Resource requirements for reintroducing elective surgery in England during the COVID-19 pandemic: a modelling study
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Abstract

Background: The response to COVID-19 has required cancellation of all but the most urgent surgeries, including many cancer operations. We estimated the number of cancelled surgical procedures in the National Health Service (NHS) in England due to COVID-19 and how this deficit would change over time once elective surgery was reintroduced.

Methods: Modelling study using Hospital Episode Statistics (HES) data from 2014 to 2019. Using NHS England definitions, surgical procedures were grouped into four classes of urgency. We calculated time-weighted average numbers of surgical procedures from 1st March 2020 and extrapolated to 28th February 2021 informed by activity in previous years. We estimated the procedure deficit using multiple conservative assumptions and then modelled the reintroduction of elective surgery between 1st June 2020 and 28th February 2021, estimating the resources required to achieve this. Costs of surgery were calculated using NHS reference costs. Estimates are reported with 95% confidence intervals.

Findings: 4,547,534 (3,318,195 - 6,250,771) patients with pooled mean age of 53.5 years were expected to undergo surgery in the NHS in England between 1st March 2020 and 28th February 2021. Due to COVID-19, 749,248 (513,565 - 1,077,448) surgical procedures were cancelled by 31st May 2020. As current guidelines require a gradual reintroduction of elective surgery, this deficit will increase further and 2,270,178 (1,453,057 - 3,363,472) patients will be awaiting surgery by 28th February 2021. The cost of these delayed procedures is £4,688,318,443 (£2,726,364,240 - £7,070,166,056). However, the safe delivery of surgery during the pandemic will require substantial extra resources including personal protective equipment and universal preoperative screening, leading to additional costs of £606,252,901 (£521,159,931 - £730,720,808).

Interpretation: Reintroduction of elective surgery during the pandemic response in NHS England will be associated with substantial treatment delays for many patients, and a large increase in treatment costs.

Funding: NIHR (DRF-2018-11-ST2-062) to AJF.
Introduction

The outbreak of novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 and the disease COVID-19, were first reported in November 2019 in Wuhan, China, and the World Health Organisation declared a global pandemic in March 2020. The healthcare response to the COVID-19 pandemic has required rapid changes to the provision of secondary healthcare services, including the creation of new hospitals. Critical care capacity has increased substantially in high income countries, requiring re-deployment of staff and equipment from other departments.

Surgical services represent a large portion of healthcare activity, accounting for 5 million hospital admissions to the United Kingdom (UK) National Health Service (NHS) every year. In March, National Health Service (NHS) England issued guidance to postpone non-urgent surgery from 15th April. Only very urgent and emergency surgical treatments continued, with the cancellation of almost all planned cancer and non-cancer surgery. However, the number of surgical procedures cancelled during the pandemic response, and the excess of untreated surgical disease, remain unknown.

As the NHS response to the pandemic develops, there is a planned reintroduction of elective surgery with a particular focus on cancer surgery. However, the risk of SARS-CoV-2 transmission within hospitals is a concern and further complicated by uncertain rates of asymptomatic infection in the general population (17% to 56%). Asymptomatic infection rates may be as high as 24% among healthcare staff. New procedures introduced to protect patients and staff from virus transmission have reduced capacity for surgery. NHS plans to prevent in-hospital infection include preoperative patient screening, establishing ‘clean’ hospitals, routine staff testing and/or universal personal protective equipment (PPE). However, the optimum strategy, resource requirements and time-scale for reintroducing elective surgery remain uncertain. The number of patients requiring hospital treatment for COVID-19 infection is decreasing but critical care utilisation remains in excess of pre-pandemic levels. Capacity for surgical care remains limited in operating rooms, post-anasthetic recovery units and critical care units whilst the incidence of serious postoperative complications amongst patients with COVID-19 is very high. A clear understanding of the number and type of overdue surgical procedures is urgently needed to inform national healthcare policies for reintroducing surgical services.
We used Hospital Episode Statistics (HES) data over five years from 2014 to 2019, to estimate the number of surgical procedures postponed in the NHS in England due to COVID-19. We then modelled multiple scenarios for the reintroduction of routine surgical activity over a nine-month period from June 2020. We provide estimates of the cumulative national deficit of surgical procedures and the resource implications for ‘catching up’ with postponed procedures, in terms of hospital beds, critical care beds, PPE for staff, preoperative screening of patients for COVID-19, and the associated financial cost.
Methods

An unabridged description of the methods is provided in the appendix.

Data source

We used aggregated HES data for Admitted Patient Care (APC) between 1st April 2014 and 31st March 2019 (https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information). HES provide detailed data describing hospital care in England, including the type of surgical procedure categorised by an Office for Population Censuses Surveys Classification of Interventions and Procedures (OPCS) codes. We used freely available anonymised data and research ethics and information governance approvals were therefore not required.

Outcomes

The primary outcome measure was the deficit of surgical activity, defined as the number of cancelled surgical procedures, attributable to the COVID-19 pandemic response. The secondary outcomes were the associated resource requirements, defined as: hospital bed days, number of critical care admissions, number of investigations for preoperative COVID-19 screening, the amount of PPE required for operating theatre staff and the additional financial cost.

Modelling analysis

We prospectively designed and published a statistical analysis plan before data analysis took place.18 We used R version 3.6.1 (R Core Team, Vienna, Austria) to analyse the data. We stratified surgical procedures according to a classification published by NHS England on 17th March 2020, which described operations that should be stopped or continued during the initial pandemic response.7 Surgical procedures were divided into four classes of decreasing urgency: Class 1 – Emergency operations needed within 72 hours; Class 2 – Urgent surgery that can be deferred for up to 4 weeks; Class 3 – Semi-urgent surgery that can be delayed for up to 3 months; and Class 4 – Elective surgery that can be delayed for more than 3 months. We used a pre-specified data-driven approach to identify emergency, urgent and elective surgical procedures based on waiting times and expert review of procedure coding (supplementary tables 1 and 2). We estimated the monthly volume of surgical activity that would have been expected had there not been a pandemic until 28th February 2021, by
calculating the annual change in activity during the five-year period from 1st April 2014 to 31st March 2019, and extrapolated using a linear growth assumption. We adjusted for monthly variation in admissions and estimated the average age for each class of surgery.

Surgical procedure volume
We estimated the number of postponed or cancelled surgical procedures from the 1st March 2020 up to 1st June 2020 according to several assumptions. First, that class 1 (emergency) surgery would continue at the pre-pandemic rate. Second, that class 2 surgery would continue at a reduced rate. We used four scenarios, where 20%, 40%, 60% and 80% of class 2 surgical procedures were assumed to have continued. Third, that 50% of class 3 and 4 procedures continued in March and then stopped completely in April and May, reflecting between-hospital heterogeneity in the timing of stoppage of surgery. The results were presented as the deficit of surgical procedures between 1st March and 31st May 2020 with a 95% confidence interval. Fourth, that widespread reintroduction of surgical activity would start from 1st June 2020 and continue to increase to pre-pandemic levels. For each of the four scenarios of class 2 procedures, we assumed a linear increase in activity over the three months from 1st June to 31st August 2020, with class 3 and 4 surgical procedures remaining cancelled until 31st August. In the final model we assumed that 80% of class 2 procedures continued. We repeated the analysis in an iterative fashion, by adding class 3 procedures on 1st September and class 4 procedures on 1st December. Fifth, that pre-pandemic levels of surgical activity would be reached by 28th February 2021 (supplementary figure 1). We presented the estimated number of surgical procedures carried out each month between 1st March 2020 and 28th February 2021 and a rolling deficit of surgical activity compared to the expected volume of surgery according to the previous five-year average. We did not include assumptions about a second peak of COVID-19, nor did we attempt to model the impact of reduced operating theatre utilisation due to enhanced infection control procedures.

Hospital admissions
We calculated the total number of bed-days, weighted by procedure frequency, by multiplying the median length of stay by the number of inpatient admissions. We estimated
the proportion of patients that would require postoperative critical care using a conservative assumption of 1% of patients undergoing inpatient surgery.\textsuperscript{19-21}

**Preoperative screening tests**

We estimated preoperative COVID-19 screening requirements according to three scenarios. First, all patients would have two outpatient preoperative COVID-19 Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) tests. Second, all patients would have one outpatient and one inpatient preoperative SARS-CoV-2 PCR tests, requiring an additional day in hospital for isolation. Third, all patients would have one outpatient and one inpatient preoperative SARS-CoV-2 PCR tests, and patients undergoing class 1 and 2 procedures would also have a computed tomography scan of the chest, in line with guidance from the Royal College of Radiologists.\textsuperscript{22, 23}

**Personal protective equipment**

We estimated the amount of PPE required in operating theatres according to two scenarios.\textsuperscript{24} First, that eight staff members would be present for every procedure (two surgeons, two anaesthetists, one operating department practitioner and three scrub staff). Second, that four staff members would be present for every procedure (one surgeon, one anaesthetist, one operating department practitioner and one scrub staff), each requiring an FFP3 mask, a fluid repellent gown, two pairs of gloves and eye protection.

**Estimated financial cost**

We estimated the cost of reintroducing surgical activity from 1\textsuperscript{st} June 2020 and the total deficit of surgical procedures on 28\textsuperscript{th} February 2021 by combining the cost of each surgical procedure, the cost of associated preoperative COVID-19 screening and the cost of PPE. The total costs of surgical procedures was calculated by matching the OPCS v4.7 code with the Health Resource Group coding and summing the associated procedure cost according to the national schedule of NHS costs.\textsuperscript{5, 25} The costs of screening tests were calculated according to £19 per SARS-CoV-2 PCR and £69 per CT scan and a range of between £222 and £346 per additional bed day.\textsuperscript{26-28} The costs of PPE was calculated as £2.90 per FFP3 mask, £14.90 per 100 gloves, £3 per fluid resistant gown and £2.90 per piece of eye protection.\textsuperscript{28, 29}
Role of the funding source

AJF holds a National Institute for Health Research Doctoral Research fellowship (DRF-2018-11-ST2-062). The funder had no role in the study design, data collection, analysis, interpretation, or writing the report. AJF and TA had full access to all the data in the study and all authors had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.
Results

We identified 1073 OPCS codes for Class 1 – 4 surgical procedures, representing a total of 22,513,872 surgical admissions between 1\textsuperscript{st} April 2014 and 31\textsuperscript{st} March 2019 (Figure 1). The monthly median number of procedures was 382,768 (Interquartile range [IQR]: 22,890) (supplementary table 3). If growth in the number of surgical procedures had continued according to a pre-pandemic trajectory, we estimate that 4,547,534 (95\% CI: 3,318,195 to 6,250,771) would have been performed between 1\textsuperscript{st} March 2020 and 28\textsuperscript{th} February 2021 (supplementary table 4). Patients aged over 60 years accounted for 32.7\% of class 1, 43.9\% of class 2, 53.7\% of class 3 and 45.6\% of class 4 surgical activity (supplementary table 5).

Surgical procedure volume

We estimate that 749,248 (95\% CI: 513,565 to 1,077,448) surgical procedures will have been cancelled between 1\textsuperscript{st} March and 31\textsuperscript{st} May 2020 in comparison with observed pre-pandemic levels of activity (supplementary table 6). We modelled the widespread reintroduction of class 2 surgical activity from 31\textsuperscript{st} May 2020, for four scenarios of incrementally increasing activity (20\% - 80\%) that would reach pre-pandemic levels by 31\textsuperscript{st} August 2020 (supplementary table 7). Even if class 2 - 4 surgical procedures are reintroduced in a stepwise fashion between 1\textsuperscript{st} June 2020 and 28\textsuperscript{th} February 2021 to reach the predicted pre-pandemic level of activity, the number of cancelled surgical procedures would be 2,270,178 (95\% CI: 1,453,057 to 3,363,472) procedures (table 1, figures 2-3).

Hospital admissions

The total bed days associated with the deficit of surgical activity on 31\textsuperscript{st} May 2020 is 973,495 (95\% CI: 624,030 to 1,423,713) days (supplementary table 8). If widespread reintroduction of surgical activity occurs from 1\textsuperscript{st} June 2020, the total number of bed days associated with the cumulative deficit of surgical activity up to 28\textsuperscript{th} February 2021 is 3,238,850 (95\% CI: 1,945,237 to 4,847,579) days (table 2). The total number of critical care admissions associated with the deficit of surgical activity on 31\textsuperscript{st} May 2020 is 2,756 (95\% CI: 2,020 to 3,916) (supplementary table 8). If widespread reintroduction of surgical activity occurs from 1\textsuperscript{st} June 2020, the estimated total number of critical care admissions associated with the cumulative deficit of surgery up to 28th February 2021 will be 8,378 admissions (95\% CI: 5,890 to 12,152).
**Preoperative screening tests**

The estimated resource requirement for preoperative COVID-19 screening associated with the deficit of surgical activity on 31st May 2020 include: 1,390,106 (95%CI: 939,958 to 2,018,500) SARS-CoV-2 PCR tests, 20,606 (95%CI: 16,736 to 25,602) CT scans and 275,604 (95%CI: 202,024 to 391,603) bed days for preoperative isolation. The screening resource requirements associated with the reintroduction of surgical activity from 1st June 2020 is provided in table 2.

**Personal protective equipment**

The estimated amount of PPE associated with the deficit of surgical activity on 31st May 2020 is 11,120,848 (95% CI: 7,519,664 to 16,148,000) items, assuming four persons per theatre, and 22,241,696 (95% CI: 15,039,328 to 32,296,000) items assuming eight persons pre theatre. The estimated total amount of PPE associated with the cumulative deficit of surgical activity by 28th February 2021 is between 36,322,848 (95%CI: 23,248,912 to 53,815,552) items, assuming four persons per theatre and 72,645,696 items (95%CI: 46,497,824 to 107,631,104) assuming eight persons per theatre (supplementary table 8).

**Financial cost**

The procedure cost for the deficit of surgical activity on 31st May 2020 is £1,359,552,496 (95% CI: £840,846,557 to £2,009,926,307) (table 2 and supplementary table 10). If elective surgery recommences between 1st June 2020 and 28th February, the total costs associated with performing reintroduced surgery, including the costs of the procedure, PPE and screening, are between £5,068,816,991 (95%CI: £4,170,359,336 to £6,302,032,861) and £5,347,860,447 (95%CI: £4,408,986,578 to £6,641,524,634) (supplementary table 9). The total cost of cancelled operations by 28th February 2021, including the costs of the procedure, PPE and screening tests, are between £5,045,354,856 (95%CI: £2,966,949,647 to £7,592,843,343) and £5,231,861,474 (95%CI: £3,092,857,610 to 7,865,929,880 (supplementary table 10).

An unabridged description of the results is provided in the appendix.
Discussion

The principal finding of this study is that, without a substantial increase in capacity, accumulated delays in surgical care will lead to a backlog of more than two million overdue or cancelled surgical procedures in the NHS in England by 28th February 2021. This is equivalent to 45% of the total number of surgeries performed in England each year before the COVID-19 pandemic, and is larger than the existing waiting list to start surgical treatment in March 2020, which already stood at over 1.8 million patients. The cost of clearing this post-pandemic waiting list is in excess of £5 billion, or 4.2% of the total NHS England budget. Additional costs of delivering surgical services under strict infection control procedures exceed £600 million, and include personal protective equipment, preoperative screening and extra bed-days in hospital. We have not attempted to model the reduced operating theatre utilisation efficiency, suggesting the true excess cost may be far greater. Nor does our estimate account for additional costs of contracts with non-NHS providers, or the costs of postoperative complications among surgical patients with COVID-19. In order to reduce the size of any future backlog of overdue surgery, elective surgical services would need to be reintroduced at the earliest opportunity and at the maximum capacity possible. Whether this can be achieved safely remains unclear.

Postoperative mortality is much higher in patients with COVID-19 infection, compared to the expected pre-pandemic rate. An international cohort study of more than 1000 patients with COVID-19 found the incidence of postoperative mortality was more than one in four patients, compared to an expected mortality rate of 1 in 30 patients undergoing emergency surgery. This will inevitably lead to higher resource use, longer in-patient lengths of stay and greater financial costs. Furthermore, emerging data from the UK suggests that as many as one in twelve healthcare workers had asymptomatic infection during the first peak of the pandemic, and were at risk of transmitting the virus to other staff and patients. Consequently, many surgeons may choose to avoid surgery in patients with COVID-19 infection, to reduce the active reservoir inside the hospital and to mitigate the higher-risk of postoperative mortality. When surgery does go ahead, the benefits must be weighed against the potential harm of bringing vulnerable patients into contact with SARS-CoV-2 and the potential risks to staff members. It is likely that preoperative screening for the virus and PPE for healthcare workers will become universal. We estimate that by February 2021, over
165,000 SARS-CoV-2 PCR tests will be required every week for preoperative screening alone. Permanent infrastructure for testing will need to be included in strategic plans for pathology services to support elective surgery. There are no national data regarding the use of protective equipment at individual institutions. However, many societies have exercised caution and have advocated for the deployment of full PPE for all high risk procedures and examinations, including all aerosol generating procedures.24

There are no published data on the rate of cancellation of surgery within the NHS in England during the COVID-19 pandemic response, of which we are aware. However, the results of our analysis are consistent with data from an international survey, which reported that the majority of both urgent cancer and non-cancer surgery were postponed during the peak of the pandemic.9 We provide estimates of the likely volume of cancelled operations and the resources that may be required to reintroduce surgery and clear the backlog of cases. Delays to the re-introduction of surgical procedures may result in increased secondary morbidity and mortality, not directly attributable to COVID-19 infection, but due to increased volume of undiagnosed surgical disease and delays to curative therapy.2 If delays in surgical care result in higher incidence of more advanced disease, it is likely that postoperative morbidity and mortality will also increase, as well as critical care bed utilisation and hospital length of stay. Strategies for undertaking safe surgery after the pandemic, during a prolonged pandemic response, or if COVID-19 becomes endemic, include reorganisation of referral pathways, restructuring of the surgical workforce, dedicated surgical critical care resources, isolated ‘cold’ hospitals and prioritisation of certain patient groups.2 If second or subsequent peaks of COVID-19 occur, it is likely surgical services will again be curtailed, with further increases in the number of cancelled procedures. NHS leaders should make plans for the future continuity of surgical care.

Our analysis has several strengths. We analysed robust national data that describe the whole healthcare setting of a single country according to a prospectively published statistical analysis plan. Our results purposely provide conservative estimates of procedure volume and associated resource requirements. We report procedures at the admission level, rather than the episode level, which will likely underestimate the volume of surgery. We used multiple sources to calculate postoperative critical care admission, which did not
include preoperative admission, nor increased critical care utilisation for patients with COVID-19. Our estimates of PPE only include usage in operating theatre, but not on postoperative wards or in clinics. Our cost estimates do not take account of potential future reorganisation of care pathways, the use of ‘hot’ and ‘cold’ sites or use of private sector hospitals. Our analysis also has limitations. We made a series of assumptions based on NHS England guidance, which we applied to a series of plausible scenarios regarding the continuation of surgical activity during the pandemic.\textsuperscript{7, 10} However, the reality of the volume, type and timing of continuation and reintroduction of surgery may differ from our model. We will only be able to test this in retrospect once actual numbers of procedures carried out are published. We did not take into account a potential second peak of COVID-19.\textsuperscript{32} It is likely that the volume of emergency surgery that has continued during the pandemic is lower than pre-pandemic levels, perhaps due to patients avoiding hospital or clinicians using alternative management strategies.\textsuperscript{33} The age distribution of the surgical population is skewed.\textsuperscript{6} However, due to limitations of the data source, we were not able to adjust our analysis for age. It is likely that, unfortunately, some patients waiting for surgery will have died while waiting to have their surgery. The numbers of these cases are unknown and we were unable to account for these in the analysis. We will update our assumptions and analyses as new data become available.

In conclusion, accumulated delays in surgical care due to COVID-19 will lead to a backlog of more than two million overdue or cancelled surgical procedures in the NHS in England by 28\textsuperscript{th} February 2021. These delays in care are likely to be associated with increased mortality. The procedure cost of clearing this waiting list is in excess of £4.6 billion, with additional costs of at least £600 million for personal protective equipment and preoperative screening during the pandemic.
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**Figure 1.** Number of hospital admissions for surgery in England between 1st April 2015 to 31st March 2019, categorised by class of surgery according to NHS England definition (class 1, emergency surgery; class 2, urgent surgery with a waiting time < 4 weeks; class 3, semi-urgent surgery with a waiting time < 3 months; class 4 surgery, elective surgery with a waiting time > 3 months). 56 procedure codes had no mean time recorded so were unclassified, these accounted for a total of 73 admissions and so were excluded from analysis. OPCS codes used to define surgery are provided in supplementary table 1.
Figure 2. Top panel: estimated number of surgical procedures performed per month between 1st February 2020 and 31st March 2021 (blue) and expected number of surgical procedures performed per month based on time-weighted five-year average between 1st April 2014 and 31st March 2019 (red). Solid line indicates mean number of surgical procedures per months and dotted lines with shaded area indicate 95% confidence intervals. Bottom panel: predicted cumulative number of cancellations between 1st February 2020 and 31st March 2021. Class 1, emergency surgery; class 2, urgent surgery with a waiting time < 4 weeks; class 3, semi-urgent surgery with a waiting time < 3 months; class 4, elective surgery with a waiting time > 3 months. This assumes a stepwise reactivation of surgical activity from 1st June 2020 onwards; reaching predicted pre-pandemic levels of surgical activity by 28th February 2021.
Figure 3. Estimated number of surgical procedures performed per month between 1st February 2020 and 31st March 2021, stratified by class of surgery. Class 1, emergency surgery; class 2, urgent surgery with a waiting time < 4 weeks; class 3, semi-urgent surgery with a waiting time < 3 months; class 4, elective surgery with a waiting time > 3 months. This assumes a stepwise reactivation of surgical activity from 1st June 2020 onwards; reaching predicted pre-pandemic levels of surgical activity by 28th February 2021. Solid line indicates mean number of procedures performed per month and dotted lines with shaded area indicate 95% confidence intervals.
### Tables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class 1</td>
<td>72999</td>
<td>67776</td>
<td>70844</td>
<td>69027</td>
<td>70502</td>
<td>67738</td>
<td>68575</td>
<td>72048</td>
<td>72445</td>
<td>72665</td>
<td>72453</td>
<td>72672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 2</td>
<td>35290</td>
<td>26566</td>
<td>27629</td>
<td>35770</td>
<td>35854</td>
<td>33825</td>
<td>34965</td>
<td>36731</td>
<td>36542</td>
<td>31840</td>
<td>35094</td>
<td>33840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 3</td>
<td>84128</td>
<td>94342</td>
<td>96483</td>
<td>10479</td>
<td>106356</td>
<td>101563</td>
<td>14097</td>
<td>18768</td>
<td>22560</td>
<td>26956</td>
<td>32085</td>
<td>33684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 4</td>
<td>58018</td>
<td>41915</td>
<td>45579</td>
<td>52871</td>
<td>562190</td>
<td>562427</td>
<td>940918</td>
<td>101985</td>
<td>1059076</td>
<td>1059076</td>
<td>1059076</td>
<td>1059076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 5</td>
<td>290435</td>
<td>198320</td>
<td>11065</td>
<td>10356</td>
<td>94706</td>
<td>94706</td>
<td>94706</td>
<td>94706</td>
<td>94706</td>
<td>94706</td>
<td>94706</td>
<td>94706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20998</td>
<td>103079</td>
<td>86570</td>
<td>33043</td>
<td>33667</td>
<td>34237</td>
<td>34893</td>
<td>35553</td>
<td>36213</td>
<td>36873</td>
<td>37533</td>
<td>38203</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Cumulative deficit of cancelled surgical procedures

| Class 1          | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Class 2          | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Class 3          | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Class 4          | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total            | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
Table 1. Model for reintroduction of surgical activity between 1st March 2020 and 28th February 2021, assuming: continued class 1 (emergency) activity at pre-pandemic levels; continued class 2 (urgent) activity at 80% of pre-pandemic levels and increasing on 1st June to reach pre-pandemic levels by 31st August; reintroduction of class 3 activity on 1st September and reaching pre-pandemic levels by 31st November; and reintroducing class 4 activity on 30th November and reaching pre-pandemic levels by 28th February 2021. The top panel shows the number of procedures carried out in each month between 1st March 2020 and 28th February 2021. The bottom panel shows the cumulative deficit of surgical activity on the last day of each month. Numbers are presented as predicted time-weighted average with 95% confidence intervals.
Table 2. Resource requirements each month for reintroduction of surgical activity from 1st March 2020 until 28th February 2021. Total number of procedures is presented as a time-weighted average with 95% confidence intervals derived from a linear growth model at procedure group level. Costs are presented in pounds sterling and include only excess costs associated with specific measures required for surgical time. Low estimate: four members of 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Admissions for surgery</td>
<td>250435</td>
<td>94342</td>
<td>96473</td>
<td>104797</td>
<td>106356</td>
<td>101563</td>
<td>140107</td>
<td>187686</td>
<td>225602</td>
<td>269652</td>
<td>320564</td>
<td>336064</td>
<td>2237841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed days</td>
<td>698462</td>
<td>454083</td>
<td>474174</td>
<td>491418</td>
<td>499553</td>
<td>477998</td>
<td>525021</td>
<td>592625</td>
<td>627685</td>
<td>668111</td>
<td>772068</td>
<td>777615</td>
<td>6715420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day cases</td>
<td>93608</td>
<td>55759</td>
<td>58020</td>
<td>71520</td>
<td>75190</td>
<td>71030</td>
<td>26192</td>
<td>47316</td>
<td>66772</td>
<td>96829</td>
<td>130605</td>
<td>148579</td>
<td>643226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crit care admissions</td>
<td>3758</td>
<td>2921</td>
<td>29212</td>
<td>3052</td>
<td>3044</td>
<td>3103</td>
<td>3202</td>
<td>3782</td>
<td>3909</td>
<td>4083</td>
<td>3869</td>
<td>124649</td>
<td>41124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARS-CoV 2 PCR test</td>
<td>500870</td>
<td>186684</td>
<td>169378</td>
<td>169709</td>
<td>209594</td>
<td>212712</td>
<td>362094</td>
<td>366142</td>
<td>472795</td>
<td>518456</td>
<td>404304</td>
<td>672168</td>
<td>4474082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT scans</td>
<td>103289</td>
<td>98432</td>
<td>110566</td>
<td>101297</td>
<td>103565</td>
<td>101563</td>
<td>103540</td>
<td>107799</td>
<td>105055</td>
<td>107687</td>
<td>100012</td>
<td>124649</td>
<td>41124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess bed days for screening</td>
<td>156827</td>
<td>88763</td>
<td>92671</td>
<td>92789</td>
<td>98827</td>
<td>92879</td>
<td>114905</td>
<td>143070</td>
<td>158830</td>
<td>172832</td>
<td>190549</td>
<td>193815</td>
<td>190404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess Cost (low estimate)</td>
<td>6091786</td>
<td>3303274</td>
<td>3662321</td>
<td>37190194</td>
<td>3753342</td>
<td>3535985</td>
<td>3540858</td>
<td>3667896</td>
<td>3753580</td>
<td>3946454</td>
<td>3734895</td>
<td>3734895</td>
<td>606252901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess Cost (high estimate)</td>
<td>89478274</td>
<td>47673806</td>
<td>49766036</td>
<td>535552904</td>
<td>54092652</td>
<td>56200548</td>
<td>58898096</td>
<td>59455225</td>
<td>63873490</td>
<td>67303869</td>
<td>67303869</td>
<td>73549599</td>
<td>73549599</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Table 2. Resource requirements each month for reintroduction of surgical activity from 1st March 2020 until 28th February 2021. Total number of procedures is presented as a time-weighted average with 95% confidence intervals derived from a linear growth model at procedure group level. Costs are presented in pounds sterling and include only excess costs associated with specific measures required for surgical time. Low estimate: four members of
staff in personal protective equipment, bed day cost of £222. High estimate: eight members of staff in personal protective equipment, bed day cost of £346.

A complete breakdown of costs is in supplementary table 9. Costs are provided in Pounds Sterling (£).