The nexus of travel restriction, air pollution and COVID-19 infection:
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Abstract: To control and prevent the spread of COVID-19, generalized social distancing
measures, such as traffic control and travel restriction acted in China. Previous studies indicated
that the traffic conditions had significant influence on the air quality, and which was related to the
respiratory diseases. This study aimed to reveal the nexus of travel restriction, air pollution and
COVID-19. Shenzhen, one of the top 4 megacities in China was considered as the study area,
statistical analysis methods, including linear/nonlinear regression and bivariate correlation was
conducted to evaluate the relationship of the traffic and passenger population, travel intensity, NO2,
PM10, PM2.5 and the number of COVID-19 confirmed cases. The results suggested that traffic
control and travel restriction had a significant correlation with the number of COVID-19
confirmed cases, which shown negative correlation with the traffic intensity of the city, NO2, PM10
and PM2.5 show significant positive correlation with the traffic intensity, traffic control and travel
restriction would slow down and prevent the spread of the viruses at the outbreak period. Different
study scale might results in different results, thus the research focused on the nexus of traffic
control and travel restriction, air pollution and COVID-19 should been enhanced in future, and
differentiated epidemic control and prevention measures should be considered according to the
different situation of cities as well as countries.
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1. Introduction

Since 31 December 2019 China reported a cluster of cases of pneumonia in Wuhan, Hubei
Province (WHO, 2020a; Chen et al., 2020; Xie and Zhu., 2020), a novel coronavirus disease,
namely COVID-19 spread rapidly and became a world pandemic (WHO, 2020a,b; Vellingiri et al..
2020), there have been 2,164,111 confirmed cases of COVID-19 in 213 countries, areas or
territories, reported to WHO as of 2:00 am CEST, 18 April 2020 (WHO, 2020b). To prevent and
reduce spread of the infection, strong and immediate measures, such as detect disease early, isolate and treat cases, trace contacts and social distancing acted in China at national scale (Pan et al., 2020). Modeling studies indicated that the travel restrict of Wuhan delayed the epidemic progression by 3 to 5 days in mainland China (Chinazzi et al., 2020; Tian et al., 2020; Pan et al., 2020), while reducing case importations to other countries by nearly 80% through mid-February (Chinazzi et al., 2020; Pan et al., 2020).

Previous studies indicated that the respiratory disease was highly related to the air pollution (Phosri et al., 2019; Zhu et al., 2019), and which could be considered as a putative disease risk factor of the novel COVID-19 disease (Martelletti and Martelletti, 2020). Study conducted by Zhu et al., 2020 in China reveled that there was a significant relationship between COVID-19 infection and air pollutants such as PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$ and NO$_2$. To prevent and control the spread of the novel coronavirus disease, social distancing was encouraged in China, people were encouraged to stay at home and limit outdoor activities, the worse epidemic regions took traffic control measures to restrict the travel. Consequently, social distancing was not only a simple polite behavior that keeping distance with people, but also multiple measures, such as traffic control and travel restriction, that controlled and prevented the contact among people and more over cut down the spread of the viruses (Prin and Bartels, 2020; Yezli and Khan, 2020). Different traffic and travel management strategies had a direct effect on air pollution (Pasquier and André, 2017; Krecl et al., 2020), however, to our knowledge, no study has yet comprehensively evaluated the nexus of traffic control and travel restriction, air pollution and COVID-19 infection at city scale, how travel restriction influences human living habit, and the impact on air environment as well as COVID-19 infection should be well concerned.
In this paper, a contradistinctive study was conducted among the changing of intracity and intercity travel restriction, air environment quality and COVID-19 infection in Shenzhen, one of the top 4 megacity of China, from January to March in 2019 and 2020, and the relationship of which was evaluated using statistical methods. The authors hope the findings will provide useful enlightenment for the control and prevention of this novel coronavirus disease.

2. Data acquisition and methods

This study was conducted in Shenzhen, southern China, with longitude from 113°43'E to 114°38'E, latitude from 22°24'N to 22°52'N (Fig.1). Shenzhen is one of the top 4 megacities of China, with 1997.47 km² urban area and 13.03 million permanent resident populations. In 2018 the total GDP of Shenzhen was 2422.2 billion CNY and the economic aggregate ranked the top 5 of the Asian cities.

<Fig.1. Location of the study area and the air quality monitoring stations>

The air quality data were adapted from the Shenzhen national air quality automatic monitoring stations, hourly data of NO₂ (ug/m³), PM₁₀ (ug/m³) and PM₂.₅ (ug/m³), from 11 stations were collected during 0:00 1 January and 24:00 31 March in 2019 and 2020, and which were calculated to daily the mean value. The traffic data, including intracity traffic (the monthly passenger population, 10⁴ person/time) and intercity traffic (the monthly public transport population, 10⁴ person/time) were adapted from the Transport Bureau of Shenzhen Municipality (http://jtys.sz.gov.cn/zwgk/sjfb/index.htm). The travel intensity (TI) of Shenzhen was collected from Baidu Qianxi (http://qianxi.baidu.com/), an open data platform based on the location based
service (LBS) big data technology, and the daily TI was the logarithmic results of the ratio of the travel population and the resistant population (cited from the data declaration of the Baidu Qianxi website). The data of COVID-19 confirmed cases were collated from the Shenzhen Municipal Health Commission (http://wjw.sz.gov.cn/yqxx/202004/t20200420_19176831.htm).

The descriptive statistical analysis of the intercity and intracity traffic and travel, air pollutants and COVID-19 confirmed cases was conducted firstly and nonparametric test (Kolmogorov-Smirnov method) (Zhao et al., 2017) was used to reveal the data distribution characters. Secondly, linear/nonlinear regression and bivariate correlation analysis (Grange et al., 2016) was conducted to evaluate the relationship of these variables, and the correlation was considered significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed) (Zhu et al., 2020).

3. Results

3.1 data descriptive

Fig.2 illustrated the temporal variability of the number of COVID-19 confirmed cases and the travel intensity of Shenzhen in 2019 and 2020. With the developments on the novel coronavirus disease in Shenzhen, the time series of January to March 2020 were divided into 3 parts: the normal period, the social distancing period and the reopened period. The normal period was from 1 January to 23 January. During this period, the variation tendency of travel intensity in 2020 was similar with that in 2019. The average value of travel intensity in 2020 was 4.34, with 25% increased from 2019, indicating a more active travel desire of the population in 2020. The social distancing period was from 24 January to 9 February. With the rapidly increasing confirmed cases, Shenzhen strengthened social distancing and the control of traffic and travel, for instance, people were encouraged to stay at home, real-name registration with residential address and
personal health condition was conducted by mobile phone APP, and the electric health QR code
was checked as the certificate for entering a housing estate (Fig.3 (a)), some bus routes were
closed, the subway and taxi adjusted the serving time and encouraged the passengers to scan the
code to record the travel information (Fig.3 (b) and (c)). During the social distancing period, a
sharp decrease of travel intensity appeared, the average value of which was 0.87 (2.21 in 2019 at
the same time), decreasing nearly 80% from the normal period. The reopened period was from 10
February to 31 March, the economy and travel was reopened, the travel intensity was slightly
increasing with average value of 2.87 (2.3 times of that in the social distancing period), but which
was only about 59% of the value in the same time period in 2019, indicating people’s travel desire
was not recovered yet.

The intracity traffic (the monthly passenger population) and intercity traffic (the monthly
public transport population) revealed the similar situation of the traffic and travel conditions of
Shenzhen. In January 2020, the total volume of passenger population (including passengers
transferred by civil aviation, highway, rail way and waterway) was about 17.73 million
person/times, decreasing about 6.04% compared to 2019, while which was 3.75 million
person/times in February 2020, decreasing about 78.82% and 39.48% compared to January in
2020 and February in 2019, respectively. In March 2020, the total volume of passenger population
was 6.79 million person/times, increasing about 80.66% compared to February in 2020, but which
decreased about 45.89% compared to March in 2019. The total volume of public transport population (including intercity passengers transferred by bus, subway taxi and tramcars) changed rapidly in the same period. In January 2020 the total volume was about 250 million person/times, while it was 41.77 million person/times in February 2020, decreasing about 83.29% and 48.23% compared to January 2020 and February 2019, respectively.

With rapidly decreasing of the volume of transport population, the air quality of Shenzhen improved in 2020. Fig.5 illustrated the concentration variability of NO\textsubscript{2}, PM\textsubscript{10} and PM\textsubscript{2.5}, both of which decreased compared to 2019. The mean concentrations of NO\textsubscript{2}, PM\textsubscript{10} and PM\textsubscript{2.5} in 2020 from January to March were 21.82, 37.54 and 22.53 ug/m\textsuperscript{3}, while which were 28.51, 44.52 and 28.02 ug/m\textsuperscript{3}, respectively (Fig.5 (a)). More specifically, the concentrations of air pollutants varied in the similar tendency of the volume of transport population in different time period of 2020. In normal period, the concentrations of NO\textsubscript{2}, PM\textsubscript{10} and PM\textsubscript{2.5} were relatively higher with mean value of 28.77, 52.77 and 30.86 ug/m\textsuperscript{3}, while in social distancing period the mean value of which were 12.39, 26.83 and 19.33 ug/m\textsuperscript{3}, with an average decreasing ratio about 50%. In reopened period, the concentrations of NO\textsubscript{2}, PM\textsubscript{10} and PM\textsubscript{2.5} slightly increased with mean value of 22.16, 34.75 and 20.06 ug/m\textsuperscript{3}, and which were both lower than the monitored results of the normal period in 2020 and the same time period in 2019.
3.2 correlation and regression analysis of traffic control and travel restriction, air pollution and COVID-19 infection

To reveal the relationship of traffic control and travel restriction, air pollution and COVID-19 infection, both regression and correlation analysis among the travel intensity, concentration of NO₂, PM₁₀, PM₂.₅ and the number of confirmed cases were conducted. The one sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov method test was used to evaluate the variable data distribution. The results indicated that the distribution of NO₂, PM₁₀, PM₂.₅ and travel intensity was normal with standard deviation of 8.5, 14.85, 8.58 and 1.52, respectively, while the distribution of the number of COVID-19 confirmed cases was not normal. Thus, Spearman’s correlation test, a widely used correlation method was conducted to analyze the relationship of the variables (Wang et al., 2019; Ma et al., 2020). The results indicated that the number of COVID-19 confirmed cases shown significant negative correlation with travel intensity (Table 1), with correlation coefficient -0.549 (0.01 level, 2 tailed), indicating reverse variable tendency of travel intensity and the number of COVID-19 confirmed cases, which mean that traffic control and travel restriction would slow down and prevent the spread of the viruses at the outbreak period. The concentration of NO₂ also shown a significant negative correlation with the number of COVID-19 confirmed cases (correlation coefficient -0.433, 0.01 level, 2 tailed), a possible reason was that the increasing number of COVID-19 confirmed cases inhibited the travel desire, and decreased volume of traffic resulting in the reduction of NO₂ emissions. The travel intensity shown significant positive
correlation with the concentrations of NO$_2$, PM$_{10}$, and PM$_{2.5}$, and the correlation coefficients were 0.765, 0.569 and 0.354 (0.01 level, 2 tailed), respectively, suggesting traffic-related air pollution in Shenzhen. The air pollutants also had shown significant positive correlation with each other. The correlation coefficient between NO$_2$ and PM$_{10}$, NO$_2$ and PM$_{2.5}$ were 0.556 and 0.411 (0.01 level, 2 tailed), while the correlation coefficient between PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ was 0.906 (0.01 level, 2 tailed).

The nonlinear regression of travel intensity and COVID-19 confirmed cases was illustrated in Fig.6, the results fitted with cubic equation and the $R^2$ equaled to 0.502 ($n=73$). The travel intensity and the air pollutants fitted with linear regression, and the slopes were positive while $R^2$ equaled to 0.34 (travel intensity with PM$_{10}$), 0.52 (travel intensity with NO$_2$) and 0.14 (travel intensity with PM$_{2.5}$), indicating a relatively strong correlation, and these results were in accordance with the Spearman’s test results. The number of COVID-19 confirmed cases and the air pollutants fitted with nonlinear regression, with $R^2$ equaled to 0.25 (confirmed cases with NO$_2$), 0.01 (confirmed cases with PM$_{10}$) and 0.07 (PM$_{2.5}$), indicating a relatively weak correlation.

4. Discussion and perspectives

The high agglomeration of air pollutants could facilitate the spread of the virus (Martelletti and Martelletti, 2020), the study conducted by Cui et al., 2006 indicated that the mortality rate of
SARS shown linear relationship with the API (Air Pollution Index), increasing PM_{10}, PM_{2.5}, NO_{2}, and O_{3} shown associated increasing number of daily COVID-19 confirmed cases in 120 cities of China (Zhu et al., 2020), and the results in this study indicated a significant correlation of the number of COVID-19 confirmed cases and the traffic intensity of Shenzhen, and which had positive correlation with the air pollutants. Thus, the generalized social distancing measures, such as traffic control and travel restriction, although being considered as bold measures facing of political, economic, social and religious challenges (Yezli and Khan, 2020), could be an efficient way to control and prevent the spread of the viruses as well as improving the air quality. On the other hand, the air pollution conditions could be a possible indicator for people to plan the travel during the epidemic prevention and control period.

Besides, previous study conducted by Zhu et al. in the 120 cities of China indicated that air pollutants shown significant correlation with COVID-19 confirmed cases, however, the relationship of the confirmed cases with PM_{10} and PM_{2.5} was weak in this study. Possible reasons to explain were: first, Shenzhen was one of the best air quality cities in China, the relatively low concentrations and little fluctuation of the air pollutants in Shenzhen weakened the relationship of the variables statistically; second, there might be a “scale effect” on the research results, which mean the different scale of the study area and amount of data might result in different results; third, most of the studies focused on the relationship between air pollution and respiratory diseases indicated that the health risk was positive correlation with the exposure concentration of air pollutants and the exposure time (Krecl et al., 2020; Phosri et al., 2020), the while the infection time of COVID-19 was relatively short (news reported that a man with no mask was infected within 15 seconds while shopping in a food market, https://www.thepaper.cn/newsDetail_forward_5844448, ), thus the correlation of air pollutants and
COVID-19 infection should be evaluated more accurately considering the COVID-19 infection and pathogenesis as well as the air quality conditions. In order to reveal this, further studies focused on the nexus could be considered to follow two routes: (1) the nexus of these variables among different cities and countries, especially the cities with wildly different air quality conditions, (2) a comprehensive study in one city, regarding the differences of the source of the air pollutants, different travel restriction and traffic control measures and COVID-19 infection and pathogenesis. Moreover, differentiated epidemic control and prevention measures should be considered according to the different situation of cities as well as countries.

Our study had several limitations. First, the travel intensity data was processed daily data which could not reveal the variability of traffic and travel population more accurately, hourly or minutely traffic volume data of the main artery of the city were more useful for further analysis. Second, this study was conducted with statistical method, the meteorological conditions were not considered. Third, this study was conducted at city scale owing to the lack of sufficient data of other cities and countries. Further studies should overcome these limitations and challenges, and the authors hope this study could bring the researcher’s attention on the nexus of the generalized social distancing, air pollution and COVID-19 infection, and conquer this novel disease.

5. Conclusion

Generalized social distancing, including traffic control and travel restriction has significant correlation with the number of COVID-19 confirmed cases, which shows negative correlation with the traffic intensity of the city, thus traffic control and travel restriction would slow down and prevent the spread of the viruses at the outbreak period. NO₂, PM₁₀ and PM₂.₅ show significant positive correlation with the traffic intensity, the research focused on the nexus of social distancing, air pollution and
COVID-19 should been enhanced in future.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>travel intensity</th>
<th>confirmed cases</th>
<th>NO2</th>
<th>PM10</th>
<th>PM2.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spearman's rho</td>
<td>travel intensity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation Coefficient</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>-.549**</td>
<td>.765**</td>
<td>.569**</td>
<td>.354**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>confirmed cases</td>
<td>Correlation Coefficient</td>
<td>-.549**</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>-.433**</td>
<td>-.115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.332</td>
<td>.451</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO2</td>
<td>Correlation Coefficient</td>
<td>.765**</td>
<td>-.433**</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.556**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>Correlation Coefficient</td>
<td>.569**</td>
<td>-.115</td>
<td>.556**</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.332</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM2.5</td>
<td>Correlation Coefficient</td>
<td>.354**</td>
<td>.090</td>
<td>.411**</td>
<td>.906**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.451</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).