

1 **EVALUATING THE IMPACT OF COVID-19 ON THE PREGNANCY AND POSTNATAL PERIOD**
2 **FOR UK WOMEN**

3 **Gareth A Nye¹, George Abou Deb¹, Seóna Dunne²**

4 **Chester Medical School, University of Chester, Chester, CH1 4BJ**

5 **Nutrition and Health Sciences, University of Chester, Chester, CH1 4BJ**

6

7 **ABSTRACT**

8 **INTRODUCTION**

9 Pregnancy is a crucial period which ultimately directly impacts two individuals health and
10 wellbeing. Within the UK, a standardised pattern of care is established with collaborations
11 across disciplines to the benefit of women and babies. During the COVID19 pandemic, this
12 pattern of care was disrupted to align with protective protocols which until now, has not
13 been formally reported.

14 **METHODS**

15 A retrospective, mixed methods study of UK based women pregnant between the years
16 2012 and 2022 inclusive with no known complications was conducted to collate opinions
17 and experiences of pregnancy with and without the impact of COVID19 restrictions.
18 Quantitative results were analysed using the statistical package GraphPad Prism 9.2.0 and
19 presented as mean values +/- standard deviation where appropriate. In addition, we used a
20 phased approach to open ended questions.

21 **RESULTS**

22 Our results showed no significant difference in either the number of appointments or the
23 time of first appointment however an increased percentage of women reported the use of
24 private services during the COVID pandemic. There was no change in the number of midwife
25 appointments during the postnatal period during COVID but there was a significant
26 reduction in the number of health visitor appointments. Overall, the COVID pandemic led to
27 women feeling less satisfied with their care both during their pregnancy and postnatally, but

28 they reported that they continued to be listened to and remained feeling in control of their
29 pregnancy.

30 **DISCUSSION**

31 Generally, the changes implemented during the COVID pandemic did not impact women's
32 pregnancy journey substantially although we have no evidence of the long-term impact on
33 child health and development. Clear themes have been established which can be used to
34 further improve services in maternity and there are key elements to focus on for the future
35 of UK maternity services.

36

37 **INTRODUCTION**

38 The impact of SARS-COV2 COVID19 has been felt across the world through the alteration of
39 everybody's day to day lives ^{1,2}. This impact ranges from the devastating effects of the
40 infection itself and the associated loss through to the financial burden on our economies
41 and healthcare ¹⁻⁵.

42 In the United Kingdom (UK), a national lockdown was announced ⁶ and resulted in
43 significant changes to the hospitals and how their services were delivered with many non-
44 essential services paused ^{7,8}. As pregnancy is a time sensitive period, it was essential that
45 maternity care was continued through the pandemic, albeit with significant changes to
46 working practises and unprecedented challenges to keep services running. Initially, as the
47 potential effects of COVID19 were of concern, Antenatal (ANC) clinic appointments were
48 often conducted virtually and restrictions on the number of visitors and/or the presence of a
49 birthing partner was seen universally ⁹.

50 Previous studies focusing on patient anxiety showed 25.8% of respondents no longer
51 attended in-person visits and due to large uncertainty around the birthing process and
52 COVID-19 restrictions had collectively increased their anxiety ¹⁰. This was in response to
53 multiple reports of indirect effects of the pandemic leading to combined increased risk of
54 maternal mortality and preterm birth ¹⁰. We also saw reports on increases in maternal
55 deaths through mental health conditions and suicides which were disproportionately seen
56 in lower income settings ^{11,12}.

57 Now that services have returned to normal within the UK, it is time to retrospectively access
58 and address the feelings of women who were pregnant during this period to look at areas of
59 success in practice and areas to highlight moving forward, looking now towards the
60 extended ramifications on our women and children who lived through the pandemic to
61 gauge future healthcare challenges.

62 This paper aims to explore the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on maternity services in
63 the UK, including changes to antenatal, intrapartum and postnatal care, the experiences of
64 pregnant women and healthcare professionals, and the implications for the future of
65 maternity care. By examining the challenges and successes of UK maternity services during
66 the pandemic, this paper aims to contribute to a broader understanding of the impact of
67 COVID-19 on healthcare services and the lessons that can be learned for future pandemics
68 and healthcare crises.

69 **Study Objectives**

70 The overall objective of this study was to collate the experiences of women who have been
71 pregnant over a 10-year period between 2012 and 2022 to understand the potential impact
72 COVID infection and lockdowns had on a women's perspective of their care.

73 **METHODS**

74 **Study design**

75 A retrospective study of women pregnant between the years 2012 and 2022 inclusive was
76 conducted anonymously via a survey distributed on Facebook, Twitter, and pregnancy-
77 related peer and professional communities from 20th February- 20th March 2022. Survey
78 was designed to contain both quantitative and qualitative data through mixed methods of
79 closed and open questioning and followed the Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet E-
80 Surveys (CHERRIES) in its design and analysis ¹³.

81 **Setting**

82 English-language online survey was open to any woman based within the United Kingdom at
83 the time of their pregnancy.

84 **Participants**

85 Self-identified women who had been pregnant during the previous decade and were able to
86 complete an online survey in English, recruited via Facebook and Twitter, including
87 pregnancy-specific Facebook groups. The survey link was also shared with pregnancy-
88 specific professional communities for distribution through their networks of pregnant
89 women. For this paper, any women reporting a complication during their pregnancy (e.g.
90 Gestational diabetes, hypertension or pre-eclampsia), or women with pre-existing
91 conditions which would result in non-standard care during pregnancy were excluded from
92 this report and will form the basis of future publications.

93 **Demographics and basic questions**

94 Participants were asked to complete questions based on maternal demographics and
95 geographic locations. Additional pregnancy specific questions were asked to cover both the
96 pregnancy and post natal period. General questions related to the COVID pandemic and
97 vaccine were asked to all respondents with additional specific questions around COVID
98 infection and changes to lifestyle during the pandemic asked to only respondents pregnant
99 during the years 2020 to 2022 inclusive. Questions relating to participants feelings of
100 satisfaction or otherwise were presented as a 1-10 numerical scale with 1 being the least
101 and 10 being the most. Questions analysed for this study were as follows - How satisfied
102 were you with the care you've received during pregnancy? How in control of your pregnancy
103 did you feel? How do you feel your thoughts and concerns were managed during your
104 pregnancy? How do you feel your postnatal care was?

105 **Data analysis**

106 Quantitative results were analysed using the statistical package GraphPad Prism 9.2.0 and
107 presented as mean values +/- standard deviation where appropriate. Percentages were
108 converted to the nearest whole number and presented as pre-2020 vs 2020-2022 values.
109 Only uncomplicated pregnancies are reported in this study to exclude additional factors
110 which may influence maternity care.

111 **Thematic analysis**

112 We used a phased approach to open ended questions inspired by Braun and Clarke¹⁴ to
113 identify patterns and themes. The phases are referred to; (1) Familiarisation with the data.

114 (2) Generating themes. (3) Reviewing themes. (4) Defining and naming themes. (5)
115 producing the report¹⁴. We carried out the process of coding the material in a flexible way
116 and moved back and forth through the phases as necessary¹⁵

117 **Ethics**

118 Ethical approval for this study was granted by the University of Chester Faculty of Medicine
119 and Life Sciences Research Ethics Committee. Participants were asked to read and sign a
120 participant information sheet before completing the survey. Data was anonymous at the
121 point of survey completion.

122 **RESULTS**

123 **Patient demographics**

124 This survey returned a total of 274 respondents with 124 individual responses in the pre-
125 2020 group and 154 responses pregnant during 2020-2022. There was an increased
126 response rate from the North West England accounting for 40% of all responses with low
127 response rates in Northern Ireland and Scotland. There were no significant difference in the
128 mean maternal age of both groups with an average of 29.8 (+/- 5.0) years and 31.5 (+/- 4.6)
129 years in the pre-2020/2020-2022 groups respectively. There was a higher rate of first-time
130 pregnancies in the pre-2020 group (69% to 61%).

131 There were no significant differences in either the approximate number of appointments, or
132 the approximate time of the first appointment in either group, but there was raise in the
133 percentage of respondents using private care (11.8% vs 14.6%) and private scans (37% vs
134 55%) during 2020-2022 when compared with the pre-2020 groups.

135 Interestingly, an increased percentage of births ending in planned c-sections were seen
136 within the 2020-2022 group but no change in the percentage of midwife led vaginal
137 deliveries. There were no changes in birth location nor any significant changes in mean birth
138 weight.

139 There were differences in main feeding method at birth with a lower number of mothers
140 choosing to breast fed in the 2020-2022 group (Bottle fed 18% vs 21%, Breast fed 72% vs
141 63%, Combination 11% vs 15%), however by 6 months of age the percentage of mothers

142 breast feeding in the 2020-2022 group was higher than in the pre-2020 group (Bottle fed
143 50% vs 43%, Breast fed 37% vs 48%, Combination 11% vs 8%).

144

145 **Postnatal care**

146 No significant change in the number of midwife visits were reported between the groups
147 and a higher percentage of women in the 2020-2022 reported having contact with a health
148 visitor (91% vs 96%). However, women saw a decrease in health visitors attending in person
149 appointments (91% vs 77%) and a rise in phone/remote appointments (5% vs 23%) in the
150 2020-2022 group. A significant decrease in health visitor appointments were reported
151 during this time (3.5 +/- 3.9 vs 2.6 +/- 1.8, P = 0.05).

152

153 **Overall Satisfaction**

154 For each of the four questions asked related to the participants perception of their
155 pregnancy, lower average self-reported scores from the group were seen in those pregnant
156 during the years 2020-2022.

157 Significantly lower scores were reported for patient satisfaction in care during pregnancy,
158 during the COVID time period (8.11 +/- 1.91 vs 7.26 +/- 2.20, P = <0.01) and significantly
159 lower self reported scores for postnatal care during the same period (7.31 +/- 2.69 vs 5.95
160 +/- 2.94, P = <0.01).

161 Level of control remained non significant, as did the management of concerns during
162 pregnancy.

163

164 **Impact of COVID pandemic on pregnancy period**

165 80% of respondents who were pregnant during the COVID pandemic faced a lockdown
166 during their pregnancy to some extent, with 45% of respondents being under a lockdown
167 during the time of delivery.

168 60% of those who answered faced a rearrangement of appointments to remove the face to
169 face aspect with an average satisfaction score of 5.8 (+/-2.9) with these appointments, when
170 using a 10 point scale.

171 Despite only 7% of respondents contracting COVID-19 during their pregnancy, 78% were
172 concerned about the pandemic. 45% saw changes to the original birth plan and 14% of
173 respondents shared that the pandemic influenced their decision making about infant
174 feeding.

175 **Thematic analysis**

176 *Utilization of Private Care or scans during Pregnancy (pre-COVID-19)*

177 The thematic analysis unveiled that the majority of participants refrained from seeking
178 private healthcare services during pregnancy. However, a notable subset of individuals
179 opted for private care, driven by a diverse range of motivations. These motivations
180 encompassed a preference for personalized one-to-one care, concerns regarding the
181 accessibility of specific diagnostic tests, a desire for enhanced control over their healthcare
182 decisions, proactive anxiety management, and a need for additional support and
183 reassurance. Particularly, individuals with a history of miscarriage emphasized the
184 significance of these factors. Key determinants for private scans included the desire for
185 gender determination, the acquisition of multimedia keepsakes, and emotional reassurance
186 with accessibility and timing of National Health Service (NHS) scans playing pivotal roles in
187 respondents' decisions. These findings underscored the intricate and multifaceted nature of
188 the factors that shape individuals' choices concerning pregnancy care.

189 *Pregnancy Care During the COVID-19 Pandemic*

190 In the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, the thematic analysis revealed that the
191 predominant trend among participants during their pregnancy was the avoidance of seeking
192 private healthcare services. Nevertheless, a minority of participants opted for private care,
193 driven by various reasons, including prior miscarriages, pregnancy-related concerns, COVID-
194 19 restrictions, and previous traumatic birth experiences. Some participants sought private
195 care to ensure added assurance or to involve their partners in the pregnancy journey. The
196 primary motivation for seeking private care predominantly stemmed from COVID-19

197 restrictions, which hindered access to NHS scans. This analysis underscored the multifaceted
198 rationales for seeking private prenatal scans, encompassing reassurance, early gender
199 determination, partner participation, and convenience. Notably, cost emerged as a potential
200 barrier for certain families. The identified themes emphasized the complexity of the labour
201 and delivery process, often necessitating medical interventions to ensure safety. This further
202 underscored the importance of offering individualized and flexible healthcare options during
203 pregnancy to accommodate the unique needs and preferences of expectant parents. It is
204 noteworthy that the adoption of virtual appointments became prevalent during the
205 pandemic, particularly for antenatal check-ups and health visitor assessments. However,
206 some consultations with specialists remained in-person, and a subset of participants
207 engaged in a combination of virtual and in-person appointments, highlighting the evolving
208 landscape of healthcare delivery.

209 *Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Birth Plans*

210 The COVID-19 pandemic exerted a substantial influence on the birth plans of many
211 respondents, resulting in a spectrum of modifications. These modifications ranged from
212 restrictions on birth partners and visitors to changes in birthing locations and alterations in
213 antenatal and postnatal care. The emotional and logistical challenges stemming from these
214 changes underscored the importance of adaptability and flexibility during pregnancy and
215 childbirth, particularly in times of uncertainty. The majority of respondents expressed
216 concerns about various issues related to the pandemic, including anxieties surrounding
217 partner restrictions during childbirth, limitations on visitation, and the broader impact of
218 COVID-19 on pregnant women. Additional concerns included maternity ward closures and
219 inadequate access to information. While some respondents were relatively unperturbed by
220 pandemic-related issues, the prevailing sentiment was one of apprehension regarding the
221 potential impact of the pandemic on their pregnancy and birthing experiences.

222 *Infant Feeding Decisions during the Pandemic*

223 In terms of infant feeding decisions, the pandemic did not exert a significant influence on
224 most participants. The majority reported a pre-existing determination to breastfeed that
225 remained unaffected by the pandemic. A subset of participants mentioned that the
226 pandemic heightened their resolve to breastfeed, while others perceived a lack of

227 breastfeeding support as a challenge. A minority of participants made the decision to
228 formula feed, and these cases were relatively infrequent. Overall, the pandemic did not
229 substantially impact infant feeding decisions.

230 *COVID-19 Infections during Pregnancy*

231 The thematic analysis of COVID-19 experiences during pregnancy revealed that the majority
232 of respondents did not contract the virus, with only a few reporting infections. Hospital
233 admissions due to COVID-19 during pregnancy were rare. Some mentioned losing their
234 sense of taste without a positive test. Infections occurred at various gestational weeks, and
235 most pregnancies proceeded without significant COVID-19-related complications.

236 *Reasons for Not Being Fully Vaccinated Against COVID-19*

237 Based on when the participant was pregnant, we see two very clear themes emerging. For
238 those pregnant during the pre-COVID time period, answers included vaccine hesitancy or
239 the reliance on natural immunity as reasons against, with others emphasising the
240 importance of vaccination in safeguarding both personal health and the broader
241 community. Taking responses from participants who were pregnant during the COVID
242 period we see a change in themes with common themes among those who had not
243 completed vaccination including concerns about breastfeeding, conflicting information,
244 hesitancy due to the perceived experimental nature of vaccines during pregnancy, lack of
245 research during pregnancy, and doubts about vaccine efficacy and transmission prevention.
246 Some individuals mentioned waiting until they finished breastfeeding, receiving medical
247 advice from midwives, having a needle phobia, relying on natural antibodies from previous
248 infections, or opting not to disclose their reasons. These themes illuminate the complex
249 factors shaping vaccination decisions during pregnancy.

250 **DISCUSSION**

251 In this study, a significant decrease in maternal satisfaction with the care received during
252 pregnancy was observed in the period of 2020-2022 compared to pre-2020 levels. This may
253 be attributed to the changes in the delivery of maternity services due to the COVID-19
254 pandemic. No significant difference in the level of control mothers felt over their pregnancy

255 were reported suggesting that the COVID induced changes to maternity services did not
256 impact women's sense of control .

257 There was a trend towards an increase in maternal age in the 2020-2022 period compared
258 to pre-2020, although this was not statistically significant. This may reflect changing social
259 trends, such as women delaying pregnancy for career or personal reasons or as this study
260 observed a decrease in the proportion of first pregnancies in the 2020-2022 period
261 compared to pre-2020, it could be women are reporting second pregnancies during COVID.

262 No significant differences were reported in the number of appointments attended or the
263 timing of the first appointment between the two time periods studied which shows the
264 ability of our healthcare system to maintain a standard level of care. An increase in the
265 proportion of respondents who reported using private scans in the 2020-2022 period
266 compared to pre-2020 was noted and is strongly suggested to be related to changes in the
267 availability or accessibility of NHS scans during the pandemic. However, it is important to
268 note that the use of private scans was still a minority practice overall.

269 In terms of mode of delivery, no significant differences were reported in the proportion of
270 emergency or planned C-sections or vaginal deliveries between the two time periods
271 studied. This suggests that changes to maternity services during the COVID-19 pandemic did
272 not significantly impact the method of delivery for most women.

273 Finally, this study saw a decrease in the proportion of mothers who reported exclusively
274 breastfeeding at birth and at 6 months postpartum in the 2020-2022 period compared to
275 pre-2020. This may be reflective of changes to maternity services during the pandemic, such
276 as decreased access to lactation support or changes in hospital policies related to
277 breastfeeding. It might also be due to worries around the passing of COVID through breast
278 milk from mother to baby. This indicates however a clear issue during the COVID time
279 period and should be focused on to improve for any future pandemics.

280 **Post-natal care**

281 The postnatal care provided by midwives and health visitors is an important aspect of
282 maternity services. In this study, we examined the changes in postnatal care during the
283 COVID-19 pandemic in the UK. The results showed that there was a slight decrease in the

284 mean number of midwife visits to the home (3.1 +/- 2.0 visits pre-2020 vs 2.7 +/- 1.9 visits in
285 2020-2022), but this difference was not statistically significant (p=0.23).

286 In terms of health visitor contacts, there was a significant decrease in the mean number of
287 contacts (3.5 +/- 3.9 pre-2020 vs 2.6 +/- 1.8 in 2020-2022, p=0.05). This decrease could be
288 due to several factors, such as increased workload for health visitors during the pandemic or
289 changes in the way health visitor services were delivered during lockdowns. Interestingly,
290 there was a significant increase in the percentage of respondents who reported having
291 contact with a health visitor during the pandemic compared to pre-2020 (96% in 2020-2022
292 vs 91% pre-2020, p<0.01) which may have been in response to the move to virtual
293 appointments.

294 **CONCLUSION**

295 The results of this study suggest that the COVID-19 pandemic has had a negative impact on
296 maternal satisfaction with care during pregnancy and postnatal care. The decrease in
297 satisfaction with care during pregnancy and postnatal care is a cause for concern, as this
298 may impact the physical and mental health outcomes of mothers and infants. The pandemic
299 may have contributed to increased anxiety and stress for pregnant and postnatal women,
300 which may have impacted their perceptions of care.

301

302 The reduction in face-to-face consultations may have led to a lack of personal connection
303 with healthcare professionals and decreased opportunities for discussion and support.
304 Virtual consultations may not have been sufficient to replace in-person consultations and
305 may have contributed to a perception of a lack of support. Women may have also found it
306 difficult to access information about available services and may have experienced difficulties
307 accessing appropriate care due to reduced staffing levels and resources.

308

309 Additionally, the study found a significant decrease in maternal perception of how their
310 thoughts and concerns were managed during pregnancy, suggesting that healthcare
311 professionals may need to improve their communication and support for women during the
312 pandemic. During the pandemic, it was challenging for healthcare professionals to provide

313 the same level of support as they did pre-pandemic due to increased demands on their
314 time, resources, and a lack of personal contact with patients. Virtual consultations may have
315 also contributed to difficulties in communication, as non-verbal cues and physical
316 examination were not possible.

317

318 Despite the challenges posed by the pandemic, there was no significant difference in
319 maternal perception of control during pregnancy, indicating that women still felt in control
320 of their pregnancies. This may be due to the availability of virtual consultations and the
321 continued provision of essential antenatal care. It may also reflect the resilience of women
322 during the pandemic and their ability to adapt to changes in healthcare services.

323

324

325

326

327 REFERENCES

- 328 1. Baker MA, Sands KE, Huang SS, et al. The impact of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) on
329 healthcare-associated infections. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2022;74(10):1748-1754.
- 330 2. Sabetkish N, Rahmani A. The overall impact of COVID-19 on healthcare during the pandemic:
331 a multidisciplinary point of view. *Health Science Reports*. 2021;4(4):e386.
- 332 3. Chudasama YV, Gillies CL, Zaccardi F, et al. Impact of COVID-19 on routine care for chronic
333 diseases: a global survey of views from healthcare professionals. *Diabetes & Metabolic Syndrome:
334 Clinical Research & Reviews*. 2020;14(5):965-967.
- 335 4. Naseer S, Khalid S, Parveen S, Abbass K, Song H, Achim MV. COVID-19 outbreak: Impact on
336 global economy. *Frontiers in public health*. 2023;10:1009393.
- 337 5. Rodríguez BO, Sánchez TL. The Psychosocial Impact of COVID-19 on health care workers.
338 *International braz j urol*. 2020;46:195-200.
- 339 6. Mensah AA, Stowe J, Jardine JE, et al. Covid-19 vaccine safety in pregnancy, a nested case-
340 control study in births from April 2021 to March 2022, England. *medRxiv*. 2023:2023.10.
341 09.23296737.
- 342 7. Ball S, Banerjee A, Berry C, et al. Monitoring indirect impact of COVID-19 pandemic on
343 services for cardiovascular diseases in the UK. *Heart*. 2020;106(24):1890-1897.
- 344 8. Bardet A, Fraslin AM, Marghadi J, et al. Impact of COVID-19 on healthcare organisation and
345 cancer outcomes. *European Journal of Cancer*. 2021;153:123-132.
- 346 9. Di Mascio D, Khalil A, Saccone G, et al. Outcome of coronavirus spectrum infections (SARS,
347 MERS, COVID-19) during pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *American journal of
348 obstetrics & gynecology MFM*. 2020;2(2):100107.

- 349 10. Moyer CA, Compton SD, Kaselitz E, Muzik M. Pregnancy-related anxiety during COVID-19: a
350 nationwide survey of 2740 pregnant women. *Archives of women's mental health*. 2020;23:757-765.
- 351 11. Kasaven LS, Raynaud I, Jalmbant M, Joash K, Jones BP. The impact of the COVID-19
352 pandemic on perinatal services and maternal mental health in the UK. *BJPsych Open*. 2023;9(1):e13.
- 353 12. Penna AL, de Aquino CM, Pinheiro MSN, et al. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on
354 maternal mental health, early childhood development, and parental practices: a global scoping
355 review. *BMC public health*. 2023;23(1):388.
- 356 13. Eysenbach G. Improving the quality of Web surveys: the Checklist for Reporting Results of
357 Internet E-Surveys (CHERRIES). Gunther Eysenbach Centre for Global eHealth Innovation, Toronto,
358 Canada; 2004. p. e34.
- 359 14. Braun V, Clarke V. Conceptual and design thinking for thematic analysis. *Qualitative*
360 *psychology*. 2022;9(1):3.
- 361 15. Braun V, Clarke V. Reflecting on reflexive thematic analysis. *Qualitative research in sport,*
362 *exercise and health*. 2019;11(4):589-597.
- 363