 240 mm; both cohorts: number of slices = 176, voxel size = 1 x 1 x 1 mm\(^3\). For rsfMRI, all probands underwent a 7 minutes eyes closed T2*-weighted gradient-echo Echo Planar Imaging (EPI) protocol with TR = 2000 ms, TE = 28 ms, flip-angle = 77°, FoV = 192 x 192 mm, number of slices = 34, number of volumes = 210, voxel-size = 3 x 3 x 3.5 mm\(^3\). MRI scanning of all subjects in cohort 2 was conducted in the morning after an overnight fasting.

**Preprocessing**

Preprocessing of functional and structural images was conducted with the Statistical Parametric Mapping software (SPM12; https://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/) in a MATLAB environment (R2018a; the MathWorks, Inc.). For further processing and analyses of rsfMRI data the CONN toolbox (version 18b; Whitfield-Gabrieli & Nieto-Castanon, 2012) was used.

Preprocessing included removal of the first four frames, realignment for motion correction and co-registration to structural images with subsequent spatial normalization into Montreal Neurological Institute space using parameters derived from structural data.
and interpolation of the data to a 3 mm isotropic resolution. The normalization parameters were also applied (with modulation) to segmented grey matter probability maps to obtain corresponding voxel-wise GMV. A grey matter mask (probability of grey matter > 0.2) was applied to all images to restrict analyses to grey matter tissue. A Gaussian smoothing kernel of 6 mm full-width at half maximum (FWHM) was applied to rsfMRI data. Twenty-four motion parameters (Friston et al., 1996) aside with mean white matter and cerebrospinal fluid signals were regressed out of the functional data. The resulting images were linearly detrended and temporally band-pass-filtered (0.01 to 0.08 Hz). One HCacu had to be excluded from analysis because of data processing errors. Groups did not differ in regard to mean frame wise displacement (FWD) and no subject exceeded a maximum FWD (translation) of 3 mm (table S4).

Analyses of demographic and clinical data

Statistical analyses of demographic and clinical data were conducted using the R-based software *jamovi* (Version 1.2, The jamovi project, 2020; R Core Team, 2019). *T-* or *Wilcoxon*-tests were used as appropriate to compare characteristics between groups.

Primary analyses of rsfMRI data

Primary rsfMRI analyses consisted of (1) calculation of resting-state FC and activity measures based on whole-brain voxel-wise data and (2) a parcellation-based approach applying Network-Based Statistics (NBS) (Zalesky et al., 2010). The derived measures were compared between AN- and corresponding HC-groups (T1acu vs. HCacu, T2acu vs. HCacu, T3rec vs. HCrec) and between T1acu and T2acu to assess temporal evolution of rsfMRI alterations in the acute-recovery phase. Additionally, an “interaction” design including acute and recovered patients and corresponding control groups was applied.
testing for group-by-time interactions between patients at admission and in long-term recovery. Extraction of voxel-wise rsfMRI measures and calculation of NBS were conducted using the CONN toolbox.

Global Correlation (GC) was calculated as the average of bivariate correlations between the Blood oxygenation level dependent (BOLD) signal of a given voxel and every other voxel (Whitfield-Gabrieli & Nieto-Castanon, 2012). Integrated Local Correlation (LC) was computed as the average bivariate correlation between each voxel and its neighboring voxels weighted by a Gaussian convolution with 6 mm FWHM (Deshpande et al., 2009). Fractional Amplitude of Low Frequency Fluctuations (fALFF) was calculated at each voxel as the root mean square of the BOLD signal amplitude in the analysis frequency band (0.01 – 0.08 Hz) divided by the amplitude in the entire frequency band (Zou et al., 2008). Group comparisons were conducted using t-contrasts within general linear models while controlling for age. Data from T1acu were compared to T2acu using a repeated measures design. An exact permutation based (1000 permutations) cluster threshold (p < 0.05) was applied in all analyses combined with an uncorrected voxel-wise threshold of p < 0.01 (Dukart et al., 2017) allowing for an accurate control of the false positive rate (Eklund et al., 2016).

For NBS analyses, preprocessed functional images were (without smoothing) parcellated into 100 cortical (Schaefer et al., 2018) and 16 subcortical brain regions (Neuromorphometrics, Inc.). For reporting, Automated Anatomical Labeling atlas (Rolls et al., 2020) regions corresponding to the centroid coordinates of the Schaefer et al. -atlas were used. BOLD signal time courses were averaged within each region of interest (ROI). Subject-wise bivariate correlation matrices (116 x 116) were calculated and Fisher's z-transformed. Each ROI-to-ROI connection was compared between groups and resulting p-values were thresholded at an uncorrected level of p < 0.01. Within this set of supra-
threshold connections, all possible connected (sub-)networks were identified. Using permutation testing (10,000 iterations), resulting subnetworks were assessed for statistical significance based on their sizes while controlling the family-wise error rate (Zalesky et al., 2010).

In additional sensitivity analyses, contrasts yielding significant group differences were recomputed by including mean rotational and translational FWD as additional covariates to control for motion at the group level.

**Post-hoc group comparisons**

Post-hoc comparisons of rsfMRI measures and correlation analyses were implemented using *jamovi*. To better understand group differences observed for NBS, FC of each connection included in significant NBS-subnetworks was averaged for the whole network (separately for increased and decreased connections) and values of every voxel included in significant clusters were averaged per cluster. These measures representing whole networks or clusters, respectively, were used for further analyses. Age was controlled for in all post-hoc analyses. The correction for multiple comparisons inherent to the NBS procedure does not allow for inference about individual connections (Zalesky et al., 2010). To explore the neuroanatomy of the NBS results, the region with the strongest alteration in node degree in each subnetwork was identified. Connections between these regions and any other ROI that showed significant differences (Bonferroni-corrected) between groups were identified by applying a seed-to-ROI approach.

To assess the temporal development of resting-state properties that were altered in acute AN, averaged values from the T1acu < HCacu and T1acu < T2acu contrasts were compared between AN and corresponding HC using analyses of covariance (ANCOVAs) or paired *t*-tests for each network or cluster. Bonferroni correction was applied to control
for the number of tests per rsfMRI modality and contrast. In additional sensitivity analyses, we explored the effects of controlling for IQ, time between inpatient admission and T1 (to control for delayed scanning) and for BMI-SDS (see Supplementary methods and results).

relationships among rsfMRI measures

We further assessed how the different rsfMRI alterations identified in acute AN relate to each other. For this, Pearson correlations between all rsfMRI measures in AN at T1 as well as between changes in rsfMRI measures from T1 to T2 were computed (false discovery rate corrected).

Relationship to clinical outcomes

Next, we tested for associations between rsfMRI results and clinical variables. Pearson correlations (Bonferroni corrected) were computed between rsfMRI alterations (T1acu vs. HCacu and delta T2-T1) and BMI-SDS, leptin, total scores on EDE and EDI-2, the time between eating disorder onset and inpatient admission (T1acu) as well as the time from T1 to T2 (delta T2-T1).

Effects of grey matter volume on rsfMRI alterations

To evaluate the impact of GMV on rsfMRI alterations, voxel-wise GMV was averaged for each cluster- or subnetwork from resting-state analyses and compared between groups using ANCOVAs or paired t-tests where appropriate. To evaluate influences of GMV changes on NBS results, group comparisons were recomputed after GMV was regressed out of the averaged network FC (separately for acute and recovered cohorts). For voxel-wise rsfMRI measures, individual GMV was regressed out at each voxel included in significant clusters. Cluster values were then averaged and compared between groups. To assess the impact of GMV correction on the magnitude of resting-state group differences,
Cohen's $d$ values were computed for all significant rsfMRI measures before and after controlling for GMV (aside with respective GMV effect sizes).
Results

Demographic and clinical sample characteristics

AN and corresponding HC did not differ regarding age. In cohort 1 (adolescents), AN showed higher verbal IQ compared to HC. Patients from the two study cohorts were comparable in regard to age at ED onset as well as age and BMI-SDS at first inpatient admission. As expected, patients with AN at T1 showed significantly lower BMI-SDS and leptin levels and higher scores on EDE, EDI-2 and BDI-2. T3rec patients exhibited elevated EDI-2 scores (Table 1; tables S1 and S2).

Parcellation-based results

[Figure 1]

Using NBS, we identified significant differences between T1acu and HCacu and between T1acu and T2acu (Figures 1A and C; figure S1, table S5). Included connections were largely decreased for T1acu constituting a widespread network across the whole brain. T2acu as well as T3rec patients did not differ from HC. Post-hoc analyses based on T1acu findings revealed smaller but significant FC reduction for T2acu but not for T3 rec (Figures 1E and F; table S6).

Left anterior superior frontal gyrus (SFG; T1acu vs. HCacu subnetwork) and left insular cortex displayed the strongest changes in node degrees (T1acu vs. T2acu subnetwork; figure S2). Using these seeds, we found decreased FC for T1acu between left SFG and ROIs in the left medial temporal gyrus (MTG) and right calcarine sulcus as well as between left insula and bilateral medial frontal and left medial orbitofrontal cortex (Figures 1B and D; table S5). NBS results were largely similar when additionally controlling for FWD, IQ, admission-scan-delay and BMI-SDS (tables S7-S10).
Voxel-wise results

[Figure 2]

[Figure 3]

[Figure 4]

In pairwise comparisons, significant differences were observed for all voxel-wise resting-state measures for T1acu < HCacu and T1acu < T2acu contrasts (Figures 2A, 3A and 4A; table S11). No other contrasts were significant. T1acu patients exhibited reduced GC in prefrontal regions in comparison to HC and in the insula relative to T2acu (Figure 2A). In both contrasts, GC was reduced in sensorimotor areas. LC was reduced in T1acu in sensorimotor areas, SFG and precuneus relative to HCacu and in sensorimotor, fusiform and MTG areas relative to T2acu (Figure 3A). We found a significant interaction in the medial posterior frontal cortex for LC comparing T1acu and T3rec patients relative to respective HC (Figure 3A). Fractional ALFF was reduced for T1acu in precuneus and calcarine sulcus compared to T2acu and HCacu, in parietal regions relative to HCacu and in MTG relative to T2acu (Figure 4A).

From all identified cluster per modality, prefrontal GC and LC and parietal fALFF showed the largest effect sizes (Figures 2B, 3B, 4B and 5C; table S6). In post-hoc group comparisons of these clusters, prefrontal LC and parietal fALFF were also reduced in T2acu compared to HCacu (Figures 3B and 4B; table S6). All results remained largely similar when controlling for FWD, IQ or admission-scan-delay (tables S12, S8, S9). When controlling for BMI-SDS in the T1acu < HCacu contrast, only alterations in prefrontal GC and LC and parietal fALFF remained significant; in the T1acu < T2acu contrast, all differences, except for sensorimotor GC, remained significant (table S10).
Correlations among rsfMRI measures

Considering the multitude of identified alterations, we explored which of the observed rsfMRI changes are related to each other using correlation analyses. We found strong positive correlations between measures capturing global (NBS, GC) respectively local (LC, fALFF) resting-state characteristics and between topologically close alterations obtained by different methods (e.g. GC and LC in prefrontal or motor areas). Changes in rsfMRI measures from T1 to T2 correlated strongly across all measures, except for T1acu > HCacu and T1acu > T2acu network FC and prefrontal LC (Figure 5A).

Relationship to clinical outcomes

No correlations between rsfMRI results and clinical variables remained significant after correction for multiple comparisons (table S13). At an uncorrected p < 0.05, in patients with acute AN T1acu < HCacu network FC was positively associated with EDI-2 scores. Precuneal LC was positively correlated with BMI-SDS and EDI-2 in patients with acute AN. Changes in fusiform LC and fALFF correlated positively with time from T1 to T2. In addition, LC changes were positively correlated with changes in EDE scores (Figure 5B). Given the unexpected direction of correlations with global ED severity scores, we performed additional exploratory correlation analyses that suggested strongest correlations between FC changes and EDI-2 subscales such as maturity fear and social insecurity rather than core eating behavior abnormality subscales (supplementary results, figure S3).

Effects of grey matter volume on rsfMRI alterations

GMV was significantly reduced in acute AN in all rsfMRI clusters and networks (Figure 5C; table S14). When regressing GMV out of voxel-wise GC, LC and fALFF maps or averaged network FC, group differences and corresponding effects sizes remained largely
unchanged for all measures (Figure 5C; table S15). GMV did not correlate with any of the rsfMRI measures (table S16).
Discussion

Here, we test for rsfMRI alterations in acute, short-term and long-term recovered, adolescent-onset AN. In acute patients, we find spatially widespread decreases of local and global FC and local activity measures. These alterations are independent of underlying GMV and normalize largely with short-term weight restoration to being absent in the long-term recovered group.

In line with several previous publications, we find largely decreased intra- and interregional rsfMRI measures in acute AN in prefrontal, sensorimotor, parietal, temporal, precuneal and insular regions (Ehrlich et al., 2015; Favaro et al., 2012; Gaudio et al., 2015, 2018; Geisler et al., 2016; Haynos et al., 2019; Kullmann et al., 2014; Phillipou et al., 2016; Scaife et al., 2017; Seidel et al., 2019). We cannot confirm previously reported increases of intraregional (Seidel et al., 2019) and interregional FC and activity (Biezonski et al., 2016; Boehm et al., 2014; Cha et al., 2016; Lee et al., 2014; Uniacke et al., 2019). This may have been due to differences in sample size, respectively methodological differences as well as in most cases shorter illness duration and younger age of our sample (table S17).

We find an almost complete normalization of all rsfMRI alterations after refeeding therapy. These findings are in line with results of Cha et al. (2016) and are further supported by similar conclusions from longitudinal task-based fMRI (Decker et al., 2015; Doose et al., 2020) as well as structural (brain gyrification) studies (Bernardoni et al., 2018). Moreover, the lack of differences between long-time recovered AN and HC in our study further supports the notion that the here identified sizeable rsfMRI alterations may constitute temporal, presumably starvation-related, state effects of the disorder. Differences to previous research indicating persistent alterations in varying brain networks in short- (Uniacke et al., 2019) and long-term recovered AN (Boehm et al., 2016;
Cowdrey et al., 2014; Favaro et al., 2012; Scaife et al., 2017) may be due to the longer average recovery time (5.3 ± 3 years) and the considerably shorter illness durations in our cohorts (tables S18, S19). This further underlines the importance of early identification and treatment of AN during adolescent age (Zipfel et al., 2015).

Whereas underweight-related GMV decreases in AN were consistently shown (Seitz et al., 2014, 2016), the dissociation between functional (resting-state) and structural alterations has not been previously reported. The normalization of rsfMRI alterations with weight restoration and absence of robust clinical correlations support the notion of rsfMRI alterations being starvation-related phenomena.

Alterations of prefrontal and parietal rsfMRI measures display the strongest effect sizes in acute AN in our study. Both regions play a central role in altered cognitive control associated with AN-pathophysiology as indicated by brain imaging, theoretical and behavioral research (Gaudio et al., 2016; Steward et al., 2018; Kaye et al., 2013; Fuglset, 2019). Alterations in parietal regions in AN have repeatedly been linked to distorted body image (Gaudio & Quattrocchi, 2012). Simultaneously reduced local and global connectivity in prefrontal brain regions point to a common biological background (Deco et al., 2014; Vuksanović & Hövel, 2014). In line with that, we find the changes in the majority of rsfMRI measures to be closely correlated during the recovery phase.

We do not find correlations between rsfMRI and clinical measures surviving correction for multiple comparisons. Considering the small sample size and the adopted exploratory approach this is not surprising. Nonetheless, we find some preliminary evidence for associations between rsfMRI and clinical measures including an unexpected positive correlation between ED severity scales and FC in acute AN that would require replication in larger samples.
Conclusion

We provide novel insight into extent and recovery of resting-state brain functioning during the clinical course of AN. Resting-state alterations in AN are independent of GMV and are compatible with starvation-related phenomena indicating their potential as state-markers of the disorder. Absence of these alterations in our fully recovered group with relatively short illness duration underlines the importance of early identification and treatment in AN.
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connectivity in the fronto-parietal and default mode network in anorexia nervosa.


Legends for tables and figures

Table 1: Demographic and clinical sample characteristics

* Significant difference compared to HC (T1acu/T2acu vs. HCacu or T3rec vs. HCrec). # Significant difference compared to T2acu. $\alpha = 0.05$, $p$ uncorrected. For detailed results of statistical comparisons see supplementary tables S1 and S2, for additional sample information table S3.

SD = standard deviation; ED = eating disorder; IQ = intelligence quotient; BMI(-SDS) = body mass index (- standard deviation score); treatment duration = time from admission to discharge; ED duration = time from symptom onset to last discharge (if symptom onset not available, from first admission); recovery duration = time from last inpatient discharge or last underweight state to examination; admission-scan-delay = time from admission to T1-scan; EDE = Eating Disorder Examination; EDI-2 = Eating Disorder Inventory 2; BDI-2 = Beck Depression Inventory 2. Missing data: T1acu: verbal IQ: $N = 6$, EDE: $N = 4$, EDI-2: $N = 1$, BDI-2: $N = 3$. T2acu: leptin: $N = 1$, EDE: $N = 4$, EDI-2: $N = 4$, BDI-2: $N = 3$. T3rec: age at ED onset: $N = 12$, BMI-SDS at first admission: $N = 1$. HCrec: verbal IQ: $N = 1$, EDI-2: $N = 2$, BDI-2: $N = 1$.

Figure 1: Network Based Statistics

A: Subnetwork resulting from T1acu vs. HCacu comparison. B: Strongest functional connectivity (FC) reduction between left superior frontal gyrus and right calcarine sulcus/ middle temporal gyrus. C: Subnetwork resulting from T1acu vs. T2acu comparison. D: Strongest FC reduction between left insula and prefrontal regions (middle frontal and orbitofrontal gyri). E: Post-hoc comparison of averaged T1acu < HCacu subnetwork FC. F: Post-hoc comparison of averaged T1acu < T2acu subnetwork FC.

Figure 2: Global Correlation

A: Clusters of significantly reduced Global Correlation (GC) in T1acu patients compared to HCacu (red-yellow) and compared to T2acu (blue-green). Colour brightness reflects $T$-value size at a
given voxel. B and C show GC clusters with the largest effect sizes in post-hoc comparisons: B: Prefrontal GC (T1acu < HCacu). C: Right insula GC (T1acu < T2acu).

**Figure 3: Integrated Local Correlation**

A: Clusters of significantly reduced Integrated Local Correlation (LC) in T1acu patients compared to HCacu (red-yellow) and compared to T2acu (blue-green). Results from T1acu < HCacu x T3rec > HCrec contrast are displayed in purple. Colour brightness reflects T-value size at a given voxel. B and C show LC clusters with the largest effect sizes in post-hoc comparisons: B: LC in right superior frontal gyrus (T1acu < HCacu). C: LC in left middle temporal gyrus (T1acu < T2acu).

**Figure 4: fractional Amplitude of Low Frequency Fluctuations**

A: Clusters of significantly reduced fractional Amplitude of Low Frequency Fluctuations (fALFF) in T1acu patients compared to HCacu (red-yellow) and compared to T2acu (blue-green). Colour brightness reflects T-value size at a given voxel. B and C show fALFF clusters with the largest effect sizes in post-hoc comparisons: B: left parietal fALFF (T1acu < HCacu). C: fALFF in left middle temporal gyrus (T1acu < T2acu).

**Additional descriptions for Figures 1-4**

Boxplot figures represent post-hoc comparisons of results from T1acu < HCacu and T1acu < T2acu contrasts. Each box represents one group, the scatter points represent single subjects (AN-subjects as circles, HC-subjects as squares). Blue lines indicate matching T1acu or T2acu values of each acute AN subject. The groups involved in the original, “primary”, comparisons are colored to highlight circular statistical tests. Only groups within each study cohort were compared to each other, the dashed line separates the cohorts. Significant group comparisons (Bonferroni-corrected) are marked with brackets and complemented by corresponding effect sizes (Cohen's $d$).
**Figure 5: Correlations among resting-state measures and with clinical outcome;**

**Effect sizes of resting-state group comparisons with and without controlling for voxel-wise grey matter volume**

A: Left: Heatmap representing correlations of rsfMRI results from T1acu vs. HCacu comparison across resting-state measures in the T1acu group. Middle: Correlations between T1-T2-changes ("delta") in rsfMRI results from T1acu vs. HCacu comparisons. Right: Correlations between T1-T2-changes in rsfMRI results from T1acu vs. T2acu comparisons. Colors of single squares represent the Pearson correlation coefficient \( r \). The left lower triangle shows Pearson's \( r \) values, the right upper triangle shows associated \( p \)-values (if \( p > 0.05 \)). * Correlations that remained significant after correcting for the false discovery rate (Benjamini-Hochberg procedure).

B: 1-3: Associations between results from T1acu vs. HCacu rsfMRI comparisons and clinical variables in the acute AN group. 4-6: Associations between changes in resting-state measures and changes in clinical variables from T1 to T2. Since no correlation survived Bonferroni-correction, correlations have to be considered exploratory. Pearson's \( r \) and uncorrected \( p \)-values are shown. Scatter points represent patients with acute AN at T1, respectively differences between T1 and T2 ("delta"). Blue lines display the fitted linear regression function, blue areas the corresponding 95% confidence interval.

C: Effect sizes (Cohen's \( d \)) from post-hoc comparisons of resting-state measures (RS), resting-state measures after voxel-wise regression of grey matter volume (RS-GMV) and grey matter volume (GMV). Left: T1acu vs. HCacu; right: T1acu vs. T2acu comparisons.

NBS = Network Based Statistics; GC = Global Correlation; LC = Integrated Local Correlation; fALFF = fractional Amplitude of Low Frequency Fluctuations; FC = functional connectivity; EDI-2 = Eating Disorder Inventory 2; BMI-SDS = body morph index - standard deviation score; EDE = Eating Disorder Examination; T1 = T1acu; T2 = T2acu; HC = HCacu.
## Tables

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>T1acu (admission)</th>
<th>T2acu (discharge)</th>
<th>HCacu</th>
<th>T3rec (recovery)</th>
<th>HCrec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N = 22</td>
<td>N = 21</td>
<td>N = 22</td>
<td>N = 21</td>
<td>N = 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mean ± SD (min. – max.)</td>
<td>mean ± SD (min. – max.)</td>
<td>mean ± SD (min. – max.)</td>
<td>mean ± SD (min. – max.)</td>
<td>mean ± SD (min. – max.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age (y)</td>
<td>15.3 ± 1.9 (10.2 – 18.6)</td>
<td>15.5 ± 2.0 (10.5 – 18.9)</td>
<td>16.0 ± 2.0 (12.8 – 19.2)</td>
<td>22.3 ± 3.3 (17.7 – 31.4)</td>
<td>22.5 ± 3.5 (16.6 – 31.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>verbal IQ</td>
<td>108.9 ± 17.0 (92 – 143)*</td>
<td>98.0 ± 9.7 (82 – 124)</td>
<td>108.2 ± 9.5 (97 – 124)</td>
<td>21.8 ± 2.6 (18.6 – 26.6)</td>
<td>21.9 ± 2.0 (19.1 – 25.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ED severity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>15.7 ± 1.5 (13.1 – 18.3)**</td>
<td>18.2 ± 1.2 (15.0 – 20.1)*</td>
<td>22.3 ± 2.1 (18.7 – 26)</td>
<td>21.8 ± 2.6 (18.6 – 26.6)</td>
<td>21.9 ± 2.0 (19.1 – 25.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI-SDS</td>
<td>-2.7 ± 1.4 (-5.5 – -1.0)**</td>
<td>-1.1 ± 0.4 (-1.9 – -0.5)*</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.6 (-1.1 – 1.1)</td>
<td>-0.3 ± 0.8 (-1.4 – 0.9)</td>
<td>-0.2 ± 0.7 (-1.2 – 0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leptin (ng/ml)</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.8 (0.9 – 6.9)**</td>
<td>6.0 ± 3.9 (0.9 – 14)*</td>
<td>20.0 ± 12.0 (6.6 – 48)</td>
<td>12.6 ± 7.2 (3.7 – 26)</td>
<td>11.1 ± 6.4 (2.8 – 25.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ED history</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age at ED onset (y)</td>
<td>13.9 ± 1.9 (9.1 – 17.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td>14.4 ± 1.6 (11.8 – 17.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age at first admission (y)</td>
<td>15.2 ± 2 (10.2 – 18.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.2 ± 1.6 (12.0 – 18.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI-SDS at first admission</td>
<td>-2.9 ± 1.2 (-5 – -1.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-2.7 ± 1.5 (-6.2 – -0.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>time from T1 to T2 (d)</td>
<td></td>
<td>90.8 ± 40.8 (41 – 183)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED duration (y)</td>
<td>1.6 ± 1.3 (0.5 – 4.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.7 (0.1 – 7.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>recovery duration (y)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.3 ± 3.0 (1.5 – 13.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>admission-scan-delay (d)</td>
<td>19.6 ± 12.3 (4 – 60)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Symptom scales</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDE - total score</td>
<td>83.2 ± 29.2 (24 – 138)**</td>
<td>46.6 ± 28.4 (2 – 113)*</td>
<td></td>
<td>26.8 ± 22.1 (2 – 84)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDI-2 - total score</td>
<td>271.5 ± 80.1 (109 – 436)*</td>
<td>252.5 ± 58.9 (106 – 335)*</td>
<td>187.4 ± 31.9 (115 – 240)</td>
<td>259.8 ± 73.2 (175 – 399)*</td>
<td>194.6 ± 32.8 (151 – 263)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDI-2 - total score</td>
<td>18.4 ± 13.6 (0 – 38)*</td>
<td>13.5 ± 14.2 (0 – 51)*</td>
<td>3.1 ± 2.7 (0 – 10)</td>
<td>10.7 ± 11.5 (0 – 37)*</td>
<td>3.9 ± 3.9 (0 – 12)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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