1

1	
2	
3	
4	Facilitators and barriers to contraception access and use for Hispanic American adolescent
5	women: An integrative literature review
6	
7	Lindsay M. Batek ^{1¶*} , Natalie M. Leblanc ^{1&} , Amina P. Alio ^{2&} , Karen F. Stein ^{1&} , James M.
8	McMahon ^{1&}
0	
10	
10	
11	
12 13 14 15 16 17	 ¹ School of Nursing, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, NY, United States of America ² School of Medicine and Dentistry, Department of Public Health Sciences, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, NY, United States of America
18	
19	
20	*Corresponding author
21	E-mail: <u>lindsay_batek@URMC.rochester.edu</u> (LB)
22	
23 24 25 26 27	

2

28 Abstract

29 **Statement of the Problem:** Hispanic American adolescents experience high rates of pregnancy 30 with profound consequences. Compared with White teens, Hispanic teens use contraception less 31 frequently and often choose less effective forms of contraception. Access to contraception is a 32 primary barrier to use which contributes to relatively high and disparate rates of pregnancy in 33 Hispanic teens. This integrative review identifies facilitators and barriers of contraception access 34 and use for Hispanic women, 13-17 years of age, living in the U.S. 35 Methodology: Following the Whittemore and Knafl (2005) methodology and PRISMA 36 guidelines, peer reviewed studies were retrieved from PUBMED, CINAHL and EMBASE. The 37 Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool was used to assess the study quality and thematic analysis was 38 used to categorize barriers and facilitators. 39 Findings: Of 131 studies retrieved, 16 met inclusion criteria. Individual, interpersonal and 40 healthcare provider factors were identified as primary categories of barriers and facilitators with 41 contextual issues comprising an additional barrier category. Individual level barriers were 42 disproportionately represented and further categorized into themes: beliefs/misconceptions, 43 dislike of contraception, pregnancy risk perception, lack of knowledge, and lack of control. The 44 most frequently reported facilitators were perceived parent comfort discussing sexual health and 45 past experience of pregnancy. 46 Discussion: Limitations in this review may stem from heterogeneity in the acculturation and 47 geography of participants and analysis by a single reviewer. Implications include considering the 48 range of information sources and the influence they have on risk perception and risk mitigation 49 for this population.

3

50 Conclusion & Significance: Adolescents describe many modifiable influences on contraception 51 access and use. Misperceptions related to contraception, stemming from beliefs and perceptions, 52 can be corrected through increased access to reliable sources of sex education, parental support, 53 and direct access to nurses and healthcare providers. Awareness of these influences can inform 54 further research and intervention development to address these health disparities.

55 Introduction

56 Unintended teen pregnancy in Hispanic women is a significant public health problem that 57 has profound consequences. Compared with White teens, Hispanic teens use less contraception 58 and methods used are less effective [1, 2]. Further, Hispanic teens are less likely to have used 59 contraception at last intercourse (24% and 12%, respectively) and to have used a prescription 60 (more effective) method of contraception (38% for White and 18% for Hispanic teens)[3]. 61 Hispanic teens have described significant barriers to accessing effective contraception, which 62 contributes to relatively high rates of teen pregnancy [1].

63 Hispanic teen women experience teen pregnancy at a rate of 25.3 births per 1,000 64 women, compared with 11.4 birth per 1,000 Non-Hispanic White women [4]. In addition to 65 being a costly problem with estimated annual healthcare costs of \$6 billion in lost tax revenue 66 and \$3 billion in public expenses [5], it has significant short- and long-term consequences for 67 teen mothers and their children [6, 7]. Teen pregnancy can affect educational attainment. 68 economic status, and mental, social, and physical health for mother and child. The school 69 dropout rate is higher for pregnant teens, which disrupts education and can subsequently limit 70 employment possibilities, earning potential and increase risk of poverty [5]. Teen mothers have 71 an increased risk of depression, substance abuse, and criminal conviction [5]. Children of teen 72 mothers may have adverse health and developmental outcomes including prematurity, low birth

weight, higher risk of perinatal and infant mortality, and poorer long term cognitive development

73

95

4

74 [5]. These consequences affect long-term health trajectories and disproportionately affect 75 Hispanic adolescents and their children [8]. 76 **Disparities in Contraception Use and Access** 77 According to the CDC, 75% of pregnancies in women between the ages of 15-19 years 78 are unintended [9]. Unintended teen pregnancy is avoidable through abstinence or consistent use 79 of effective contraception [10]. Contraceptive misuse or nonuse [11] or use of less effective 80 contraception [12] may be partially explained by lack of knowledge about pregnancy risk, birth 81 control, barriers to access, or distrust of the healthcare system [12-14]. The most effective 82 methods of contraception for sexually active teens, such as oral contraceptive pills, intrauterine 83 devices, and contraceptive implants, require a prescription [10, 13]. 84 Healthcare access is defined as "the timely use of personal health services to achieve the 85 best health outcomes" [15]. The Institute of Medicine definition of 'access' combines it with 86 healthcare use, which can be considered "realised access" [16]. Identified barriers to 87 contraception access include affordability, shame, embarrassment and physical difficulty 88 reaching services and these barriers disproportionately effect individuals from marginalized 89 populations based on age, income, race/ethnicity, rurality, and education [17]. In contrast, 90 expanded access to family planning services has been shown to increase use of contraception and 91 reduce teen pregnancy rates [10, 13]. However, adolescents may have little experience and fewer 92 resources to access care and are less likely to receive adequate care when they receive services 93 [12, 18]. 94 Despite the myriad of known barriers to contraception access, three out of four teens are

successfully finding and using contraception [1] which raises questions about the factors that

5

96 determine contraception access and use and how some women are able to access contraception97 while others are not.

98 **Definitions**

99 This integrative review was conducted to identify literature related to the access and use 100 of contraception among female Hispanic adolescents or teens, living in the U.S. The World 101 Health Organization defines 'adolescence' within the age range of 10-19 years [19]; however, for 102 this study we used a narrower age range of 13-17 years old because of the high rates of 103 inconsistent contraceptive use and unintended pregnancy in this group [20]. 'Hispanic' is defined 104 as "a person of Cuban, Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, South or Central American, or other 105 Spanish culture or origin, regardless of race" [21]. Given that Hispanic is an ethnic identity, 106 individuals who identify as Hispanic may pertain to several distinct racial groups, the majority of 107 whom identify as multiracial [22], 'Contraception' is defined as the "intentional prevention of 108 conception through the use of various devices, sexual practices, chemicals, drugs, or surgical 109 procedures" [23]. While abstinence is included in the definition of contraception, for this review, 110 our interest is in sexually active teens accessing contraception.

111 Methods

112 Study search

113 This integrative review follows the Whittemore and Knafl [24] methodology which is a 114 five-step process that identifies the problem, conducts a well-defined literature search, assesses 115 study quality, analyzes data, and articulates conclusions. The *Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool,* 116 *Version 2018* (MMAT)[25], was used to assess the quality of included studies. The data from

6

primary sources was extracted into tables and categorized, synthesized, and summarized intointegrated conclusions.

A systematic search was conducted from three online databases: PUBMED, CINAHL
and EMBASE. Keywords searched were (Hispanic[tiab] OR Latina [tiab]) AND
("Adolescent"[Mesh] OR "teen*"[tiab]) AND ("Contraception" [Mesh] OR "birth control"[tiab])

122 AND ("Access"[tiab] OR "decision" [tiab]). Additional hand searching and searching from

123 reference lists of included studies contributed to the search.

124 Eligibility Criteria

Inclusion criteria for this review were studies published in English, in peer reviewed
journals, prior to February 2024 that addressed female Hispanic adolescent access to
contraception or birth control. Studies were included when the sample included Hispanic
adolescent women between the ages 13-17 years of age living in the United States. Studies were
selected based on meeting the inclusion criteria and non-Hispanic groups, males and multiple age
groups were included if data was presented separated by race/ethnicity (Hispanic), gender
(female), and age (13-17 years old).

132 Studies were excluded if they were not peer reviewed, gray literature, non-English 133 studies, and studies that were not related to the research questions such as intervention studies, 134 healthcare provider strategies or studies about parental views of contraception. Intervention 135 studies were excluded because the purpose of this review is to identify naturally occurring 136 strategies reported by adolescents that facilitate access to contraception.

137 Selection Process

Once the search was completed, duplicate studies were removed, titles and abstracts were screened for eligibility, and full texts were then reviewed for inclusion including relevance to the

7

140	study aims. Excluded studies were grouped by reason for exclusion as noted on the PRISMA
141	flow diagram (Fig 1) [26]. The initial search resulted in 131 studies, of which 16 were included
142	in this integrative review.

143 **Quality Appraisal**

The MMAT [25] is a critical appraisal tool designed for reviews that include qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods studies [25]. This tool facilitates the process of appraising various types of literature in one tool by answering the series of quality assessment questions as they are presented rather than calculating an overall score [25].

148 Data Extraction and Synthesis

Following the process outlined by Whittemore and Knafl [24], data were extracted from the 16 selected articles including sample characteristics, location, study design, theory used, measurement tools, outcomes, barriers, and facilitators. Barriers and facilitators were identified by reviewing the data, and thematic analysis [27] was utilized to create initial codes, collate, and group codes into themes and categories. Each occurrence of a barrier or facilitator in the 16 selected studies was counted, and the total frequencies across all papers were tabulated and organized into tables and figures.

156 **Results**

157 Study Selection

Of the 131 articles identified from the database search, 31 duplicates were removed, 100 articles
were screened by their title and abstract, 46 of which were excluded because they were off topic.
The retrieval of full text was sought for 54 records. Full text articles were unavailable for 8

8

articles, and 46 were screened. Reasons for exclusion are noted on the PRISMA [26] flow
diagram. The remaining 16 articles were included in the review.

163 Fig 1. PRISMA 2020 Flow Diagram

164 165 Study methodology. This review was based on 16 peer reviewed articles published about barriers 166 and facilitators to contraception in Hispanic adolescent women living in the U.S. This review 167 included qualitative studies (n=11), quantitative descriptive studies (n=3), and mixed method 168 studies (n=2). For the qualitative studies, the type of qualitative methodology (grounded theory 169 or ethnography, etc.) was rarely described. Qualitative studies relied mostly on interviews [28-170 34] and focus groups [8, 11, 18, 31, 35]. Barral et al. [6] used mixed methods and grounded 171 theory focus groups and open-ended questions. Gilliam et al. [1] used focus groups to develop a 172 survey instrument to later give to participants. For the quantitative studies included in this 173 review, there were very few validated scales or measurement tools that were used to measure the 174 outcomes of interest. Of the few quantitative studies that used survey instruments, measures used included the contraceptive failure rate (CFR); contraceptive risk indices (CRI), which represents 175 176 pregnancy risk; and the pregnancy risk index (PRI), which is the probability of pregnancy based 177 on sexual activity and birth control method [2]. Two studies were secondary analyses [2, 36] and 178 one was a retrospective chart review [13].

179 **Study Quality**

The aim and purpose of the studies were well-aligned with the research questions, chosen methodology and interpretation of the data. The MMAT indicated that the selected studies were generally of high quality with low risk of bias although a few weaknesses were apparent, such as use of a convenience sample, conceptual issues such as grouping belief and experiences together,

9

184	not explicitly stating the validity of the measures used, and a lack of information about non-
185	responders. The results of the quality assessment are listed on the third column of Table 1.
186	Study Characteristics
187	Study Size and Geography. The sample size in the studies ranged from small (n=8) in the
188	qualitative studies, to large, the National Survey of Family Growth, N=9321. One study had
189	focus groups from Mexico and California [31] and all of the remaining studies took place in the
190	U.S. in both rural [6, 34] and urban areas [1, 11, 35]. Some studies described the state or city
191	locations, with represented regions in the U.S. including the East Coast (Baltimore [35]);
192	Midwest (Kansas [6], Chicago [1, 11]); the South (Alabama [32], North Carolina [34]); and the
193	West Coast (California [31]).
194	Demographic Descriptions. Frequent labels used to describe participants included Latino or
195	Hispanic youth [1, 2, 6, 8, 13, 18, 29, 30, 32-34, 36]. Some studies described the samples (at
196	least partly) as Mexican American [11, 28, 31] and immigrant Latinas [35]. The countries and
197	places of origin of participants were not always described but those reported were Mexican [28,
198	31, 33], Puerto Rican [8, 33], and Dominican [8].
199	Acculturation. The acculturation level of the participants was inconsistently provided. Some
200	studies describe the acculturation level of participants in the results [1, 6, 28, 31, 33]. When
201	acculturation was described, it was by place of birth [30, 32, 34, 35], length of time in the U.S.
202	[32], or acculturation score which was determined by a language based scale [1, 33] or a
203	modified version of the Short Acculturation Scale for Hispanics (SASH) combined with years
204	living in the US [6].
205	Theoretical Frameworks. Some studies articulated a theory that guided their research such as the

206 Theory of Planned Behavior [34, 35], the Health Belief Model [29], the Socioecological Model

10

of Sexual Health [32], Pechansky and Thomas' Theory of Access [31], and the Construct

208 Accessibility Model [33].

209 Table 1: Included Studies

Author details, date	Study objective(s)	Study design, Analysis, Quality Assessment	Sample and context	Authors' main conclusions
Becerra & de Anda, 1984 [28]	The perspectives of Mexican American and White adolescents were examined regarding their romantic and sexual relationships, sex education and birth control and reactions to pregnancy and motherhood.	Open-ended interviews with women who were currently pregnant, had been pregnant in the past, or had miscarried. QA: Strong	32 Mexican American and 17 White adolescents who were pregnant or had been pregnant in the past between 13-19 years old	Pregnancy was a result of not being able to view pregnancy as a potential reality for oneself. The majority of Mexican American adolescents became pregnant in the context of a long-term relationship and shared close emotional bonds with the baby's father.

Barral, et al., 2020 [37]	To describe the knowledge, beliefs, and attitudes about contraception among rural Latino adolescents and young adults.	Focus groups and surveys. FGs discussed attitudes, norms and perceived sexual behaviors, individual surveys assessed demographics and acculturation demographics and acculturation. QA: Strong	84 Latino youth aged 15-24 years from rural Kansas	Themes that emerged included geographical/rural location, cultural barriers, religious influences, lack of sexual education, and personal attitudes toward pregnancy and contraception use. Participants described close-knit communities with limited access to confidential reproductive health care and cultural and religious factors as influences on family planning behaviors and obstruct access to sexual health and contraception knowledge and services. Ambivalence regarding pregnancy intentions was common, along with the belief that contraception equates with abortion.
Carvajal et al., 2017 [35]	To identify factors that influence contraceptive decision making among Latinas in Baltimore.	Focus groups and semi structured interviews QA: Strong	8 Latina women between the ages of 15-24 years old in Baltimore, MD	The main driver of contraceptive intentions is the desire to avoid unintended pregnancy. The role of PCPs in contraceptive decision making is to build strong patient relationships through heightened

11

communication and trust.

n was not cert	It is ma	is the author/funder, who has ade available under a CC-BY	4.0 International licens	ense to display the preprint in perpetuit e .	у.
				12	
ornick at	To identify	Somi structurad	11	Identified harriers to	

Chernick et al., 2015 [29]	To identify barriers to and enablers of contraceptive use among adolescent females using the ED and determine their interest in an ED-based pregnancy prevention intervention	Semi-structured, open ended interviews in urban ED. QA: Strong	14 predominantly Hispanic 14– 19-year-old sexually active females who present to urban ED with reproductive complaints and at risk for pregnancy (nonuse of effective contraception)	Identified barriers to contraception use include side effects, mistrust in contraceptives, limited access, pregnancy ambivalence and partner pregnancy desires as barriers to hormonal contraception use.
Desrosiers et al., 2013[13]	To examine contraceptive choices among Hispanic and non-Hispanic girls to determine if there are differences when the barrier of cost is removed	retrospective chart review QA: Strong	666 patients, adolescent females aged 13-19 years, 27% Hispanic	Of the 390 subjects who chose contraception during their visit, Hispanic subjects, who represented 32% of the group, were more likely to choose condoms and oral contraceptive pills compared to non-Hispanic subjects.
Erickson, 1998 [30]	To investigate cultural and social factors affecting the initiation of sexual intercourse among Latina adolescent mothers (who gave birth before age 18 in Los Angeles).	Life history interviews with 40 young mothers and their partners. QA: Strong	40 young, low income, Hispanic women who had births before age of 18 and their partners (only 14) conducted in 1994-1997	The interviews suggest that for these young mothers, it was not really sex that was being negotiated, but the couple's entire relationship. "Rational" decision making regarding sex, contraception, and STD prevention could only become the norm for these young couples after they had been having intercourse for some time.

Galloway et al., 2017 [18]	To understand African American and Latino teens' preferences for finding health information, perceptions of accessing reproductive health services and beliefs and contraception.	8 Focus Groups in the Fall of 2012 with African American and Latino male and female youth in South Carolina QA: Strong	A total of 63 participants, African American and Hispanic youth age 15-19, participated in focus groups divided by gender and race/ethnicity	Teen concerns included confidentiality of reproductive health services, a desire for services that are private, friendly and welcoming. They also revealed inaccurate beliefs about the teens ability to consent to care without a parent's permission and about the use and effectiveness of birth control.
Gilliam et al., (2004) [11]	To understand factors influencing use and nonuse of contraception in young, low- income Latina adolescents through focus groups	Focus Group discussions with Latino females QA: Strong	40 Latina women between the ages of 18-26 who were recruited from an outpatient clinic in Chicago	There was complete consensus throughout the sessions regarding cultural and social influences prohibiting sex outside of marriage, admonishments against premarital sex appeared to be directed against women which contribute to contraception non-use. Following pregnancy participants had greater access to

contraception and more

resolve to use it.

14

Gilliam et al., 2011 [1]	To understand the factors influencing the use of effective methods of contraception in Latina adolescents and young adults as well as the relative psychosocial factors.	Face to face interviews were conducted to develop a survey instrument that was later given to participants QA: Strong	274 Non- pregnant Latina, predominantly Mexican females, between 13-25 years of age on the West side of Chicago	Study findings included the importance of tailoring messages to Latina adolescent and young adults to reduce unintended pregnancy. Interventions to improve effective contraceptive use among Latina adolescents should encourage effective forms of contraception combined with communication with their partners about birth control while considering acculturation.
Guilamo- Ramos et al, 2019 [38]	To explore maternal and adolescent perspectives on the involvement and role of Latino mothers in providing guidance regarding contraception use.	Focus Groups with adolescent- mother dyads (semi structured guides were used in focus groups) QA: Strong	21 mother- adolescent Latino dyads (n=42) (predominantly Puerto Rican and Dominican). Latino adolescents ages were 17- 19 years	Results suggest asymmetric priorities and preferences with respect to maternal involvement in contraceptive decision making among older adolescents. Results demonstrate a missed opportunity for Latino mothers to support their older adolescent children to prevent unplanned pregnancies, STIs and HIV.
Kramer et al., 2018 [36]	To investigate influences (demographic, socioeconomic, race/ethnicity and reproductive health characteristics) on long-acting reversible contraceptive (LARC) use	Secondary Data Analysis with Data derived from 2011-2013 and 2013-2015 National Surveys of Family Growth (NSFG), using logistic regression analysis QA: Strong- moderate	9321 Non- Hispanic White, non- Hispanic Black, and Hispanic women of reproductive age (15-45) (11% Hispanic)	Similar patterns of LARC use were found by race/ethnicity. The experience of unintended pregnancy among whites and Hispanics predicted probability of LARC use

Mitchell et al., 2023 [31]	To compare contraceptive access experiences of pregnant and non-pregnant Mexican-origin youth in Mexico and California.	Focus groups, in- depth interviews and brief sociodemographic survey conducted in English and Spanish were used. QA: Strong	74 Pregnant or parenting Mexican-origin female youth from Mexico (n=49) and California (n=25) age 14- 20 years.	In both locations participants described obstacles in accessing preferred contraception due to social, cultural and institutional dynamics. Participants worried about parental and peer opinions as well as side effects. Lack of knowledge about options was found in California participants.
Morales- Alemán et al., 2020 [32]	To examine young Latina women's perceptions and experiences of accessing sexual healthcare	Semi-structured qualitative interviews QA: Strong- moderate	20 young Latina women between the ages of 15-19 living in Alabama and in the US for ≥ 5 years	Describe influences on accessing sexual healthcare based on the Socioecological Model of Sexual Health. Participants described barriers related to sociocultural influences including need for interpreters, transportation, feeling stereotyped; due to social relationships such as parental influences, and individual level embarrassment, stigma, or lack of awareness.
Norris & Ford, 1992 [33]	To identify condom beliefs that may influence intentions and use in young minority populations	Qualitative, face to face interviews, structured and with open-ended questions QA: moderate	64 African American and Hispanic (majority from Puerto Rico) adolescents (ages 15-21) (15 were Hispanic	Only 13% of Hispanic participants knew that nonlatex condoms do not provide effective protection. Condom use was inconsistent, with many describing negative experiences with condoms including condom

females)

breakage, slipping,

reducing sensation;

Hispanic females reported lower "thinking about condoms", 50% thought about using condoms

Waddell et al., 2010 [2]	To identify risk components such as race/ethnicity, neighborhood by modeling demographic difference, odds of recent sexual activity and birth control use	Data from the 2005 and 2007 New York City Youth Risk Behavior Surveys, (32.7% Hispanic), was analyzed to calculate overall pregnancy risk as it relates to race/ethnicity, grade level, age, borough and school neighborhood. QA: Strong- moderate	6,608 non- Hispanic Black, Hispanic and non-Hispanic - White teen girls in NYC public schools in 2005 and 2007	Hormonal contraception use was low in all groups. Compared with non- Hispanic Whites, Hispanic female students were less likely to report use of condoms, use of a hormonal method, or withdrawal method and had a 50% increased Pregnancy Risk Index. The Contraceptive Failure Rate (predicted annual number of pregnancies per 100 sexually active public high school females was significantly higher in Hispanic females compared with non- Hispanic whites
Wilson et al., (2011) [34]	To explore factors that influence adolescents' postpartum use of contraceptive	In-depth interviews QA: Strong	In-depth interviews with 21 black, white and Latina (n=7) adolescent first- time mothers (who gave birth between 13–17- year-old) from rural and urban areas of North Carolina	Contraceptive use increased after childbirth related to change in pregnancy attitudes and intentions, knowledge and access to contraception, improved parent communication.

210

211 Facilitators and Barriers to Contraception Described by Category

212 Through the data extraction and synthesis process, both the facilitators and barriers were

213 grouped into the following overarching categories: individual, interpersonal (family,

spouse/partner, friend), and healthcare provider levels; further, contextual matters emerged as an

additional category of barriers. Each of these main categories was further subdivided into

thematic subcategories as displayed in two sunburst charts, one for facilitators (Fig 2), and one

216

17

217 for barriers (Fig 3). On both charts, the categories that resulted from the thematic analysis are 218 visible in concentric rings with themes in the center and related subthemes on the periphery. The 219 sizes of the parcels in each chart are proportional to the number of occurrences of that barrier or 220 facilitator category across the 16 selected studies. 221 Fig 2. Facilitators to Contraception. Themes identified as facilitators to contraception use are 222 displayed in the inner circle and are further differentiated into the subthemes located on the outer 223 circle. 224 Fig 3. Barriers to Contraception. Themes identified as barriers to contraception use are 225 displayed on the inner ring by with subthemes located on the outer ring. 226 **Category 1: Individual Level Facilitators and Barriers** 227 The most frequently mentioned individual facilitators were the clarity of intention to 228 avoid pregnancy [11, 34, 35], and experience of pregnancy [1, 6, 28, 34, 36]. Additional 229 facilitators identified were clear plans for the future [29], and more communication about 230 contraception [8, 33]. 231 The individual level barriers constituted a disproportional amount of the total barriers 232 identified in this review with the number of data points nearly triple that of any other category. 233 Individual barriers to contraception access were divided into five subthemes. The subthemes 234 include beliefs/misconceptions, dislike of contraception, pregnancy risk perception, lack of 235 knowledge, and lack of control. Specific factors that were most frequently described as barriers

were side effects/perceived health risks [11, 18, 34], misconception regarding contraception or

fertility [18, 29, 32], ambiguous or ambivalent desire for children [6, 11, 28, 29], lack of

knowledge about options [11, 28, 30, 33-35], and male partner dominance [11, 29, 30, 35].

18

239	Across the five subthemes, dislike of contraception and beliefs/misconceptions were the most
240	common. The first theme, 'dislike of contraception' was related to the side effects such as effects
241	on menstruation, weight and future fertility and perceived health risks of contraception [11, 18,
242	31, 34], that it decreases physical or emotional pleasure [18, 33], taboo [11, 30], fear of lack of
243	confidentiality and reaction of others' if they learn one is using contraception, uncertainty in
244	selecting contraception [18, 29, 31], and negative past experience, such as condom breakage or
245	slippage [29, 33].
246	Within the second theme, 'beliefs/misconceptions' there were descriptions of beliefs [18,
247	28], such as "abortion is murder" [6, 28], and that contraception equals abortion, that withdrawal
248	is effective if pregnancy is not desired [11], mistrust of the healthcare system [29, 35], and
249	misconceptions regarding contraception and fertility [18, 29, 32].
250	The third theme, 'pregnancy risk perception,' encompasses several attitudes and beliefs,
251	including the view that there is no risk of pregnancy [18, 28, 31], avoidance of condoms,
252	perceiving them as protection against sexually transmitted infections rather than for pregnancy
253	prevention [33], and ambiguous or actual desire for children [6, 11, 28, 29, 34].
254	Lack of knowledge and lack of control were the final two subthemes in this category.
255	Participants described a lack of information about contraceptive options [11, 28, 30-35], feelings
256	of embarrassment, stigma or lack of confidence accessing care [32, 34], and a lack of knowledge
257	about biology and physiology [30]. Lack of control related to male partner dominance [11, 29,
258	30, 35], reliance on the male partner for the withdrawal method [11], lack of control over
259	pregnancy related to infertility beliefs, religious influence and feelings of invincibility [11, 18,
260	28], uncertainty about the future [29], unplanned or unexpected intercourse [30, 34], and not
261	considering condom use [33].

19

262 Category 2: Interpersonal Level Facilitators and Barriers

Interpersonal facilitators to contraception access included parent comfort in discussing sexual health [8, 32, 34], accompaniment and transportation to the doctor [32, 34], positive reinforcement from family, friends and schools [1, 8, 31, 35], mother/daughter alignment or parent support on sexual and reproductive health (SRH) [8, 32, 34], friends who have had positive experiences with contraception [29, 31], and mutual agreement with partners about not wanting a pregnancy [29].

Interpersonal barriers to contraception access were described as familial influences such as *familismo* ("a value that reflects individuals' strong attachment and solidarity with their nuclear and extended families") [6, 11], family dishonor [6, 11], cultural or familial beliefs prohibiting use of birth control [11, 18, 31, 32, 34], and sexual activity [11, 28, 30, 32], and misalignment of parent/daughter priorities [8, 11, 29, 32, 34].

274 Guilamo-Ramos et al. [8] and Morales-Alemán et al. [32] explored the vital role of the 275 maternal and parent influence on the daughter's SRH. The need for support was expressed by 276 several teens "My mother doesn't really understand If only my mom could get it and 277 understand I could really use her support." [8]. And "... I pretend I have never done it. That way, 278 I can avoid a fight." [8]. Morales-Alemán et al. [32] reported that the main barrier to accessing 279 SRH services for most participants was parental disapproval of them having sex and using these 280 services. While some mothers were supportive and proactive in pregnancy prevention for their 281 teens, others were unsure, as one parent expressed, "Should I mention it? It's really confusing 282 and scary because there is so much I do not know. Nobody ever told me. I got pregnant early 283 on." [8]. These experiences reflect how the maternal influence can be either a facilitator or 284 barrier to SRH uptake.

20

285 Category 3: Healthcare Provider Barriers and Facilitators

Characteristics of healthcare providers that facilitated access to contraception included
 patient-centered communication, nonjudgmental and non-discriminating interactions, and
 trustworthiness [29, 35]. Clinic features that facilitate access were providing privacy [18], having

respectful office staff [29, 30], and being located in a school-based health clinic [29]. Healthcare

290 provider barriers were low patient-rated communication [35], perceived discrimination [18, 32,

291 35], or inadequate care given due to race [35].

292 Category 4: Contextual Level Barriers to Contraception

293 Contextual level influences on contraception were described in studies only as barriers

294 (no facilitators were described) to contraception and categorized into cultural, religious,

295 geographical, and practical influences. Culturally, sexual taboo or stigma [11, 30, 32, 39],

296 placing a high value on virginity [6, 30], and valuing sexual ignorance and sexual passivity in

women [30] are all barriers to contraception. Both religious objections to the use of

contraception [1, 35] and neighborhood contexts that influence younger sexual initiation [2] were

299 contextual influences that represented barriers to contraceptive access. One study by Carvajal et

al. [35] described contradictory findings regarding the influence of religious ideology and norms.

301 Norris & Ford [33] report a specific stigma found in their study: the perception that condoms are

302 for unclean people. Practical matters that were barriers to contraception were loss of Medicaid

and continuity of care (related to the end of pregnancy) [34], lack of time for a clinic visit [32],

lack of public transit or transportation [29, 32], lack of insurance and cost [11, 32, 34], and need

305 for interpreters [32]. Additionally, two studies reported that participants mentioned the negative

306 influence of media and internet advertisements about contraception as inducing fear [18, 29].

307 **Discussion**

21

This analysis sought to better understand barriers and facilitators that influence access to and use of contraception in female Hispanic teens ages 13-17 years old. Sixteen studies were included, and 40 specific barriers and 16 facilitators were found and presented as subthemes. The facilitators that emerged from this analysis provide insight into potentially outcome-changing influences that support women's reproductive autonomy, decision making, and acquisition of contraception.

314 Among barriers identified, sentiments such as "abortion is murder" or that contraception 315 is equivalent to abortion [6, 28], and the belief that withdrawal will work if pregnancy is not 316 desired [11] illustrates how ideology can intertwine with misconceptions leading to inaccurate 317 perceptions about risk and risk management. These views, combined with barriers such as 318 embarrassment, stigma and lack of confidence accessing care [32, 34] and lack of knowledge 319 about biology and physiology [30], highlight the complex influences that make it challenging for 320 teens to seek information and ask questions from reliable sources such as trusted adults and 321 healthcare providers. This complexity can contribute to a sense of lack of control, exacerbated by 322 male partner dominance (with age difference) [11, 29, 30, 35] and reliance on the male partner 323 for the withdrawal method [11], and a lack of control over pregnancy due to beliefs about 324 fertility, religious influences, and feelings of invincibility or the perception that 'it won't happen 325 to me' [11, 18, 28]. Interventions that inadequately address these barriers in meaningful ways 326 may be less effective or ineffective.

In contrast, key facilitators include a clear intention to avoid pregnancy, parental comfort discussing sexual health, positive reinforcement from family, friends and school, and good communication and trust and respect from healthcare provider and their office staff. These are actionable items that warrant consideration in the development of future interventions.

22

331 Implications

332 The Role of Sex Education and Experience

333 When considering how an individual makes decisions regarding contraception, 334 perception and understanding of risk and ease of mitigating risk are each important. This review 335 demonstrated the different sources of influence ranging from individual beliefs to personal 336 experience of pregnancy, to people who directly support and influence teens such as parents, 337 peers, partners, and healthcare providers. Many of the barriers identified in this review are 338 addressed in sex education classes in schools in the U.S. including misconceptions and lack of 339 knowledge; yet, Hispanic students may receive less sex education because they are more likely 340 to drop out of school [40] or move frequently, which may preclude them from receiving adequate 341 sex education [6]. Even when Hispanic students receive sex education in school, the quality and 342 content provided is inconsistent ranging from abstinence-only to comprehensive sex education 343 leading to disparities in what is learned, and related outcomes [41]. These disparities contribute 344 to socially driven health and systemic inequities for Hispanic teen pregnancy rates [41]. 345 Parents, peers, partners, and healthcare providers can have a strong influence on 346 contraception access and can serve as barriers or facilitators. Morales-Alemán's et al. [32] 347 finding that parent disapproval of teens having sex was their main barrier to access to care for 348 SRH, which indicates the importance of parent attitudes towards teen access to contraception as 349 either a barrier [11, 32, 34] or facilitator [32]. Male partners can be barriers through male partner 350 dominance and controlling withdrawal or facilitators through mutual agreement with partner 351 about pregnancy intentions, positive reinforcement, providing contraception or supporting access 352 to the healthcare providers. Healthcare providers are positioned to prime parents to be 353 supportive of teen's access to care for SRH. They can also support teens independently by

23

providing care that is private, confidential, nonjudgmental, non-discriminatory, and patient-centered and in an accessible location.

Further research can build upon the findings presented here by discerning what meaningfully differentiates those who have adequate awareness of pregnancy risk and the ability to access contraception from those who do not. This may reveal the relative impact of different factors on teens, how these factors synergize to serve as barriers or facilitators, and patterns in how these influences affect teen contraceptive access and use. Understanding these dynamics may identify targeted strategies to reduce disparities in teen pregnancy.

362 Limitations

363 The studies included in this analysis grouped teens by the umbrella terms of 'Hispanic' or 364 'Latina' but there is considerable diversity and variation within this group. Though 365 commonalities such as taboos, stigma, and misconceptions were found, it is unclear if more 366 research across ethnic or national subgroups may reveal important differences. The presence of 367 bias in the findings presented in this review cannot be ruled out as a single author [LB] 368 conducted the literature search, assessed studies for inclusion, rated bias, extracted, analyzed, 369 and synthesized the data. The search sought to identify studies about Hispanic teens but did not 370 search all potential places of origin of Hispanics in the U.S. such as Mexican, Guatemalan, 371 Puerto Rican, Columbian etc., so studies about those specific groups, while applicable, may not 372 have been identified.

373 Conclusion

This integrative review sheds light on the barriers and facilitators to contraceptive access from the perspective of female Hispanic teens, aged 13-17 in the U.S. The synthesis of

- 376 perspectives and experiences revealed in this review is informative and significant, given the
- 377 disparities in contraception access and pregnancy rates in this group. Identification of barriers
- and facilitators can stimulate discussion and research aimed at improving the delivery of
- 379 education and healthcare to meet the contraceptive needs of female Hispanic teens. The
- 380 implications presented here underscore the importance of addressing the unique multilevel
- 381 barriers and leveraging facilitators encountered by this population to promote health equity and
- 382 improve access to contraception.

383 **References**

384 Gilliam ML, Neustadt A, Whitaker A, Kozloski M. Familial, cultural and psychosocial 1. 385 influences of use of effective methods of contraception among Mexican-American adolescents 386 and young adults. J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol. 2011;24(2):79-84. Epub 20101203. doi: 387 10.1016/j.jpag.2010.10.002. PubMed PMID: 21126893; PubMed Central PMCID: 388 PMCPMC5145289. 389 2. Waddell EN, Orr MG, Sackoff J, Santelli JS, Waddell EN, Orr MG, et al. Pregnancy risk 390 among black, white, and Hispanic teen girls in New York City public schools. Journal of Urban 391 Health. 2010;87(3):426-39. doi: 10.1007/s11524-010-9454-4. PubMed PMID: 105203990. 392 Language: English. Entry Date: 20100924. Revision Date: 20200708. Publication Type: journal 393 article. 394 Caudillo ML, Hickman SN, Simpson SS. Racial and Ethnic Differences in the 3. 395 Relationship Between Risk-Taking and the Effectiveness of Adolescents' Contraceptive Use. 396 Perspect Sex Reprod Health. 2020;52(4):253-64. Epub 20201228. doi: 10.1363/psrh.12165. 397 PubMed PMID: 33372342; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC10506860. 398 Martin JA, Hamilton BE, Osterman MJK, Driscoll AK. Births: Final Data for 2019. Natl 4. 399 Vital Stat Rep. 2021;70(2):1-51. PubMed PMID: 33814033. 400 5. Coyne CA, D'Onofrio BM. Some (but not much) progress toward understanding teenage 401 childbearing: a review of research from the past decade. Adv Child Dev Behav. 2012;42:113-52. doi: 10.1016/b978-0-12-394388-0.00004-6. PubMed PMID: 22675905; PubMed Central 402 403 PMCID: PMCPMC3654402. 404 Barral RL, Cartujano B, Perales J, Ramirez M, Cowden JD, Trent ME, et al. Knowledge, 6. 405 beliefs, and attitudes about contraception among rural Latino adolescents and young adults. J 406 Rural Health. 2020;36(1):38-47. Epub 2019/08/21. doi: 10.1111/jrh.12390. PubMed PMID: 407 31430396. 408 Johnson R, Nshom M, Nye AM, Cohall AT. There's always Plan B: adolescent 7. 409 knowledge, attitudes and intention to use emergency contraception. Contraception. 410 2010;81(2):128-32. Epub 2010/01/28. doi: 10.1016/j.contraception.2009.08.005. PubMed PMID: 411 20103450.

25

412 Guilamo-Ramos V, Bowman AS, Benzekri A, Ruiz Y, Beltran O. Misalignment of 8. 413 sexual and reproductive health priorities among older Latino adolescents and their mothers. 414 Contraception. 2019;99(3):179-83. Epub 2018/11/25. doi: 10.1016/j.contraception.2018.11.011. 415 PubMed PMID: 30471265. 416 9. Center for Disease Control and Prevention. Unintended Pregnancy. March 27, 2023. 417 Manlove J, Ryan S, Franzetta K. Patterns of contraceptive use within teenagers' first 10. 418 sexual relationships. Perspect Sex Reprod Health. 2003;35(6):246-55. doi: 419 10.1363/psrh.35.246.03. PubMed PMID: 14744656; PubMed Central PMCID: 420 PMCPMC1473988. 421 Gilliam ML, Warden MM, Tapia B. Young Latinas recall contraceptive use before and 11. 422 after pregnancy: a focus group study. J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol. 2004;17(4):279-87. Epub 423 2004/08/04. doi: 10.1016/j.jpag.2004.05.003. PubMed PMID: 15288030. 424 Dehlendorf C, Park SY, Emeremni CA, Comer D, Vincett K, Borrero S. Racial/ethnic 12. 425 disparities in contraceptive use: variation by age and women's reproductive experiences. Am J 426 Obstet Gynecol. 2014;210(6):526.e1-9. Epub 20140201. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2014.01.037. 427 PubMed PMID: 24495671; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4303233. 428 13. Desrosiers F, Arden M, Fisher M. Patterns of contraception choice among Hispanic and 429 non-Hispanic female adolescents. Int J Adolesc Med Health. 2013;25(2):167-70. Epub 430 2013/01/22. doi: 10.1515/ijamh-2013-0025. PubMed PMID: 23334055. 431 14. Hall KS. The Health Belief Model can guide modern contraceptive behavior research and 432 practice. J Midwifery Womens Health. 2012;57(1):74-81. Epub 20111215. doi: 10.1111/j.1542-433 2011.2011.00110.x. PubMed PMID: 22251916; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3790325. 434 15. Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. Access to health services. n.d. 435 16. Levesque JF, Harris MF, Russell G. Patient-centred access to health care: conceptualising 436 access at the interface of health systems and populations. Int J Equity Health. 2013;12:18. Epub 437 20130311. doi: 10.1186/1475-9276-12-18. PubMed PMID: 23496984; PubMed Central PMCID: 438 PMCPMC3610159. 439 Swan LET. The impact of US policy on contraceptive access: a policy analysis. Reprod 17. 440 Health. 2021;18(1):235. Epub 20211122. doi: 10.1186/s12978-021-01289-3. PubMed PMID: 441 34809673; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8607408. 442 Galloway CT, Duffy JL, Dixon RP, Fuller TR. Exploring African-American and Latino 18. 443 Teens' Perceptions of Contraception and Access to Reproductive Health Care Services. Journal 444 of Adolescent Health. 2017;60:S57-S62. doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2016.12.006. PubMed PMID: 445 121275111. Language: English. Entry Date: 20170222. Revision Date: 20180530. Publication 446 Type: Article. 447 19. Singh JA, Siddiqi M, Parameshwar P, Chandra-Mouli V. World Health Organization 448 Guidance on Ethical Considerations in Planning and Reviewing Research Studies on Sexual and 449 Reproductive Health in Adolescents. J Adolesc Health. 2019;64(4):427-9. doi: 450 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2019.01.008. PubMed PMID: 30904091; PubMed Central PMCID: 451 PMCPMC6496912. 452 20. Magnusson BM, Crandall A, Evans K. Early sexual debut and risky sex in young adults: 453 the role of low self-control. BMC Public Health. 2019;19(1):1483. Epub 20191108. doi: 454 10.1186/s12889-019-7734-9. PubMed PMID: 31703650; PubMed Central PMCID: 455 PMCPMC6839049. 456 21. Aragones A, Hayes SL, Chen MH, González J, Gany FM. Characterization of the 457 Hispanic or latino population in health research: a systematic review. J Immigr Minor Health.

2014;16(3):429-39. doi: 10.1007/s10903-013-9773-0. PubMed PMID: 23315046; PubMed

458

26

459 Central PMCID: PMCPMC4518558. Lopez M, Krogstad J, Passel J. Who is Hispanic? September 5, 2023. 460 22. 461 23. Jain R, Muralidhar S. Contraceptive methods: needs, options and utilization. J Obstet 462 Gynaecol India. 2011;61(6):626-34. Epub 20120214. doi: 10.1007/s13224-011-0107-7. PubMed 463 PMID: 23204678; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3307935. 464 Whittemore R, Knafl K. The integrative review: updated methodology. J Adv Nurs. 24. 465 2005;52(5):546-53. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2648.2005.03621.x. PubMed PMID: 16268861. 466 25. Hong QN, Gonzalez-Reves A, Pluye P. Improving the usefulness of a tool for appraising 467 the quality of qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods studies, the Mixed Methods Appraisal 468 Tool (MMAT). J Eval Clin Pract. 2018;24(3):459-67. Epub 20180221. doi: 10.1111/jep.12884. 469 PubMed PMID: 29464873. 470 Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuvt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The 26. 471 PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. Syst Rev. 472 2021;10(1):89. Epub 20210329. doi: 10.1186/s13643-021-01626-4. PubMed PMID: 33781348; 473 PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8008539. 474 27. Thomas J, Harden A. Methods for the thematic synthesis of qualitative research in 475 systematic reviews. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2008;8:45. Epub 20080710. doi: 10.1186/1471-476 2288-8-45. PubMed PMID: 18616818; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2478656. 477 28. Becerra RM, de Anda D. Pregnancy and motherhood among Mexican American 478 adolescents. Health Soc Work. 1984;9(2):106-23. Epub 1984/01/01. doi: 10.1093/hsw/9.2.106. 479 PubMed PMID: 6724424. Chernick LS, Schnall R, Higgins T, Stockwell MS, Castaño PM, Santelli J, Davan PS. 480 29. 481 Barriers to and enablers of contraceptive use among adolescent females and their interest in an 482 emergency department based intervention. Contraception. 2015;91(3):217-25. Epub 2014/12/17. 483 doi: 10.1016/j.contraception.2014.12.003. PubMed PMID: 25499588; PubMed Central PMCID: 484 PMCPMC4352549. 485 Erickson PI. Cultural factors affecting the negotiation of first sexual intercourse among 30. 486 Latina adolescent mothers. International Quarterly of Community Health Education. 487 1998;18(1):121-37. PubMed PMID: 107176677. Language: English. Entry Date: 19990401. 488 Revision Date: 20150711. Publication Type: Journal Article. 489 Mitchell A, Gutmann-Gonzalez A, Brindis CD, Decker MJ. Contraceptive access 31. 490 experiences and perspectives of Mexican-origin youth: a binational qualitative study. Sex Reprod 491 Health Matters. 2023;31(1):2216527. doi: 10.1080/26410397.2023.2216527. PubMed PMID: 492 37335382; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC10281292. 493 32. Morales-Alemán MM, Ferreti G, Scarinci IC. "I don't like being stereotyped, I decided I 494 was never going back to the doctor": Sexual healthcare access among young Latina women in 495 Alabama. J Immigr Minor Health. 2020;22(4):645-52. doi: 10.1007/s10903-019-00932-3. 496 PubMed PMID: 31535273; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7078038. 497 33. Norris AE, Ford K. Beliefs about condoms and accessibility of condom intentions in 498 Hispanic and African American youth. Hisp J Behav Sci. 1992;14(3):373-82. Epub 1992/08/01. 499 doi: 10.1177/07399863920143007. PubMed PMID: 12345005. 500 Wilson EK, Samandari G, Koo HP, Tucker C. Adolescent mothers' postpartum 34. 501 contraceptive use: a qualitative study. Perspect Sex Reprod Health. 2011;43(4):230-7. Epub 502 2011/12/14. doi: 10.1363/4323011. PubMed PMID: 22151510.

27

- 503 35. Carvajal DN, Gioia D, Mudafort ER, Brown PB, Barnet B. How can Primary Care
- 504 Physicians Best Support Contraceptive Decision Making? A Qualitative Study Exploring the
- 505 Perspectives of Baltimore Latinas. Womens Health Issues. 2017;27(2):158-66. Epub 2016/11/09.
 506 doi: 10.1016/j.whi.2016.09.015. PubMed PMID: 27825590.
- 507 36. Kramer RD, Higgins JA, Godecker AL, Ehrenthal DB. Racial and ethnic differences in 508 patterns of long-acting reversible contraceptive use in the United States, 2011-2015.
- 509 Contraception. 2018;97(5):399-404. Epub 20180210. doi: 10.1016/j.contraception.2018.01.006.
- 510 PubMed PMID: 29355492; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5965256.
- 511 37. Barral RL, Cartujano B, Perales J, Ramirez M, Cowden J, Trent M, et al. Knowledge,
- beliefs, and attitudes about contraception among rural Latino adolescents and young adults. *The Journal of Rural Health*2020. p. 38-47.
- 514 38. Guilamo-Ramos VB, A. S.: Benzekri, A.: Ruiz, Y.: Beltran, O. Misalignment of sexual 515 and reproductive health priorities among older Latino adolescents and their mothers.
- 516 Contraception. 2019;99(3):179-83. Epub 2018/11/25. doi: 10.1016/j.contraception.2018.11.011.
- 517 PubMed PMID: 30471265.
- 518 39. Barral RL, Cartujano B, Cupertino AP, Trent M. "Birth control is like having an abortion: 519 Attitudes, beliefs and knowledge about sex and contraception among Latino youth in rural
- kansas". Journal of Adolescent Health. 2015;56(2):S39. doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2014.10.079.
- 40. Patel PR, Lee J, Hirth J, Berenson AB, Smith PB. Changes in the Use of Contraception at
- 522 First Intercourse: A Comparison of the National Survey of Family Growth 1995 and 2006-2010
- 522 First intercourse. A Comparison of the National Survey of Faining Orowin 1995 and 2000-20 523 Detabases LWomens Health (Lerehmt) 2016:25(9):777-92 Emph 2016/02/27 doi:
- 523 Databases. J Womens Health (Larchmt). 2016;25(8):777-83. Epub 2016/02/27. doi:
- 524 10.1089/jwh.2015.5513. PubMed PMID: 26919078; PubMed Central PMCID:
- 525 PMCPMC4982959.
- 526 41. American Academy of Pediatrics. The importance of access to comprehensive sex
- 527 education. February 15, 2024.
- 528

Prisma Flow Diagram



Identification of studies via databases and registers

Figure 1

Figure 2: Facilitators to Contraception



Figure 2

Figure 3: Barriers to Contraception



Figure 3