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Abstract

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), a highly infectious disease, was first detected in Wuhan, China, in December 2019. The disease has spread to 210 countries and territories around the world and infected (confirmed) more than two million people. In India, the disease was first detected on 30 January 2020 in Kerala in a student who returned from Wuhan. The total (cumulative) number of confirmed infected people is 17615 till now across India (19 April 2020). Most of the research and newspaper articles focus on the number of infected people in entire India. However, given the size and diversity of India, it may be a good idea to look at the spread of the disease in each state separately, along with the entire country. For example, currently, Maharashtra has more than 2500 confirmed cumulative infected cases, whereas West Bengal has less than 300 confirmed infected cases (16 April 2020). The approaches to address the pandemic in the two states must be different due to limited resources. In this article, we will focus the infected people in each state (restricting to only those states with enough data for prediction) and build three growth models to predict infected people for that state in the next 30 days. The impact of preventive measures on daily infected-rate is discussed for each state.
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Introduction

The world is now facing an unprecedented crisis due to the novel coronavirus, first detected in Wuhan, China, in December 2019 [1]. World Health Organization (WHO) defined coronavirus as a family of viruses that range from the common cold to the Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS) coronavirus and the Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) coronavirus [2]. Coronaviruses circulate in some wild animals and have the capability to transmit from animals to humans. These viruses can cause respiratory symptoms

Highlights of the Analysis

- Data considered for analysis: up to 16 April 2020.

- One model can mislead us. Here, we consider the exponential, the logistic and the SIS models along with daily infection-rate (DIR). We interpret the results jointly from all models rather than individually.

- We expect DIR to be zero or negative to conclude that COVID-19 is not spreading in a state. Even a small positive DIR (say 0.01) indicates virus is spreading in the community. The virus can potentially increase the DIR anytime.

- The states without a decreasing trend in DIR are Maharashtra, Delhi, Gujarat, Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh and West Bengal.

- The states with an almost decreasing trend in DIR are Kerala, Andhra Pradesh, Haryana, Jammu and Kashmir, Karnataka, Punjab, Tamil Nadu and Telangana.

- States with non-decreasing DIR need to do much more in terms of the preventive measures immediately to combat the COVID-19 pandemic. On the other hand, the states with decreasing DIR can maintain the same status to see the DIR to become zero or negative for consecutive 14 days to be able to declare end of the pandemic.
in humans, along with other symptoms of common cold and fever [3]. There are no specific treatments for coronaviruses to date. However, one can avoid infection by maintaining basic personal hygiene and social distancing from infected persons.

WHO declared Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) as a global pandemic on 11 March 2020 [4]. The disease has spread across 210 countries and territories around the world, with a total of more than two million confirmed cases [5,6]. In India, the disease was first detected on 30 January 2020 in Kerala in a student who returned from Wuhan [7,8]. The total (cumulative) number of confirmed infected people is 17615 till now (19 April 2020) across India [9]. The bar chart in Figure 1 shows the daily growth of the COVID-19 cases in India. After the first three cases during 30 January-3 February 2020, there were no more confirmed COVID-19 cases for about a month. The COVID-19 cases appeared again from 2 March 2020 onwards. These cases are related to people who have been evacuated or have arrived from COVID-19 affected countries. From 20 March 2020, there is an exponential growth in the daily number of COVID-19 cases at pan India level.

![Figure 1: Bar chart of daily infected cases (blue) in India. Red bar denotes death. The black curve is a fitted smooth curve on the daily cases.](image)

1: During this stage, a country/region experiences imported infected cases with travel history from virus-hit countries. Stage 2: During this stage, a country/region gets new infections from persons who did not have travel history but came in contact with persons defined in stage 1. Stage 3: This is community transmission; in this period, new infection occurs in a person who has not been in contact with an infected person or anyone with a travel history of virus-hit countries. Stage 4: At this stage, the virus spread is practically uncontrollable, and the country can have many major clusters of infection.
Many news agencies are repeatedly saying or questioning whether India is now at stage 3 \cite{10,12,13}. Different Indian states are or will be at various stages of infection at different points in time. Labeling a COVID-19 stage at pan India level is problematic. It will spread misinformation to common people. Those states, which are at stage 3, require more rapid action compared to others. On the other hand, states that are in stages 1 and 2, need to focus on stopping the community-spreading of COVID-19.

In this article, first, we discuss the importance of state-wise consideration, considering all the states together. Then, we will focus on the infected people in each state (considering only those states with enough data for prediction) and build growth models to predict infected people for that state in the next 30 days.

Why State-wise consideration?
India is a vast country with a geographic area of 3,287,240 square kilometers, and a total population of about 1.3 billion \cite{14}. Most of the Indian states are quite large in the geographic area and population. Analyzing coronavirus infection data, considering entire India to be on the same page, may not provide us the right picture. This is so because the first infection, new infection-rate, progression over time, and preventive measures taken by state governments and the common public for each state are different. We need to address each state separately. It will enable the government to utilize the limited available resources
optimally. For example, currently, Maharashtra already has more than 2500 confirmed infected cases, whereas West Bengal has less than 300 confirmed cases (16 April 2020). The approaches to addressing the two states must be different due to limited resources. One way to separate the state-wise trajectories is to look at when each state was first infected.

In Figure 2, we present the first infection date along with the infected person’s travel history in each of the Indian states. All the states and the union territories, except Assam, Tripura, Nagaland, Meghalaya and Arunachal Pradesh, observed their first confirmed infected case from a person who has travel history from one or more already COVID-19 infected countries. The Indian government imposed a complete ban on international flights to India on 22 March 2020 [15]. Figure 1 justifies government action to international flight suspension. Had it been taken earlier, we could have restricted the disease only in few states compared to the current scenario.

Figure 3 shows the line-graph of the cumulative number of infected people in those Indian states having at least 10 total infected people. Currently, Maharashtra, Delhi, Tamil Nadu, Madhya Pradesh and Rajasthan are the states where the cumulative number of infected people have crossed the 1000 mark, with Maharashtra having more than 2500 cases. Kerala, the first state to have the COVID-19 confirmed case, seems to have restricted the growth-rate under control. There are few states with cumulative infected people number in the range of 100-500. Depending on how those states strictly follow the preventive measures, we may see a rise in the confirmed cases.
Preventive Measures
Below we list the major preventive measures taken by the Indian Government [16].

Table 1: List of major preventive measures taken by the Indian Govt.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25/1/2020-13/3/2020</td>
<td>Health screenings at airports and border crossings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26/2/2020-20/3/2020</td>
<td>Introduction of quarantine policies: gradually for passengers coming from different countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26/2/2020-13/3/2020</td>
<td>Visa restrictions: gradually for different countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/3/2020</td>
<td>Limit public gatherings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/3/2020</td>
<td>Border checks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/3/2020-15/3/2020</td>
<td>Border closure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16/3/2020</td>
<td>Limit public gatherings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18/3/2020</td>
<td>Travel restrictions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20/3/2020</td>
<td>Testing for COVID-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22/3/2020</td>
<td>Flights suspension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22/3/2020</td>
<td>Cancellation of Passenger Train Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24/3/2020</td>
<td>Suspension of Domestic Airplane Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25/3/2020</td>
<td>21 days Lockdown of entire Country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25/3/2020</td>
<td>Cancellation of Passenger Train Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/3/2020</td>
<td>Increase of quarantine/isolation facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14/4/2020</td>
<td>Extension of Lockdown till 3 May 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data Source


Statistical Models

In this article, we consider the exponential model, the logistic model, and the Susceptible Infectious Susceptible (SIS) model for COVID-19 pandemic prediction at the state level. These models have already been used to predict epidemics like COVID-19 around the world, including China, Ebola outbreak in Bomi, Liberia (2014) [20,21,22].

**Exponential Model:** A pandemic can show exponential growth at the initial stage. For example, at the early stage, the 2014-15 Ebola epidemic in West Africa had shown a seemingly exponential spread [21]. We can write the exponential model as

\[ y = y_0 \times \exp(\mu_{\text{max}} \times \text{time}), \]

Where \( y \) is the cumulative confirmed case at a specific time (date), \( y_0 \) is the initial population, \( \mu_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum growth rate; time is the number of days from first confirmed infection [23].

**Logistic Model:** Some pandemics follow an S-shaped curve (sigmoid curve). In other words, the pandemic may start slowly; then, it will increase the growth-rate (infection-rate), and finally, it will flatten the growth-rate over time. The following logistic model can capture that[23]

\[ y = \frac{K \times y_0}{y_0 + (K - y_0) \times \exp(-\mu_{\text{max}} \times \text{time})}, \]

where \( K \) is the maximum population size; other parameters have the same meaning as in the exponential model.

**Susceptible Infectious Susceptible (SIS) model:** The SIS model is used for a given closed population that is susceptible to a particular disease, is prone to be infected, and communicate the infection within the community [22]. It is a time dynamic model with the numbers of susceptible and infected people changing with time according to two different compartments which are characterized by two differential equations:

\[ \frac{ds}{dt} = -\frac{\beta SI}{N} + \gamma I; \]

\[ \frac{dI}{dt} = \frac{\beta SI}{N} - \gamma I. \]
In the above two differential equations, we are trying to observe the rate of change of the susceptible (S) population \( \frac{dS}{dt} \) towards the inflection, and also the rate of change of the infected (I) persons \( \frac{dI}{dt} \). The model assumes two parameters, namely \( \beta \), which is the average number of contacts per person per unit time, and \( \gamma \), which is obtained as, \( \gamma = \frac{1}{D} \), with \( D \) being the recovery time (specifically, it is the time during which a particular patient can infect others). Here \( N \) denotes the total population size with \( N = S + I \).

Using the above models in state-level data: The above three models will provide different prediction perspective for each state. The exponential model-based prediction will give a picture of what could be the cumulative number of infected people in the next two months if we do not take any preventive measures. We can consider the forecast from the exponential model as an estimate of the upper bound of the total number of infected people in the next two months. The logistic model-based prediction will capture the effect of preventive measures that have already been taken by the respective State Governments as well as the Central Government. As pointed out earlier, the logistic model assumes that the infection rate will slow down in the future with an overall “S” type growth curve. The purpose of the SIS model is to reflect the effect of the major preventive measure like the nation-wide 21-day lockdown from 25 March to 14 April 2020. Currently the lockdown has been extended till 3 May 2020 with some relaxation [24]. The SIS model is critically dependent on the infection-rate parameter (\( \beta \)). It is defined as the number of people infected per unit time from an infected person. Note that this parameter is subject to change due to the effect of lockdown and other preventive measures to ensure social distancing. When people are at home, the infection-rate is expected to be on the lower side.

Study the Effect of Lockdown using daily infection-rate and SIS Model

Kumar et al. [25] reported the estimated number of people that a person may come in contact with within a day (24 hours) in a rural community in Haryana, India, to be 17. They defined contact as having a face-to-face conversation within 3 feet, which may or may not have included physical contact. The estimate of the contact-rate parameter from their paper is 0.70. In practice, only some of all the people who come in contact with a COVID-19 infected person may be actually infected by the virus. Note that India has already taken many preventive measures to ensure social distancing. In the current scenario, the infection-rate based on the above study could be an overestimate of its present value. However, despite nationwide lockdown, banks, hospitals, groceries are still open to cater to the essential needs of people. We consider here two approaches to study the effect of lockdown and other preventive measures jointly in each state. First, we plot the daily infection-rates for each state. The daily infection-rate (DIR) for a given day is defined as

\[
\text{DIR} = \frac{\text{Total active cases in the given day} - \text{Total active cases in the previous day}}{\text{Total active cases in the previous day}}
\]
The DIR takes a positive value when we see an increase in active COVID-19 cases from yesterday, the zero value in case of no new active cases from yesterday, and a negative value when the total number of active cases decreases from the previous day. A DIR value can be more than 1 also, particularly during initial days of infection in a state. For example, when the total number of active cases increases from 5 yesterday to 20 today, then the DIR value is \((20-5)/5 = 3\). The visual trends in infection rates can provide us whether the COVID-19 is under control or not in a specific state. A state where infection-rates are declining for the last few days indicates that the situation is improving. However, a certain jump in infection rates could inform us that there could be cases of COVID-19 that are under-reported. We need to search for infected-clusters as quickly as possible. Second, using the SIS model, we have considered four predicted line-graphs of active infected patients with different infection-rates. The four different infection-rates, used in the SIS model for prediction are the 25th, 50th, 75th and 80th percentiles of the observed infection-rates. We also plot the observed active infected patients over time. A declining line-graph of observed active infected patients (red-line) can ensure that measures like lockdown and social distancing are working when all the infected cases are reported/tested. The different predicted lines, using the SIS model, may serve as reference frames to indicate whether the Government needs to enforce the social distancing more stringently. For example, if the current part of the graph of observed active infected patients (red-line) is above the 75th percentile line, then there is a major concern for that state. We may need to increase the lockdown period in a state if we do not see the declining graph of observed active infected patients (red-line).

India implemented a nationwide lockdown from 25 March 2020. We first considered the incubation period of novel coronavirus to study the effect of lockdown. The incubation period of an infectious disease is defined as the time between infection and the first appearance of signs and symptoms [26]. Using the incubation period, the health researchers can decide on the quarantine periods and halt a potential pandemic without the aid of a vaccine or treatment [27]. The estimated median incubation period for COVID-19 is 5.1 days (95% CI: 4.5 to 5.8 days), and 97.5% of those who develop symptoms will do so within 11.5 days (CI: 8.2 to 15.6 days) of infection [28]. The WHO recommends that a person with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 be quarantined for 14 days from the last time they were exposed to the patient [29]. Therefore, if a person was infected before the lockdown (25 March 2020), they should not infect others except their family members if that person is entirely inside their house for more than 14 days. WHO also recommends common people to maintain a distance of at least 1 meter from each other in public place to avoid the COVID-19 infection. The effective implementation of social distancing can stop the spread of the virus from an infected person, even when they are outside for some essential work. However, given a highly dense population in most of India, particularly in cities, it may not always be possible to maintain adequate social distance.
State-wise Analysis and Prediction Report

In this section, we depend on inputs from the exponential, logistic, and SIS model along with daily infection-rates for each state. Remembering the word of famous statistician George Box “All models are wrong, but some are useful,” we interpret the results from different models jointly. We consider different states in descending order of the number of cumulative infected cases. For each state, we present four graphs. We have used the state level data till 16 April 2020. The first and second graphs are based on the logistic and the exponential models, respectively, with the next 30 days predictions. The third graph is the plot of daily infection-rate for a state. Finally, the fourth graph is showing the growth of the active infected patients using SIS model prediction (“pred”) along with the observed active infected patients. We do not show the next 30 days prediction using SIS model to ensure the distinguishability of the different line-graphs. Table 2 (see end of this article) represents the 30-days prediction of the cumulative infected number of people for each state using logistic model along with measures of goodness of fit (R-square and Deviance).

**Maharashtra**

The situation in Maharashtra is currently very severe with respect to the active number of cases. As of 16 April 2020, the total number of active cases is 2619. The logistic model indicates that
another 30 days from now, the state can observe around 7500 cumulative infected cases. The daily infection-rates for this state are constantly above 0.1 in the last few days, and it was more than 0.4 for two days at the beginning of April. The line-graphs from the SIS model are alarming as the observed active infected patients (red-line, 4th panel) line is far above the predicted line with estimated infection-rate at the 80th percentile ($\beta = 0.26$). It is apparent from the graphs that even after 20 days of lockdown, Maharashtra has not seen any decline in the number of active cases. This may also indicate that there could be a large number of people who are in the community without knowing that they are carrying the virus.

**Delhi:** Delhi, being a high population-density state, has already observed 1578 confirmed COVID-19 cases. Based on the logistic model, the predicted number of cumulative infected cases could reach around 2661 in the next 30 days. The daily infection-rate (DIR) has not seen a downward trend in the past few days. Except for the last two days, the line-graph (red-line, 4th panel) of observed active infected patients is reflecting an exponential growth, especially after lockdown. The observed infection-rate is currently in
between 0.23 to 0.36, which is quite high, considering the preventive measures are already in place. The high infection-rate may suggest that there could be many people who are in the community without knowing that they are already infected with the COVID-19. The state could be heading to community spreading of COVID-19 (stage 3).

**Tamil Nadu:** The cumulative infected cases in Tamil Nadu is 1242. The state has observed a high daily infected-rate of more than 0.7 in some days in March. Tamil Nadu is one of the states where the effect of lockdown is visibly seen from the declining daily infected-rates in the last two weeks. The line-graph (red-line, 4th panel) of observed active infected patients is still showing an increasing trend. However, it is now far below the curve based on the estimated 75th percentile of observed infected-rates ($\beta = 0.47$). Based on the logistic model, the cumulative infected cases may be saturated after reaching around 1400 cases.

**Madhya Pradesh:** The state currently has 880 active COVID-19 cases. It may seem that situation is under control as the active cases are under a thousand for a large state like Madhya Pradesh. However, a closer
look may reveal a different picture. In the later part of the lockdown, after 10 April, the state observed a few days with infection-rate more than 0.4. Till now, there is no sight of a declining trend in the daily infected-rates. The same type of conclusion can be drawn from the line-graphs of the SIS model. The line-graph (red-line, 4th panel) of observed active infected patients is in between the lines corresponding to 25th – 50th percentiles line-graph. The same line-graph is exhibiting an exponential growth after 10th April. Notice that, for Madhya Pradesh, the 25th percentile of observed infected-rates is 0.27, which is higher than the 50th percentile of some other states. The high growth of active cases in the latter part of the lockdown is a major concern for this state. It could be a signal of a community spread of the COVID-19.

**Rajasthan:** The western state of India, Rajasthan, reported 1023 cumulative infected COVID-19 cases. The logistic model indicates that in another 30 days from now, the state can observe around 2000 cumulative infected cases. The state has not observed a specific trend in the daily infected rates during the lockdown.
period. The line-graph (red-line, 4th panel) of observed active infected patients is increasing and is in between the curves of 50th-75th percentiles of observed infected-rates (0.18-0.33) using the SIS model. The current infection-rates for Rajasthan are still on the higher side despite the lockdown.

Gujarat: The state is currently experiencing exponential growth with 871 as the cumulative number of COVID-19 cases. Unless the spread of the virus is controlled, the predicted cumulative number of cases could be more than one lakh as per the logistic model in the next 30 days, which could be an overestimation. However, daily infected-rates has not shown any declining trend. The line-graph (red-line, 4th panel) of observed active infected patients is close to the curve of the estimated 75th percentile of observed infection-
rate ($\beta = 0.27$). Surprisingly, in the latter part of the lockdown, the red-line is jumping up. This state needs immediate intervention to implement all the preventive measures already taken by the Government strictly.

**Uttar Pradesh:** This northern state of India has experienced 773 cumulative COVID-19 cases. Using the logistic model, the predicted number of cumulative confirmed cases could be around 1800 in the next 30 days. The line-graph (red-line, 4th panel) of observed active infected patients is just above the curve of the estimated 50th percentile of observed infection-rate ($\beta = 0.19$). However, daily infection-rate is not showing
a clear decreasing trend in the last two weeks. This may indicate that there are many unreported cases, considering the large size of Uttar Pradesh. In the absence of preventive measures, unreported cases can contribute to spreading the virus in the community.

**Telangana:** The southern Indian state of Telangana has till now reported a cumulative number of COVID-19 cases of **698**. The logistic model predicts that the number of cases for the state will be around **750** in the next few days, and it will stop increasing after that. In the fourth graph, the line-graph (red-line, 4th panel)
shows that the active number of cases has continuously remained below the curve of the 75th percentile of the observed infection rate ($\beta = 0.26$). There has also been a weak decreasing trend in the daily infection rate. It may indicate that in the absence of any preventive measure, the numbers could have increased manifold.

**Andhra Pradesh:** The line-graph (red-line, 4th panel) shows that the number of active cases is far below the 75th percentile of the observed daily ($\beta = 0.39$) infection rate. This state has seen an apparent decreasing daily infection rate in the latter part of the lockdown. The logistic model is showing the maximum number
of cumulative infected people will be around 550, which may be unlikely to be true. However, with effective implementation of preventive measures, the state can control the COVID-19 spread.

Andhra Pradesh

Kerala: The southern state of Kerala is one of the few states of India, where the effect of the lockdown is observed strongly. The state reported the first COVID-19 case in India. However, Kerala has been able to control the spread of the virus to a large extent to date. The cumulative number of cases reported until now is 388. Using the logistic model, the predicted number of cumulative confirmed cases could be around 400 in the next 30 days. It is also the only state where the line-graph (red-line, 4th panel) of observed active

Kerala: The southern state of Kerala is one of the few states of India, where the effect of the lockdown is observed strongly. The state reported the first COVID-19 case in India. However, Kerala has been able to control the spread of the virus to a large extent to date. The cumulative number of cases reported until now is 388. Using the logistic model, the predicted number of cumulative confirmed cases could be around 400 in the next 30 days. It is also the only state where the line-graph (red-line, 4th panel) of observed active
infected patients has started to go down, which shows that the lockdown and other preventive measures have been effective for this state. The daily infected-rate has declined steadily from positive to negative values. It can be expected that with the present scenario of the extended lockdown, the number of active cases will be few in the coming few days.

**Karnataka:** The state has managed to restrict the cumulative infected cases to 315 till now. Based on the logistic model, the predicted number of cumulative infected people could reach around 450 in the next 30 days. The line-graph (red-line, 4th panel) of observed active infected patients is now below the line-graph of the 75th percentile of the observed infection rate ($\beta = 0.21$). Compared to other states, the 75th percentile
infection-rate is on the lower side. We can observe the ups and downs of the daily infected-rate with upper bound as 0.2 from early April. However, the preventive measure needs to be maintained to control the spread of the virus.

**Karnataka**

![Graph showing the cumulative infected people in Karnataka](image)

![Graph showing the infection rate in Karnataka](image)

**Jammu and Kashmir:** The northernmost state of Jammu and Kashmir has seen 300 cumulative infected cases so far. The line-graph (red-line, 4th panel) of observed active infected patients has been far below the 75th percentile of the observed daily infected rate ($\beta = 0.46$). From 9 April, the daily infected-rate is decreasing. However, there could be many unreported cases, which are allowing infection to spread even during the lockdown period.
West Bengal: The state of West Bengal is standing at 231 cumulative infected cases as of now. The daily infection-rate does not show any trend of slowing down in recent times. Based on the logistic model, the predicted cumulative infected cases can be around 8000 in the next 30 days. The line-graph (red-line, 4th panel) of observed active infected patients has gone down from the line-graph of the 75th percentile of the infection rate ($\beta = 0.33$) graph. However, this difference can be due to the meager number of tests which are being done in the state at the moment. The major concern is more than 0.2 daily infected-rate on 14 April, 21 days after the start of lockdown. It indicates many unreported infected cases in the state. Strict implementation of preventive measures is needed to control the spread of the COVID-19 in the state.
**Haryana:** The state of Haryana reported a very low rate of infection-rate in latter part of the lockdown. Given the lower infection rate, it can be expected that the cumulative infected cases might follow the logistic model. The logistic model predicts that the number of cases will be around 300 in the next 30 days. The line-graph (red-line) of observed active infected patients is now in between the line-graphs of 25th and 50th percentiles ($\beta = 0.07$ to $0.15$). Under the assumption that there is not too much unreported cases, the situation in Haryana is seems to be under control.
**Punjab:** The state of Punjab has reported 186 cumulative infected cases till now. Using logistic model, the predicted cumulative confirmed cases could be around 450 in next 30 days. The line-graph (red-line) of observed active infected patients is below the curve of the estimated 75th percentile of observed infection-rate ($\beta = 0.35$). The daily infection-rate is showing a decreasing trend in the last few days.
Discussion

India, a country of 1.3 billion people, has reported 17615 confirmed COVID-19 cases after 80 days (19 April 2020) from the first reported case in Kerala [9]. In a similar duration from the first case, the USA reported more than 400,000; both Spain and Italy reported more than 150,000 confirmed COVID-19 cases. To gain some more perspective, note that, the USA has around one-fourth of the Indian population. Therefore, according to the reported data so far, India seems to have managed the COVID-19 pandemic better compared to many other countries. One can argue that India has conducted too few tests compared to its population size [30]. However, a smaller number of testing may not be the only reason behind the low number of COVID-19 confirmed cases in India so far. India has taken many preventive measures to combat COVID-19 in much earlier stages compared to other countries, including nationwide lockdown from 25 March 2020. Apart from lockdown, people have certain conjectures about possible reasons behind India’s relative success, e.g., measures like the travel ban relatively early, use of BCG vaccination to combat tuberculosis in the population that may have secondary effects against COVID-19 [31,32], exposure to
malaria and antimalarial drugs \[33\], hot and humid weather slowing the transmission, and so on \[34,35\]. However, as of now, there is no concrete evidence to support these conjectures, although some clinical trials are currently underway to investigate some of these \[36\].

Note that India may have seen fewer COVID-19 cases till now, but the war is not over yet. There are many states like Maharashtra, Delhi, Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan, Gujarat, Uttar Pradesh, and West Bengal, who are still at high risk. These states may see a huge jump in confirmed COVID-19 cases in the coming days if preventive measures are not implemented properly. On the positive side, Kerala has shown how to effectively “flatten” or even “crush the curve” of COVID-19 cases. We hope India can be free of COVID-19 with a strong determination as already shown by the central and respective state Governments.

There are a few works that are based explicitly on Indian COVID-19 data. Das \[37\] has used the epidemiological model to estimate the basic reproduction number at national and some state levels. Ray et al. \[38\] used a predictive model for case-counts in India. They also discussed hypothetical interventions with various intensities and provided projections over a time horizon. Both the articles have used SIR (susceptible-infected-removed) model for their analysis and prediction. As we discussed earlier, considering the great diversity in every aspect of India, along with its vast population, it would a much better idea to look at each of the states individually. The study of each of the states individually would help decide further actions to contain the spread of the disease, which can be crucial for the specific states only.

In this article, we have mainly focused on the SIS model along with the logistic and the exponential models at each state (restricting to only those states with enough data for prediction). The SIS model takes into account the possibility that an infected individual can return to the susceptible class on recovery because the disease confers no long-standing immunity against reinfection. In South Korea, the health authorities discovered 163 patients who tested positive again after a full recovery \[39,40\]. WHO is aware of these reports of patients who were first tested negative for COVID-19 using PCR (polymerase chain reaction) testing and then after some days tested positive again \[41\].

A report based on one particular model can mislead us. Here, we have considered the exponential, the logistic, and the SIS models along with the daily infection-rate (DIR). We interpret the results jointly from all models rather than individually. We expect the DIR to be zero or negative to conclude that COVID-19 is not spreading in a certain state. Even a small positive DIR (say 0.01) indicates that the virus is still spreading in the community, and can potentially increase the DIR anytime. The states without a decreasing trend in DIR are Maharashtra, Delhi, Gujarat, Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh, and West Bengal. The states with an almost decreasing trend in DIR are Kerala, Andhra Pradesh, Haryana, Jammu and Kashmir, Karnataka, Punjab, Tamil Nadu, and Telangana. States with non-decreasing DIR need to do much more in terms of the preventive measures immediately to combat the COVID-19 pandemic. On the other
hand, the states with decreasing DIR can maintain the same status to see the DIR to become zero or negative for consecutive 14 days to be able to declare end of the pandemic.
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Table 2: 30–day prediction using logistic model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>R-square</th>
<th>Deviance</th>
<th>Observed Cumulative Cases (16 April 2020)</th>
<th>30-day Prediction (15 May 2020)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Andhra Pradesh</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>6672.26</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delhi</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>95496.79</td>
<td>1578</td>
<td>2661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gujarat</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>18019.08</td>
<td>871</td>
<td>148988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haryana</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>9066.13</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jammu and Kashmir</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1814.02</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karnataka</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>2016.94</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerala</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2798.57</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madhya Pradesh</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>20293.67</td>
<td>1120</td>
<td>226663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maharashtra</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>41710.48</td>
<td>2919</td>
<td>7457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Punjab</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>2169.29</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rajasthan</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>15176.20</td>
<td>1023</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamil Nadu</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>33083.96</td>
<td>1242</td>
<td>1366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telangana</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>14855.96</td>
<td>698</td>
<td>753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uttar Pradesh</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>24405.30</td>
<td>773</td>
<td>1811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Bengal</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>2201.82</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>8237</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>