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Key Points

Question: What are the most effective treatment recommendations for COVID-19?

Findings: COVID-19 can be divided into three distinct Stages, beginning at the time of infection (Stage I), sometimes progressing to pulmonary involvement (Stage II, with or without hypoxemia) and less frequently to systemic inflammation (Stage III). In addition to modeling the stages of disease progression, we also created a treatment algorithm which considers age, comorbidities, clinical presentation, and disease progression to suggest drug classes or treatment modalities.

Meaning: This paper presents the first evidence-based recommendations for individualized treatment for COVID-19.
ABSTRACT:

Importance: Currently, there is no unified framework linking disease progression to established viral levels, clinical tests, inflammatory markers, and investigational treatment options.

Objective: It may take many weeks or months to establish a standard treatment approach. Given the growing morbidity and mortality with respect to COVID-19, we present a treatment approach based on a thorough review of scholarly articles and clinical reports. Our focus is on staged progression, clinical algorithms, and individualized treatment.

Evidence Review: We followed the protocol for a quality review article proposed by Heyn et al. A literature search was conducted to find all relevant studies related to COVID-19. The search was conducted between April 1, 2020 and April 13, 2020 using the following electronic databases: PubMed (1809 to present), Google Scholar (1900 to present), MEDLINE (1946 to present), CINAHL (1937 to present), and Embase (1980 to present). Keywords used included COVID-19, 2019-nCov, SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV, and MERS-CoV, with terms such as efficacy, seroconversion, microbiology, pathophysiology, viral levels, inflammation, survivability, and treatment and pharmacology. No language restriction was placed on the search. Reference lists were manually scanned for additional studies.

Findings: Of the articles found in the literature search, 70 were selected for inclusion in this study (67 cited in the body of the manuscript and 3 additional unique references in the Figures).
The articles represent work from China, Japan, Taiwan, Vietnam, Rwanda, Israel, France, the United Kingdom, the Netherlands, Canada, and the United States. Most of the articles were cohort or case studies, but we also drew upon information found in guidelines from hospitals and clinics instructing their staff on procedures to follow. In addition, we based some decisions on data collected by agencies such as the CDC, FDA, IHME, ISDA, and Worldometer. None of the case studies or cohort studies used a large number of participants. The largest group of participants numbered less than 500 and some case studies had fewer than 30 patients. However, the review of the literature revealed the need for individualized treatment protocols due to the variability of patient clinical presentation and survivability. A number of factors appear to influence mortality: the stage at which the patient first presented for care, pre-existing health conditions, age, and the viral load the patient carried.

**Conclusion and Relevance:** COVID-19 can be divided into three distinct Stages, beginning at the time of infection (Stage I), sometimes progressing to pulmonary involvement (Stage II, with or without hypoxemia) and less frequently to systemic inflammation (Stage III). In addition to modeling the stages of disease progression, we have also created a treatment algorithm which considers age, comorbidities, clinical presentation, and disease progression to suggest drug classes or treatment modalities. This paper presents the first evidence-based recommendations for individualized treatment for COVID-19.
Introduction

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic is raging throughout the globe. In the United States alone, as of April 10, 2020, there were 560,933 cases along with 22,127 deaths (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, CDC). A mathematical model created by The Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME) predicts that in the United States, assuming continued full social distancing, the number of new cases will peak in late April, and related deaths will peak by mid-to-late May. This creates a critical and immediate need for medical treatment and resources.

Preliminary data in the US suggests that COVID-19 may be more infectious and lethal than Influenza H1N1. In the general population, current case-fatality rates for COVID-19 are about 3.9%, and infection rates are about 2.5 under normal conditions. To place this in context, Figure 1 provides a comparison of the reproduction rate and case-fatality rates for major respiratory virus pandemics. Data strongly emphasizes early intervention to reduce case-fatality and inhibit reproductive rates.

A number of articles have been published on the clinical course and treatment of the disease. The majority of patients present with more than one symptom on admission, although the combination of fever, cough, and shortness of breath is rare. Siddiqi and Mehra proposed a staged progression model based on observed clinical courses in published studies. In Stage 1, or the mild phase, the virus multiplies and establishes residence in the host, predominantly in the respiratory tract. In Stage 2, we see that viral multiplication and localized inflammation in the lungs is common. Stage 3 is marked by extra-pulmonary systemic hyperinflammation syndrome. The prognosis and recovery from Stage 3 is generally poor.
recognition of what stage the patient is in and deployment of appropriate therapy may have
the greatest yield.

Common correlates with poorer outcomes include age, hypertension, diabetes,
coronary artery disease, chronic lung disease, and malignancies. Research also finds variations
in outcomes due to a dysregulated and exuberant immune response. Patients requiring
intensive care have significantly higher levels of IL-6, CRP, ferritin, and D-Dimer. An important
therapeutic modality may be to downregulate the cytokine storm, particularly in severe
illness. The literature also suggest that disease progression can be predicted. During the
severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) pandemic, a retrospective analysis revealed that 2-
week cumulative case data could help estimate the total case numbers with accuracy – well
before the date of the last reported case.

As we have found, there is no unified framework linking disease progression to
established viral levels, clinical tests, inflammatory markers, and investigational treatment
options. Given that it may take many weeks or months to establish a standard treatment
approach and there is a growing morbidity and mortality, we present an initial treatment
approach based on a thorough review of currently available scholarly articles and clinical
reports. Our focus is on staged progression, clinical algorithms, and individualized treatment.

Methods

We followed the protocol for a quality review article proposed by Heyn et al. A
literature search was conducted to find all relevant studies related to COVID-19. The search was
conducted between April 1, 2020 and April 13, 2020 using the following electronic databases:
PubMed (1809 to present), Google Scholar (1900 to present), MEDLINE (1946 to present), CINAHL (1937 to present), and Embase (1980 to present). Keywords used included COVID-19, 2019-nCov, SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV, and MERS-CoV, with terms such as efficacy, seroconversion, microbiology, pathophysiology, viral levels, inflammation, survivability, and treatment and pharmacology. No language restriction was placed on the search. Reference lists were manually scanned for additional studies. From this systematic review a model was created that incorporated clinical course, diagnostics, disease management, and treatment.

Our results focus on recommendations for individualized treatment, by selecting the most appropriate drug or modality for the patient, carefully weighing risks and benefits. Clinicians and patients should understand the staged progression of COVID-19 (Figure 2). As such, we present a treatment algorithm that recommends no treatment for some and specific treatment for others, depending on age, comorbidities, and symptom severity (Figure 3).

Results

Based on our thorough review of the literature, we correlated the disease course to COVID-19 testing, diagnostic options, and treatment strategies (see Figure 2). COVID-19 can be divided into three distinct Stages, beginning at the time of infection (Stage I), sometimes progressing to pulmonary involvement (Stage II, with or without hypoxemia) and less frequently to systemic inflammation (Stage III). We also created a treatment algorithm which considers age, comorbidities, clinical presentation, and disease progression to suggest drug classes or treatment modalities (see Figure 3). The specific treatments are summarized in Table 1.20-64
Comorbidity

Data exists for early identification of cases at high risk of progression to severe COVID-19. One promising model created in China found that patients who developed severe COVID-19 possessed one of the following diseases: hypertension, diabetes, coronary heart disease, chronic respiratory disease, or tuberculosis. The same model cited age and various serological indicators (such as C-reactive protein (CRP), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), bilirubin, and others) as factors associated with worse outcomes. Additional research confirmed, in a case-control study, that subjects with high Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) scores, with age greater than 65, with hypertension, diabetes, and/or coronary heart disease were at greatest risk. Lastly, Research focusing on viral load and survival found that higher initial viral load is independently associated with worse prognosis.

Disease Progression

The most common presenting symptoms are fever and cough, followed by myalgia and fatigue. Less commonly, patients may present with sputum production, headache, or abdominal symptoms like diarrhea. In terms of disease progression, a case study of the first five patients diagnosed with COVID-19 in Europe points the way to two different clinical evolutions of the disease: 1. Presenting few symptoms, but showing high viral load from the respiratory tract; 2. A two-step disease process, with worsening of symptoms around 10 days of symptom onset in spite of decreased viral load in respiratory samples. In our model we plot the disease progression as a function of infection, survivability, and inflammation (Figure 2). We identify the inflection point where survival decreases as inflammation increases—approximately day 10 from symptom onset. Support for this is found in research by Chen J, Qi T,
Liu L, et al. published in *The Journal of Infection*. Their research found that sepsis and ARDS in hospitalized patients starts around day 10 and 11, respectively. They also found temporal changes in inflammatory laboratory markers beginning at day 4 of illness onset. These included temporal changes in D-dimer, IL-6, serum ferritin, high-sensitivity cardiac troponin I, and lactate dehydrogenase. The differences were statistically significant between survivors and non-survivors for all time points. Figure 4 provides the percent change between survivors and non-survivors from day 4. In addition, Yang et al. found that the patients admitted to the ICU with severe hypoxemia had a 50% probability of survival at day 7 of ICU admission (corresponding to Day 17 in Figure 2).

**Stage I**

The incubation period is on average 5 days. In most patients, initial presenting symptoms are mild (though a small number of patients can be asymptomatic throughout the course of the disease). Stage I symptoms include fever, cough, fatigue, and body aches, but, in a minority of cases can include headache, GI symptoms, anosmia, as well as others. Duration of initial symptoms is 5-7 days, correlating with a peak in viral load. During this time, the appropriate diagnostic test is a nasopharyngeal PCR. Laboratory studies may include an elevated D-Dimer and prothrombin time, as well as lymphopenia (see Figure 2). Given that symptoms in this stage are mild, and correlated with viremia, the appropriate treatment modality is supportive care or an antiviral. Nevertheless, treatment must be individualized, based on a patient's age, comorbidities, presenting symptoms, and drug interactions (see Figure 3 and Table 1).
Stage II

Some patients progress into Stage II, which is characterized by a decrease in viral levels and an increase in inflammation that initially localizes to the lungs. Infiltrates are typically seen on chest x-ray (CXR) or computed tomography (CT). Similar to symptom duration in Stage I, the typical symptom course in Stage II is also 5-7 days. Treatment with antivirals is still indicated, but given an average decrease in viral levels during this stage, that treatment is theoretically less effective than in Stage I. Moreover, Stage II is divided into two sub-stages (IIA and IIB), depending on whether a patient is hypoxemic or not. This distinction is important for management (see Figure 2). In Stage IIB, the patient is significantly dyspneic and may benefit, depending on age and comorbidities, from the use of corticosteroids or other anti-inflammatory treatments (see Figure 3).

Stage III

Although only a minority of patients (estimated at 10-15%) progress to Stage III, mortality within this stage is considerable (estimated at 20-30%). The morbidity and mortality are generally due to uncontrolled inflammation, which at this point is systemic. The most important symptom is respiratory distress (correlating in a typical patient to a Pulse Ox < 92%). Laboratory markers include significantly increased CRP and IL-6 levels.66-67 As in Stage II, treatment may include anti-virals (if the patient is still viremic), but agents to counteract inflammation and its effects (such as microthrombi) must be considered (see Figure 2). A summary of investigational therapies can be found in Table 1.

Pre-Exposure and Post-Exposure Prophylaxis
A number of clinical trials are exploring pre-exposure and post-exposure prophylaxis. There is no definitive evidence that any particular treatment modality is effective but antivirals, hydroxychloroquine, and convalescent plasma are being proposed. Anti-virals, like Remdesivir, may proof beneficial at any stage of disease.\textsuperscript{39-40} Hydroxychloroquine is postulated to have anti-viral properties, and it has been definitively proven as an immunomodulator.\textsuperscript{32} Convalescent plasma provides the antibody support needed to envelope and destroy the virus while preventing the exuberant immune response or cytokine release that leads to significant pathology, particularly in Stages IIb and III.\textsuperscript{23}

Limitations

This review has several limitations. First, the incredible volume and speed at which data is published about the treatment of COVID-19 indicates that research findings and recommendations may change. Second, the research used to create the review came from small studies, often-times with very few controls. Third, the articles were limited to English-language publications or translations, so relevant international data could be lacking.

Conclusion

This paper presents the first evidence-based recommendations for individualized treatment for COVID-19. Based upon the observed transmission and mortality rates, health professionals urgently need to align patient baseline risk to disease stage and investigational treatment options. The COVID-19 pandemic represents the greatest public health crisis in three generations; the need for comprehensive management cannot be overstated.
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Figure 1. Reproduction Rate and Case-Fatality Rates for Major Respiratory Virus Pandemics

Rectangle denotes case fatality range from multiple publications
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENT</th>
<th>EFFECT</th>
<th>DOSSING</th>
<th>STAGE</th>
<th>MECHANISM</th>
<th>COMMENTARY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lopinavir/</td>
<td>AV</td>
<td>• 200 mg/50 mg/capsule, 2 capsules PO bid for no more than 10-14 days</td>
<td>I-III</td>
<td>Protease inhibitor(^{41})</td>
<td>Nearly 14% of patients cannot complete a course due to GI side effects.(^{46}) Ritonavir is a potent CYP3A4 inhibitor (interacting with Rx such as apixaban, tacrolimus, and amiodarone). In rare cases, Lopinavir/Ritonavir can cause liver injury, pancreatitis and cardiac toxicity. Treatment in mostly Stage II-III patients was not found to be superior to standard of care. Subgroup analysis suggestive that earlier treatment (Stage I) might be beneficial.(^{45})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remdesivir</td>
<td>AV</td>
<td>• 200 mg IV x1, followed by 100mg qd for 5-10 days</td>
<td>I-III</td>
<td>RNA polymerase inhibitor(^{39})</td>
<td>Adverse effects include elevated ALT and AST, phlebitis, constipation, headache, nausea. Theoretical risk of renal injury. Should not be used in pregnancy due to lack of data. Has limited drug-drug interactions (no significant CYP effect) Clinical trials underway in the US, UK, and China Showed efficacy in COVID-19 treatment(^{40})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Favipiravir</td>
<td>AV</td>
<td>• 1600 mg PO bid x16, then 600 mg PO bid for up to 14 days</td>
<td>I-III</td>
<td>Broad spectrum inhibitor of RNA-dependent RNA polymerase(^{42-43})</td>
<td>Increases liver function parameters (AST, ALT and total bilirubin) Tests toxicity and has a risk for teratogenicity and embryotoxicity Was found to be superior to Lopinavir/Ritonavir in a small controlled study.(^{44})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umifenovir</td>
<td>AV</td>
<td>• 200 mg q8h for up to 14 days</td>
<td>I-III</td>
<td>S protein / ACE-2 membrane fusion inhibitor(^{45})</td>
<td>Metabolism by CYP3A4. Caution with strong inhibitors or inducers. Hypersensitivity risk increases in children under 2 years of age Limited clinical evidence shows promise in COVID-19.(^{46})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxychloroquine</td>
<td>AV</td>
<td>• Stage I-II—400 mg PO bid for first day followed by 200 mg bid daily for 5 days(^{20})</td>
<td>I-III</td>
<td>AV: replication-neutralization of the pH cellular organelles for gene replication A-IN: inhibition of macrophage activation and reducing release of tissue TNF-α, IL-1, IL-6(^{21-23}) A-IN: interfere with lysosomal activity and autophagy, disrupt membrane stability, alter signaling and transcriptional activity, which can then inhibit immune activation and cytokine production(^{32})</td>
<td>Adverse effects include: rash, nausea, and diarrhea. GI symptoms can be mitigated by taking with water; use with caution in diabetic patients may cause hypoglycemia.(^{34}) Increased risk of retinopathy with a recommended maximal daily dose of 5.0 mg/kg. Avoid if history of retinal disease, macular degeneration, or previous treatment with tamoxifen.(^{35}) Caution in patient at risk for QT prolongation. EKG at baseline and following initiation is generally advised, particularly in critically ill patients. Contraindicated with Epilepsy, Porphyria, G6PD, and Myasthenia Gravis Ideally used for patients hospitalized with Pneumonia(^{36}) Consider for patients at high risk for disease progression. Pre-Exposure/Post-Exposure prophylaxis is being studied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroquine</td>
<td>AV</td>
<td>• Stage I-II – 500 mg bid for 5 days</td>
<td>I-III</td>
<td>A-IN: decrease secretion and/or receptor expression of cytokines such as TNF-α(^{33,37})</td>
<td>Has greater adverse event profile than Hydroxychloroquine and possibly less efficacy. Most common symptoms include abdominal cramps, nausea, anorexia. Can increase QTC and result in hematologic effects (including hemolysis with G6PD deficiency). Can cause retinal toxicity and hypoglycemia. As with Hydroxychloroquine, additional clinical trial data is necessary to determine efficacy and safety in COVID-19 patients.(^{38})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A-IN</td>
<td>• Stage III—May consider extending treatment for up to 10 days</td>
<td>I-III</td>
<td>Interfere with lysosomal activity and autophagy, similar to Hydroxychloroquine(^{32})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interferon</td>
<td>AV/IV</td>
<td>Dose Information</td>
<td>Phase</td>
<td>Pharmacological Effect</td>
<td>Contraindication/Consideration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivermectin</td>
<td>AV</td>
<td>45 - 64 kg: 9 mg orally single dose</td>
<td>I-II</td>
<td>Broad-spectrum anti-viral activity in vitro through inhibition of nuclear import of host and RNA viral proteins</td>
<td>Possible considerations as adjunct therapy or replacement when other agents contraindicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>65 - 84 kg: 12 mg single dose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Contraindicated in Pregnancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>85 kg or more: 0.15 mg/kg orally single dose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribavirin</td>
<td>AV</td>
<td>IV 500 mg each time, bid or tid, no more than 10 days</td>
<td>II-III</td>
<td>Inhibits viral RNA dependent RNA polymerase</td>
<td>Can cause birth defects or death in an unborn baby</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hematologic toxicity is observed in dose-dependent fashion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Caution when used with azathioprine or HIV/AIDS medicines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ribavirin is likely not effective when used alone and must be used in combination with IFN-α or lopinavir/ritonavir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convalescent plasma donor containing SARS-CoV-2 specific antibody (IgG)</td>
<td>AV/IV</td>
<td>200-250 mL of ABO-compatible convalescent plasma x 2 (achieving 400 mL in total) on the same day it was obtained from the donor</td>
<td>I-III</td>
<td>Neutralizing activity against SARS-CoV-2</td>
<td>Allergic transfusion reactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azithromycin</td>
<td>A-IN</td>
<td>500 mg qd x 1, then 250 mg bid for 4 days</td>
<td>II-III</td>
<td>Inhibits RNA-dependent protein synthesis</td>
<td>Multiple immunomodulatory effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Previous studies have shown some efficacy against viruses such as Influenza, Ebola, RSV and Rhinovirus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>May confer benefit when added to Hydroxychloroquine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Should be used if superimposed bacterial Pneumonia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Does increase QT interval, especially when added to Hydroxychloroquine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>An EKG is recommended prior to start (and EKG or telemetry monitoring while on Tx is recommended)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxycline and other Tetracyclines</td>
<td>A-IN</td>
<td>200 mg qd x 1, then 100 mg qd for 4 days. May consider extending treatment for up to 14 days.</td>
<td>II-III</td>
<td>Downregulation of NFκB pathway as well as TNFα, IL-18 and IL-6</td>
<td>May confer benefit when added to Hydroxychloroquine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Should be used if superimposed bacterial Pneumonia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prednisone</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>40mg-60mg prednisone PO or 30mg-60mg methylprednisolone IV, or 5-10mg dexamethasone IV for up to 7 days</td>
<td>II-III</td>
<td>Multiple immunomodulatory effects, including suppression of PMN migration and reversal of increased capillary permeability</td>
<td>Should not be used in Stage I (unless another indication) as it may increase viral load.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylprednisolone Dexamethasone Hydrocortisone</td>
<td></td>
<td>50mg hydrocortisone IV q6H until improvement in shock.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Indicated for asthma or COPD exacerbation or any shock with a history of chronic steroid use in excess of 10mg prednisone daily. Also used for multipressor (&gt;2 pressor) shock.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Use in patients with hypoxemia may confer a mortality benefit. If ARDS, higher doses may be required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tocilizumab and other IL-6 inhibitors</td>
<td>A-IN</td>
<td>4-8 mg/kg IV (usually 400 mg) x 1 dose. If inadequate response, may repeat one time after 12 hrs.</td>
<td>IIb-III</td>
<td>Inhibits inflammatory cytokine storm</td>
<td>Side effects include upper respiratory tract infections, mild stomach cramps.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Black box warning for a risk of serious infections, including tuberculosis and other opportunistic infections. Patients treated with this medication should be tested for latent tuberculosis prior to discharge from the hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Caution in neutropenia or thrombocytopenia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>May interact with cholesterol-lowering medications, seizure medications, heart rhythm medications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>May be beneficial for use in Cytokine Activation Syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-α and other Type I Interferons</td>
<td>AV/IV</td>
<td>5 million U or equivalent dose each time, 2 times/day for Vapor inhalation</td>
<td>IIb-III</td>
<td>Interferes with viral replication</td>
<td>Inhalation pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics have never been assessed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IV and SC modes of administration are well-described and proven safe in several clinical trials (under expert use), with similar pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prazosin and other alpha-1 adrenergic receptor (AR) antagonists</td>
<td>A-IN</td>
<td>• 1mg bid or tid, titrating up as tolerated</td>
<td>I-III</td>
<td>• Reduces catecholamine and cytokine response through alpha-1 AR antagonism</td>
<td>• Contraindicated if hypotension. • No current evidence for starting the Rx if patient is not already on it. A recent retrospective review found that patients previously treated with alpha-1AR antagonists had improved end points.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atorvastatin and other Statins</td>
<td>A-IN</td>
<td>• Atorvastatin 40mg qhs</td>
<td>I-III</td>
<td>• Pleiotropic effects, anti-inflammatory</td>
<td>• If there is an indication for a statin, the statin should be started or continued.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baricitinib</td>
<td>A-IN AV?</td>
<td>• Eli Lilly and National Institute for Allergies and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) announced that the drug will begin its first large randomized trial in COVID-19 patients, in late April in the U.S., and additional sites in Asia / Europe.63</td>
<td>IIb-III</td>
<td>• JAK1/JAK2 inhibitor • Theoretic (but unproven) anti-viral properties</td>
<td>• FDA approved for treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. • Side effects include upper respiratory tract infection and reative of herpes simplex and herpes zoster. • Black box warning for serious infections including TB. Patients must be tested for TB prior to starting treatment. • Increase risk of malignancy (including Lymphoma), and thromboembolism.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heparin, Enoxaparin, and other Anticoagulants</td>
<td>AC</td>
<td>• DVT Prophylaxis Dosing (e.g. Enoxaparin 40mg SC qd, or Heparin 5000 SC tid) • Full anticoagulation—individualize to patient</td>
<td>II-III</td>
<td>• Tissue factor pathway inhibition62</td>
<td>• Indicated as DVT prophylaxis for all hospitalized patients (Stage II) without contraindication for anticoagulation. • Full anticoagulation may be beneficial in Stage III, as it has shown benefit for those suffering from sepsis associated coagulopathy, ARDS, or D-Dimer levels greater than 6-fold the upper limit of normal.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


*None of these Rx are considered standard of care for treatment of COVID-19, and ideally should be used as part of a clinical trial. Moreover, this table is not meant to be a comprehensive review of adverse effects and drug-drug interactions. Treatment must be individualized to the patient, considering the patient’s age, comorbidities, clinical course, drug interactions, and hypersensitivities. Lastly, this table is meant to be updated as new evidence (and perhaps new agents or classes of agents) is presented.
Figure 2. COVID-19 Clinical Stages and Management Strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DAYS</th>
<th>CLINICAL COURSE</th>
<th>Infection</th>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Systemic Inflammation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-3 to -5</td>
<td>COVID-19 Testing Exposure</td>
<td>Nasal swab Viral PCR</td>
<td>Lymphopenia</td>
<td>Remdesivir, Hydroxychloroquine or Convalescent Plasma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Hypoxia</td>
<td>CRP / IL-6 / Ferritin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 - 7</td>
<td>Viral Load</td>
<td>Blood test 1, 2</td>
<td>CXR (or CT chest) infiltrates</td>
<td>Azithromycin or Doxycycline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 - 14</td>
<td>Inflammation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Corticosteroids, IL-6 inhibitors and others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20+</td>
<td>CLINICAL COURSE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Initially mild in Stage I (fever, cough, myalgia, other non-specific). May progress in Stage II-III to severe dyspnea and respiratory distress.
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Figure 3. Treatment Algorithm for COVID-19+ Patients Based on Clinical Presentation and Therapeutic Staging

**HIGH RISK PATIENT:** Anyone that is ≥65 yr/o or meets COMORBIDITIES criteria as defined below.

**COMORBIDITIES:** Defined as any two of the following: HTN, DM, CVD, CKD, Pre-existing lung disease, CHF, Diabetes A1c >7.6%, use of biologics, HIV+, history of transplant, morbid obesity (BMI>40).1, 2

**SYMPTOMS**
- **MILD:** Fever, cough, fatigue, myalgia, headache, anosmia. Rarely, patients may also present with diarrhea, nausea, and vomiting.3-5
- **MODERATE:** Symptomatic viral pneumonia with possible hypoxemia (PaO2/FiO2 < 300). Confirmed by chest imaging (CXR or CT) which demonstrate bilateral infiltrates or ground glass opacities.6
- **SEVERE SYMPTOMS:** Systemic (extra-pulmonary) hyperinflammation with one of the following: respiratory rate > 30 or SpO2 < 92% on room air.6 Will also include abnormal chest imaging (CXR, CT scan, or lung ultrasound) characterized by bilateral opacities that are not primarily due to volume overload or lung collapse (portal or full). Echocardiogram can be used to rule out primary cardiac causes.6

***See Table 1 for appropriate Rx for stage. Treatment must be individualized to the patient by considering risks, benefits, and contraindications of the particular Rx. Note: there may be a potential for combining multiple agents if no drug-drug interaction exists, as there are pleural mechanisms of actions.***

***Convalescent plasma can be used during any stage, though likely more beneficial earlier in the disease course.***
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Figure 4. Percent Change in Clinical Measures between Survivors and Non-Survivors

COVID-19 Clinical Stages and Management Strategies

DAYS | DAYS | CLINICAL COURSE | Test Positive | DISEASE MANAGEMENT | DIRECTED TREATMENT | MEDICAL TREATMENT OPTIONS
---|---|---|---|---|---|---
-3 to -5 | 0 | Infection | Exposure Incubation Nasal swab Viral PCR Blood test Antibody IgM, IgG | COVID-19 Treatment | Antivirals | Remdesivir, Hydroxychloroquine or Convalescent Plasma Azithromycin or Doxycycline Corticosteroids, IL-6 inhibitors and others
5 - 7 | No Hypoxia | Symptoms* Lymphopenia, ↑ PT, ↑ D-Dimer CRX (or CT chest) infiltrates ↑ LFTs | | | |
10 - 14 | With Hypoxia | | | COVID-19 Treatment | Anti-inflammatories | |
20+ | | | | | |

*Initially mild in Stage I (fever, cough, myalgia, other non-specific). May progress in Stage II-III to severe dyspnea and respiratory distress.
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## Treatment Algorithm for COVID-19 Patients Based on Clinical Presentation and Therapeutic Staging

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage I ✴✴✴✴</th>
<th>Stage II</th>
<th>Stage III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early Infection</td>
<td>Pulmonary Involvement</td>
<td>Systemic Inflammation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NO Hypoxemia (IIA)</strong></td>
<td><strong>WITH Hypoxemia (IIB)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mild</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mild</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mild</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Moderate to Severe</strong></td>
<td><strong>Moderate to Severe</strong></td>
<td><strong>Moderate to Severe</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Exposed to COVID-19 Positive

**Positive COVID-19**

**Consider antiviral prophylaxis for high risk patients**, medical staff or first responders pending test results.

**Age ≥ 65**

**Comorbidities**

**YES**

**Symptoms**

**Mild**

**Moderate to Severe**

**NO**

**Symptoms**

**Mild**

**Moderate to Severe**

**Age < 65**

**Comorbidities**

**YES**

**Symptoms**

**Mild**

**Moderate to Severe**

**NO**

**Symptoms**

**Mild**

**Moderate to Severe**

### Observation or Antiviral

- **Antiviral +/- Anti-inflammatory *****
- **Antiviral +/- Anti-inflammatory OPTIONAL**
- **Corticosteroids, IL-6 inhibitors and others**
- **Corticosteroids, IL-6 inhibitors, others OPTIONAL**

### High Risk Patient

*Anyone that is ≥65 y/o or meets COMORBIDITIES criteria as defined below.*

### Comorbidities

Defined as any two of the following: HTN, DM, CVD, CKD, Pre-existing lung disease, CHF, Diabetes A1c > 7.6%, use of biologics, HIV+, history of transplant, morbid obesity (BMI ≥ 40).  

### Symptoms

**Mild:** Fever, cough, fatigue, myalgia, headache, anosmia. Rarely, patients may also present with diarrhea, nausea, and vomiting.  

**Moderate:** Symptomatic viral pneumonia with possible hypoxemia (PaO2/FIO2 < 300). Confirmed by chest imaging (XRR or CT) which demonstrate bilateral infiltrates or ground glass opacities.  

**Severe Symptoms:** Systemic (extra-pulmonary) hyperinflammation with one of the following: respiratory rate > 30 or SpO2 < 92% on room air. Will also include abnormal chest imaging (XRR, CT scan, or lung ultrasound) characterized by bilateral opacities that are not primarily due to volume overload or lung collapse (partial or full). Echocardiogram can be used to rule out primary cardiac causes.  

### See Table 1 for appropriate Rx for stage. Treatment must be individualized to the patient by considering risks, benefits, and contraindications of the particular Rx. Note: there may be a potential for combining multiple agents if no drug-drug interaction exists, as there are pleural mechanisms of actions.

### Convalescent plasma can be used during any stage, though likely more beneficial earlier in the disease course.
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