

# Displacement ventilation: a viable ventilation strategy for makeshift hospitals and public buildings to contain Covid-19 and other airborne diseases

Rajesh K. Bhagat, P. F. Linden

*Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, University of Cambridge,  
Wilberforce Road, CB3 0WA, United Kingdom*

---

## Abstract

The SARS-CoV-2 virus has so far infected more than 2.4 million people around the world, and its impact is being felt by all. Patients with airborne diseases such as Covid-19 should ideally be treated in negative pressure isolation rooms. However, due to the overwhelming demand for hospital beds, patients are being treated in general wards, hospital corridors, and makeshift hospitals. Adequate building ventilation in hospitals and public spaces is a crucial factor to contain the disease<sup>1,2</sup>, to exit the current lockdown situation, and reduce the chance of subsequent waves of outbreaks. Lu et al.<sup>3</sup> reported an air-conditioner induced Covid-19 outbreak, by an asymptomatic patient, in a restaurant in Guangzhou, China, which exposes our vulnerability to future outbreaks linked to ventilation in public spaces. We demonstrate that *displacement ventilation* (either mechanical or natural ventilation), where air intakes are at low level and extracts are at high level, is a viable alternative to negative pressure isolation rooms, which are often not available on site in hospital wards and makeshift hospitals. Displacement ventilation produces negative pressure at the occupant level, which draws fresh air from outdoor, and positive pressure near the ceiling, which expels the hot and contaminated air out. We acknowledge that, in both developed and developing countries, many modern large structures lack the openings required for natural ventilation. This lack of openings can be supplemented by installing extract fans. We provide guidelines for such mechanically assisted-naturally ventilated makeshift hospitals, and public spaces such as supermarkets and essential public buildings.

*Keywords:* Covid 19, ventilation, natural ventilation, airborne disease

*Preprint submitted to Journal Name*

*April 22, 2020*

control

---

## **1 Introduction**

2 Covid-19, the disease caused by the virus, SARS-CoV-2 is a pandemic  
3 and a global emergency which has stressed and in some cases overwhelmed  
4 healthcare systems across the world<sup>4</sup>. As of April 20, 2020, more than 2.4  
5 million confirmed cases and over 165,000 fatalities have been reported, and  
6 these numbers are expected to increase significantly. Originating in Wuhan  
7 in China, it has rapidly spread to most of the world, and the current epicentre  
8 of the disease is in Europe and the United States. Outbreaks of the disease  
9 have already occurred in high population density, low income/developing  
10 countries such as India, Pakistan, Nigeria, and at some later stage, the disease  
11 is expected to cause significant numbers of fatalities in these countries as well.

12 The proliferation of the disease has overwhelmed many existing health-  
13 care systems. In response to the overwhelming demand for hospital beds  
14 for critically ill patients, a new healthcare facility/hospital has been built  
15 from scratch in record time in Wuhan. Similar healthcare preparedness is  
16 imperative in both the developed and the resource-scarce developing world.  
17 In London, an exhibition and convention centre has been converted into a  
18 4000-bed Nightingale-style emergency hospital in nine days. Other such new  
19 emergency hospitals are being created in the UK in Manchester, Birming-  
20 ham, Bristol, and Glasgow. In New York, makeshift tent-hospitals have been  
21 created in the Central Park, and the Manhattan convention centre has been  
22 converted into a makeshift hospital. Suspected Covid-19 patients are being  
23 quarantined in hotels, and in India railway coaches are being converted to  
24 accommodate the patients and suspected cases.

25 Covid 19 is an infectious respiratory disease. The virus transmits through  
26 direct or indirect human to human contact; fomite and respiratory droplets,  
27 respectively<sup>5</sup>. The virus can remain stable in aerosol form for hours<sup>6</sup>, and can  
28 still potentially infect people<sup>4,7,8</sup>. In 2003, a possible SARS-CoV infection to  
29 healthcare workers during an aerosol-generating medical procedure had been  
30 reported<sup>9</sup>. Furthermore, Yu et al.<sup>10</sup> presented the evidence of long-distance  
31 airborne SARS contagion transport between the apartment blocks in Amoy  
32 Gardens housing complex in Hong Kong.

33 Conventionally, patients with infectious respiratory diseases are kept in  
34 negative pressure isolation rooms, which provide ventilation to control the  
35 spread of the disease. However, in the current scenario patients are kept

36 in the hospital wards and beds which were not meant to handle such cases.  
37 In this paper we show that *displacement ventilation*, either natural or me-  
38 chanical where air is drawn in through low-level inlets and extracted at high  
39 level, can significantly reduce the spread of airborne contagion, and save the  
40 lives of healthcare workers, caregivers, and patients<sup>5</sup>. After the end of the  
41 current lockdown, this easily implementable ventilation strategy, can be used  
42 in other public building, schools, restaurants etc., to reduce the chances of  
43 any subsequent outbreaks, and improve public life.

#### 44 Building ventilation in healthcare settings

45 The purpose of ventilation is to remove harmful and unwanted agents –  
46 gases, pollutants, heat, and contagion from the building envelope by indoor-  
47 outdoor exchange of air. There are two basic ventilation types (Figure 1);  
48 *mixing ventilation* where the air is circulated throughout the whole space, for  
49 example by ceiling fans or cool air introduced from high-level aircondition-  
50 ing ducts with a view to maintaining uniform conditions such a temperature  
51 throughout the space, and *displacement ventilation*, where fresh air is in-  
52 troduced through low-level inlets and extracted at high level in the space.  
53 Of these two modes mixing ventilation is the most commonly used, both in  
54 mechanical and natural ventilation.

55 Displacement ventilation relies on the fact that inevitably heat is gener-  
56 ated within the space, either from the occupants and/or equipment, and this  
57 hot air rises and accumulates near the ceiling. Consequently, the ambient  
58 conditions experienced by occupants in these two different ventilation modes  
59 are fundamentally different. In mixing ventilation the occupant is surrounded  
60 by air of uniform properties, such as the concentration of a contaminant – a  
61 bit like lying in dirty bath water. In contrast, in displacement ventilation the  
62 occupant is surrounded by newly arrived air and pollutants generated near  
63 or by the person are lifted towards the ceiling by the rising warm air – rather  
64 like being in an inverted shower and rinsed with clean water. Of course, for  
65 the same amount of contaminant generation and ventilation flow the total  
66 amount of contaminant in the space is the same: in mixing ventilation it is  
67 everywhere at a relatively low concentration, while in displacement ventila-  
68 tion it is concentrated in a warm layer near the ceiling. When the system  
69 is designed correctly this contaminated layer is located above the occupants  
70 and so they are only exposed to clean uncontaminated air.

71 Consequently, displacement ventilation will provide much better local  
72 conditions for patients within hospitals. How is this achieved? Essentially  
73 fresh air needs to be introduced at low level in the space so that it flows  
74 over the patient collecting air expelled from her lungs and heat released from  
75 her body and any surrounding equipment. This air is then warmer and rises  
76 above the patient and accumulates near the ceiling where it needs to be ex-  
77 tracted from the building. As a consequence of this efficient flow pattern,  
78 the ventilation rate, i.e. the rate at which air is exchanged between inside  
79 and outside a space, required for a healthy environment in displacement ven-  
80 tilation is much less than that required for mixing ventilation (see figure 2).  
81 For contagious disease hospital wards, the World Health Organisation, WHO  
82 recommends – apparently based on mixing ventilation – a ventilation rate of  
83 160 LSP (Litres per Second per Person). This is much greater than the 10  
84 LSP of fresh air required for breathing<sup>11</sup>, and would require 60 air changes  
85 per hour (ACH) in a typical cubicle measuring 4 m wide, 3 m long and 3 m  
86 high. This value is much higher than experienced in most hospitals which  
87 have ACH of 12-15 at most<sup>12</sup>. For patients with an airborne contagious dis-  
88 ease, a negative pressure ventilated isolation room is ideal, as it does not  
89 allow contagion to escape from the isolation room. However, building and  
90 running new isolation rooms requires time, resources, and maintenance staff,  
91 which in the current scenario are scarce. To contain the disease, displace-  
92 ment ventilation in makeshift hospitals and other buildings can be a viable  
93 and safe alternative.

94 Mixing ventilation, as the term implies, mixes the air within a space. This  
95 implies that aerosols and other contaminants are mixed throughout the space  
96 so that all occupants are exposed to them. In the case of Covid-19 the virus is  
97 transported on droplets expelled from an infected person. The exact nature of  
98 this transport depends on the distribution of droplets, whether the individual  
99 is coughing, etc. and is beyond the scope of this paper. However, Lu et al.<sup>3</sup>  
100 reported an air-conditioning promoted Covid-19 outbreak in a restaurant  
101 in China, where one asymptomatic Covid-19 positive person infected other  
102 occupants in the room who were more than 2 m away. Indeed, in enclosed  
103 spaces such as hospital wards mixing caused by air conditioning or ceiling  
104 fans spreads the contamination throughout the space and so makes the ‘2 m’  
105 social distancing rule irrelevant. Instead we recommend that displacement  
106 ventilation be used to provide a safe environment for occupants in a building.  
107 We next discuss displacement ventilation in two contexts, natural ventilation  
108 and mechanical ventilation.




Figure 1: Schematic of different ventilation types, (a) displacement ventilation, (b) positive pressure mixing ventilation by air-conditioning, (c) positive pressure mixing ventilation by fan and open window, and (d) wind-driven mixing ventilation. In displacement ventilation fresh air enters from the bottom of the room and contaminated air exits from the top. In mixing ventilation with a ceiling fan or high level air conditioning unit, the contaminant/contagion is distributed uniformly throughout the whole room. These ventilation modes can either be produced mechanically by fans or air conditioning, or by using natural ventilation.

109 **Natural ventilation**

110 Both modes of ventilation shown in figure 1 can be produced either me-  
111 chanically or by natural ventilation. Natural ventilation relies on free natural  
112 resources, temperature differences between inside and outside the building –  
113 known as ‘stack-driven’ ventilation and the wind, to drive flow through the  
114 building. There is evidence that natural ventilation can be beneficial for pa-  
115 tient health even when mechanical ventilation is available<sup>1,2</sup>, and in many  
116 cases it will be the only option.

117 *Wind-driven natural ventilation*

118 Wind-driven ventilation depends upon, wind speed and direction and tur-  
119 bulence intensity, all of which fluctuate in time. Urban layout, building type,  
120 building location, the size and density distribution of the surrounding build-  
121 ings, and the urban heat island, make it extremely challenging to predict the  
122 ventilation rate. Furthermore, the fluctuating indoor flows caused by wind  
123 driven ventilation may produce random distribution of the unwanted agents,  
124 which in the context of removal of the airborne contagion from patients is  
125 undesirable. However, when openings are appropriately arranged, for exam-  
126 ple by ensuring that roof extracts are at negative wind pressure, wind-driven  
127 ventilation can assist displacement ventilation and make it more effective<sup>13</sup>.  
128 Consequently, in the following we ignore the effects of wind and concentrate  
129 on stack-driven ventilation, noting that care must be taken to ensure that any  
130 wind-driven flow assists and does not disrupt the flow patterns established  
131 by temperature differences.

132 *Displacement ventilation or stack-driven natural ventilation*

133 In stack-driven natural ventilation warm, buoyant air (due to body heat  
134 or the heat generated due to appliances) rises towards the ceiling and exits  
135 through an upper level opening. This in turn draws in cooler outdoor (high  
136 density) that flows across the floor of the room. The stratification produced  
137 by the indoor temperature gradient drives the flow inside the building (figure  
138 2). The flow is predominantly unidirectional, upwards from the floor, remov-  
139 ing airborne contagion away from patients and other occupants towards the  
140 ceiling where it gets flushed out of the building. Particles and aerosols gen-  
141 erated indoors by the occupants due to breathing and other activities rise  
142 with the warm air and are trapped in the hot upper air layer, which is ad-  
143 vantageous in hospitals<sup>14</sup>.




Figure 2: Pressure distribution, and neutral height inside a naturally ventilated building. Below the neutral height, indoor pressure is less than the outdoor pressure at the same level, producing a negative pressure at low level that sucks in outside air. The positive inside pressure at high level drives warm polluted air out through the upper openings. (Figure adopted from Linden<sup>15</sup>).

#### <sup>144</sup> Design guidance for a hospital: natural ventilation

<sup>145</sup> We first calculate how much openable area needed to ventilate a space.  
<sup>146</sup> The effective openable area  $A^*$  in the façade of a building needed to provide  
<sup>147</sup> a unpolluted lower layer of height  $h$  in a room of height  $H$  is given by

$$A^* = nC^{3/2} \frac{h^{5/2}}{\sqrt{H-h}}, \quad (1)$$

<sup>148</sup> where  $n$  is the total number of occupants (we can assume the occupants  
<sup>149</sup> to be equal strength heat sources), and the empirical constant  $C \approx 0.105$   
<sup>150</sup> (Linden<sup>15</sup> & Morton et al.<sup>16</sup>). This effective openable area  $A^*$  depends on  
<sup>151</sup> a combination of the total areas  $a_t$  and  $a_b$  of the top and bottom openings,  
<sup>152</sup> respectively, given by the relation

$$A^* = \frac{c_t c_b a_t a_b}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{2}(c_t^2 a_t^2 + c_b^2 a_b^2)\right)}}, \quad (2)$$

<sup>153</sup> where  $c_t \approx 0.65$  is the discharge coefficient of the top opening, and  $c_b \approx 0.6$   
<sup>154</sup> is the pressure loss coefficient for the bottom opening. For given values of

155 the height of the space  $H$  and the number  $n$  of occupants, (1) and (2) can be  
156 solved to find the areas  $a_t$  and  $a_b$  required to ensure the occupants remain in  
157 the unpolluted layer of height  $h$ .

158 As an example, suppose that a patient and two nurses (i.e.  $n = 3$ ) are  
159 in a bay with a total height  $H = 4\text{ m}$ . To ensure the unpolluted layer is  
160 higher than  $2\text{ m}$ , (1) implies that  $A^* \approx 0.4\text{ m}^2$ . If the top and bottom areas  
161 are equal in size, this requires that they are both approximately  $0.64\text{ m}^2$ , i.e.  
162 they measure, say,  $800\text{ mm}$  by  $800\text{ mm}$ . It may be difficult to achieve this  
163 amount of opening in practice and so some mechanical extraction may be  
164 necessary. We address this possibility in the next section.

165 When designed properly, the polluted upper layer should be above occu-  
166 pants heads. From (1), it is clear that buildings with tall ceilings and with  
167 large upper level and lower level openings are optimal for naturally ventilated  
168 makeshift hospitals.

169 **Design guidance for a hospital: mechanically-assisted natural ven-  
170 tilation**

171 Buildings are generally equipped with large lower level openings (windows  
172 and doors) but often lack large upper level openings. As mentioned above,  
173 (1) shows that taller spaces are better for natural displacement ventilation  
174 –  $A^*$  decreases as  $H$  increases implying that smaller openings will suffice  
175 in a taller space. However, in situations where the required opening area  
176 is not available or the space is not tall enough, natural ventilation can be  
177 supplemented or replaced by mechanical extraction from the upper part of  
178 the space.

179 In this case the height  $h$  of the lower clean zone is determined by match-  
180 ing the mechanical extraction rate  $Q$  with the flow of warm air from the  
181 occupants etc. into the upper warm zone. This is given by Linden et al.<sup>17</sup>  
182 by the formula

$$Q = nC^{3/2} \sqrt{\frac{g\Delta T}{T}} h^{5/2}, \quad (3)$$

183 where  $g = 9.81\text{ m s}^{-2}$  the acceleration due to gravity,  $T$  is the outside tem-  
184 perature (in Kelvin) and  $T + \Delta T$  is the temperature of the warm upper layer.  
185 Note now that the height of the space is no longer important and the depth  
186 of the clean zone is set by the extraction rate.

187 Consider again the case of a patient and two nurses and suppose the  
188 outside temperature  $T = 293\text{ K}$ , ( $20^\circ\text{C}$ ) and the inside warm layer is at

189  $T + \Delta T = 303\text{ K}$ , ( $30^\circ\text{C}$ ). Then to attain  $h = 2\text{ m}$ ,  $Q = 0.33\text{ m}^3\text{ s}^{-1}$ . This  
190 corresponds to approximately 110 litres per second per person, 30% less than  
191 the WHO guideline for mixing ventilation. Note that in hot climates, cool air  
192 can be introduced at low level using underfloor air distribution rather than  
193 through overhead air conditioning ducts<sup>18</sup>.

194 **Guidelines for displacement ventilation of makeshift hospitals**

- 195 • Tall rooms with large upper and lower level openings are suitable for  
196 makeshift hospitals.
- 197 • Any upper-level ceiling fans or air conditioning units should be re-  
198 moved or not used. Underfloor air distribution should be used if air  
199 conditioning is employed<sup>18</sup>.
- 200 • The lower unpolluted layer height should at least be 2 m deep, and  
201 for a building equipped with upper and lower level openings (naturally  
202 ventilated), the maximum number of occupants can be calculated by  
203 solving (1) and (2).
- 204 • To supplement the unavailability of openings, extractor fans (mounted  
205 near the ceiling) could be used. For a given space, the number of  
206 occupants, and unpolluted layer height, the extraction flow rate can be  
207 calculated from (3).
- 208 • Except in open-plan hospitals, individual cubicles should be equipped  
209 with local lower-level openings. Warm air extract can be centrally  
210 arranged.
- 211 • In hot climates with uncomfortable outdoor air temperature, cold air  
212 should be supplied near the bottom of the room, and hot air exit vents  
213 should be made near the ceiling.

214 **Conclusions**

215 Adequate ventilation is essential to maintain a healthy indoor environ-  
216 ment in hospitals and other public buildings. In addition to the ventilation  
217 rate, the dominant flow pattern is an important factor for the removal of con-  
218 tagions, and cross-contamination between the occupants (patients, health-  
219 care staffs, and caregivers). The commonly used mixing ventilation tends

220 to enhance the spread of airborne pathogens<sup>3</sup>. With the current practice  
221 at hospitals, where both the staff and patients both wear adequate PPE  
222 (masks, respirators, etc.), displacement ventilation (natural or mechanical)  
223 is a suitable and easily implementable alternative to the negative pressure  
224 ventilation for makeshift hospitals. Displacement ventilation is economically  
225 and environmentally sustainable, and after minor retrofitting, it can easily  
226 be implemented into existing buildings.

227 In order to exit from the current situation and to prevent secondary out-  
228 breaks, building ventilation strategy must play an important role. After the  
229 end of the lockdown, we believe that public life and practices will change,  
230 and persons displaying symptoms will be discouraged from attending public  
231 gatherings. Displacement ventilation in public spaces will provide additional  
232 protection from asymptomatic patients, and improve public life. Therefore,  
233 we recommend that public spaces (bars, restaurants, supermarkets, etc.),  
234 should be retrofitted for displacement ventilation to minimise further spread  
235 of the disease after lockdown.

## 236 Acknowledgements

237 We are grateful for helpful comments on this article from Sophy Bris-  
238 tow, Megan Davies Wykes, Shiwei Fan and Anna Schroeder. This work was  
239 supported by the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Coun-  
240 cil (EPSRC) Grand Challenge grant ‘Managing Air for Green Inner Cities  
241 (MAGIC) grant number EP/N010221/1.

## 242 Author’s contributions

243 Both authors contributed equally to the content and writing of the paper.

## 244 Conflicts of interest

245 Neither author has any conflict of interest.

## 246 References

- 247 [1] A. R. Escombe, C. C. Oeser, R. H. Gilman, M. Navincopa, E. Ticona,  
248 W. Pan, C. Martínez, J. Chacaltana, R. Rodríguez, D. A. Moore, et al.,  
249 Natural ventilation for the prevention of airborne contagion, PLoS M  
250 edicine 4 (2007).

- 251 [2] A. R. Escombe, E. Ticona, V. Chávez-Pérez, M. Espinoza, D. A. Moore,  
252 Improving natural ventilation in hospital waiting and consulting rooms  
253 to reduce nosocomial tuberculosis transmission risk in a low resource  
254 setting, BMC infectious diseases 19 (2019) 88.
- 255 [3] J. Lu, J. Gu, K. Li, C. Xu, W. Su, Z. Lai, D. Zhou, C. Yu, B. Xu,  
256 Z. Yang, Covid-19 outbreak associated with air conditioning in restau-  
257 rant, guangzhou, china, 2020., Emerging Infectious Diseases 26 (2020).
- 258 [4] A. Remuzzi, G. Remuzzi, Covid-19 and Italy: what next?, The Lancet  
259 (2020).
- 260 [5] N. H. Leung, D. K. Chu, E. Y. Shiu, K.-H. Chan, J. J. McDevitt, B. J.  
261 Hau, H.-L. Yen, Y. Li, D. K. Ip, J. M. Peiris, et al., Respiratory virus  
262 shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks, Nature Medicine  
263 (2020) 1–5.
- 264 [6] N. van Doremalen, T. Bushmaker, D. H. Morris, M. G. Holbrook,  
265 A. Gamble, B. N. Williamson, A. Tamin, J. L. Harcourt, N. J. Thorn-  
266 burg, S. I. Gerber, et al., Aerosol and surface stability of sars-cov-2 as  
267 compared with sars-cov-1, New England Journal of Medicine (2020).
- 268 [7] Z. Guo, Z. Wang, S. Zhang, X. Li, L. Li, C. Li, Y. Cui, R. Fu, Y. Dong,  
269 X. Chi, et al., Aerosol and surface distribution of severe acute respiratory  
270 syndrome coronavirus 2 in hospital wards, wuhan, china., Emerging  
271 Infectious Diseases 26 (2020).
- 272 [8] P. Y. Chia, K. K. Coleman, Y. K. Tan, S. W. X. Ong, M. Gum, S. K.  
273 Lau, S. Sutjipto, P. H. Lee, B. E. Young, D. K. Milton, et al., Detec-  
274 tion of air and surface contamination by severe acute respiratory syn-  
275 drome coronavirus 2 (sars-cov-2) in hospital rooms of infected patients,  
276 medRxiv (2020).
- 277 [9] M. D. Christian, M. Loutfy, L. C. McDonald, K. F. Martinez, M. Ofner,  
278 T. Wong, T. Wallington, W. L. Gold, B. Mederski, K. Green, et al.,  
279 Possible sars coronavirus transmission during cardiopulmonary resusci-  
280 tation, Emerging infectious diseases 10 (2004) 287.
- 281 [10] I. T. Yu, Y. Li, T. W. Wong, W. Tam, A. T. Chan, J. H. Lee, D. Y.  
282 Leung, T. Ho, Evidence of airborne transmission of the severe acute res-

- 283       piratory syndrome virus, New England Journal of Medicine 350 (2004)  
284       1731–1739.
- 285 [11] O. Seppänen, W. Fisk, M. Mendell, Association of ventilation rates and  
286       CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations with health and other responses in commercial and  
287       institutional buildings, Indoor Air 9 (1999) 226–252.
- 288 [12] P. Ninomura, J. Bartley, New ventilation guidelines for health-care fa-  
289       cilities, ASHRAE Journal 43 (2001) 29.
- 290 [13] G. R. Hunt, P. F. Linden, The fluid mechanics of natural ventilation –  
291       displacement ventilation by buoyancy-driven flows reinforced by wind,  
292       Build. Environ. 43 (1999) 707–720.
- 293 [14] D. Bolster, P. Linden, Particle transport in low-energy ventilation sys-  
294       tems. part 1: theory of steady states, Indoor air 19 (2009) 122.
- 295 [15] P. F. Linden, The fluid mechanics of natural ventilation, Annual review  
296       of fluid mechanics 31 (1999) 201–238.
- 297 [16] B. Morton, G. I. Taylor, J. S. Turner, Turbulent gravitational convection  
298       from maintained and instantaneous sources, Proceedings of the Royal  
299       Society of London. Series A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences 234  
300       (1956) 1–23.
- 301 [17] P. Linden, G. Lane-Serff, D. Smeed, Emptying filling boxes: the fluid  
302       mechanics of natural ventilation, Journal of Fluid Mechanics 212 (1990)  
303       309–335.
- 304 [18] Q. A. Liu, P. F. Linden, The fluid dynamics of an underfloor air distri-  
305       bution system, J. Fluid Mech. 554 (2006) 323–341.