Original Article

Investigating Musculoskeletal Pain Among Pregnant Women in Dhaka Division: A

Cross-Sectional Study

Jalal Uddin, BS, MPH student^a; Shahida Sultana Shumi, BS^b

^aSchool of Public health, University of Nevada Las Vegas, Las Vegas, Nevada, USA

^b Department of Physiotherapy, Saic Institute of Medical Technology, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Conflicts of Interest: The authors report no conflicts of interest.

Financial Disclosures: The authors have no financial disclosures to declare.

Funding: This work was self-funded.

Corresponding Author:

Jalal Uddin

University of Nevada Las Vegas

1480 Hialeah Dr, APT C, Las Vegas, NV 89119

Jalal.uddin@unlv.edu

+1702 661 2311

Abstract:

Objectives

This study aimed to investigate musculoskeletal pain among pregnant women in Dhaka

Division, Bangladesh, considering demographic factors, pain and pregnancy-related

experiences.

Materials and Methods

Data were collected from 300 pregnant women attending outpatient clinical check-ups at

two hospitals in Dhaka Division, Bangladesh. A standardized questionnaire covering

demographic information, pregnancy-related inquiries, and pain assessment using the

Numeric Pain Rating Scale (NPRS) was administered. Data were analyzed using SPSS

version 25 software.

Results

The majority of participants were aged 20-25 years, resided in rural areas, and had

completed primary education. Most participants were housewives. A significant

proportion reported experiencing musculoskeletal pain, predominantly in the second

trimester. Associations were found between musculoskeletal pain and both age (p=0.025)

and trimester of pregnancy (p=0.008).

Conclusions

The findings emphasized significant associations between maternal age and

musculoskeletal pain, highlighting the importance of considering age-related factors in

maternal care. Additionally, a high prevalence of musculoskeletal pain during the second

2

trimester was observed.

Key Words: musculoskeletal pain; pregnant women; pain-related characteristics;

pregnancy-related characteristics;

Introduction

The musculoskeletal system is a highly integrated network of bones, muscle groups,

tendons, ligaments, and connective tissues that deliver shape to the human body and

permit it to move. 1 It supports other structures and gives the structure and stability to

walk, carry, grasp, paintings, workout, and perform sports of day-by-day dwelling [1].

Being pregnant causes hormonal and different physiologic changes that cause weight

benefit, joint laxity, and sundry biomechanics, all stressors that may result in

musculoskeletal pain and initiate harm [2].

Pregnancy-induced biomechanical, hormonal, and vascular changes are likely to

contribute to a wide range of musculoskeletal issues [3]. The expanding uterus shifts the

body's center of gravity and exerts mechanical pressure on it [4]. Hormonal fluctuations

lead to increased joint laxity in pregnant women. Additionally, fluid retention results in

the compression of soft tissues during pregnancy, increasing the risk of musculoskeletal

injuries [5]. It has been observed that almost all pregnant women experience some degree

of musculoskeletal problems, with some experiencing temporarily disabling symptoms

[5].

The primary issue in pregnancy is typically low back pain, with reported occurrences

ranging from 30% to 70%. 6 Following this, carpal tunnel syndrome is identified as the

second most prevalent musculoskeletal problem [5]. Additionally, muscle cramps,

predominantly occurring during sleep and lasting only briefly, constitute another

3

common concern during pregnancy [7].

Spinal ache has been identified as the most prevalent disorder during pregnancy,

accompanied by other common issues such as lower and upper extremity pain, muscle

cramps, and peripheral neuropathies [8,9]. These musculoskeletal problems have been

highlighted as significant contributors to disability and absenteeism among pregnant

women [9]. In fact, musculoskeletal issues are estimated to account for about 90% of

chronic pain cases, with back pain being particularly prominent [10]. Throughout

pregnancy, the female body undergoes significant anatomical and hormonal changes,

which may predispose women to various musculoskeletal conditions, increase the risk of

injury, or exacerbate pre-existing conditions [10].

The aim of this study was to investigate musculoskeletal pain among pregnant women in

Dhaka Division, Bangladesh. Understanding the prevalence and nature of

musculoskeletal pain during pregnancy is essential for effective prenatal care. Therefore,

our research sought to identify the most common musculoskeletal problems experienced

by pregnant women. By examining these issues during routine prenatal checks,

healthcare providers can better tailor interventions and support strategies to address the

specific needs of pregnant women at different stages of pregnancy.

Methods

The study collected data from pregnant women attending outpatient clinical check-ups at

the Obstetrics and Gynaecology Departments of Dhaka Medical College and Hospital

and Zella Hospital Narsingdi in Bangladesh, spanning from April 2019 to September

2019. Employing a convenience sampling technique, a total of 300 pregnant women were

included after obtaining written informed consent. The research received approval from

the Institutional Review Board (IRB). A formal application was then lodged with the

authorities at Dhaka Medical College and Hospital, as well as Zella Hospital Narshingdi, seeking permission to engage clients and utilize their facilities for the study. Following approval, data collection commenced. Data collection occurred via face-to-face interviews, wherein participants responded to a standardized questionnaire covering demographic information, pregnancy-related inquiries, and pain assessment using the Numeric Pain Rating Scale (NPRS). Subsequently, the collected data were analyzed using the SPSS version 25 software program. This investigation was conducted as a cross-sectional study to explore the relationship between demographic factors, pregnancy-related issues, and pain experiences among pregnant women. We performed χ^2 and t-tests and calculated 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for analyzing the relationship between Patient Characteristics and Musculoskeletal Pain.

Results

Patient Characteristics

Among the 300 respondents (see Table-1), the majority of women were aged between 20-25 years (31.3%), followed by those below 20 years (31%), with 27.7% aged between 25-30 years, and the remaining 10% aged over 30 years, with a mean age of 24.27. Regarding living area, data showed that 38.0% were from urban areas, 60.3% from rural areas, and 1.7% from semi-urban areas. Educational qualifications varied, with 1.0% illiterate, 4.7% only able to sign, and 35.3% having completed primary education, followed by 26.7% with secondary education, 15.3% with higher secondary education, 13.7% with a college degree, and 3.3% with other qualifications. Family type indicated that 55.7% lived in extended families, while 44.3% lived in nuclear families. In terms of monthly income, 51.7% earned below 10000 Bangladeshi Taka (BDT), 33.7% earned

between 10000-20000 BDT, 10.3% earned between 20000-30000 BDT, and 4.3% earned above 30000 BDT. Regarding occupation, the majority (88.3%) were housewives, 4.3% were students, 1.3% were engaged in government service, 0.7% were teachers, and 5.3% were in other occupations such as nursing or day labor. Religion indicated that 98.7% were Muslim, while 1.3% were Hindu. BMI analysis revealed that 5.0% had a BMI below 18.5, 46.0% had a BMI between 18.5-25, 35.3% had a BMI between 25-30, 13.0% had a BMI between 30-40, and 0.7% had a BMI above 40.

Table-1 Patient Characteristics

Category	Subcategory	Count (n)	Percentage (%)
	Below 20	93	31
	3 4	31.3	
Age Living Area	25-30	83	27.7
	Above 30	30	10
	Urban	114	38
Living Area	Rural	181	60.3
	Semi-Urban	5	1.7
	Illiterate	3	1
	Can sign only	30 114 181 181 181 181 181 181 181 181 181	4.7
Educational	Primary Education	106	35.3
	Secondary Education	80	26.7
Quantication		Primary Education 106 35 condary Education 80 26 Higher Secondary Education 46 15 College Degree 41 13 Other Education 10 3. Extended Family 167 55 Nuclear Family 133 44	15.3
		41	13.7
		10	3.3
Esmily Tyms	Extended Family	167	55.7
ranniy Type	Nuclear Family	133	44.3
	Below 10000 BDT	155	51.7
Family	10000-20000 BDT	101	33.7
•	20000-30000 BDT	31	10.3
	Above 30000 BDT	13	4.3
	Housewife	265	88.3
	Student	13	4.3
Occupation	Government Service	4	1.3
-	Teacher	2	0.7
	Other Occupation	16	5.3
Religion	Muslim	296	98.7

	Hindu	4	1.3
	Below 18.5	15	5
	18.5-25	138	46
BMI	25-30	106	35.3
	30-40	39	13
	Above 40	2	0.7

Pain related Characteristics

The pain-related variables among participants are displayed (see Table-2). A significant majority, constituting 72.0% of participants, reported experiencing pain, while the remaining 28.0% did not. Pain duration varied, with 64.0% experiencing pain for less than 3 months, and 8.0% for more than 3 months. Descriptions of pain nature included 27.7% reporting it as sharp, 35.7% as dull, 1.7% as burning, 5.7% as shooting, and 1.3% as other. Severity, as measured by the NPRS scale, indicated that 24.7% reported mild pain (score <4), 39.3% reported moderate pain (score 4-7), and 8.0% reported severe pain (score >7). Reference of pain was indicated by 23.7%, while 48.3% reported no reference. Specific types of pain, such as headache (10.0%), neck pain (1.7%), arm pain (2.7%), forearm pain (2.7%), hand pain (2.3%), low back pain (38.3%), lower abdominal pain (36.3%), leg pain (18.3%), ankle pain (5.3%), and foot pain (4.3%) were reported. The pattern of pain was described as constant by 10.0% of participants and intermittent by 62.0%.

Table-2 Pain related Characteristics

Category	Subcategory	Count (n)	Percentage (%)
Pain	Yes	216	72
Pain	No	84	28
Pain	<3 months	192	64
duration	>3 months	24	8
Nature of	Sharp	83	27.7
pain	Dull	107	35.7

	Burning	5	1.7
	Shooting	17	5.7
	Others	4	1.3
G 6	Mild <4	74	24.7
Severity of	Moderate (4-7)	118	39.3
pain	Severe >7	24	8
Reference	Yes	71	23.7
of pain	No	145	48.3
Headache	Yes	30	10
Headache	No	186	62
NI1	Yes	5	1.7
Neck pain	No	211	70.3
A	Yes	8	2.7
Arm pain	No	208	69.3
Forearm	Yes	8	2.7
pain	No	208	69.3
Hand nain	Yes	7	2.3
Hand pain	nd pain No		69.7
Low back	Yes	115	38.3
pain	No	101	33.7
Lower	Yes	109	36.3
abdominal pain	No	107	35.7
т .	Yes	55	18.3
Leg pain	No	161	53.7
A1-1 '	Yes	16	5.3
Ankle pain	No	200	66.7
East mail	Yes	13	4.3
Foot pain	No	203	67.7
D-:	Constant	30	10
Pain pattern	Intermittent	186	62

Pregnancy related Characteristics

This study revealed various pregnancy-related characteristics (see Table-3). 28.0% of respondents were in the 1st trimester, while 45.0% were in the 2nd trimester, and 27.0% were in the 3rd trimester. Regarding complaints during urination, 17.7% of respondents reported pain, while 82.3% did not experience pain. In terms of pregnancy history, 35.3% had a single pregnancy, 23.3% had multiple pregnancies, and 41.3% had no previous pregnancy. Additionally, 26.0% reported a history of miscarriage, and 6.7% reported a

history of abortion. Furthermore, 31.7% had a history of normal vaginal delivery, 1.0% had assisted delivery, and 25.7% had a history of cesarean section.

Table-3 Pregnancy related Characteristics

Category	Subcategory	Count (n)	Percentage (%)
	1st Trimester	84	28
Trimester	2nd Trimester	135	45
	3rd Trimester	81	27
Pain during	Yes	53	17.7
urination	No	247	82.3
	Single pregnancy	106	35.3
Previous history of pregnancy	Multiple pregnancies	70	23.3
	No previous history	124	41.3
Previous history	Yes	78	26
of miscarriage	No	222	74
History of	Yes	20	6.7
abortion	No	280	93.3
Drawiova history	Normal vaginal delivery	95	31.7
Previous history of childbirth	Assisted delivery	3	1
	Caesarean section	77	25.7

Bivariate Analysis of Patient Characteristics and Musculoskeletal Pain

The bivariate analysis, (see Table-4), yielded several significant findings. Participants reporting musculoskeletal pain exhibited a slightly higher mean age of 24.55 years (SD = 4.64), compared to those without musculoskeletal pain, whose mean age was 23.71 years (SD = 4.63) (p = 0.025). Additionally, no statistically significant difference in participant distribution between urban and rural areas was observed based on musculoskeletal pain status (p = 0.41). Musculoskeletal pain status did not show a significant association with education level (all p > 0.05) or income level (p = 0.858). Similarly, there was no

significant difference in musculoskeletal pain status based on occupation (p = 0.863). Although a trend suggesting a difference in family types was noted, it was not statistically significant (p = 0.089). Regarding the trimester of pregnancy, participants in the second trimester had a higher proportion of musculoskeletal pain (48.8%) compared to the first (22.4%) and third trimesters (28.9%) (p = 0.008). Furthermore, no significant associations were found between musculoskeletal pain status and previous history of pregnancy (p = 0.272) or previous history of miscarriage (p = 0.836).

Table-4: Bivariate Analysis of Patient Characteristics and Musculoskeletal Pain

Variables	Musculoskeletal	No Musculoskeletal	p-value
	Pain	Pain	-
	n (%)	n (%)	
	N=201 (67.0)	N= 99 (33.0)	
Age, Mean (SD)	24.55 (4.64)	23.71 (4.63)	0.025
Area			
Urban	83 (41.3)	36 (36.4)	0.410
Rural	118 (58.7)	63 (63.6)	
Education			
Illiterate	9 (4.5)	8 (8.1)	
Primary	75 (37.3)	31 (31.3)	0.453
Under College Degree	85 (42.3)	41 (41.4)	
College Degree	32 (15.9)	19 (19.2)	
Income	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	· ,	
<25000 BDT	182 (90.5)	89 (89.9)	0.858
>25000 BDT	19 (9.5)	10 (10.1)	
Occupation			
Housewife	178 (88.6)	87 (87.9)	0.863
Others	23 (11.4)	12 (12.1)	
Family Types			
Nuclear	96 (47.8)	37 (37.4)	0.089
Extended	105 (52.2)	62 (62.6)	
Trimester of pregna			
First trimester	45 (22.4)	39 (39.4)	0.008
Second trimester	98 (48.8)	37 (37.4)	
Third trimester	58 (28.9)	23 (23.2)	
Previous history of p			
Single	73 (36.3)	33 (33.3)	0.272
Multiple	51 (25.4)	19 (19.2)	
None of them	77 (38.3)	47 (47.5)	

Previous history of miscarriage			
Yes	53 (26.4)	25 (25.3)	0.836
No	148 (73.6)	74 (74.7)	

Discussion

In our study, we observed a significant association between age and musculoskeletal pain among pregnant women, indicating that as the age increased, there was a heightened likelihood of experiencing muscular pain. This finding is consistent with a study, who found that increasing maternal age was associated with joint pains and lower back pain (LBP) [11]. Another study reported no significant difference in the age of respondents experiencing musculoskeletal problems during pregnancy [12]. This disparity suggests that while age may influence musculoskeletal pain in some studies, it may not be a significant factor in others.

Our study encompassed 300 pregnant women, with the majority falling within the 20-25 years age range, followed by a significant proportion below 20 years old and between 25-30 years old. This distribution differs slightly from the findings of a study, where the mean age of participants was 30.9 ± 5.0 years, with a significant portion having attained a university-level education. 9 Similarly, a study reported a diverse age range among their sample of pregnant women, with the highest prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders observed in those aged 35-49 years [13].

Our investigation uncovered a diverse educational background among participants, with minimal illiteracy (1%) and significant proportions completing primary (35.3%) and secondary (26.7%) education. Moreover, a notable percentage held a college degree (13.7%), indicating varied socioeconomic profiles within the study population. Regarding income, the study revealed varied levels among participants: 51.7% earned below 10000

BDT monthly, 33.7% fell within the 10000-20000 BDT range, 10.3% earned 20000-

30000 BDT, and 4.3% earned over 30000 BDT monthly. In contrast, a study found

participants with educational attainment up to the 12th class level, coupled with a

relatively higher average family income exceeding 18,000 BDT per month [13]. This

income disparity underscores the significance of socioeconomic factors in

comprehending the musculoskeletal health of pregnant women, as educational and

income differences may impact access to healthcare resources and influence health

outcomes.

This study evaluated the mean height, weight, body mass index (BMI) of the participants.

Among all respondents (n=15), 5.0% had a BMI below 18.5, while 46.0% fell within the

BMI range of 18.5-25. Additionally, 35.3% of respondents had a BMI between 25-30,

with 13.0% falling in the range of 30-40, and a minor proportion (0.7%) having a BMI

exceeding 40.

A study found that the mean BMI of pregnant women was 24.84 ± 4.62 kg/m2, with

3.2% categorized as low weight, 52.6% as normal weight, 27.5% as overweight, and

16.7% as obese [14]. These findings provide insights into the diverse socioeconomic

backgrounds of the study participants, which may influence various health outcomes,

including musculoskeletal health during pregnancy.

We found that a higher proportion of participants residing in rural areas reported

experiencing musculoskeletal pain compared to those living in urban areas. Specifically,

58.7% of participants from rural areas reported musculoskeletal pain, while only 41.3%

of urban residents reported similar pain experiences. These findings are consistent with

previous research, which also observed a higher prevalence of musculoskeletal pain

among urban dwellers compared to rural communities. For instance, urban residents comprised approximately 59% of the study group, while rural residents constituted around 41%. 15 Moreover, musculoskeletal pain was more prevalent among urban participants (54.4%) compared to their rural counterparts (45.6%) [13].

This study revealed varying degrees of musculoskeletal pain among participants, with 64.0% experiencing acute pain (<3 months) and 8.0% reporting chronic pain (>3 months). Specific discomforts included headache (10.0%), low back pain (38.3%), and lower abdominal pain (36.3%). A study found that 50.7% of pregnant participants experienced symptoms, with low back pain (55.6%), ankle pain (25.9%), and knee pain (16.6%) being the most prevalent [13].Common musculoskeletal complaints during pregnancy, including low back pain (70.7%) and hip pain (32.1%), particularly in the third trimester [9]. These findings underscore the diverse nature of musculoskeletal discomfort during pregnancy and emphasize the need for targeted interventions to alleviate maternal discomfort and improve overall well-being.

Common musculoskeletal problems across trimesters, with lower back pain, pelvic girdle pain, and carpal tunnel syndrome prevalent in the first trimester; lower back pain, cramps, and pelvic girdle pain in the second trimester; and lower back pain, cramps, and pedal edema in the third trimester [6]. In our study, participants in the second trimester exhibited a higher prevalence of musculoskeletal pain (48.8%) compared to the first (22.4%) and third trimesters (28.9%) (p = 0.008). Notably, calf pain, low back pain, and pelvic girdle pain were the most commonly reported dysfunctions during the second trimester [16]. A meta-analysis reported a global prevalence of back pain in the first, second, and third trimesters as 28.3%, 36.8%, and 47.8%, respectively [17]. These

findings underscore the dynamic nature of musculoskeletal discomfort throughout

pregnancy, emphasizing the importance of tailored interventions for pregnant individuals

across different stages of gestation.

In our study, a similar pattern of childbirth methods was observed, with 31.7% of

participants having a history of normal vaginal delivery, 25.7% undergoing cesarean

section, and 1.0% experiencing assisted delivery. This aligns with a finding, where

among 550 mothers, 74.2% had vaginal deliveries, while 25.8% underwent cesarean

deliveries [18].

Our study provides insights into the multifaceted influences on musculoskeletal health

during pregnancy, encompassing factors such as age, education, income, and trimester-

specific discomforts. Recognizing these complexities underscores the necessity for

comprehensive maternal care strategies, targeted interventions, and further investigation

to address socioeconomic disparities and trimester-specific pain. However, several

limitations should be noted. Firstly, the study was funded personally, potentially limiting

the extent of data collection and analysis. Secondly, time constraints restricted the ability

to obtain a larger sample size, potentially impacting representativeness. Lastly, the study

included only 300 participants, possibly limiting generalizability.

Conclusions

Our study observed a significant association between maternal age and musculoskeletal

pain, emphasizing the need to consider age-related factors in maternal care strategies.

Furthermore, our findings highlight the diverse socioeconomic backgrounds of pregnant

women, underscoring the importance of tailored interventions that address socioeconomic

disparities. We also identified trimester-specific discomforts, with a notable prevalence of

musculoskeletal pain during the second trimester. Understanding these trimester-specific

challenges is crucial for developing targeted interventions to alleviate maternal

discomfort.

Author contributions

To write: Jalal Uddin

Literature research: Shahida Sultana Shumi

Statistical analysis: Jalal Uddin

Data collecting: Shahida Sultana Shumi

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Funding

None

References:

[1] Murphy AC, Muldoon SF, Baker D, Lastowka A, Bennett B, Yang M, Bassett

DS. Structure, function, and control of the human musculoskeletal network. PLoS

Biol. 2018 Jan 18;16(1):e2002811. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.2002811. PMID:

29346370; PMCID: PMC5773011.

[2] Hezel JPD. Musculoskeletal pain in pregnancy. In: Bernstein C, Takoudes TC,

eds. Medical Problems During Pregnancy: A Comprehensive Clinical Guide.

15

Cham, Switzerland: Springer; 2017:139-153.

- [3] Thabah M, Ravindran V. Musculoskeletal problems in pregnancy. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. 2015;35(4):581-587.
- [4] Smith MW, Marcus PS, Wurtz LD. Orthopedic issues in pregnancy. Obstetrical and Gynecological Survey. 2008;63(2):103-111.
- [5] Heckman JD, Sassard R. Musculoskeletal considerations in pregnancy. J Bone Joint Surg Am-Series A. 1994;76(11):1720-1731.
- [6] Bakilan F, Zelveci DD. Musculoskeletal problems during pregnancy. J Clin Med Kaz. 2020;6(60):53-55. https://doi.org/10.23950/jcmk/9259
- [7] Hammar M, Larsson L, Tegler L. Calcium treatment of leg cramps in pregnancy: Effect on clinical symptoms and total serum and ionized serum calcium concentrations. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 1981;60:345-347. doi:10.3109/00016348109154121
- [8] Stein J, Dugan SA. Musculoskeletal disorders of pregnancy, delivery and postpartum. Physical Medicine Rehabilitation Clinic of North America. 2007;18(3):459-476.
- [9] Kesikburun S, Guzelkucuk U, Demir Y. Musculoskeletal pain and symptoms in pregnancy: a descriptive study. Therapeutic Advances in Musculoskeletal Disease. 2018;10(12):229-234.
- [10] Ireland ML, Ott SM. The effects of pregnancy on the musculoskeletal system. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2000;372:169-179.
- [11] Onyemaechi NO, Chigbu CO, Ugwu EO, Omoke NI, Lasebikan OA, Ozumba BC. Prevalence and risk factors associated with musculoskeletal

- disorders among pregnant women in Enugu Nigeria. Niger J Clin Pract. 2021;24(11):1573-1581. doi:10.4103/njcp.njcp_522_20
- [12] Osazee K, Nnakwe L, Iribhogbe O. Prevalence of musculoskeletal related morbidity and its perceived impact on health among pregnant women in a tertiary center. Ibom Medical Journal. 2023.
- [13] Yasobant S, Nibedita S, Saswata S, Arnansu M, Kirti S. Musculoskeletal problems among pregnant women: a facility based survey in Odisha. Int J Med Res Health Sci. 2014;3(2):358-363.
- [14] Ozdilek R, Aba YA, Aksoy SD, Sik BA, Akpak YK. The relationship between body mass index before pregnancy and the amount of weight that should be gained during pregnancy: A cross-sectional study. Pak J Med Sci. 2019;35(5):1204-1209. doi:10.12669/pjms.35.5.133
- [15] Szubert M, Ilowiecka M, Wilczynski J, Bilinski P, Wojtyla C. Health-related behaviors of pregnant women residing in urban and rural areas in Poland. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17(12):4395. doi:10.3390/ijerph17124395
- [16] Ramachandra P, Maiya AG, Kumar P, Kamath A. Prevalence of musculoskeletal dysfunctions among Indian pregnant women. J Pregnancy. 2015;2015:437105. doi:10.1155/2015/437105
- [17] Salari N, Mohammadi A, Hemmati M, et al. The global prevalence of low back pain in pregnancy: a comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2023;23(1):830. doi:10.1186/s12884-023-06151-x

[18] Sharma S, Dhakal I. Cesarean vs Vaginal Delivery: An Institutional Experience. JNMA J Nepal Med Assoc. 2018;56(209):535-539. doi:10.31729/jnma.3821